

## ON QUASI-PERFECT RINGS AND SEMIHERDITARY MODULES

JIN YONG KIM

### 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper a ring  $R$  is an associative ring with identity and all modules are unitary. Homomorphisms will be written on the right. The Jacobson radical will be denoted by  $J$ . A ring  $R$  is left hereditary if every left ideal of  $R$  is projective and left semihereditary if every finitely generated left ideal is projective. In [4], Hill introduced hereditary module, i.e. : A projective left module over a ring  $R$  is left hereditary if every submodule is projective. Using a result of Colby and Rutter [2] he proved that for  $P$  a finitely generated left hereditary module,  $S = \text{End}_R(P)$  is left hereditary as a ring. And he also showed that a left perfect and left hereditary ring  $R$  is semiprimary.

In this article we deal with *C.P.* modules over quasi-perfect rings and endomorphism rings of semihereditary modules. Actually we show that a quasi-perfect left P.P. ring is semiprimary. Thereby we can generalize a result in [5]. We also obtain an analogous result for the endomorphism ring of a semihereditary module by using a method in [4] and a result of Small [5].

### 2. C.P. modules over quasi-perfect rings

A ring  $R$  is semilocal if  $R/J$  is semisimple Artinian. Recall the following characterization of left perfect rings due to Bass [1] : A ring  $R$  is left perfect iff  $R$  is semilocal and  $J$  is left  $T$ -nilpotent. Following Evans [3], we call a left  $R$ -module *C.P.* if every left cyclic submodule is projective.

In this section, the concept of a quasi-perfect ring is introduced. We begin by definition.

---

Received March 11, 1991.

This research is supported in part by the Basic Science Research Institute Program, Ministry of Education, 1990.

DEFINITION 1. A ring  $R$  is quasi-perfect if  $R$  is semilocal and  $J$  is nil.

PROPOSITION 2. Let  $R$  be a ring. Suppose  $Re$  is a C.P. module for each primitive idempotent  $e$  in  $R$ . Then the following are equivalent :

- (a)  $R$  is semiprimary.
- (b)  $R$  is quasi-perfect.

*Proof.* (a)  $\implies$  (b) is clear by definition of semiprimary ring. (b)  $\implies$  (a) : Since  $J$  is nil, idempotents modulo  $J$  can be lifted. Thus  $R$  is

semiperfect. Then  $R = \sum_{i=1}^n Re_i$  where  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  is a set of primitive

orthogonal idempotents whose sum is 1. We will show that  $J$  is nilpotent. Now  $J = J(e_1 + \dots + e_n) = Je_1 + \dots + Je_n$ , so it suffices to prove that  $Je_i$  is nilpotent for each  $e_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ . Suppose there exists some  $Je_i$  which is not nilpotent. Then  $(Je_i)^2 \neq 0$ , so  $e_i xe_i \neq 0$  for some  $x \in J$ . Consider the map  $f_{xe_i} : Re_i \rightarrow Re_i$  via  $re_i \mapsto re_i xe_i$ . Since  $Re_i$  is C.P.,  $\text{Im } f_{xe_i}$  is projective. Thus  $\text{Ker } f_{xe_i} = 0$  for  $Re_i$  is indecomposable and  $\text{Im } f_{xe_i} \neq 0$ . Now  $xe_i \in J$ , so there exists an integer  $m > 1$  such that  $(xe_i)^m = 0$  and  $(xe_i)^{m-1} \neq 0$ . But  $(xe_i)^{m-1} \in \text{Ker } f_{xe_i} = 0$ , a contradiction. Thus  $J$  is a finite sum of nilpotent left ideals and so is nilpotent. This result directly yields the following corollary.

COROLLARY 3. Suppose  $R$  is quasi-perfect and left hereditary. Then  $R$  is semiprimary.

Recall that a ring  $R$  is left P.P. if every principal left ideal of  $R$  is projective. We also recall a result due to Evans [3] : A ring  $R$  is left P.P. iff every projective left  $R$ -module is a C.P. module. Consequently we are able to extend Corollary 3 as follows.

COROLLARY 4. Suppose  $R$  is quasi-perfect and left P.P. ring. Then  $R$  is semiprimary.

### 3. Endomorphism rings of semihereditary modules

We begin by introducing definition.

DEFINITION 5. A left module over a ring  $R$  is called semihereditary if every finitely generated submodule is projective.

PROPOSITION 6. *Let  $R$  be a ring. If  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are semihereditary  $R$ -module, then  $P_1 \oplus P_2$  is semihereditary.*

*Proof.* Let  $N$  be finitely generated submodule of  $P_1 \oplus P_2$ . We will show that  $N$  is projective. Consider the projection map  $\pi_1 : P_1 \oplus P_2 \rightarrow P_1$ . Clearly  $\pi_1(N)$  is a finitely generated submodule of  $P_1$ . Since  $P_1$  is semihereditary,  $\pi_1(N)$  must be projective. So  $N = (\text{Ker}\pi_1 \cap N) \oplus M$  where  $M \cong \pi_1(N)$ , since  $0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}\pi_1 \cap N \rightarrow N \rightarrow \pi_1(N) \rightarrow 0$  is exact. Thus  $\text{Ker}\pi_1 \cap N$  is a finitely generated submodule of  $P_2$ , and hence  $\text{Ker}\pi_1 \cap N$  is projective. Hence  $N$  is Projective.

As a result, if  $P$  is semihereditary module, then  $P^{(n)}$  is also semihereditary for all integers  $n > 0$ .

Recall a result due to Small [5] : A ring  $R$  is left semihereditary if and only if  $\text{Mat}_n(R)$  is left P.P for all integers  $n > 0$ .

With minor modifications the same argument in [4] also serves to establish the next lemma.

LEMMA 7. *Let  $R$  be a ring and  $P$  a finitely generated left  $R$ -module. If  $P$  is semihereditary, then  $S = \text{End}_R(P)$  is left P.P*

*Proof.* We will show that  $Sa$  is projective for every  $a \in S$ . Clearly  $Q = \text{Im}(a)$  is a finitely generated submodule of the semihereditary module  $P$ . So  $Q$  must be projective, hence there exists a map  $b : Q \rightarrow P$  such that  $ba = 1_Q$ . Thus  $Qb$  is a direct summand of  $P$ . Now let  $\pi : P \rightarrow Qb$  be the natural projection. Define  $f_b : Sa \rightarrow S\pi$  via  $sa \mapsto sab$ . Then  $f_b$  is well-defined  $S$ -homomorphism. It is easy to show that  $f_b$  is monomorphism. Moreover since  $P$  is projective, there exists a map  $g : P \rightarrow P$  such that  $\pi = gab = (ga)f_b$ . This implies that  $f_b$  is epimorphism. Thus  $Sa \cong S\pi$ . Since  $\pi$  is an idempotent,  $Sa$  is projective.

PROPOSITION 8. *Let  $R$  be a ring and  $P$  a finitely generated semihereditary left  $R$ -module. Then  $S = \text{End}_R(P)$  is left semihereditary.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 7,  $S = \text{End}_R(P)$  is a left P.P. ring and by proposition 6,  $\text{End}_R(P^{(n)})$  is left P.P. for all integer  $n > 0$ . Now note that  $\text{Mat}_n(S) \cong \text{End}_R(P^{(n)})$  as rings. So we have a semihereditary ring  $S = \text{End}_R(P)$  by adapting Small's result in [5].

This result yields the following corollary due to Colby and Rutter [2].

COROLLARY 9. *Let  $R$  be left semihereditary and  $P$  a finitely generated projective left  $R$ -module. Then  $S = \text{End}_R(P)$  is left semihereditary.*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. We would like to thank Professor J.K.Park for helpful comments.

### References

1. H.Bass, *Finitistic dimension and a homological generalization of semi-primary rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **95** (1960), 466–488.
2. R.R. Colby and E.A. Rutter, *Generalization of  $QF$ -3 algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **153** (1971), 371–386.
3. W. Evans, *On commutative P.P. rings*, Pacific J. Math. **41**(3) (1972), 687–697.
4. D.A. Hill, *Endomorphism rings of hereditary mouldles*, Arch. Math. Basel **28**(1) (1977), 45–50.
5. L. Small, *Semi-hereditary rings*, Bull Amer. Math. Soc. **73** (1967), 656–658.

Department of Mathematics  
KyungHee University  
Suwon 449-900, Korea