

POINTWISE DECAY ESTIMATES OF SOLUTIONS OF THE GENERALIZED ROSENAU EQUATION

MI AI PARK*

1. Introduction

Consider the following initial-value problem for the generalized Rosenau equation ([5], [6]):

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} u_t + u_x + F(u)_x + u_{xxxxt} &= 0, & x \in \mathbf{R}, t > 0 \\ u(x, 0) &= \varphi(x), & x \in \mathbf{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $F(u) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{c_i}{p_i + 1} u^{p_i+1}$ ($c_i \in \mathbf{R}$, $p_i > 0$ integers). It is of interest to investigate the behavior of solutions of the initial value problem (1.1). It has been proved in ([5], Chapter I) that (1.1) possesses global solutions for initial data $\varphi \in H_0^4(\mathbf{R})$.

The purpose of this paper is to prove Theorem 1 below, which says that solutions with small initial data φ decay like $t^{-1/5}$ in the supremum norm (if each $p_i \geq 6$). This is an analogue of Albert's result ([2]) on the L^∞ decay of solutions of the generalized Benjamin-Bona-Mahony equation.

2. Statement and proof of the main result

For $1 \leq p < \infty$, the symbol L^p will denote the space of Lebesgue measurable functions $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ with the norm

$$\|f\|_{L^p} = \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p};$$

while L^∞ denotes the space of measurable functions such that $\|f\|_{L^\infty} = \text{esssup}_{x \in \mathbf{R}} |f(x)|$ is finite.

Received July 18, 1991.

* Her current address is Dobong P.O.Box 64, Seoul 132-600, Korea.

The Fourier transform is defined, for smooth functions $f(x)$ with compact support, by

$$\widehat{f}(k) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx} f(x) dx, \quad k \in \mathbf{R}.$$

The definition is extended by continuity to the space of tempered distributions on \mathbf{R} , and the Sobolev space H^s ($s \in \mathbf{R}$) is defined to be the subspace of tempered distributions f such that $\widehat{f}(k)$ is a function and the norm $\|f\|_{H^s} = (\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1 + |k|^2)^s |\widehat{f}(k)|^2 dk)^{1/2}$ is finite. (See [4], [8] or [9].)

THEOREM 1. *Suppose $p^* = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} p_i \geq 6$. Then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $\|\varphi\|_{L^1} + \|\varphi'\|_{L^1} + \|\varphi\|_{H^4} < \delta$, then the unique solution $u(x, t)$ of (1.1) satisfies*

$$|u(x, t)| \leq C(1 + t)^{-1/5}$$

for all $t > 0$ and $x \in \mathbf{R}$, where the constant C does not depend on x or t .

The proof of Theorem 1 uses the estimate stated in Proposition 1 below for solutions of the linearized problem

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} u_t + u_x + u_{xxxxt} &= 0 \\ u(x, 0) &= \varphi(x). \end{aligned}$$

This is the linearization of (1.1) about the solution $v \equiv 0$. Zero is a solution since $F(0) = F'(0) = 0$.

Using the Fourier transformation method, one finds that the solution of (2.1) is given by

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ih_\alpha(k)t} \widehat{\varphi}(k) dk,$$

where

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{cases} h_\alpha(k) = g(k) - \alpha k \\ g(k) = \frac{k}{1+k^4} \quad \text{and} \\ \alpha = \frac{x}{t}. \end{cases}$$

PROPOSITION 1. *There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$|\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ih_{\alpha}(k)t} \widehat{\varphi}(k) dk| \leq C(\|\varphi\|_{L^1} + \|\varphi\|_{H^3})(1+t)^{-1/5}$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ and $t > 0$.

We first prove Theorem 1, assuming Proposition 1. Let $S_t\varphi(x)$ denote the solution $u(x, t)$ of the linearized problem (2.1). Then solutions of the inhomogeneous equation

$$w_t + w_x + w_{xxxxt} = (G(x, t))_x$$

may easily be seen to satisfy the variation-of-parameters formula

$$w(x, t) = S_t(w(x, 0)) + \int_0^t S_{(t-\zeta)}(\mathcal{K} * G(x, \zeta))d\zeta,$$

where $\mathcal{K}(x) = \frac{\pi i}{2}(e^{-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}|x| + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}ix} + e^{-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}|x| - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}ix})$ and $*$ denotes convolution with respect to the x variable.

Hence the solution of (1.1) satisfies the integral equation

$$(2.3) \quad u(x, t) = S_t\varphi - \int_0^t S_{(t-\tau)}(\mathcal{K} * F(u))d\tau.$$

Moreover, differentiating (1.1) yields

$$(u_x)_t + (u_x)_x + (u_x)_{xxxxt} = -(F'(u)u_x)_x$$

and $v = u_x$ satisfies

$$(2.4) \quad v(x, t) = S_t(\varphi') - \int_0^t S_{(t-\tau)}(\mathcal{K} * F'(u)v)d\tau.$$

Now define

$$q(t) = \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \{(\|u(\tau)\|_{L^\infty} + \|u_x(\tau)\|_{L^\infty})(1 + \tau)^{1/5} + \|u\|_{H^3}\}.$$

It will be shown that

$$(2.5) \quad q(t) \leq C(\|\varphi\|_{L^1} + \|\varphi'\|_{L^1} + \|\varphi\|_{H^4} + \sum_{i=1}^n q(t)^{p_i+1}).$$

We will make use of the two estimates

$$(2.6) \quad \|\mathcal{K} * \psi\|_{L^1} \leq \|\mathcal{K}\|_{L^1} \|\psi\|_{L^1} \leq C\|\psi\|_{L^1},$$

$$(2.7) \quad \|\mathcal{K} * \psi\|_{H^s} \leq C\|\psi\|_{H^s}.$$

Inequality (2.6) is a standard one. To show (2.7), we have, for all $s \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{K} * \psi\|_{H^s} &= \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1+k^2)^s (\widehat{\mathcal{K} * \psi})^2 dk \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1+k^2)^s (\widehat{\mathcal{K}} \widehat{\psi})^2 dk \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1+k^2)^s \left(\frac{ik}{k^4+1} \right)^2 (\widehat{\psi})^2 dk \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1+k^2)^s \left(\frac{k}{k^4+1} \right)^2 |\widehat{\psi}|^2 dk \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1+k^2)^s |\widehat{\psi}|^2 dk \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \|\psi\|_{H^s}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the proof of (2.7) is complete.

Now, from (2.6) and Proposition 1 one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|_{L^\infty} &\leq C(\|\varphi'\|_{L^1} + \|\varphi'\|_{H^3})(1+t)^{-1/5} \\ &\quad + \int_0^t (\|\mathcal{K} * (F'(u)v)(\tau)\|_{L^1} \\ &\quad + \|\mathcal{K} * (F'(u)v)(\tau)\|_{H^3})(1+(t-\tau))^{-1/5} d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|\mathcal{K} * (F'(u)v)(\tau)\|_{L^1} \leq C\|(F'(u)v)(\tau)\|_{L^1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n u^{p_i-1}(\tau) \right\|_{L^\infty} \|u(\tau)v(\tau)\|_{L^1} \\ &\leq C \sum_{i=1}^n \|u(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}^{p_i-1} \|u(\tau)\|_{L^2} \|v(\tau)\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C \sum_{i=1}^n q(t)^{p_i+1} (1+\tau)^{\frac{1-p_i}{5}}. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality is from the following estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} q(t) &\geq (\|u(\tau)\|_{L^\infty} + \|u_x(\tau)\|_{L^\infty})(1+\tau)^{1/5} + \|u\|_{H^3} \\ &\geq (\|u(\tau)\|_{L^\infty} + \|u_x(\tau)\|_{L^\infty})(1+\tau)^{1/5} \\ q(t)(1+\tau)^{-1/5} &\geq \|u(\tau)\|_{L^\infty} + \|u_x(\tau)\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\geq \|u(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}, \end{aligned}$$

so $\|u(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}^{p_i-1} \leq q(t)^{p_i-1} (1+\tau)^{\frac{1-p_i}{5}}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} 2\|u(\tau)\|_{L^2} \|v(\tau)\|_{L^2} &\leq \|u(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|v(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 = \|u(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u_x(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq \|u(\tau)\|_{H^3}^2 \leq q(t)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{K} * (F'(u)v)\|_{H^3} &\leq C \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n u^{p_i}(\tau)v(\tau) \right\|_{H^3} = C \sum_{i=1}^n \|u^{p_i}(\tau)u_x(\tau)\|_{H^3} \\ &\leq C \sum_{i=1}^n \{ \|u^{p_i-3}(\tau)(u_x(\tau))^4\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + \|u^{p_i-2}(\tau)(u_x(\tau))^2 u_{xx}(\tau)\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + \|u^{p_i-1}(\tau)(u_{xx}(\tau))^2\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + \|u^{p_i-1}(\tau)u_x(\tau)u_{xxx}(\tau)\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + \|u^{p_i}(\tau)u_{xxxx}(\tau)\|_{L^2} + \|u^{p_i}(\tau)u_x(\tau)\|_{L^2} \} \\ &\leq C \sum_{i=1}^n \{ \|u(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}^{p_i-3} \|u_x(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}^3 \|u_x(\tau)\|_{L^2} \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \|u(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}^{p_i-2} \|u_x(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u_{xx}(\tau)\|_{L^2} \\
 & + \|u(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}^{p_i-1} \|u_{xx}(\tau)\|_{L^\infty} \|u_{xx}(\tau)\|_{L^2} \\
 & + \|u(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}^{p_i-1} \|u_x(\tau)\|_{L^\infty} \|u_{xxx}(\tau)\|_{L^2} \\
 & + \|u(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}^{p_i} \|u_{xxxx}(\tau)\|_{L^2} + \|u(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}^{p_i} \|u_\tau(\tau)\|_{L^2} \} \\
 & \leq C \sum_{i=1}^n (q(t))^{p_i+1} (1+\tau)^{\frac{1-p_i}{5}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\frac{1-p_i}{5}} (1+(t-\tau))^{-\frac{1}{5}} d\tau \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{5}}$$

for $p^* = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} p_i \geq 6$, since (letting $*_t$ denote convolution on $[0, t]$)

$$\int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\frac{1-p_i}{5}} (1+(t-\tau))^{-\frac{1}{5}} d\tau = ((1+\tau)^{-\frac{1}{5}})_t * ((1+\tau)^{\frac{1-p_i}{5}})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.8) \quad & \| (1+\tau)^{\frac{1}{5}} *_t (1+\tau)^{\frac{1-p_i}{5}} \|_{L^\infty[0,t]} \\
 & \leq \| (1+\tau)^{-\frac{1}{5}} \|_{L^2[0,t]} \| (1+\tau)^{\frac{1-p_i}{5}} \|_{L^2[0,t]} \\
 & = \left(\int_0^t (1+\tau)^{-\frac{2}{5}} d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\frac{2(1-p_i)}{5}} d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & \leq C(1+t)^{\frac{3}{10}} (1+t)^{\frac{7-2p_i}{10}} \\
 & \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{5}} \quad \text{for } p^* \geq 6.
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(2.9) \quad (1+t)^{\frac{1}{5}} \|v\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \{ \|\varphi'\|_{L^1} + \|\varphi\|_{H^4} + \sum_{i=1}^n q(t)^{p_i+1} \}$$

Next, from (2.3) one has

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(\|\varphi\|_{L^1} + \|\varphi\|_{H^3})(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{5}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_0^t (\|(\mathcal{K} * F(u))(\tau)\|_{L^1} \\
& + \|(\mathcal{K} * F(u))(\tau)\|_{H^3}) (1 + (t - \tau))^{-\frac{1}{5}} d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(\mathcal{K} * F(u))(\tau)\|_{L^1} & \leq C \|F(u)(\tau)\|_{L^1} \\
& \leq C \sum_{i=1}^n \|u(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}^{p_i-1} \|u(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 \\
& \leq C \sum_{i=1}^n (q(t))^{p_i+1} (1 + \tau)^{\frac{1-p_i}{5}}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(\mathcal{K} * F(u))(\tau)\|_{H^3} & \leq C \sum_{i=1}^n \|u^{p_i+1}(\tau)\|_{H^3} \\
& \leq C \sum_{i=1}^n \{ \|u^{p_i-2}(\tau) (u_x)^3(\tau)\|_{L^2} \\
& \quad + \|u^{p_i-1}(\tau) u_x(\tau) u_{xx}(\tau)\|_{L^2} \\
& \quad + \|u^{p_i}(\tau) u_{xxx}(\tau)\|_{L^2} + \|u^{p_i+1}(\tau)\|_{L^2} \} \\
& \leq C \sum_{i=1}^n \{ \|u(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}^{p_i-2} \|u_x(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u_x(\tau)\|_{L^2} \\
& \quad + \|u(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}^{p_i-1} \|u_x(\tau)\|_{L^\infty} \|u_{xx}(\tau)\|_{L^2} \\
& \quad + \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{p_i} \|u_{xxx}\|_{L^2} + \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{p_i} \|u\|_{L^2} \} \\
& \leq C \sum_{i=1}^n (q(t))^{p_i+1} (1 + \tau)^{\frac{1-p_i}{5}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Again, for $p^* \geq 6$ it follows that

$$(2.10) \quad (1 + t)^{\frac{1}{5}} \|u\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \{ \|\varphi\|_{L^1} + \|\varphi\|_{H^3} + \sum_{i=1}^n (q(t))^{p_i+1} \}.$$

Finally, from (2.3) one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.11) \quad \|u\|_{H^3} &\leq \|S_t \varphi\|_{H^3} + \int_0^t \|S_{(t-\tau)}(\mathcal{K} * F(u))\|_{H^3} d\tau \\
 &\leq C(\|\varphi\|_{H^3} + \int_0^t \|\mathcal{K} * F(u)\|_{H^3} d\tau) \\
 &\leq C(\|\varphi\|_{H^3} + \int_0^t \|F(u)\|_{H^3} d\tau) \\
 &\leq C(\|\varphi\|_{H^3} + (\sum_{i=1}^n (q(t))^{p_i+1} \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\frac{1-p_i}{5}} d\tau)) \\
 &\leq C(\|\varphi\|_{H^4} + \sum_{i=1}^n (q(t))^{p_i+1}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Adding (2.9), (2.10) and (2.11) gives (2.5).

Choose a number $\eta > 0$ such that $\eta > C \sum_{i=1}^n \eta^{p_i+1}$, where C is the same constant appearing in (2.5). Choose $\delta > 0$ such that if $(\|\varphi\|_{L^1} + \|\varphi'\|_{L^1} + \|\varphi\|_{H^4}) < \delta$ then $q(0) < \eta$ and

$$(2.12) \quad \eta > C\{\|\varphi\|_{L^1} + \|\varphi'\|_{L^1} + \|\varphi\|_{H^4} + \sum_{i=1}^n \eta^{p_i+1}\}$$

Then $\|\varphi\|_{L^1} + \|\varphi'\|_{L^1} + \|\varphi\|_{H^4} < \delta$ must imply $q(t) < \eta$ for all $t \geq 0$. For otherwise, by the continuity of $q(t)$, we would have $q(t) = \eta$ for some t , and (2.12) would contradict (2.5).

3. Estimate for the linearized equation

We need Lemmas 1-7 to prove Proposition 1.

The following four lemmas hold under the stated hypotheses. That is, in Lemmas 1-4, g can be any function and $h_\alpha(k) = g(k) - \alpha k$, subject to the stated hypotheses. Beginning with Lemma 5 the particular choice of g given by (2.2) will be used. See [2] for proofs of Lemmas 1-3.

LEMMA 1. Suppose $h'_\alpha(k)$ and $h''_\alpha(k)$ do not vanish for $a \leq k \leq b$. Then

$$\left| \int_a^b e^{ith_\alpha(k)} dk \right| \leq \frac{2}{t} \left[\frac{1}{|h'_\alpha(a)|} + \frac{1}{|h'_\alpha(b)|} \right]$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ and $t \geq 1$.

LEMMA 2. Suppose $g''(k) \neq 0$ for $k_1 \leq k \leq k_2$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\left| \int_{k_1}^{k_2} e^{ih_\alpha(k)} dk \right| \leq Ct^{-1/2}$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$, $t \geq 1$.

LEMMA 3. Suppose $g''(k_1) = 0$, $g'''(k_1) \neq 0$ and $g''(k) \neq 0$ for $k_1 < k \leq k_2$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\left| \int_{k_1}^{k_2} e^{ih_\alpha(k)t} dk \right| \leq Ct^{-1/3}$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$, $t \geq 1$. The same result holds if $g''(k_2) = 0$, $g'''(k_2) \neq 0$ and $g''(k) \neq 0$ for $k_1 \leq k < k_2$.

LEMMA 4. Suppose $g''(k_1) = g'''(k_1) = g^{(iv)}(k_1) = 0$, $g^{(v)}(k_1) \neq 0$ and $g''(k) \neq 0$ for $k_1 < k \leq k_2$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\left| \int_{k_1}^{k_2} e^{ih_\alpha(k)t} dk \right| \leq Ct^{-1/5}$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$, $t \geq 1$. The same result holds if $g''(k_2) = g'''(k_2) = g^{(iv)}(k_2) = 0$, $g^{(v)}(k_2) \neq 0$ and $g''(k) \neq 0$ for $k_1 \leq k < k_2$.

Proof. We may assume that $g''(k) > 0$ on $(k_1, k_2]$ and that $g^{(v)}(k_1) \neq 0$. The other cases are similar. Define $\alpha_i = g'(k_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$; and for any $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$, define $k_\alpha \in [k_1, k_2]$ by

$$\begin{aligned} k_\alpha &= k_1, & \text{if } \alpha &\leq k_1 \\ h'_\alpha(k_\alpha) &= 0 & \text{if } \alpha_1 &\leq \alpha \leq \alpha_2 \\ k_\alpha &= k_2 & \text{if } \alpha &\geq \alpha_2. \end{aligned}$$

If $\alpha \in [\alpha_1, \alpha_2]$, then $h'_\alpha(k_\alpha) = 0$, and the Taylor expansion of $h'_\alpha(k)$ about $k = k_\alpha$ is

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1) \quad h'_\alpha(k) &= g''(k_\alpha)(k - k_\alpha) + \frac{g'''(k_\alpha)}{2}(k - k_\alpha)^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{g^{(iv)}(k_\alpha)}{6}(k - k_\alpha)^3 + \frac{g^{(v)}(k_\alpha)}{24}(k - k_\alpha)^4 \\ &\quad + O((k - k_\alpha)^5). \end{aligned}$$

Now expand $g''(k_\alpha), g'''(k_\alpha), g^{(iv)}(k_\alpha)$ and $g^{(v)}(k_\alpha)$ about $k_\alpha = k_1$ to obtain

$$(3.2) \quad g''(k_\alpha) = \frac{g^{(v)}(k_1)}{6}(k_\alpha - k_1)^3 + O((k_\alpha - k_1)^4)$$

$$(3.3) \quad g'''(k_\alpha) = \frac{g^{(v)}(k_1)}{6}(k_\alpha - k_1)^2 + O((k_\alpha - k_1)^3)$$

$$(3.4) \quad g^{(iv)}(k_\alpha) = g^{(v)}(k_1)(k_\alpha - k_1) + O((k_\alpha - k_1)^2)$$

$$(3.5) \quad g^{(v)}(k_\alpha) = g^{(v)}(k_1) + O(k_\alpha - k_1)$$

and substitute (3.2)-(3.5) into (3.1). The result is

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} h'_\alpha(k) &= \frac{g^{(v)}(k_1)}{6}(k_\alpha - k_1)^3(k - k_\alpha) \\ &\quad + \frac{g^{(v)}(k_1)}{4}(k_\alpha - k_1)^2(k - k_\alpha)^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{g^{(v)}(k_1)}{6}(k_\alpha - k_1)(k - k_\alpha)^3 \\ &\quad + \frac{g^{(v)}(k_1)}{24}(k - k_\alpha)^4 + \mathcal{R} \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{R} is of fifth order in $(k_\alpha - k_1)$ and $(k - k_\alpha)$. Since $(k_\alpha - k_1) \rightarrow 0$ and $(k - k_\alpha) \rightarrow 0$ as $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_1$ and $k \rightarrow k_1$, it follows from (3.6) that there exist $\alpha_3 > \alpha_1$ and $k_3 = k_{\alpha_3} > k_1$ such that for all $\alpha \in [\alpha_1, \alpha_3]$ and $k \in [k_1, k_3]$,

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} |h'_\alpha(k)| &\geq C(|k_\alpha - k_1|^3|k - k_\alpha| + |k_\alpha - k_1|^2|k - k_\alpha|^2) \\ &\quad \text{if } |k - k_\alpha| \leq |k_\alpha - k_1| \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} |h'_\alpha(k)| &\geq C(|k_\alpha - k_1||k - k_\alpha|^3 + |k - k_\alpha|^4) \\ &\quad \text{if } |k - k_\alpha| \geq |k_\alpha - k_1| \end{aligned}$$

where C is independent of α . Moreover (3.7) and (3.8) also hold for $\alpha \in [\alpha_1 - \eta, \alpha_3 + \eta]$ for some $\eta > 0$, since $|h'_\alpha(k)| \geq \frac{1}{2}|h'_{\alpha_1}(k)|$ for

$\alpha \in [\alpha_1 - \eta, \alpha_1]$ and $|h'_\alpha(k)| \geq \frac{1}{2}|h'_{\alpha_3}(k)|$ for $\alpha \in [\alpha_3, \alpha_3 + \eta]$ for suitable $\eta > 0$.

By assumption, $g''(k) \neq 0$ on $[k_3, k_2]$ and so the desired estimate for $\int_{k_3}^{k_2} e^{ih_\alpha(k)t} dk$ follows from Lemma 2. Furthermore, if $\alpha \notin [\alpha_1 - \eta, \alpha_3 + \eta]$, then $|h'_\alpha(k)| \geq C$ for all $k \in [k_1, k_3]$, and hence the desired estimate for $\int_{k_1}^{k_3} e^{ih_\alpha(k)t} dk$ follows from Lemma 1. Therefore, it is enough to estimate $\int_{k_1}^{k_3} e^{ih_\alpha(k)t} dk$ when $\alpha \in [\alpha_1 - \eta, \alpha_3 + \eta]$, and we may assume henceforth that (3.7) and (3.8) are valid.

Define $\delta = |k_\alpha - k_1|$, and consider first $\int_{|k-k_\alpha| \leq \delta} e^{ih_\alpha(k)t} dk$. If $\delta \leq t^{-1/5}$, then this integral is majorized by $2t^{-1/5}$. If, on the other hand, $\delta \geq t^{-1/5}$, write

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{|k-k_\alpha| \leq \delta} e^{ih_\alpha(k)t} dk \right| &\leq \left| \int_{|k-k_\alpha| \leq t^{-1/5}} e^{ih_\alpha(k)t} dk \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_{t^{-1/5} \leq |k-k_\alpha| \leq \delta} e^{ih_\alpha(k)t} dk \right|. \end{aligned}$$

The first integral on the right-hand side is again majorized by $2t^{-1/5}$, while for the second integral, (3.7) and Lemma 1 yield

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{t^{-1/5} \leq |k-k_\alpha| \leq \delta} e^{ih_\alpha(k)t} dk \right| &\leq \frac{2}{t} \left[\frac{2}{C(\delta^3 t^{-1/5} + \delta^2 t^{-2/5})} \right] \\ &\leq Ct^{-1/5}. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to consider

$$\int_{\substack{k \in [k_1, k_3] \\ |k-k_\alpha| \geq \delta}} e^{ih_\alpha(k)t} dk = \int_{k_\alpha + \delta}^{k_3} e^{ih_\alpha(k)t} dk.$$

Again, look separately at the cases $\delta \geq t^{-1/5}$ and $\delta \leq t^{-1/5}$. In the first case, (3.8) and Lemma 1 imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{k_2 + \delta}^{k_3} e^{ih_\alpha(k)t} dk \right| &\leq \frac{2}{t} \left[\frac{2}{C\delta^4} \right] \\ &\leq Ct^{-1/5}. \end{aligned}$$

In the second case, write

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{k_\alpha + \delta}^{k_3} e^{ih_\alpha(k)t} dk \right| &\leq \left| \int_{k_\alpha + \delta}^{k_\alpha + t^{-1/5}} e^{ih_\alpha(k)t} dk \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_{k_\alpha + t^{-1/5}}^{k_3} e^{ih_\alpha(k)t} dk \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Then the first integral on the right-hand side is majorized by $t^{-1/5}$, while the desired estimate for the second integral is again obtained from (3.7) and Lemma 1. Thus the proof of Lemma 4 is complete.

Now we assume specifically that h_α is given by (2.2).

LEMMA 5. *There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\left| \int_{2 \leq |k| \leq t^{1/10}} e^{ih_\alpha(k)t} dk \right| \leq Ct^{-1/4}$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ and $t \geq 0$.

Proof. By symmetry, it is enough to prove the result for $\int_2^{t^{1/10}} e^{ih_\alpha(k)t} dk$. For $\alpha < 0$, define $k_\alpha \in [2, \infty)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} k_\alpha &= 2 && \text{if } \alpha \leq g'(2) \\ h'_\alpha(k_\alpha) &= 0 && \text{if } g'(2) < \alpha < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note first of all that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^4 |g'(k)| &= 3, & \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^5 |g''(k)| &= 12 \quad \text{and} \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^6 |g'''(k)| &= 60. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, there exists $C > 0$ such that for all $k \in [2, \infty)$,

$$|g'(k)| \leq \frac{C}{k^4}, \quad |g''(k)| \leq \frac{C}{k^5} \quad \text{and} \quad |g'''(k)| \leq \frac{C}{k^6}.$$

Next, let r be any number such that $0 < r < 1$ and $(1 - r)^4 > \frac{5}{6}$; and choose ρ so that $0 < \rho < 1$ and $\frac{1-\rho}{1+\rho} > \max[(1 - r)^4, \frac{5}{6(1-r)^4}]$. Then there exists $M > 0$ such that if $k \geq M$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (3.9) \quad 3(1 - \rho) &\leq k^4 |g'(k)| \leq 3(1 + \rho) \\ 12(1 - \rho) &\leq k^5 |g''(k)| \leq 12(1 + \rho) \\ 60(1 - \rho) &\leq k^6 |g'''(k)| \leq 60(1 + \rho) \end{aligned}$$

By the way how k_α is defined, $h'_\alpha(k_\alpha) = 0$ for $g'(2) < \alpha < 0$. So $\frac{1-3k_\alpha^4}{(1+k_\alpha^4)^2} - \alpha = 0$ and

$$k_\alpha^4 = \frac{-(2\alpha + 3) \pm \sqrt{(2\alpha + 3)^2 - 4\alpha(\alpha - 1)}}{2\alpha}.$$

Since $k_\alpha \geq 2$,

$$k_\alpha = \sqrt[4]{\frac{-(2\alpha + 3) - \sqrt{16\alpha - 9}}{2\alpha}} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0^-} k_\alpha = \infty.$$

Finally, $\eta > 0$ can be chosen so small that if $\alpha \in [-\eta, 0)$, then $k_\alpha > \frac{M}{1-r}$. Then the following estimates hold:

$$(3.10) \quad |h'_\alpha(k)| \geq \frac{C}{k_\alpha^5} |k - k_\alpha| \quad \text{if} \quad |k - k_\alpha| \leq rk_\alpha$$

$$(3.11) \quad |h'_\alpha(k)| \geq \frac{C}{k^4} \quad \text{if} \quad |k - k_\alpha| \geq rk_\alpha$$

where $C > 0$ is independent of α .

To prove (3.10), assume $|k - k_\alpha| < rk_\alpha$ and write $h'_\alpha(k) = g''(k_\alpha)(k - k_\alpha) + \frac{g'''(z)}{2}(k - k_\alpha)^2$ where $|z - k_\alpha| \leq |k - k_\alpha| \leq rk_\alpha$. From (3.9) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |h'_\alpha(k)| &\geq |g''(k_\alpha)| |k - k_\alpha| - \frac{|g'''(z)|}{2} (k - k_\alpha)^2 \\ &\geq \frac{12(1-\rho)}{k_\alpha^5} |k - k_\alpha| - \frac{60(1+\rho)}{2z^6} (k - k_\alpha)^2 \\ &\geq \frac{|k - k_\alpha|}{k_\alpha^5} \left\{ 12(1-\rho) - \frac{30(1+\rho)}{(1-r)^6 k_\alpha} |k - k_\alpha| \right\} \\ &\geq \frac{|k - k_\alpha|}{k_\alpha^5} \left\{ 12(1-\rho) - \frac{10(1+\rho)}{(1-r)^4} \cdot \frac{3r}{(1-r)^2} \right\} \\ &\geq \frac{|k - k_\alpha|}{k_\alpha^5} \left\{ 12(1-\rho) - \frac{10(1+\rho)}{(1-r)^4} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

since r satisfies $(1-r)^4 > \frac{5}{6}$, so $\frac{3r}{(1-r)^2} \leq 1$, and the constant in brackets is positive.

For (3.11), consider separately the cases $2 \leq k \leq M$, $M \leq k \leq k_\alpha(1-r)$ and $k_\alpha(1-r) \leq k$. Since $h'_\alpha(k) = \frac{1-3k^4}{(1+k^4)^2} - \alpha$ is bounded away from zero when $k \in [2, M]$ and $\alpha \in [-\eta, 0)$, (3.11) is obvious in the first case. In case $M \leq k \leq k_\alpha(1-r)$, it follows from (3.9) and the definition of ρ that

$$\begin{aligned} |h'_\alpha(k)| &= |h'_\alpha(k) - h'_\alpha(k_\alpha)| \geq |g'(k)| - |g'(k_\alpha)| \\ &\geq \frac{3(1-\rho)}{k^4} - \frac{3(1+\rho)}{k_\alpha^4} \\ &\geq \frac{3(1-\rho)}{k^4} - \frac{3(1+\rho)(1-r)^4}{k^4} \\ &\geq \frac{3((1-\rho) - (1+\rho)(1-r)^4)}{k^4} \\ &\geq \frac{C}{k^4}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, if $k_\alpha(1+r) \leq k$, we have, for some z in $[k_\alpha, k_\alpha(1+r)]$,

$$\begin{aligned} |h'_\alpha(k)| &\geq |h'_\alpha(k_\alpha(1+r))| = |h'_\alpha(k_\alpha(1+r)) - h'_\alpha(k_\alpha)| \\ &= |g''(z)|rk_\alpha \geq \left(\frac{C}{z^5}\right)rk_\alpha \geq \frac{C}{z^5}rz \geq \frac{C}{k^4}. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate $\int_2^{t^{1/10}} e^{ih_\alpha(k)t} dk$, first consider the case $t^{1/10} \leq k_\alpha(1-r)$. Then from (3.11) and Lemma 1, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_2^{t^{1/10}} e^{ih_\alpha(k)t} dk \right| &\leq \frac{2}{t} \left[\frac{1}{|h'_\alpha(2)|} + \frac{1}{|h'_\alpha(t^{1/10})|} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{2}{t} [C + Ct^{2/5}] \\ &\leq C(t^{-1} + t^{-3/5}) \\ &\leq Ct^{-3/5}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it suffices to consider the case

$$(3.12) \quad t^{1/10} \geq k_\alpha(1-r).$$

We write the integral to be estimated as

(3.13)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_2^{t^{1/10}} e^{ih_\alpha(k)t} dk \\ &= \int_{\substack{2 \leq k \leq t^{1/10} \\ |k-k_\alpha| \leq rk_\alpha}} e^{ih_\alpha(k)t} dk + \int_{\substack{2 \leq k \leq t^{1/10} \\ |k-k_\alpha| \geq rk_\alpha}} e^{ih_\alpha(k)t} dk. \end{aligned}$$

Again, using (3.11) and Lemma 1, the second integral on the right-hand side of (3.13) is dominated by

$$\frac{2}{t}[C + Ct^{2/5}] \leq Ct^{-3/5}.$$

Now, let $\lambda = (\frac{k_\alpha^5}{t})^{1/2}$ and write the first integral on the right-hand side of (3.13) as

(3.14)
$$\int_{|k-k_\alpha| \leq \lambda} e^{ih_\alpha(k)t} dk + \int_{\lambda \leq |k-k_\alpha| \leq rk_\alpha} e^{ih_\alpha(k)t} dk.$$

The first integral in (3.14) is dominated by 2λ , whereas for the second integral, (3.10) and Lemma 1 give

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\lambda \leq |k-k_\alpha| \leq rk_\alpha} e^{ih_\alpha(k)t} dk \right| &\leq \frac{C}{t} \left[\frac{1}{\lambda/k_\alpha^5} \right] = \frac{C}{t} \frac{(k_\alpha)^5}{(k_\alpha^5/t)^{1/2}} \\ &= C(k_\alpha^5/t)^{1/2} = C\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it is seen that (3.14) is dominated by $C\lambda$. However, from (3.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda = \left(\frac{k_\alpha^5}{t}\right)^{1/2} &\leq C \left(\frac{(t^{1/10})^5}{t}\right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq Ct^{-1/4}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it shown that

$$\left| \int_2^{t^{1/10}} e^{ih_\alpha(k)t} dk \right| \leq Ct^{-1/4}$$

and the proof of Lemma 5 is complete.

LEMMA 6. *There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $|\int_{|k| \leq t^{1/10}} e^{ih_\alpha(k)t} dk| \leq Ct^{-1/5}$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$, $t \geq 1$.*

Proof. Since $g''(\pm\sqrt[4]{\frac{5}{3}}) = 0$, $g'''(\pm\sqrt[4]{\frac{5}{3}}) \neq 0$ and $g''(k) \neq 0$ for $-2 \leq k < -\sqrt[4]{\frac{5}{3}}$, $-\sqrt[4]{\frac{5}{3}} < k \leq -1$, $1 \leq k < \sqrt[4]{\frac{5}{3}}$ and $\sqrt[4]{\frac{5}{3}} < k \leq 2$, we apply Lemma 3 to the integral of $e^{ih_\alpha(k)t}$ over the intervals $[-2, -\sqrt[4]{\frac{5}{3}}]$, $[-\sqrt[4]{\frac{5}{3}}, -1]$, $[1, \sqrt[4]{\frac{5}{3}}]$ and $[\sqrt[4]{\frac{5}{3}}, 2]$ and since $g''(0) = g'''(0) = g^{(iv)}(0) = 0$, $g^{(v)}(0) \neq 0$ and $g''(k) \neq 0$ for $-1 \leq k < 0$ and $0 < k \leq 1$, apply Lemma 4 to the integral of $e^{ih_\alpha(k)t}$ over the intervals $[-1, 0]$ and $[0, 1]$ and apply Lemma 5 on the intervals $[-t^{1/10}, -2]$ and $[2, t^{1/10}]$.

LEMMA 7. *There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$|\int_{|k| \leq t^{1/10}} e^{ih_\alpha(k)t} \widehat{\varphi}(k) dk| \leq C \|\varphi\|_{H^3} t^{-1/5}$$

for all $\varphi \in H^3$, $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ and $t \geq 1$.

Proof. For $k > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\int_{t^{1/10}}^\infty e^{ih_\alpha(k)t} \widehat{\varphi}(k) dk| \\ & \leq \int_{t^{1/10}}^\infty |\widehat{\varphi}(k)| dk \\ & \leq (\int_{t^{1/10}}^\infty k^6 |\widehat{\varphi}(k)|^2 dk)^{1/2} (\int_{t^{1/10}}^\infty k^{-6} dk)^{1/2} \\ & \leq (\int_{t^{1/10}}^\infty (1+k^2)^3 |\widehat{\varphi}(k)|^2 dk)^{1/2} ([-\frac{1}{5}k^{-5}]_{t^{1/10}}^\infty)^{1/2} \\ & \leq C \|\varphi\|_{H^3} t^{-1/5}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we prove Proposition 1.

Proof of Proposition 1. For $0 < t \leq 1$, we have

$$|\int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{ih_\alpha(k)t} \widehat{\varphi}(k) dk|$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\widehat{\varphi}(k)| dk \\ &\leq \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1 + |k|^2)^2 |\widehat{\varphi}(k)|^2 dk \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1 + |k|^2)^2} dk \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C \|\varphi\|_{H^2} \leq C(\|\varphi\|_{L^1} + \|\varphi\|_{H^3}). \end{aligned}$$

It suffices to consider the case $t > 1$.

Let $q(k, t) = e^{i(\frac{k}{k^4+1})t} \chi_{\{|k| \leq t^{1/10}\}}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ih_\alpha(k)t} \widehat{\varphi}(k) dk \right| &= \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(\frac{k}{1+k^4} - \frac{\pi}{t}k)t} \widehat{\varphi}(k) dk \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ikx} q(k, t) \widehat{\varphi}(k) dk \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{|k| \geq t^{1/10}} e^{ih_\alpha(k)t} \widehat{\varphi}(k) dk \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ikx} (\check{q}(x, t) * \varphi(x))^\wedge dk \right| \\ &\quad + C \|\varphi\|_{H^3} t^{-1/5} \quad \text{by Lemma 7,} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|\check{q}(x, t) * \varphi(x)\|_{L^\infty} + C \|\varphi\|_{H^3} t^{-1/5}. \end{aligned}$$

However, Lemma 6 asserts that

$$\|\check{q}(x, t)\|_{L^\infty} = \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ikx} e^{i(\frac{k}{k^4+1})t} dk \right| \leq Ct^{-1/5}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\check{q}(x, t) * \varphi(x)\|_{L^\infty} &\leq \|\check{q}(x, t)\|_{L^\infty} \|\varphi\|_{L^1} \\ &\leq C \|\varphi\|_{L^1} t^{-1/5}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus it is proved that

$$\left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ih_\alpha(k)t} \widehat{\varphi}(k) dk \right| \leq C(\|\varphi\|_{L^1} + \|\varphi\|_{H^3}) t^{-1/5}.$$

Since $t \geq 1$, one has $t^{-1/5} \leq C(1 + t)^{-1/5}$ for $C > 2$ and the proof of Proposition 1 is complete.

REMARK 1. The assumption that $\min_{1 \leq i < n} p_i \geq 6$ in Theorem 1 cannot be lessened, because we want $(1+t)^{1-\frac{p_i}{5}} \leq (1+t)^{-1/5}$ in (2.8), so $1 - \frac{p_i}{5} \leq -\frac{1}{5}$, that is, $p_i \geq 6$ for each i . $(1+t)^{-1/5}$ in the inequality is from Proposition 1.

REMARK 2. The estimate $\|u(\cdot, t)\| \leq C(1+t)^{-1/5}$ is basically from Proposition 1. Proposition 1 follows from Lemma 1-7. To find the best estimate in the proof of Lemma 4(p.269), set $\delta \leq t^\beta$, then $\int_{|k-k_\alpha| \leq \delta} e^{ih_\alpha(k)t} dk$ is majorized by 2δ . When $\delta \geq t^\beta$, $|\int_{|k-k_\alpha| \leq \delta} e^{ih_\alpha(k)t} dk| \leq 2t^\beta + 4t^{-1-4\beta} \leq 8t^\sigma$, $\sigma = \max\{\beta, -1, -4\beta\}$. We minimize the estimate on the right hand side by choosing $\beta = -\frac{1}{5}$. The same estimate holds for $|\int_{k_2+\delta}^{k_3} e^{ih_\alpha(k)t} dk|$. Therefore $\beta = -\frac{1}{5}$ can not be decreased.

4. Example**

$u(x, t) = v(x - kt)$, where $v(y) = \operatorname{sech} y = \frac{1}{\cosh y}$ satisfies

$$cu_t + au_x + \sum_{i=1}^2 b_i u^{p_i} u_x + u_{xxxxt} = 0$$

where $c \neq -1$, $k = \frac{a}{c+1}$, $p_1 = 2$, $p_2 = 4$, $b_1 = -60k$ and $b_2 = 120k$. In particular, $u(x, t) = \operatorname{sech}(x - t)$ is a solution of

$$2u_t + 3u_x - 60u^2 u_x + 120u^4 u_x + u_{xxxxt} = 0.$$

Clearly,

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |u(x, t)| = 1 \quad \text{for } t \geq 0.$$

Therefore, no decay estimate holds. This supports $p^* \geq 6$ on Theorem 1.

We verify this. Now, let $v(y) = \operatorname{sech} y = \frac{1}{\cosh y}$. By using the fact that $\cosh^2 - \sinh^2 = 1$. $\sinh' = \cosh$, $\cosh' = \sinh$, so $1 - \tanh^2 =$

** This example is provided by Jerry Goldstein.

sech^2 ,

$$\begin{aligned}v' &= (\cosh^{-1})' = -(\cosh^{-2}) \sinh \\v'' &= \cosh^{-1} - 2 \cosh^{-3} \\v''' &= -\cosh^{-2} \sinh + 6 \cosh^{-4} \sinh \\v'''' &= \cosh^{-1} - 20 \cosh^{-3} + 24 \cosh^{-5}\end{aligned}$$

$cu_t + au_x + \sum_{i=1}^2 b_i u^{p_i} u_x + u_{xxxxt} = 0$ is equivalent to

$$-ckv' + av' + \sum_{i=1}^2 b_i v^{p_i} v' - kv'''' = 0.$$

So $(a - ck) \cosh^{-1} y + \frac{b_1}{p_1+1} (\cosh^{-1} y)^{p_1+1} + \frac{b_2}{p_2+1} (\cosh^{-1} y)^{p_2+1} - k(\cosh^{-1} y - 20 \cosh^{-3} y + 24 \cosh^{-5} y) = 0$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}a - ck &= k, \quad p_1 = 2, \quad p_2 = 4 \\ \frac{b_1}{3} &= -20k \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{b_2}{5} = 24k.\end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgement. This paper is based on my Ph.D. thesis at Tulane University. I would like to thank Professor Jerome A. Goldstein for his encouragement and helpful suggestions.

References

1. J. Albert, *Dispersion of low-energy waves for the generalized Benjamin-Bona-Mahony equation*, J. Diff. Eqns. **63** (1986), 117-134.
2. ———, *On the decay of solutions of the generalized Benjamin-Bona-Mahony equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **141** (1989), 527-537.
3. T. B. Benjamin, J. L. Bona and J. L. Mahony, *Model equations for long waves in nonlinear dispersive systems*, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. London, Ser. A, **272** (1972), 47-78.
4. L. Hörmander, *Linear Partial Differential Operators*, Springer-Verlag, 1963.
5. M. A. Park, *Model Equations in Fluid Dynamics*, Ph.D. dissertation, Tulane University, (1990).

6. Ph. Rosenau, *Dynamics of dense discrete systems*, Prog. Theoretical Phys. **79** (1988), 1028–1042.
7. W. A. Strauss, *Dispersion of low-energy waves for two conservative equations*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **55** (1974), 86–92.
8. F. Trèves, *Linear Partial Differential Equations with Constant Coefficients*, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1966.
9. K. Yosida, *Functional Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, 1980.

Department of Mathematics
Tulane University
New Orleans, LA 70118, U.S.A.