

## CHAIN RECURRENCE AND RESIDUAL SETS

SUNG KYU CHOI, KEON HEE LEE AND JONG SUH PARK

M. Hurley[3] showed that there is a residual subset  $J$  of the set of  $C^1$ -diffeomorphisms on any compact Riemannian manifold  $M$  such that for any  $f \in J$ , the maps

- (i)  $f \longrightarrow CR(f)$
- (ii)  $f \longrightarrow (\text{number of chain components for } f)$

are continuous.

The purpose of this paper is to extend the above result to  $C^1$ -flows on a compact Riemannian manifold  $M$ . The main result is

**THEOREM.** *There is a residual subset  $\mathcal{R}$  of  $\mathcal{X}'(M)$  such that for any  $X \in \mathcal{X}'(M)$  the maps*

- (i)  $X \longrightarrow CR(X)$
- (ii)  $X \longrightarrow (\text{number of chain components for } X)$

are continuous.

Throughout this paper  $M$  will denote a compact Riemannian manifold with metric  $d$ , and  $\mathcal{X}'$  will denote the set of  $C^1$ -flows on  $M$ , that is,

$$\mathcal{X}'(M) = \{X : M \times \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow M \text{ is } C^1 : X(x, 0) = x, \\ X(x, s + t) = X(X(x, s), t), x \in M, s, t \in \mathbf{R}\}.$$

We shall denote  $X(x, t)$  by  $X^t(x)$  or  $xt$  for  $(x, t) \in M \times \mathbf{R}$ . We give  $\mathcal{X}'(M)$  the topology generated by the  $C^0$ -metric on generating vector fields

$$d_0(X, Y) = \sup\{\|X'(x, 0) - Y'(x, 0)\| : X \in M\}.$$

---

Received Aug 29, 1990.

The present studies were supported by the Basic Science Research Institute Program, Ministry of Education, Korea, 1989.

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $a > 0$  be given. For any  $x, y \in M$ , we say that a sequence  $\{(x_i, t_i)\}_{i=1}^n$  in  $M \times \mathbf{R}$  is called an  $(\epsilon, a)$ -chain going from  $x$  to  $y$  for  $X \in \mathcal{X}(M)$  if

- (i)  $x_1 = x, t_i \geq a, i = 1, \dots, n,$
- (ii)  $d(x_{i-1} - t_{i-1}, x_i) < \epsilon$  and  $d(x_n t_n, y) < \epsilon,$

$i = 2, \dots, n.$  The sequence  $\{(x_i, t_i)\}_{i=1}^n$  is called an  $\epsilon$ -chain if  $1 \leq t_i \leq 2$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n.$

The chain recurrent set for  $X$ , denoted by  $CR(X)$ , is the set  $\{x \in M: \text{for each } \epsilon > 0 \text{ and } a > 0 \text{ there is an } (\epsilon, a)\text{-chain going from } x \text{ to } x\}.$  There is a natural equivalence relation defined on  $CR(X) : x \sim y$  if and only if for each  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $a > 0$  there exist two  $(\epsilon, a)$ -chains; going from  $x$  to  $y$  and going from  $y$  to  $x$ . Equivalence classes under this equivalence relation are called chain components of  $X$ . It turns out for flows on compact manifolds that the chain components are exactly the connected components of  $CR(X)$  (See [4]).

To Prove our theorem, we need some lemmas.

LEMMA 1. Let  $h : \mathbf{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$  be a strictly positive (but not necessarily continuous) function such that

- (1) for any  $\epsilon > 0, d(x, y) < h(\epsilon)$  and  $1 \leq t \leq 2$  imply that  $d(xt, yt) < \epsilon/4.$
- (2) for any  $i < j, h^j < h^i.$

If  $\{(x_i, t_i)\}_{i=1}^n$  is an  $h^n(\epsilon)$ -chain, then  $d(x_1 \sum_{i=1}^n t_i, x_n t_n) < \epsilon/2.$

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be arbitrary. Then we can choose  $0 < \delta(\epsilon) < \epsilon$  such that if  $d(x, y) < \delta$  then  $d(xt, yt) < \epsilon/4$  for any  $t \in [1, 2].$  The function  $h : \mathbf{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$  defined by  $h(\epsilon) = \delta(\epsilon)$  satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii). Hence we may assume that the function  $h$  exists.

We will prove the lemma by induction on  $n$ . If  $n = 1$ , there is nothing to prove. If  $n = 2$ , then the result is clear by the condition (i). Suppose the result is known for a given  $n \geq 2$ ; we shall establish it for  $n + 1$ .

Let  $\{(x_i, t_i)\}_{i=1}^{n+1}$  be an  $h^{n+1}(\epsilon)$ -chain. Since  $\{(x_i, t_i)\}_{i=1}^n$  is an  $h^n(h(\epsilon))$ -chain, we have

$$d(x_1 \sum_{i=1}^n t_i, x_n t_n) < h(\epsilon)/2 < h(\epsilon).$$

By the assumption (i), we get

$$d(x_1 \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} t_i, x_n(t_n + t_{n+1})) < \epsilon/4.$$

By the definition of the chain, we obtain

$$d(x_n t_n, x_{n+1}) < h^{n+1}(\epsilon) < h(\epsilon),$$

and so

$$d(x_n(t_n + t_{n+1}), x_{n+1} t_{n+1}) < \epsilon/4.$$

Consequently we have

$$d(x_1 \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} t_i, x_{n+1} t_{n+1}) < \epsilon/2.$$

**LEMMA 2.** For any tow points  $x, y$  in  $CR(M)$ ,  $x \sim y$  if and only if for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exist two  $\epsilon$ -chains; going from  $x$  to  $y$  and going from  $y$  to  $x$ .

*Proof.* The necessity is obvious. To prove the sufficiency, we let  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $a > 0$  be arbitrary. We may assume that  $a > 1$ . We shall construct  $(\epsilon, a)$ -chains; going from  $x$  to  $y$  and going from  $y$  to  $x$ . Let  $p$  be the greatest integer smaller than  $a + 1$ . Choose two  $2^{2p}(\epsilon)$ -chains;  $\{(x_i, t_i)\}_{i=1}^n$  going from  $x$  to  $y$  and  $\{(y_j, s_j)\}_{j=1}^m$  going from  $y$  to  $x$ . For each  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$  with  $1 \leq k \leq (p+1)n + pm$ , define  $z_k \in M$  and  $1 \leq r_k \leq 2$  as follows:

$$z_k = \begin{cases} x_{k-i(n+m)}, & \text{if } i(n+m) < k \leq (i+1)n + im, \text{ where } i = 0, 1, \dots, p, \\ y_{k-(j+1)n-jm}, & \text{if } (j+1)n + jm < k \leq (j+1)(n+m), \\ & \text{where } j = 0, 1, \dots, p-1, \end{cases}$$

$$r_k = \begin{cases} t_{k-i(n+m)}, & \text{if } i(n+m) < k \leq (i+1)n + im, \text{ where } i = 0, 1, \dots, p, \\ s_{k-(j+1)n-jm}, & \text{if } (j+1)n + jm < k \leq (j+1)(n+m), \\ & \text{where } j = 0, 1, \dots, p-1. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\{(z_l, r_l), \dots, (z_{(p+1)n+pm}, r_{(p+1)n+pm})\}$  is also an  $h^{2p}(\epsilon)$ -chain going from  $x$  to  $y$ . Let  $(p+1)n+pm = sp+q$  for some  $0 \leq q < p$ . Since  $h^{2p}(\epsilon) < h^p(\epsilon)$ ,  $\{(z_{(i-1)p+1}, r_{(i-1)p+1}), \dots, (z_{ip}, r_{ip})\}$  is an  $h^p(\epsilon)$ -chain. By Lemma 1, we have

$$d(z_{(i-1)p+1}, \sum_{j=1}^p r_{(i-1)p+j}, z_{ip} r_{ip}) < \epsilon/2.$$

Since  $h^{2p}(\epsilon) < h^{p+q}(\epsilon)$ ,  $\{(z_{(s-1)p+1}, r_{(s-1)p+1}), \dots, (z_{sp+q}, r_{sp+q})\}$  is an  $h^{p+q}(\epsilon)$ -chain. By Lemma 1, we have

$$d(z_{(s-1)p+1}, \sum_{j=1}^{p+q} r_{(s-1)p+j}, z_{sp+q} r_{sp+q}) < \epsilon/2.$$

Let  $w_1 = z_1$ ,  $w_2 = z_{p+1}$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $w_s = z_{(s-1)p+1}$ . Let  $\tau_1 = \sum_{j=1}^p r_j$ ,  $\tau_2 =$

$\sum_{j=1}^p r_{p+j}$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $\tau_s = \sum_{j=1}^{p+q} r_{(s-1)p+j}$ . Then the sequence  $\{(w_i, \tau_i)\}_{i=1}^s$  is a desired  $(\epsilon, a)$ -chain going from  $x$  to  $y$ . Similarly we can construct an  $(\epsilon, a)$ -chain going from  $y$  to  $x$ . This completes the proof.

Let  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  be metric spaces with  $X_2$  compact. Let  $FX_2$  be the set of all closed non-empty subsets of  $X_2$  with the Hausdorff metric

$$\rho(A, B) = \max\left\{\sup_{a \in A} d(a, B), \sup_{b \in B} d(A, b)\right\}.$$

A map  $f : X_1 \rightarrow FX_2$  is called upper (lower) semicontinuous at  $x \in X_1$  if for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $d(s, y) < \delta$  then  $f(y) \subset B(f(x), \epsilon)$ ,  $(f(y) \subset B(f(x), \epsilon))$  respectively. Recall that a subset  $S$  of a topological space  $X_1$  is residual if  $S$  can be realized as a countable intersection of open dense subsets of  $X_1$ .

**LEMMA 3.** [3]. *Let  $f : X_1 \rightarrow FX_2$  be upper (lower) semicontinuous. Then the set of all continuity points of  $f$  is a residual subset of  $X_1$ .*

Now we consider a map

$$CR : \mathcal{X}'(M) \longrightarrow FM$$

sending  $X \in \mathcal{X}'(M)$  to  $CR(X)$ . We will show that there exists a residual subset  $\mathcal{R}_1$  of  $\mathcal{X}'(M)$  such that the map  $CR$  is continuous in each point of  $\mathcal{R}$ . For this, we need a lemma.

**LEMMA 4.** Suppose a sequence  $X_n$  in  $\mathcal{X}'(M)$  converges to  $X$ ,  $x_n \longrightarrow x$  and  $y_n \longrightarrow y$  in  $M$ , and that for each  $n$  and each positive  $\epsilon$  there is an  $\epsilon$ -chain for  $X_n$  going from  $x_n$  to  $y_n$ . Then for each  $\epsilon > 0$  there is an  $\epsilon$ -chain for  $X$  going from  $x$  to  $y$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be arbitrary and let  $a \in M$ . For any  $t \in [1, 2]$  there is  $\delta(a, t) > 0$  such that if  $d_0(X, Y) < \delta(a, t)$ ,  $d(a, b) < \delta(a, t)$  and  $|t - s| < \delta(a, t)$  then  $d(X^t(a), Y^s(b)) < \epsilon/4$ . Since  $[1, 2]$  is compact, there exists a finite set  $\{t_i \in [1, 2] : i = 1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $[1, 2] \subset \cup_{i=1}^n B(t_i, \delta(a, t_i))$ . Let  $\delta(a) = \min\{\delta(a, t_i) : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ . Then we have that if  $d_0(X, Y) < \delta(a)$  and  $d(a, b) < \delta(a)$ , then  $d(X^t(a), Y^t(b)) < \epsilon/2$  for any  $t \in [1, 2]$ . Hence, for any  $a \in M$ , we can choose  $\delta(a) > 0$  such that if  $d_0(X, Y) < \delta(a)$  and  $d(a, b) < \delta(a)$ , then  $d(X^t(a), Y^t(b)) < \epsilon/2$  for any  $t \in [1, 2]$ . Consider the open covering  $\{B(a, \delta(a)/2) : a \in M\}$  of  $M$ . We can select a finite set  $\{a_i \in M : i = 1, \dots, n\}$  of  $M$  such that  $M = \bigcup_{i=1}^n B(a_i, \delta(a_i)/2)$ . Let  $\delta = \min\{\delta(a_i)/2 > 0 : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ . Then we have that if  $d_0(X, Y) < \delta$  and  $d(a, b) < \delta$ , then  $d(X^t(a), Y^t(b)) < \epsilon$  for any  $a, b \in M$  and any  $t \in [1, 2]$ . We may assume that there exists  $0 < \delta < \epsilon/3$  such that if  $d_0(X, Y) < \delta$  and  $d(a, b) < \delta$ , then  $d(X^t(a), Y^t(b)) < \epsilon/3$  for any  $t \in [1, 2]$ . Choose  $m$  such that  $d_0(X_m, X) < \epsilon$ ,  $d(x_m, x) < \delta$  and  $d(y_m, y) < \delta$ . By the assumption, we select  $\epsilon/3$ -chain  $\{(z_i, t_i)\}_{i=1}^n$  for  $X_m$  going from  $x_m$  to  $y_m$ . Then the sequence  $\{(x, t_1), (z_2, t_2), \dots, (z_n, t_n)\}$  in an  $\epsilon$ -chain for  $X$  going from  $x$  to  $y$ . This completes the proof.

**THEOREM 5.** There is a residual subset  $\mathcal{R}_1$  of  $\mathcal{X}'(M)$  such that the map  $CR$  is continuous in each point of  $\mathcal{R}_1$ .

*Proof.* It is enough to show that the map  $CR$  is upper semicontinuous. Suppose not. Then we can choose  $\epsilon > 0$  such that for each  $n > 0$  there

exists  $X_n \in \mathcal{X}'(M)$  such that

$$d_0(X_n, X) < 1/n \text{ and } CR(X_n) \not\subset B(CR(X), \epsilon).$$

Thus we can select  $x_n \in CR(X_n)$  satisfying  $d(x_n, CR(X)) \geq \epsilon$ . We may assume that  $x_n \rightarrow x \in M$ . Then we have  $d(x, CR(X)) \geq \epsilon$ . Since  $X_n \rightarrow X$  and  $x_n \in CR(X_n)$ , by lemma 4, we get  $x \in CR(X)$ . This is a contraction, and so completes the proof.

We consider a map

$$N : \mathcal{X}'(M) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$$

sending each  $X \in \mathcal{X}'(M)$  to the number of chain components for  $X$ . We will show that there exists a residual subset  $\mathcal{R}$  of  $\mathcal{X}'(M)$  such that the map  $N$  is continuous in each point of  $\mathcal{R}$ . For this we need a lemma which characterizes the lower semicontinuity using the concept of openness.

**LEMMA 6.** *A map  $f : X_1 \rightarrow FX_2$  is lower semicontinuous at  $x \in X_1$  if and only if for any open subset  $U$  of  $X_2$  with  $U \cap f(x) \neq \phi$ , there exists a neighborhood  $V$  of  $x$  in  $X_1$  such that  $V \cap f(y) \neq \phi$  for any  $y \in V$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that the map  $f$  is lower semicontinuous at  $x \in X_1$ . Let  $z \in U \cap f(x)$ . Then there is  $\epsilon > 0$  satisfying  $B(z, \epsilon) \subset U$ . By the assumption, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $d(x, y) < \delta$  then  $f(x) \subset B(f(y), \epsilon)$ . Put  $V = B(x, \delta)$ . For any  $y \in V$ , we have  $z \in f(x) \subset B(f(y), \epsilon)$ , and so  $d(z, w) < \epsilon$  for some  $w \in f(y)$ . This means that  $f(y) \cap U \neq \phi$ .

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be arbitrary. Since  $\{B(z, \epsilon/2) : z \in f(x)\}$  is an open covering of  $f(x)$ , there are  $z_1, \dots, z_n \in f(x)$  such that  $f(x) \subset \cup_{i=1}^n B(z_i, \epsilon/2)$ . By the assumption, there exist neighborhoods  $V_i$  of  $x$  such that if  $y \in V_i$  then  $B(z_i, \epsilon/2) \cap f(y) \neq \phi$ . Choose  $\delta > 0$  satisfying  $B(x, \delta) \subset \cap_{i=1}^n V_i$ . Then we have that if  $d(x, y) < \delta$  then  $f(x) \subset B(f(y), \epsilon)$ . To show this, we let  $w \in f(x)$ . Then we have  $w \in B(z_i, \epsilon/2)$  for some  $i$ . Since  $y \in B(x, \delta) \subset V_i$ ,  $B(z_i, \epsilon/2) \cap f(y) \neq \phi$ , say  $u \in B(z_i, \epsilon/2) \cap f(y)$ . Then we get

$$d(w, u) \leq d(w, z_i) + d(z_i, u) < \epsilon$$

This implies that  $w \in B(f(y), \epsilon)$ , and so completes the proof.

**THEOREM 7.** *There is a residual subset  $\mathcal{R}$  of  $\mathcal{R}_1$  such that the map  $N$  is continuous in each point of  $\mathcal{R}$ .*

*Proof.* It is enough to show that the map  $N : \mathcal{R}_1 \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is lower semicontinuous. Let  $X \in \mathcal{R}_1$  and suppose that  $N(X)$  is finite so that we can list the  $X$ -chain components  $M_1, \dots, M_k$ . Then there is  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $B(M_i, \epsilon) \cap B(M_j, \epsilon) = \phi$  if  $i \neq j$ . Since the map  $CR$  is continuous, by Lemma 6, we can choose  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $d_0(X, Y) < \delta$ , then

$$CR(Y) \subset B(CR(X), \epsilon) \text{ and } CR(Y) \cap B(M_i, \epsilon) \neq \phi$$

for all  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Let  $A$  be a chain component of  $CR(Y)$ . Then we have

$$A \subset CR(Y) \subset B(CR(X), \epsilon) \subset \cup_{i=1}^k B(M_i, \epsilon)$$

Thus we obtain

$$A = A \cap (\cup_{i=1}^k B(M_i, \epsilon)) = \cup_{i=1}^k (A \cap B(M_i, \epsilon)).$$

Since  $A$  is a connected component in  $CR(Y)$ , there exists a unique  $i$  satisfying  $A \cap B(M_i, \epsilon) \neq \phi$ . Hence we have  $A \subset B(M_i, \epsilon)$ . For any  $i = 1, \dots, k$ ,  $CR(Y) \cap B(M_i, \epsilon) \neq \phi$ . By the above property, we can choose a unique chain component  $B$  of  $CR(Y)$  such that  $B \subset B(M_i, \epsilon)$ . This implies that  $N(X) \leq N(Y)$ .

Suppose that  $N(X) = \infty$ . For each  $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ , we can choose  $\delta(n) > 0$  such that if  $d_0(X, Y) < \delta$  the  $N(Y) \geq n$ , by the above property. This means that  $\lim_{Y \rightarrow X} N(Y) = \infty$ . Hence  $N$  is continuous at  $X$ . This completes the proof.

A residual subset of a residual set is also residual. By combining Theorem 6 and Theorem 7, we obtain the main theorem of this paper.

**THEOREM 8.** *There is a residual subset  $\mathcal{R}$  of  $\mathcal{X}'(M)$  such that the maps  $CR$  and  $N$  are continuous in each point of  $\mathcal{R}$ .*

## References

1. J.P. Aubin, *Applied Abstract Analysis*, Wiley-Interscience Publication, New York, 1977.

2. M. Hurley, *Attractors : persistence, and density of their basins*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **269** (1982), 247–271.
3. \_\_\_\_\_, *Bifurcation and chain recurrence*, Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys. **3** (1983), 231–240.
4. \_\_\_\_\_, *Fixed points of topologically stable flows*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **294** (1986), 625–633.
5. M. Komuro, *One-parameter flows with the pseudo-orbit tracing property*, Mh. Math. **98** (1984), 219–253.

Department of Mathematics  
Chungnam National University  
Taejon 305-764, Korea