

# ALMOST $\alpha$ -CONVEX QUASI-ORDERED SYNTOPOGENOUS SPACES

S. H. Chung

## 1. Introduction

Burgess and Fitzpatrick [1] have introduced the concept of quasi-ordered syntopogenous spaces and it is known to be a nice generalization of topological ([12]), proximity ([14]) and uniform ([12], [13]) ordered spaces respectively. In [2], [3], [4] and [10], the authors have introduced various concepts of convex quasi-ordered syntopogenous spaces generalizing the classical one, but they fail to be a complete category except one in [4].

Let us denote by  $\underline{\text{Qord}}(\underline{\text{Syn}})$  the topological category of quasi-ordered sets (syntopogenous spaces) and increasing (continuous, resp.) maps, and  $\underline{\text{OSyn}}$  the mixed topological category of  $\underline{\text{Syn}}$  and  $\underline{\text{Qord}}$  ([9]).

The aim of the present paper is to introduce a notion of almost  $\alpha$ -convex quasi-ordered syntopogenous spaces which generalizes  $\alpha$ -convex spaces, and then we show that

1) the full subcategory  $\alpha\text{-ASyn}$  of  $\underline{\text{OSyn}}$  determined by almost  $\alpha$ -convex spaces is bireflective in  $\underline{\text{OSyn}}$ ;

2) the full subcategory  $\alpha\text{-CSyn}$  of  $\underline{\text{OSyn}}$  determined by  $\alpha$ -convex spaces is coreflective in  $\alpha\text{-ASyn}$  and

3) the full subcategory  $\text{SyOSyn}$  of  $\underline{\text{OSyn}}$  determined by symmetrizable spaces is bireflective in  $\underline{\text{OSyn}}$  and hence  $\text{SyOSyn}$  is bireflective in  $p\text{-ASyn}$ .

It is assumed that the reader is familiar with the notion and results of Csaszar ([5]) and Matolcsy ([10]). We recall in particular that for an arbitrary quasi-ordered syntopogenous  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \leq)$ , among the increasing (decreasing) syntopogenous structures coarser than  $\mathcal{S}$  there exists a finest one on  $(X, \leq)$ , and it is denoted by  $\mathcal{S}^u(\mathcal{S}^\ell, \text{ resp.})$  [2], [4], [10]). For

---

Received May 3, 1991.

Revised July 26, 1991.

I am very grateful to Professor S. S. Hong for his valuable advices.

an elementary operation  $a$ , a quasi-ordered syntopogenous space  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \leq)$  is called  $a$ -convex if  $\mathcal{S} \sim (\mathcal{S}^u \vee \mathcal{S}^l)^a$  ([2], [3], [4] and [10] for  $a = i$  or  $p$ );  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \leq)$  is called symmetrizable if there exists a symmetrical  $i$ -convex syntopogenous structure  $\mathcal{S}_0$  on  $(X, \leq)$  such that  $\mathcal{S}_0 < \mathcal{S} < \mathcal{S}_0^p$  ([10]);  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \leq)$  is called continuous if for  $\langle \in \mathcal{S}$ , there is  $\langle_1 \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $A < B$  implies  $i(A) \langle_1 i(B)$  and  $d(A) \langle_1 d(B)$  [11]; and  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \leq)$  is called feebly  $a$ -convex if for any  $\langle \in \mathcal{S}$ , there is a family  $\varepsilon \subseteq \varepsilon_c$  such that  $\langle \subseteq \varepsilon^{ta} < \mathcal{S}^a$  [4].

## 2. Almost $a$ -convex spaces

Throught this section all spaces are assumed to be quasi-ordered syntopogenous spaces, and  $a$  will denote an elementary operation.

**Definition 2.1.** A space  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \leq)$  is said to be *almost  $a$ -convex* if  $\mathcal{S} < (\mathcal{S}^u \vee \mathcal{S}^l)^a$ .

From the definition, the following is immediate:

*Remark 2.2.* 1) A space  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \leq)$  is almost  $a$ -convex iff  $\mathcal{S}_1 \vee \mathcal{S}_2 < \mathcal{S} < (\mathcal{S}_1 \vee \mathcal{S}_2)^a$ , for some increasing (decreasing, resp.) syntopogenous structure  $\mathcal{S}_1(\mathcal{S}_2, \text{ resp.})$  on  $(X, \leq)$ .

2) Let  $a'$  be an elementary operation such that  $\mathcal{S}^a < \mathcal{S}^{a'}$  for any syntopogenous structure  $\mathcal{S}$ . Then if  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \leq)$  is almost  $a$ -convex, then  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \leq)$  is almost  $a'$ -convex.

3) Every  $a$ -convex space is almost  $a$ -convex.

4) Every symmetrizable space is almost  $p$ -convex.

5) If  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \leq)$  is almost  $a$ -convex, then  $(X, \mathcal{S}^a, \leq)$  is  $a$ -convex.

6) If  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \leq)$  is almost  $a$ -convex, then  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \leq)$  is feebly  $a$ -convex.

**Lemma 2.3.** Suppose  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \leq)$  is a space satisfying  $\mathcal{S}^c = \mathcal{S}^{cp}$ . Then  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \leq)$  is symmetrizable iff  $\mathcal{S}^{uc} < \mathcal{S} < \mathcal{S}^{usp}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \leq)$  is symmetrizable. Then there is a symmetrical  $i$ -convex syntopogenous structure  $\mathcal{S}_0$  on  $(X, \leq)$  such that  $\mathcal{S}_0 < \mathcal{S} < \mathcal{S}_0^p$ . Since  $\mathcal{S}_0$  is symmetrical  $i$ -convex,  $\mathcal{S}_0 = \mathcal{S}_0^{us}$ , so that  $\mathcal{S} < \mathcal{S}^{usp}$ . Take any  $\langle \in \mathcal{S}$  and let  $A \langle^{uc} B$ . Then  $X - B \langle X - A$ . Since  $\mathcal{S} < \mathcal{S}_0^{usp}$ , there is  $\langle_0 \in \mathcal{S}_0$  such that  $\langle \subseteq \langle_0^{usp}$ ; hence  $X - B \langle_0^{usp} X - A$ . Using (4.7) and (3.35) of [5] it follows that for any  $b \in X - B$ ,  $A \langle_0^{us} X - b$ . Since  $\mathcal{S}_0^{us} < \mathcal{S}$ , there is  $\langle_1 \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $\langle_0^{us} \subseteq \langle_1$ , and hence  $A \langle_1 X - b$  for all  $b \in X - B$ . Since  $\mathcal{S}^c$  is perfect,  $X - B \langle_1^c X - A$ , or  $A \langle_1 B$ . Thus  $\mathcal{S}^{uc} < \mathcal{S}$ . The converse is trivial.

As the following two examples show, the statements of Remark 2.2 cannot be conversed except for 1) and 2).

**Examples 2.4.** 1) Let  $(R, \leq)$  be the real line with the usual order  $\leq$  and let  $<$  be generated by the set of all closed sets in  $R$ . Obviously,  $<^u$  ( $<^l$ ) is generated by  $\{\{r, \infty\} : r \in R\}$  ( $\{\{-\infty, r\} : r \in R\}$ , resp.). Then for  $a = p$  or  $b$ ,  $(<^u \cup >^l)^{qa} = \subseteq$  is the discrete syntopogenous structure on  $R$ , so that  $(R, \{<\}, \leq)$  is almost  $a$ -convex. But it is neither  $p(b)$ -convex nor symmetrizable. In fact, for any  $x \in R$ ,  $x < x$ . Thus  $<^p = <^b = \subseteq$ . Since  $< \neq \subseteq$ , it follows that  $(R, \{<\}, \leq)$  is not  $p(b)$ -convex ([10]). Since  $<^c$  is generated by the usual topology on  $R$ ,  $<^c$  is perfect. On the other hand, for each  $r \in R$ ,  $(-\infty, r) <^{uc} (-\infty, r)$ , but  $(-\infty, r) < (-\infty, r)$  is impossible. Thus by Lemma 2.3,  $(R, \{<\}, \leq)$  is not symmetrizable.

2) Let  $(R, \leq)$  be as above, and for each natural number  $n$ ,  $H_n = (-\infty, -n) \cup [n, \infty)$ . Let us consider a symmetrical topogenous structure  $\{<\}$  on  $R$ , where  $A < B$  iff  $A \subseteq B$  and there is some  $n$  such that  $A \cap H_n = \emptyset$  implies  $H_n \subseteq B$ . Then  $(R, \{<\}, \leq)$  is  $p$ -convex and weakly  $p$ -convex ([10])  $(R, \{<\}, \leq)$  is feebly  $p$ -convex ([4]). But  $<^u = <^l = <_{\emptyset, R}$  is the indiscrete syntopogenous structure on  $R$ , and therefore it is not almost  $p$ -convex.

**Proposition 2.5.** *Suppose  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \leq)$  is a space such that  $\mathcal{S}^{ua} \sim \mathcal{S}^{au}$  and  $\mathcal{S}^{la} \sim \mathcal{S}^{al}$ . Then  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \leq)$  is almost  $a$ -convex iff  $(X, \mathcal{S}^a, \leq)$  is  $a$ -convex.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $(X, \mathcal{S}^a, \leq)$  is a  $a$ -convex. Then we have  $\mathcal{S} < \mathcal{S}^a \sim (\mathcal{S}^{au} \vee \mathcal{S}^{al})^a \sim (\mathcal{S}^{ua} \vee \mathcal{S}^{la})^a \sim (\mathcal{S}^u \vee \mathcal{S}^l)^a$  ([5]); hence  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \leq)$  is almost  $a$ -convex. The converse is trivial by Remark 2.2.5.

It is well-known [11] that if  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \leq)$  is a continuous space then  $\mathcal{S}^{up} \sim \mathcal{S}^{pu}$  and  $\mathcal{S}^{lp} \sim \mathcal{S}^{pl}$ . Using this fact together with the above proposition, we have the following:

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \leq)$  be a continuous space. Then  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \leq)$  is almost  $p$ -convex iff  $(X, \mathcal{S}^p, \leq)$  is  $p$ -convex.*

Let us recall that an elementary operation  $a$  is said to be symmetrical iff  $ac = ca$  ([5]).

**Proposition 2.7.** *For a symmetrical elementary operation  $a$ ,  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \leq)$  is almost  $a$ -convex iff  $(X, \mathcal{S}^c, \leq)$  is almost  $a$ -convex. Moreover, if  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \leq)$  is almost  $a$ -convex, then  $(X, \mathcal{S}^s, \leq)$  is almost  $a$ -convex.*

*Proof.* The first part follows from the fact that  $\mathcal{S} < (\mathcal{S}^u \vee \mathcal{S}^l)^a$  implies  $\mathcal{S}^c < (\mathcal{S}^u \vee \mathcal{S}^l)^{ac} = (\mathcal{S}^u \vee \mathcal{S}^l)^{ca} = (\mathcal{S}^{uc} \vee \mathcal{S}^{lc})^a = (\mathcal{S}^{cl} \vee \mathcal{S}^{cu})^a$  ([4], [5]), and

the second part from the fact  $\mathcal{S}^s \sim (\mathcal{S} \vee \mathcal{S}^c)^q$ .

*Remark 2.8.* Let  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \leq)$  be a symmetrical space. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- 1)  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \leq)$  is almost  $a$ -convex.
- 2)  $\mathcal{S}_0 < \mathcal{S} < \mathcal{S}_0^{sa}$  for some increasing structure  $\mathcal{S}_0$  on  $(X, \leq)$ .
- 3)  $\mathcal{S}_0 < \mathcal{S} < \mathcal{S}_0^{sa}$  for some decreasing structure  $\mathcal{S}_0$  on  $(X, \leq)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \leq)$  is almost  $a$ -convex, then by (2.6.2) of [4], one has  $\mathcal{S} < (\mathcal{S}^u \vee \mathcal{S}^l)^a = (\mathcal{S}^u \vee \mathcal{S}^{uc})^a \sim \mathcal{S}^{usa}$ . Clearly  $\mathcal{S}^{us} < \mathcal{S}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{S}^u$  will do the job for  $\mathcal{S}_0$ . The other implications 2)  $\Rightarrow$  3) and 3)  $\Rightarrow$  1) are trivial.

**Proposition 2.9.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \leq)$  be a compact symmetrical space. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- 1)  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \leq)$  is almost  $p$ -convex.
- 2)  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \leq)$  is symmetrizable.
- 3)  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \leq)$  is almost  $i$ -convex.

*Proof.* 1) and 2) are equivalent by Remark 2.8 and 2.2.4. Suppose  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \leq)$  is almost  $p$ -convex. Then  $\mathcal{S} < (\mathcal{S}^u \vee \mathcal{S}^l)^p$  and hence  $\mathcal{S}^p \sim (\mathcal{S}^u \vee \mathcal{S}^l)^p$ . Since  $\mathcal{S}^u \vee \mathcal{S}^l < \mathcal{S}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}^u \vee \mathcal{S}^l$  is compact. By Lemma 8 of [6],  $\mathcal{S} < \mathcal{S}^u \vee \mathcal{S}^l$ . Thus  $\mathcal{S} \sim \mathcal{S}^u \vee \mathcal{S}^l$ . Hence 1) implies 3). The converse is clear by Remark 2.2.2.

**Lemma 2.10.** *Let  $G : \underline{C} \rightarrow \underline{D}$  be a functor and  $\underline{A}(\underline{B})$  a subcategory of  $\underline{C}(\underline{D}$ , resp.) such that  $G$  has a restriction  $E : \underline{A} \rightarrow \underline{B}$ , i.e.,  $G \circ H = F \circ E$ , where  $H, F$  are embedding functors. Suppose*

- 1)  $\underline{B}$  is a coreflective (reflective, resp.) subcategory of  $\underline{D}$ .
- 2)  $E : \underline{A} \rightarrow \underline{B}$  is full and  $G : \underline{C} \rightarrow \underline{D}$  is faithful and full; and for each  $C \in \underline{C}$ , there is some  $A \in \underline{A}$  such that  $E(A)$  is isomorphic to  $\underline{B}$ -coreflection ( $\underline{B}$ -reflection, resp.) of  $G(C)$ . Then  $\underline{A}$  is a coreflective (reflective, resp.) subcategory of  $\underline{C}$ .

*Proof.* Take any  $C \in \underline{C}$ . Let  $u : F(B) \rightarrow G(C)$  be the  $\underline{B}$ -coreflection for  $G(C)$ , then by the assumption, there is an isomorphism  $g : E(A) \rightarrow B$  for some  $A \in \underline{A}$ . Since  $G$  is full, there is an  $h : H(A) \rightarrow C$  in  $\underline{C}$  with  $G(h) = u \circ F(g) : G \circ H(A) \rightarrow G(C)$ . Then  $(A, h)$  is an  $\underline{A}$ -coreflection of  $C$ . Indeed, for any  $f : H(R) \rightarrow C$  in  $\underline{C}$ , there is a unique  $j : E(R) \rightarrow B$  in  $\underline{B}$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 F \circ E(R) & = & G \circ H(R) \\
 F(j) \downarrow & & \searrow G(f) \\
 F(B) & \xrightarrow{u} & G(C)
 \end{array}$$

commutes. Since  $g : E(A) \rightarrow B$  is an isomorphism in  $\underline{B}$ , the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 G \circ H(R) & = & F \circ E(R) \\
 F(g^{-1} \circ j) \downarrow & & \searrow G(f) \\
 G \circ H(A) & = & F \circ E(A) \xrightarrow{u \circ F(g) = G(h)} G(C)
 \end{array}$$

commutes.

Since  $E$  is full, there is an  $m : R \rightarrow A$  in  $\underline{A}$  with  $E(m) = g^{-1} \circ j$ . Then  $G \circ H(m) = F(g^{-1} \circ j)$ . Since  $G$  is faithful,  $h \circ H(m) = f$ . Moreover, such an  $m$  is unique. Thus  $\underline{A}$  is a coreflective subcategory of  $\underline{C}$ . The other statement follows by duality.

Let  $a\text{-OSyn}$  denote the full subcategory of  $\text{OSyn}$  consisting of those quasi-ordered syntopogenous space  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \leq)$  with  $\mathcal{S} \sim \mathcal{S}^a$ .

**Proposition 2.11.**  *$a\text{-OSyn}$  is coreflective in  $\text{OSyn}$ .*

*Proof.* For any  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \leq) \in \text{OSyn}$ ,  $(X, \mathcal{S}^a, \leq) \in a\text{-OSyn}$ , and the identity map  $1_X : (X, \mathcal{S}^a, \leq) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{S}, \leq)$  is a continuous increasing map [5]. Let  $(Y, \mathcal{S}_0, \leq_0) \in a\text{-OSyn}$  and  $f : (Y, \mathcal{S}_0, \leq_0) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{S}, \leq)$  a continuous increasing map. Let  $g : (Y, \mathcal{S}_0, \leq_0) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{S}^a, \leq)$  be the map  $f$  as set maps. Since  $g^{-1}(\mathcal{S}) < \mathcal{S}_0$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{S}_0, \leq_0) \in a\text{-OSyn}$ ,  $g^{-1}(\mathcal{S}^a) < \mathcal{S}_0$ . Thus  $g$  is a continuous increasing map. Thus  $1_X : (X, \mathcal{S}^a, \leq) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{S}, \leq)$  is the  $a\text{-OSyn}$ -coreflection of  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \leq)$ .

Let  $a\text{-CSyn}$  ( $a\text{-WSyn}$ ,  $a\text{-FSyn}$ ) denote the full subcategory of  $\text{OSyn}$  determined by  $a$ -convex (weakly  $a$ -convex, feebly  $a$ -convex, resp.) spaces. Then one has the following:

**Corollary 2.12.** 1)  *$a\text{-CSyn}$  is coreflective in  $a\text{-ASyn}$ .*

2)  *$a\text{-WSyn}$  is coreflective in  $a\text{-FSyn}$ .*

*Proof.* It is immediate from Proposition 2.11, and the fact that the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \underline{a\text{-}CSyn} & \longrightarrow & \underline{a\text{-}WSyn} & \longrightarrow & \underline{a\text{-}OSyn} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \underline{a\text{-}ASyn} & \longrightarrow & \underline{a\text{-}FSyn} & \longrightarrow & \underline{OSyn}
 \end{array}$$

clearly satisfies the assumption in Lemma 2.10, where the arrows denote embedding functors.

*Theorem 2.13.*  $a\text{-}ASyn$  is bireflective in  $OSyn$ .

*Proof.* Since  $OSyn$  is a properly fibred topological category, it is enough to show that  $a\text{-}ASyn$  is closed under the formation of initial sources in  $OSyn$ . Suppose  $(f_i : (X, \mathcal{S}, \leq) \rightarrow (X_i, \mathcal{S}_i, \leq_i))_{i \in I}$  is an initial source in  $OSyn$  and each  $(X_i, \mathcal{S}_i, \leq_i) \in a\text{-}ASyn$ . Then  $\mathcal{S} \sim \bigvee f_i^{-1}(\mathcal{S}_i)$  and  $x \leq y$  iff  $f_i(x) \leq_i f_i(y)$  for all  $i \in I$ . Since for each  $i \in I$ ,  $(X_i, \mathcal{S}_i, \leq_i) \in a\text{-}ASyn$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_{i_1} \vee \mathcal{S}_{i_2} < \mathcal{S}_i < (\mathcal{S}_{i_1} \vee \mathcal{S}_{i_2})^a$ , for some increasing(decreasing) syntopogenous structure  $\mathcal{S}_{i_1}(\mathcal{S}_{i_2}$ , resp.) on  $(X_i, \leq_i)$ . For each  $i \in I$ ,  $f_i^{-1}(\mathcal{S}_i) < f_i^{-1}((\mathcal{S}_{i_1} \vee \mathcal{S}_{i_2})^a) = f_i^{-1}(\mathcal{S}_{i_1} \vee \mathcal{S}_{i_2})^a$  ([5], (9.3), (9.7)). Thus  $\bigvee f_i^{-1}(\mathcal{S}_i) < \bigvee f_i^{-1}(\mathcal{S}_{i_1} \vee \mathcal{S}_{i_2})^a < (\bigvee f_i^{-1}(\mathcal{S}_{i_1} \vee \mathcal{S}_{i_2}))^a = f_i^{-1}(\bigvee(\mathcal{S}_{i_1} \vee \mathcal{S}_{i_2}))^a = (f_i^{-1}(\bigvee \mathcal{S}_{i_1}) \vee f_i^{-1}(\bigvee \mathcal{S}_{i_2}))^a$  ([5], (8.97), (8.98), (8.99), (9.10),  $(K_1)$ ). From (1.1.5) and (1.1.6) of [10],  $\bigvee f_i^{-1}(\mathcal{S}_{i_1})$  and  $\bigvee f_i^{-1}(\mathcal{S}_{i_2})$  are increasing and decreasing on  $(X, \leq)$ , respectively. From (8.98) and (9.3) of [5], it follows that  $\bigvee f_i^{-1}(\mathcal{S}_{i_1})$  and  $\bigvee f_i^{-1}(\mathcal{S}_{i_2})$  are coarser than  $f_i^{-1}(\mathcal{S}_i)$  for all  $i \in I$ . Hence by Remark 2.2.1,  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \leq)$  is almost  $a$ -convex space.

**Corollary 2.14.** 1)  $a\text{-}ASyn$  is topological and complete.

2)  $a\text{-}ASyn$  is closed under the formation of limits in  $OSyn$ .

The following is now immediate from the above theorem, Lemma 2.10 and Theorem 3.3 in [4].

**Corollary 2.15.** 1)  $a\text{-}CSyn$  is bireflective in  $a\text{-}OSyn$ .

2)  $a\text{-}WSyn$  is bireflective in  $a\text{-}OSyn$ .

Let  $SyOSyn$  denote the full subcategory of  $OSyn$  determined by symmetrizable spaces. Then one has the following:

**Proposition 2.16.**  $SyOSyn$  is bireflective in  $OSyn$ , and hence  $SyOSyn$  is bireflective in  $p\text{-}ASyn$ .

*Proof.* Let us again show that  $SyOSyn$  is closed under the formation of initial sources in  $OSyn$ . Suppose  $(f_i : (X, \mathcal{S}, \leq) \rightarrow (X_i, \mathcal{S}_i, \leq_i))_{i \in I}$  is an initial source in  $OSyn$  and each  $(X_i, \mathcal{S}_i, \leq_i)$  is symmetrizable. Since

$(X_i, \mathcal{S}_i, \leq_i) \in \underline{\text{SyOSyn}}$ , there exists symmetrical  $i$ -convex syntopogenous structure  $\mathcal{S}_{i_0}$  on  $(X_i, \leq_i)$  such that  $\mathcal{S}_{i_0} < \mathcal{S}_i < \mathcal{S}_{i_0}^p$ . Then  $\vee f_i^{-1}(\mathcal{S}_{i_0}) < \vee f_i^{-1}(\mathcal{S}_i) < \vee f_i^{-1}(\mathcal{S}_{i_0})^p < (\vee f_i^{-1}(\mathcal{S}_{i_0})^p)^p = (\vee f_i^{-1}(\mathcal{S}_{i_0}))^p$ . Thus  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \leq)$  is symmetrizable. Since  $\underline{\text{SyOSyn}}$  is a full subcategory of  $p\text{-ASyn}$ ,  $\underline{\text{SyOSyn}}$  is also bireflective in  $p\text{-ASyn}$ .

## References

- [1] D. C. J. Burgess and M. Fitzpatrick, *Syntopogenous preordered space*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., 80(1976), 71-79.
- [2] D. C. J. Burgess and M. Fitzpatrick, *Syntopogenous preordered space (II)*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., 83(1978), 19-24.
- [3] D. C. J. Burgess and M. Fitzpatrick, *Locally convex syntopogenous spaces*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., 85(1979), 445-448.
- [4] S. H. Chung, *Quasi-ordered Syntopogenous Spaces*, Submitted.
- [5] A. Csaszar, *Foundation of General Topology*, The Macmillan Co., New York, 1963.
- [6] A. Csaszar, *Double compactification d'espaces syntopogenous*, Ann. Univ. Budapest, Sect. Math., 7(1964), 3-11.
- [7] H. Herrlich, *Topological functors*, Gen. Top. Appl., 4(1974), 125-142.
- [8] H. Herrlich and G. E. Strecker, *Category Theory*, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, 1973.
- [9] S. S. Hong and L. D. Nel, *Spectral dualities involving mixed structure*, *Categorical aspects of Topology and Analysis*, Lecture Notes in Math. Springer-Verlag, 915(1982), 198-204.
- [10] K. Matolcsy, *Syntopogenous spaces with preorder I (Convexity)*, Acta. Math. Hung. 43(1984), 347-363.
- [11] K. Matolcsy, *Syntopogenous spaces with preorder II (Continuity)*, Acta. Math. Hung. 44(1984), 279-297.
- [12] L. Nachbin, *Topology and Order*, Princeton, 1965.
- [13] R. H. Redfield, *Ordering uniform completion of partially ordered sets*, Canad. J. Math., 26(1974), 644-664.
- [14] M. K. Singal and Sunder Lal, *Proximity ordered spaces*, J. London Math. Soc., 14(1976), 393-404.