

STRICTLY 2-CONVEX LINEAR 2-NORMED SPACES

R.W. FREESE, Y.J. CHO AND S.S. KIM

I. Introduction

In [19] the concept of a linear 2-normed space was introduced as a natural 2-metric analog of that of a normed linear space. Linear 2-normed spaces were investigated in [19] as well as in numerous other articles by different authors from many points of view ([4], [6], [7], [9], [12], [13], [16], [18]-[21], [28], [29]).

Let X be a linear space of dimension > 1 and let $\|\cdot, \cdot\|$ be a real-valued function on $X \times X$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (N₁) $\|x, y\| = 0$ if and only if x and y are linearly dependent,
- (N₂) $\|x, y\| = \|y, x\|$,
- (N₃) $\|\alpha x, y\| = |\alpha| \|x, y\|$, where α is real,
- (N₄) $\|x, y + z\| \leq \|x, y\| + \|x, z\|$.

The function $\|\cdot, \cdot\|$ is called a *2-norm* on X and $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ a *linear 2-normed space*. Some of the basic properties of the 2-norms are that they are non-negative and $\|x, y + \alpha x\| = \|x, y\|$ for every x, y in X and every real α .

Note that in the definition of 2-norm if the condition (N₄) is replaced by the following condition:

$$(SN_4) \quad \|x, y\| = \|x, y - x\|,$$

then the function $\|\cdot, \cdot\|$ is called a *semi-2-norm* on X and $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ a *semi-2-normed space* ([16]).

Linear 2-normed spaces are special cases of a large class called 2-metric spaces. A 2-metric space is a set X with a real-valued non-negative function σ defined on $X \times X \times X$ which satisfies the following conditions:

- (D₁) For each pair of points x, y in X with $x \neq y$, there exists a point z in X such that $\sigma(x, y, z) \neq 0$,

Received December 8, 1991.

This paper was partially supported by Non-directed Research Fund. of Korea Research Foundation, 1989-1991.

- (D₂) $\sigma(x, y, z) = 0$, whenever at least two of the points x, y, z are equal,
 (D₃) $\sigma(x, y, z) = \sigma(y, x, z) = \sigma(y, z, x)$,
 (D₄) $\sigma(x, y, z) \leq \sigma(x, y, w) + \sigma(x, z, w) + \sigma(y, z, w)$.

The function σ is called a *2-metric* for the space X and (X, σ) a *2-metric space*. We remark that, in the definition of 2-metric, if the condition (D₄) is deleted, then the function σ is called a *semi-2-metric*. If $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is a semi-2-normed space, then the function $\sigma(x, y, z) = \|x - z, y - z\|$ defines a semi-2-metric on $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ ([16]). For more details on 2-metric spaces, refer to [1], [15]–[17], [18]–[20], [22] and [27]. Especially, if $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is a linear 2-normed space, then the function $\sigma(x, y, z) = \|x - z, y - z\|$ defines a 2-metric on $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ ([19]). Every linear 2-normed space will be considered to be a 2-metric space with the 2-metric defined in this sense. Clearly, any finite example of a 2-metric space shows that not every 2-metric space is a linear 2-normed space. Conversely, in [17], some conditions for a 2-metric space to be a linear 2-normed space are given.

For non-zero vectors x, y in X , let $V(x, y)$ denote the subspace of X generated by x and y . A linear 2-normed space $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is said to be *strictly convex* ([5]) if $\|x + y, z\| = \|x, z\| + \|y, z\|$ and $z \notin V(x, y)$ imply that $y = \alpha x$ for some $\alpha > 0$. Some characterizations of strictly convex linear 2-normed spaces are given in [2]–[5], [8], [11]–[16], [23]–[26], [28], and [31]. A linear 2-normed space $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is said to be *strictly 2-convex* ([8]) if $\|x, y\| = \|x, z\| = \|y, z\| = \|x + z, y + z\|/3 = 1$ implies that $z = x + y$. These spaces have been studied in [3], [8], [10]–[13], [15], [16], [23], [30] and [31]. It is easy to see that every strictly convex linear 2-normed space is always strictly 2-convex but the converse is not necessarily true ([8]) and that every linear 2-normed space of dimension 2 is strictly 2-convex.

In this paper, we give new characterizations of strictly 2-convex linear 2-normed spaces in terms of extreme points.

II. Strict 2-convexity

A point p of a 2-metric space (X, σ) formed from a linear 2-normed space is called a *2-metric midpoint* of three non-collinear points a, b, c of X ($\sigma(a, b, c) \neq 0$) if $\sigma(a, b, p) = \sigma(a, p, c) = \sigma(p, b, c) = \sigma(a, b, c)/3$.

For three non-collinear points a, b, c in X , let

$$T(a, b, c) = \{x \in X \mid \sigma(a, b, c) = \sigma(a, b, x) + \sigma(a, x, c) + \sigma(x, b, c)\}.$$

$T(a, b, c)$ will be called the *triangle with vertices* a, b and c . Furthermore, we will refer to $\sigma(a, b, c)$ as the area of $T(a, b, c)$. A point p will be called a *center* of $T(a, b, c)$ if p is a 2-metric midpoint of a, b and c . If a, b and c are three non-collinear points in X , let $C(a, b, c)$ denote the *convex envelope* of $\{a, b, c\}$, that is, $C(a, b, c)$ is the smallest convex set containing $\{a, b, c\}$. In particular,

$$C(a, b, c) = \{\alpha a + \beta b + \gamma c \mid \alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 0, \alpha + \beta + \gamma = 1\}.$$

The following theorem is proved in [8] and [10]:

THEOREM 2.1. *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is strictly 2-convex.
- (2) $T(a, b, c)$ has a unique center.
- (3) If a, b and c are three non-collinear points in X , then $T(a, b, c) = C(a, b, c)$.
- (4) If a and b are two points in X with $\|a, b\| > 0$, then there exists a unique point c in X such that 0 is a center of $T(a, b, c)$.
- (5) If $\|a, b\| = \|b, c\| = \|c, a\| = 1$, $c \neq -(a + b)$, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma > 0$ and $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 1$, then $\sigma(\alpha a, \beta b, \gamma c) < 1/3$.

A point x of a semi-2-normed space $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is called an *algebraic between point* of three points a, b, c in X if there exist real numbers $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in [0, 1]$ such that $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 1$ and $x = \alpha a + \beta b + \gamma c$. In the case $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 1/3$, x is said to be an *algebraic midpoint* of a, b and c . A point $x \in X$ is called a σ -*between point* of three non-collinear points a, b, c in X if $\sigma(a, b, c) = \sigma(a, b, x) + \sigma(a, x, c) + \sigma(x, b, c)$. In the case $\sigma(a, b, x) = \sigma(a, x, c) = \sigma(x, b, c)$, x is said to be a σ -*midpoint* of a, b and c .

Thus every algebraic midpoint of three points is an algebraic between point of these points and every σ -midpoint of three non-collinear points is a σ -between point of these points.

In [16], the following theorems are proved:

THEOREM 2.2. *In a semi-2-normed space $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$, the following properties hold:*

- (1) *An algebraic midpoint of three non-collinear points in X is a σ -midpoint of these points.*
- (2) *An algebraic between point of three non-collinear points in X is a σ -between point of these points.*

THEOREM 2.3. *In a semi-2-normed space $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *A σ -midpoint of three non-collinear points in X is a algebraic midpoint of these points.*
- (2) *For points $a, b, c \in X$ with $\|a, b\| = \|b, c\| = \|c, a\| = \|a + c, b + c\|/3 = 1$, it follows that $c = a + b$.*

THEOREM 2.4. *In a semi-2-normed space $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *A σ -between point of three non-collinear points in X is an algebraic between point of these points.*
- (2) *For points $a, b, c \in X$ with $\|a - c, b - c\| \neq 0$ and $d = \delta a + (1 - \delta)b$, $\delta \in (0, 1)$, the validity of $\sigma(a, c, d) = \sigma(a, c, e) + \sigma(a, e, d)$ and $\sigma(b, c, d) = \sigma(b, c, e) + \sigma(b, e, d)$ implies the existence of a real number $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ with $e = \lambda c + (1 - \lambda)d$.*
- (3) *For arbitrary points a, b, c in X with $\|a + c, b + c\| = \|a, b\| + \|b, c\| + \|c, a\|$ and $\|a, b\| \cdot \|b, c\| \cdot \|c, a\| \neq 0$, there exist real numbers $\alpha, \beta > 0$ such that $c = \alpha a + \beta b$.*

REMARK. (1) A linear 2-normed space $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ in which the properties from Theorems 2.3 and 2.4 hold is said to be strictly 2-convex.

(2) By means of the corollary to Theorem 8 ([8]), it follows that every semi-2-normed linear space of dimension 2 is a strictly 2-convex linear 2-normed space.

III. Extreme points

In this section, we give some geometric characterizations of strictly 2-convex linear 2-normed spaces in terms of extreme points.

In a 2-metric space (X, σ) , a point p is said to be a *2-metric extreme point* of a set M provided for arbitrary points x, y, z in M with

$\sigma(x, y, z) = \sigma(x, y, p) + \sigma(x, z, p) + \sigma(y, z, p)$, at least one of the terms on the right-hand side is zero. A point p is said to be a *2-metric ultra-extreme point* of a set M provided for arbitrary points x, y, z in M with $\sigma(x, y, z) = \sigma(x, y, p) + \sigma(x, z, p) + \sigma(y, z, p)$, at least two of the terms on the right-hand side are equal to zero. In a linear 2-normed space $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$, a point p is said to be an *algebraic extreme point* of a set M provided for arbitrary points x, y, z in M with $p = \alpha x + \beta y + \gamma z$, $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 1$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in [0, 1]$, at least one of α, β, γ is zero. A point p is said to be an *algebraic ultra-extreme point* of a set M provided for arbitrary points x, y, z in M with $p = \alpha x + \beta y + \gamma z$, $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 1$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in [0, 1]$, at least two of α, β, γ are equal to zero

REMARK. (1) A 2-metric (resp. algebraic) ultra-extreme point is a 2-metric (resp. algebraic) extreme point but not conversely.

(2) In the euclidean plane a 2-metric extreme point is an algebraic extreme point and conversely.

We are now ready to present our main theorems:

THEOREM 3.1. *A 2-metric extreme point of a set M in a 2-metric space (X, σ) is an algebraic extreme point of M .*

Proof. Suppose that there exists a point p in M such that p is a 2-metric extreme point of M but not an algebraic extreme point of M . This means that there exist three points x, y, z in M such that $p = \alpha x + \beta y + \gamma z$, $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 1$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in [0, 1]$, with each of α, β, γ are non-zero. Therefore $z - p$ and $y - p$ are linearly independent and hence we have $\sigma(p, y, z) = \|z - p, y - p\| \neq 0$. Similarly we have $\sigma(p, x, z) \neq 0$ and $\sigma(p, x, y) \neq 0$, which is contrary to p being a 2-metric extreme point. This completes the proof.

By a similar argument used in Theorem 3.1, we have the following theorem:

THEOREM 3.2. *A 2-metric ultra-extreme point of a set M in a 2-metric space (X, σ) is an algebraic ultra-extreme point of M .*

THEOREM 3.3. *An algebraic ultra-extreme point of a set M in a linear 2-normed space $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ that is a 2-metric extreme point of M is a 2-metric ultra-extreme point of M .*

Proof. Suppose that there exists a point p in M such that p is an algebraic ultra-extreme point of M and a 2-metric extreme point but not a 2-metric ultra-extreme point. This means that there exist three distinct points x, y, z in M such that $\sigma(p, x, y) = 0$ but $\sigma(x, y, z) = \sigma(x, y, p) + \sigma(x, z, p) + \sigma(y, z, p)$. That is, $\sigma(x, y, z) = \sigma(x, z, p) + \sigma(y, z, p)$. Therefore, since p is not an algebraic ultra-extreme point of M , then we have $p \neq x$, $p \neq y$, $p \neq z$ and similarly x, y, z are distinct. From $\sigma(p, x, y) = 0$, we know that $p - x$ and $y - x$ are linearly dependent, that is, $p = \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y$. Also from $\sigma(x, p, z) = 0$ we know that $p - x$ and $z - x$ are linearly dependent, that is, $p = \lambda^*x + (1 - \lambda^*)z$. Since $z - x$ and $y - x$ are linearly independent, then we have $p = x$, which is a contradiction. The proof is similar in the event that $\sigma(p, x, z) = 0$ or $\sigma(p, y, z) = 0$. This completes the proof.

Note that in the above theorem, if the hypothesis that a point is a 2-metric extreme point is deleted, the theorem is false as is shown by the following example:

EXAMPLE 3.4. Let $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ be a linear 2-normed space that is not strictly 2-convex. Then by Theorem 2.1, there exists a triple p, q, r in X with a non-unique center. That is, $\sigma(p, q, r) = \sigma(p, q, m_1) + \sigma(p, r, m_1) + \sigma(q, r, m_1)$ and $\sigma(p, q, r) = \sigma(p, q, m_2) + \sigma(p, r, m_2) + \sigma(q, r, m_2)$ where it may be assumed without loss of generality that m_1 is an element of the algebraic triangle $T(p, q, r)$ with $m_1 \neq m_2$. Therefore, since X is a linear space, it follows that m_2 is an algebraic ultra-extreme point of $M = T(p, q, r) \cup T(p, q, m_2) \cup T(p, r, m_2) \cup T(q, r, m_2)$. However, since none of the values $\sigma(p, q, m_2)$, $\sigma(p, r, m_2)$ and $\sigma(q, r, m_2)$ are zero, m_2 is not a 2-metric ultra-extreme point.

This leads naturally to the following theorem:

THEOREM 3.5. *In a strictly 2-convex linear 2-normed space $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$, a point of a set M is an algebraic ultra-extreme point of M if and only if it is a 2-metric ultra-extreme point of M .*

Proof. Since every 2-metric ultra-extreme point is an algebraic ultra-extreme point, it suffices to show that every algebraic ultra-extreme point p of M is a 2-metric ultra-extreme point. By Theorems 2.3 and 2.4, we know that in a strictly 2-convex linear 2-normed space, a point

is an algebraic between point if and only if it is a 2-metric between point. Therefore, p is a 2-metric ultra-extreme point of M . This completes the proof.

Theorem 3.5 gives directly the following:

COROLLARY 3.6. *In a strictly 2-convex linear 2-normed space $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$, a point x is an algebraic extreme point of a set M if and only if it is a 2-metric extreme point of M .*

Furthermore, since the equivalence of algebraic and 2-metric interior points is equivalent to strict convexity and strict 2-convexity ([8], [16]), the equivalence of algebraic and 2-metric extreme points implies strict 2-convexity and thus we have shown the following:

THEOREM 3.7. *In a linear 2-normed space $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$, the set of algebraic extreme points of a set M is identical to the set of 2-metric extreme points if and only if $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is strictly 2-convex.*

Note that the circle in the euclidean plane illustrates the fact that there exists a set M in a strictly convex linear 2-normed space $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ with an infinite number of both 2-metric and algebraic ultra-extreme points. Also the set of all points (x, y) in the euclidean plane such that $1 \leq x \leq 2$ is a closed set M which has no ultra-extreme points.

THEOREM 3.8. *In a strictly 2-convex linear 2-normed space $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$, the set of algebraic ultra-extreme points of a set M is identical to the set of 2-metric ultra-extreme points of M .*

Proof. By Theorem 3.2, since every 2-metric ultra-extreme point is an algebraic ultra-extreme point, it suffices to show that every algebraic ultra-extreme point of a set M is a 2-metric extreme point. Furthermore, by Theorem 3.3, if p is an algebraic ultra-extreme point and a 2-metric extreme point of a set M , then p is a 2-metric ultra-extreme point. Therefore, suppose that p is an algebraic extreme point but neither a 2-metric ultra-extreme point nor a 2-metric extreme point. Then there exist three points x, y, z in M such that $\sigma(x, y, z) = \sigma(x, y, p) + \sigma(x, z, p) + \sigma(y, z, p)$ but p is not in $T(x, y, z)$. Thus p is a 2-metric between point of x, y, z but not an algebraic between point of x, y, z . Therefore, by Theorems 2.2 and 2.3, $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is not strictly 2-convex, which is a contradiction. This completes the proof.

THEOREM 3.9. *In a linear 2-normed space $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$, if the set of algebraic ultra-extreme points of a set M is identical to the set of 2-metric ultra-extreme points of M , then a linear 2-normed space $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is strictly 2-convex.*

Proof. Suppose that $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is not strictly 2-convex. Then, by Theorem 2.1, there exist a point p and a triple x, y, z in X such that p is a 2-metric center of x, y, z but p is not the algebraic center of x, y, z . Then the set $M = T(x, y, p) \cup T(x, z, p) \cup T(y, z, p) \cup T(x, y, z)$ has p as an algebraic ultra-extreme point but not a 2-metric extreme point. This contradiction proves this theorem. This completes the proof.

By Theorems 3.8 and 3.9, we have the following:

COROLLARY 3.10. *In a linear 2-normed space $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$, the set of algebraic ultra-extreme points of a set M is identical to the set of 2-metric ultra-extreme points of M if and only if the set of algebraic extreme points of a set M is identical to the set of 2-metric extreme points of M .*

References

1. E.Z. Andalafte and R. W. Freese, *Existence of 2-segments in 2-metric spaces*, Fund. Math. LX(1967), 201–208.
2. Y.J. Cho, K.S. Ha and W.S. Kim, *Strictly convex linear 2-normed spaces*, Math. Japon. 26 (1981), 495–498.
3. Y.J. Cho, B.H. Park and K.S. Park, *Strictly 2-convex linear 2-normed spaces*, Math. Japon. 27 (1982), 609–612.
4. C. Diminnie, S. Gähler and A. White, *2-inner product spaces*, Demonstratio Math. 6 (1973), 525–536.
5. ———, *Strictly convex linear 2-normed spaces*, Math. Nachr. 59 (1974), 319–324.
6. ———, *Remarks on generalizations of 2-inner products*, Math. Nachr. 74 (1976), 363–372.
7. ———, *2-inner product spaces II*, Demonstratio Math. 10 (1977), 169–188.
8. ———, *Remarks on strictly and strictly 2-convex 2-normed spaces*, Math. Nachr. 88 (1979), 363–372.
9. ———, *Non-expansive mappings in linear 2-normed spaces*, Math. Japon. 21 (1976), 197–200.
10. C. Diminnie and A. White, *Some geometric remarks concerning strictly 2-convex 2-normed spaces*, Math. Seminar Notes, Kobe Univ., 6 (1978), 245–253.
11. ———, *A characterization of strictly convex 2-normed spaces*, J. Korean Math. Soc. 11 (1984), 53–59.

12. ———, *2-norms generated by seminorms on the space of bivectors*, Math. Nachr. **106** (1982), 341–346.
13. R. Ehret, *Linear 2-normed spaces*, Doctoral Diss., Saint Louis Univ., 1969.
14. I. Franic, *Two results in 2-normed spaces*, Glasnik Mat. **17** (1982), 271–275.
15. R.W. Freese, and E.Z. Andalafte, *A characterization of 2-betweenness in 2-metric spaces*, Canad. J. Math. **18** (1966), 936–968.
16. R.W. Freese and S. Gähler, *Remarks on semi-2-normed spaces*, Math. Nachr. **105** (1982), 151–161.
17. R.W. Freese and Y.J. Cho, *A characterization of linear 2-normed spaces*, preprint.
18. S. Gähler, *2-metrische Räume und ihr topologische Struktur*, Math. Nachr. **26** (1963–64), 115–148.
19. ———, *Linear 2-normierte Räume*, Math. Nachr. **28** (1965), 1–45.
20. ———, *Zur geometrische 2-metrische Räume*, Revue Roumaine de Math. Pures et Appliquées XL(1966), 664–669.
21. ———, *Über 2-Banach Räume*, Math. Nachr. **42** (1969), 335–347.
22. K.E. Grant, *Axioms for n-metric structures*, Canad. J. Math. **25** (1973), 26–30.
23. K.S. Ha, Y.J. Cho and A. White, *Strictly convex and strictly 2-convex 2-normed spaces*, Math. Japon. **33** (1988), 375–384.
24. K.S. Ha, Y.J. Cho, S.S. Kim and M.S. Khan, *Strictly convex linear 2-normed spaces*, Math. Nachr. **146** (1990), 7–16.
25. Y. Ho and A. White, *A note on p-semi-inner product spaces*, Glasnik Mat. **42** (1989), 365–370.
26. K. Iseki, *On nonexpansive mappings in strictly convex linear 2-normed spaces*, Math. Seminar Notes, Kobe Univ., **3** (1975), 125–129.
27. G. Murphy, *Lines in a planar space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **19** (1968), 1106–1108.
28. M. Newton, *Uniform and strict convexity in linear 2-normed spaces*, Doctoral Diss., Saint Louis Univ., 1979.
29. A. White, *2-Banach spaces*, Math. Nachr. **42** (1969), 44–60.
30. ———, *A new characterization of strict convexity*, Math. Seminar Notes, Kobe Univ., **10** (1982), 619–620.
31. A. White and Y.J. Cho, *Linear mappings on linear 2-normed spaces*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **21** (1984), 1–6.

Department of Mathematics
Saint Louis University
St. Louis, MO 63103, U.S.A.

Department of Mathematics
Gyeongsang National University
Jinju 660–701, Korea

Department of Mathematics
Donggeui University
Pusan 614-714, Korea