

## Consequences of Lipschitz Stability\*

SUNG KYU CHOI, KI SHIK KOO AND KEON-HEE LEE

**ABSTRACT.** In this note, we show that the  $\omega$ -limit mapping is continuous and the Lipschitz constants vary continuously if the flow  $(x, \pi)$  is Lipschitz stable. Moreover we analyse the  $\omega$ -limit sets under the generalized locally Lipschitz stable flows.

Throughout the paper we let  $(X, \pi)$  denote a flow on a locally compact metric space  $X$  with a metric  $d$ . The orbit, orbit closure, and prolongational limit sets on  $X$  are denoted, respectively, by  $O$ ,  $\bar{O}$  and  $J$  with unilateral versions carrying the appropriate + or - superscript.

A flow  $(X, \pi)$  is said to be *locally Lipschitz stable* if there exist  $\delta > 0$  and  $K \geq 1$  such that  $d(xt, yt) \leq Kd(x, y)$  for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}^+$  and all  $x, y \in X$  with  $d(x, y) < \delta$ . A flow  $(X, \pi)$  is called Lipschitz stable if there exists  $K \geq 1$  satisfying  $d(xt, yt) \leq Kd(x, y)$  for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}^+$  and all  $x, y \in X$ .

**LEMMA 1.** *The property of Lipschitz stability is independent of the metric.*

**PROOF.** The proof is straightforward.

---

\*The present studies were supported by the Basic Science Research Institute Program, Ministry of Education, Korea, 1990, Project No. BSRI-90-110.

Received by the editors on April 23, 1992.

1980 *Mathematics subject classifications*: Primary 58F25.

LEMMA 2. Let  $X$  be a bounded metric space. Then a flow  $(X, \pi)$  is Lipschitz stable if and only if it is locally Lipschitz stable.

PROOF. The proof is straightforward.

Let  $X$  be a compact metric space, and let  $K(X)$  be the set of all nonempty closed subsets of  $X$  with the Hausdorff metric :

$$\rho(A, B) = \max\{\sup_{a \in A} d(a, B), \sup_{b \in B} d(A, b)\}.$$

A mapping  $F : X \rightarrow K(X)$  is called upper (or lower) semicontinuous at  $x \in X$  if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $d(x, y) < \delta$  then

$$F(y) \subset B_\varepsilon(F(x)) \quad (\text{or } F(x) \subset B_\varepsilon(F(y))),$$

respectively, where  $B_\varepsilon(A) = \{y \in X : d(x, y) < \varepsilon \text{ for some } x \in A\}$ .

Since the  $\omega$ -limit set

$$\omega(x) = \{y \in X : xt_i \rightarrow y \text{ for some } t_i \rightarrow \infty\}$$

is a nonempty closed subset of  $X$ , we can consider the mapping

$$\omega : X \rightarrow K(X), \quad x \rightsquigarrow \omega(x),$$

which is called the  $\omega$ -limit mapping. The following theorem says that the  $\omega$ -limit mapping is continuous if the flow  $(X, \pi)$  is Lipschitz stable.

THEOREM 3. Let a flow  $(X, \pi)$  be Lipschitz stable. Then the  $\omega$ -limit mapping is continuous.

PROOF. Let  $x \in X$  and  $y \in \omega(x)$ . If  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $X$  converging to  $x$ , then we shall show that there exists a sequence  $\{y_n\}$  in  $X$  such that  $y_n \in \omega(x_n)$  and  $y_n \rightarrow y$ . Let  $xs_i \rightarrow y$  for some  $s_i \rightarrow \infty$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n s_i, x_n s_j) &\leq d(x_n s_i, x s_i) + d(x s_i, x s_j) + d(x s_j, x_n s_j) \\ &\leq K d(x_n, x) + d(x s_i, x s_j) + K d(x, x_n). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that the sequence  $\{x_n s_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$  is Cauchy and consequently it converges to a point, say  $y_n$ , in  $\omega(x_n)$ . Then the sequence  $\{y_n\}$  converges to  $y$ . In fact, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(y, y_n) &\leq d(y, x s_i) + d(x s_i, x_n s_i) + d(x_n s_i, y_n) \\ &\leq d(y, x s_i) + K d(x, x_n) + d(x_n s_i, y_n) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

This means that the map  $\omega$  is upper semicontinuous. To show that the map  $\omega$  is lower semicontinuous, we let  $x_n \rightarrow x$ ,  $y_n \in \omega(x_n)$  and  $y_n \rightarrow y$ . Then it is enough to show that  $y \in \omega(x)$ . Since  $y_n \in \omega(x_n)$ , there exists a sequence  $\{t_i^n\}$  in  $\mathbf{R}^+$  such that  $x_n t_i^n \rightarrow y_n$  as  $t_i^n \rightarrow \infty$ . We may assume that

$$|t_{n+1}^n - t_n^n| \geq n \quad \text{and} \quad d(y_n, x_n t_n^n) < \frac{1}{n}.$$

Then we have

$$d(y, x_n t_n^n) \leq d(y, y_n) + d(y_n, x_n t_n^n) < d(y, y_n) + \frac{1}{n}.$$

Hence we get  $x_n t_n^n \rightarrow y$  and  $t_n^n \rightarrow \infty$ . This means that  $y \in J^+(x) = \omega(x)$  and so completes the proof.

Let  $x, y$  be any two different points in  $X$ . Then the least positive number  $K$  satisfying

$$d(xt, yt) \leq K d(x, y) \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbf{R}^+$$

will be called the *Lipschitz constant with respect to  $x$  and  $y$* . In the following theorem, we show that the Lipschitz constants vary continuously if the flow  $(X, \pi)$  is *Lyapunov stable*. We say that a flow  $(X, \pi)$  is Lyapunov stable if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $d(xt, yt) < \varepsilon$  for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}^+$  and all  $x, y \in X$  with  $d(x, y) < \delta$ . To show this, we define the set  $Y_K \subset X \times X$  for any  $K > 0$  as follows.

$$Y_K = \{(x, y) \in X \times X / \Delta : d(xt, yt) \leq K d(x, y) \text{ for all } t \in \mathbf{R}^+\},$$

where  $\Delta = \{(x, x) : x \in X\}$ .

**THEOREM 4.** *The function  $F : X \times X/\Delta \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  given by  $F(x, y) = \inf\{K : (x, y) \in Y_K\}$  is continuous if the flow  $(X, \pi)$  is Lyapunov stable.*

**PROOF.** Let  $(x, y) \in X \times X/\Delta$ ,  $F(x, y) = K$ , and  $0 < \varepsilon < K$  be arbitrary. First we assume  $K < \infty$ . Then there exist  $\delta_1 > 0$  and  $\delta_2 > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, z) < \delta_1 &\text{ implies } d(xt, zt) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}d(x, y), \quad \text{and} \\ d(y, w) < \delta_2 &\text{ implies } d(yt, wt) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}d(x, y) \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbf{R}^+. \end{aligned}$$

Choose  $\delta > 0$  satisfying

$$\delta < \min\left\{\delta_1, \delta_2, \frac{\varepsilon}{6(K + \varepsilon)}d(x, y), \frac{\varepsilon}{12(K - \varepsilon)}d(x, y)\right\},$$

and select  $(z, w) \in B(x, \delta) \times B(y, \delta)$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(zt, wt) &\leq d(zt, xt) + d(xt, yt) + d(yt, wt) \\ &< d(xt, yt) + \frac{2}{3}\varepsilon d(x, y) \\ &< \frac{2}{3}\varepsilon d(x, y) + Kd(x, y) \\ &< Kd(z, w) + \frac{2}{3}\varepsilon d(x, y) + 2K\delta \\ &< Kd(z, w) + \varepsilon(d(x, y) - 2\delta) \\ &< Kd(z, w) + \varepsilon d(z, w) \\ &= (K + \varepsilon)d(z, w). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get

$$(1) \quad F(z, w) \leq K + \varepsilon, \quad \text{and so } F(z, w) - F(x, y) \leq \varepsilon$$

Since  $F(x, y) = K$ , for  $K - \frac{\varepsilon}{6} > 0$ , there exists  $s \in \mathbf{R}^+$  such that

$$d(xs, ys) > \left(K - \frac{\varepsilon}{6}\right) d(x, y).$$

since  $(z, w) \in B(x, \delta) \times B(y, \delta)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} d(xs, ys) &< d(xs, zs) + d(zs, ws) + d(ws, ys) \\ &< d(zs, ws) + \frac{2}{3}\varepsilon d(x, y), \quad \text{and so} \\ d(zs, ws) &> \left(K - \frac{\varepsilon}{6}\right) d(x, y) - \frac{2}{3}\varepsilon d(x, y) \\ &= Kd(x, y) - \frac{5}{6}\varepsilon d(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $d(z, w) < d(x, y) + 2\delta$  and  $d < \frac{\varepsilon}{12(K-\varepsilon)}d(x, y)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} d(zs, ws) &> \left(Kd(x, y) - \frac{5}{6}\varepsilon d(x, y)\right) (K - \varepsilon) \\ &> \left(d(x, y) + \frac{2\varepsilon}{12(K - \varepsilon)}d(x, y)\right) (K - \varepsilon) \\ &> (d(x, y) + 2\delta)(K - \varepsilon) \\ &> (K - \varepsilon)d(z, w). \end{aligned}$$

This means that

$$(2) \quad F(x, y) - F(z, w) < \varepsilon$$

By (1) and (2), we obtain

$$|F(x, y) - F(z, w)| < \varepsilon.$$

Next we assume  $F(x, y) = K = \infty$ . Let  $N > 0$  be sufficiently large number. For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $s \in \mathbf{R}^+$  such that

$$d(xs, ys) > (N + 2\varepsilon)d(x, y).$$

Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist  $\delta_1 > 0$  and  $\delta_2 > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, z) < \delta_1 &\text{ implies } d(xt, zt) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}d(x, y), \quad \text{and} \\ d(y, w) < \delta_2 &\text{ implies } d(yt, wt) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}d(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Choose  $\delta > 0$  satisfying

$$\delta < \min\left\{\delta_1, \delta_2, \frac{\varepsilon d(x, y)}{3(N + \varepsilon)}\right\},$$

and select  $(z, w) \in B(x, \delta) \times B(y, \delta)$ . Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(zs, ws) &> d(xs, ys) - \frac{2}{3}\varepsilon d(x, y) \\ &> (N + 2\varepsilon)d(x, y) - \frac{2}{3}\varepsilon d(x, y) \\ &> \left(d(x, y) + \frac{\varepsilon d(x, y)}{3(N + \varepsilon)}\right)(N + \varepsilon) \\ &> (N + \varepsilon)(d(x, y) + 2\delta) \\ &> (N + \varepsilon)d(z, w). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $(z, w) \in B(x, \delta) \times B(y, \delta)$  implies  $F(z, w) > N$ . Consequently the map  $F$  is continuous, and so completes the proof.

Finally we introduce the concept of generalized local Lipschitz stability which is a generalization of that of local Lipschitz stability and analyse the  $\omega$ -limit sets under the generalized locally Lipschitz stable flows.

**DEFINITION 5.** A flow  $(X, \pi)$  is called *generalized locally Lipschitz stable* if there exists  $\delta > 0$ ,  $K \geq 1$  and a continuous function  $\alpha : X \times \mathbf{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$  such that  $d(xt, y\alpha(x, t)) \leq Kd(x, y)$  for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}^+$  and all  $x, y \in X$  with  $d(x, y) < \delta$ .

**THEOREM 6.** Let  $(X, \pi)$  be a generalized locally Lipschitz stable flow on a complete and connected space  $X$ . Then we have either

- i)  $\omega(x) = \phi$  for all  $x \in X$ , or
- ii)  $\omega(x) \neq \phi$  is compact and minimal for all  $x \in M$ .

PROOF. Let  $Y = \{x \in X : \omega(x) \neq \phi\}$ . Then it is enough to show that the set  $Y$  is both open and closed in  $X$ .

First we show that the set  $Y$  is open in  $X$ . Let  $x \in Y$ , and let  $z (\neq x) \in \omega(x)$ . Then there exists a sequence  $\{s_i\}$  in  $\mathbf{R}^+$  such that  $xs_i \rightarrow z$  and  $s_i \rightarrow \infty$ . Choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  satisfying  $x \notin \overline{B(z, \varepsilon)}$  and  $\overline{B(z, \varepsilon)}$  is compact. Select  $0 < \delta < \varepsilon$  satisfying  $xs_i \in B(z, \delta)$ . Since  $(X, \pi)$  is generalized locally Lipschitz stable, there exists  $\alpha > 0$  such that if  $d(x, y) < \alpha$  then  $B(x, \alpha) \cap B(z, \varepsilon) = \phi$ ,  $yt \in B(O^+(x), \varepsilon - \delta)$  and  $xt \in B(O^+(y), \varepsilon - \delta)$  for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}^+$ . Hence we can choose  $t_i > 0$  satisfying  $d(xs_i, yt_i) < \varepsilon - \delta$ . Then we get  $d(yt_i, z) < \varepsilon - \delta + \delta = \varepsilon$ . Since  $\{yt_i\} \subset \overline{B(z, \varepsilon)}$  and  $t_i \neq 0$ , we obtain  $y \in Y$ . This means that  $Y$  is open.

Next we show that the set  $Y$  is closed in  $X$ . For each positive integer  $K$ , we can choose  $\delta_K > 0$ ,  $\gamma_K > 0$ ,  $s_K > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, y) < \delta_K &\text{ implies } xt \in B\left(O^+(y), \frac{1}{K^2}\right) \text{ and } yt \in B\left(O^+(x), \frac{1}{K^2}\right), \\ d(x, y) < \gamma_K &\text{ implies } xt \in B\left(O^+(y), \frac{1}{2}\delta_K\right) \text{ and } yt \in B\left(O^+(x), \frac{1}{2}\delta_K\right), \\ d(x, y) < s_K &\text{ implies } xt \in B\left(O^+(y), \frac{1}{4}\gamma_K\right) \text{ and } yt \in B\left(O^+(x), \frac{1}{4}\gamma_K\right) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}^+$ . We may assume that

$$s_K < \gamma_K < \delta_K < \frac{1}{K^2}, \quad \delta_{K+1} < \delta_K, \quad \gamma_{K+1} < \gamma_K \quad \text{and} \quad s_K < s_{K+1}.$$

Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $Y$  converging to  $x \in X$ . By choosing a subsequence, we may assume that  $d(x_n, x) < \frac{1}{2}s_n$  for each  $n$ . Then we obtain

$$d(\omega(x_n), \omega(x_{n+1})) < \delta_n \quad \text{for each } n.$$

In fact, we assume  $d(\omega(x_n), \omega(x_{n+1})) \geq \delta_n$  for each  $n$ . Then there exist

$\alpha > 0$  and  $t_0, s_0 \in \mathbf{R}^+$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} d(z, w) &> \frac{1}{2}\delta_n \quad \text{for } z \in B(\omega(x_n), \alpha) \quad \text{and } w \in B(\omega(x_{n+1}), \alpha), \\ d(x_n t, \omega(x_n)) &< \alpha \quad \text{for } t \geq t_0 > 0, \quad \text{and} \\ d(x_{n+1} t, \omega(x_{n+1})) &< \alpha \quad \text{for } t \geq s_0 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) < d(x_n, x) + d(x, x_{n+1}) < \frac{1}{2}(s_n + s_{n+1}) < s_n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} t &\in B(O^+(x_n), \gamma_n) \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbf{R}^+, \quad \text{and so} \\ d(x_{n+1} t_0, x_n s) &< \gamma_n \quad \text{for some } 0 \leq s < t_0. \end{aligned}$$

For  $t_0 - s > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (x_n s)(t_0 - s) &\in B(O^+(x_{n+1} t_0), \frac{1}{2}\delta_n) \quad \text{and so} \\ d(x_n t_0, x_{n+1} t') &< \frac{1}{2}\delta_n \quad \text{for some } t' > 0. \end{aligned}$$

But  $x_n t_0 \in B(\omega(x_n), \alpha)$  and  $x_{n+1} t' \in B(\omega(x_{n+1}), \alpha)$ , and thus

$$d(x_n t_0, x_{n+1} t') > \frac{1}{2}\delta_n.$$

This contradiction shows that  $d(\omega(x_n), \omega(x_{n+1})) < \delta_n$  for each  $n$ . For each  $n$ , choose  $z_n \in \omega(x_n)$  inductively as follows. Let  $z_1 \in \omega(x_1)$  and  $z_n \in \omega(x_n)$ . Since  $d(\omega(x_n), \omega(x_{n+1})) < \delta_n$ , there exist  $b_n \in \omega(x_n)$  and  $b_{n+1} \in \omega(x_{n+1})$  such that  $d(b_n, b_{n+1}) < \delta_n$ . Since  $\omega(x_n)$  is minimal, by the generalized local Lipschitz stability, we have  $\omega(x_n) = \omega(b_n)$ .

Choose  $T >$  such that

$$d(b_n T, z_n) < \frac{1}{n^2} \quad \text{and} \quad b_n T \in B\left(O^+(b_{n+1}), \frac{1}{(n+1)^2}\right).$$

Then we have

$$d(b_n T, b_{n+1} T') < \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} \quad \text{for some } T' > 0.$$



By the invariance of  $\omega(x_{n+1})$ , we have  $b_{n+1}T' \in \omega(x_{n+1})$ . Let  $z_{n+1} = b_{n+1}T'$ . Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(z_n, z_{n+1}) &\leq d(z_n, b_n T) + d(b_n T, z_{n+1}) \\ &< \frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} < \frac{2}{n^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\{z_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence, we may assume  $z_n \rightarrow z$ . By choosing a subsequence if necessary, we may assume

$$d(z, z_n) < \frac{1}{n^2}.$$

Since  $z_n \in \omega(x_n)$ , there exists a sequence  $\{t_i^n\}$  in  $\mathbf{R}^+$  such that

$$x_n t_i^n \rightarrow z_n \quad \text{and} \quad t_i^n \rightarrow \infty.$$

For each  $K > 0$ , we choose  $t_{i_K}^K \in \{t_i^K\}$  such that

$$d(x_K t_{i_K}^K, z_K) < \frac{1}{K^2}.$$

We assume  $t_{i_{K+1}}^{K+1} > t_{i_K}^K$ , and we let  $a_n = x_n t_{i_n}^n$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(z, a_n) &\leq d(z, x_n) + d(x_n, a_n) \\ &< \frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{2}{n^2}. \end{aligned}$$

This means that  $a_n \rightarrow z \in \omega(x)$  and so  $x \in Y$ . Consequently the set  $Y$  is closed and thus complete the proof.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] S. Elaydi and H.R. Farran, *On weak isometries and their embeddings in flows*, *Nonlinear Analysis, TMA* **8** no. 12 (1984), 1437–1441.
- [2] ———, *Lipschitz stable dynamical systems*, *Nonlinear Analysis, TMA* **9** no. 7 (1985), 729–738.

- [3] ———, *On variations of equicontinuity in dynamical systems*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **42** (1990), 391–397.

Department of Mathematics  
Chungnam National University  
Taejon, 305-765, Korea

Department of Mathematics  
Taejon University  
Taejon, 300-716, Korea