

HANDLE ATTACHING ON GENERIC MAPS II

YOUN W. LEE

1. Introduction

Let V^n and M^m be smooth manifolds of dimension n and m , respectively, with $3n + 3 \leq 2m$ throughout the paper unless it is said otherwise. We also assume that V is closed. In [6], Haefliger reduces the problem of homotoping a map from V into M to a smooth embedding to the construction of an equivariant map between two proper spaces, where the construction of such a map can be considered as a homotopy theoretic problem. There are a few applications of Haefliger's result, especially when $M = \mathbf{R}^m$ ([1], [3], [4], [10]).

In this paper, we study embedding and immersion problems when V and M are $(\lfloor(2n - m + 1)/2\rfloor + 1)$ -connected and $6 \leq 2n - m$. The main tools are the handle subtraction (Proposition 2 of [5]) and the handle attaching (Lemma 1 of this paper) on generic maps (see [5] for the definition of generic map).

Following the notation of [5], if $f : V \rightarrow M$ is a generic map, let $\Delta(f)$ denote the closure of the double point set, $S'(f) = \partial\Delta(f)$, the set of singular values of f , $D(f) = f^{-1}(\Delta(f))$ and $S(f) = f^{-1}(S'(f))$. All these sets are smooth manifolds and if one is contained in the other it is contained as a submanifold, and the dimension of $\Delta(f)$ is equal to $2n - m$. Let H be a $(p - r)$ -handle, $-1 \leq r \leq p$, in $\Delta(f)$ relative to $S'(f)$, where $p = 2n - m - 1$. We use p for this number in the rest of the paper. Now H determines uniquely an element $\theta(H)$ in $\pi_{p-r+1}(f)$ up to sign (see 5.3 how this is defined). We say that H can be subtracted from f if and only if $\theta(H) = 0$. This definition is motivated from the main result (Proposition 2) of [5] which says that given a null homotopy of $\theta(H)$, one can construct a generic map f' homotopic to f such that $\Delta(f')$ is diffeomorphic to the closure of $\Delta(f) - H$. Therefore, it follows that if f is $(p + 2)$ -connected, then all the handles in $\Delta(f)$ can be subtracted in any handle decomposition of

$(\Delta(f), S'(f))$, thus f is homotopic to an embedding. We call f' the result of a handle subtraction on f . Note that f' depends on the null homotopy. By reversing the handle subtraction, $\Delta(f)$ can be viewed as being obtained from $\Delta(f')$ by attaching an $(r + 1)$ -handle to $\Delta(f')$ along $S'(f')$.

This raises the following question. Given an embedding $h : S^r \times D^{p-r} \rightarrow S'(f)$, $-1 \leq r \leq p$, is there a generic map f' homotopic to f such that $\Delta(f')$ is diffeomorphic to $\Delta(f)$ with an $(r + 1)$ -handle attached using h ? The main result (Lemma 1) of this paper shows that handle attaching can be done with some restrictions on h and r . The handle attaching lemma is proved in Section 2 following the steps of handle subtraction in [5] with time (τ) inverted. But handle attaching requires more work (see 2.1-2.5) than handle subtraction. A second proof of the lemma was suggested in [9]. Using handle attaching, we show that surgeries can be done (Lemma 2) on the interior of $\Delta(f)$ under proper conditions. It follows (2.7-2.9) that if V and M are $(\lfloor(p + 1)/2\rfloor + 1)$ -connected, then it is possible to subtract or attach handles, or do surgeries on $\Delta(f)$ below the middle dimension with one exception.

We now give some result obtained by applying these operations. If $3n < 2m$, then every map from V into M can be approximated by a generic map and an immersion can be approximated by an immersion which is generic. We will call such an immersion a generic immersion and an immersion will mean a generic immersion in this paper.

DEFINITION. An immersion from V into M is orientable if its double point set is orientable.

Note that every immersion is orientable if $m - n$ is even.

DEFINITION. A generic map f is nice if $\Delta(f)$ is orientable and $S(f)$ is two-sided in $D(f)$. Nice homotopy is defined the same way.

DEFINITION. A generic map f is a pseudo-embedding if $\Delta(f) \cong D^{2n-m}$ (\cong means "is diffeomorphic to").

Note that every embedding is homotopic to a pseudo-embedding by Lemma 1.

THEOREM 1. *Let V and M be $(\lfloor(p + 1)/2\rfloor + 1)$ -connected and let $f : V \rightarrow M$ be a map. Then the following are equivalent to each other.*

- (a) f is homotopic to an orientable immersion.
- (b) f is homotopic to a nice map.
- (c) f is homotopic to a pseudo-embedding.

The theorem suggests that there are two stages of obstructions to homotoping a map to an embedding under the connectivity assumption on V and M in Theorem 1. The first is to homotoping a map to a pseudo-embedding and the second is to homotoping a pseudo-embedding to an embedding. Next theorem identifies the second obstruction.

Given a map $f : V \rightarrow M$, let $\pi_i(f) = \pi_i(Z(f), V)$, where $Z(f)$ denotes the mapping cylinder of f , and let $\pi_i(f)^+ = \pi_i(f) / \sim$, where $a \sim b$ if $a = \pm b$.

THEOREM 2. *Let V and M be $([(p + 2)/2] + 1)$ -connected and let $f : V \rightarrow M$ be a pseudo-embedding. Then there exists an element $\Gamma(f) \in \pi_{p+2}(f)^+$ such that f is nicely homotopic to an embedding if and only if $\Gamma(f) = [0]$.*

A similar result was obtained in [3] by assuming that the immersion has a non-trivial normal vector field with a stronger connectivity condition on M .

We now describe the first obstruction in a form of a test. Under the connectivity condition on V and M , if $m - n$ is even, the obstruction is a geometric approach to the immersion classification theorem of [7]. It may also be regarded as a partial converse of the embedding theorem of [5]: the embedding theorem was proved by showing that a relative r -handle in $(\Delta(f), S'(f))$ of a generic map f can be subtracted if the element of $\pi_{r+1}(f)$ determined by the handle is trivial. If the element is not trivial, then the handle can not be subtracted by the method of [5]. But it is possible that f may still be homotopic to an embedding. Next theorem shows that if a generic map g homotopic to f is chosen properly, then the failure of a handle subtraction from $(\Delta(g), S'(g))$ implies that g can not be homotopic to a pseudo-embedding, thus f can never be homotopic to an embedding.

The test works as follows. Suppose that V and M are $([(p+2)/2]+1)$ -connected and we are given a map from V to M . We first approximate the map by a generic map f . If f is nice, then the test ends. So assume that f is not nice. Then by a sequence of handle attaching and

subtraction on f , we can find a generic map (not nice) g homotopic to f such that $\pi_1(S'(g)) \cong \pi_1(\Delta(g)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, and $\Delta(g)$ and $S'(g)$ are $(\lfloor (p+1)/2 \rfloor - 1)$ and $(\lfloor p/2 \rfloor - 1)$ -connected, respectively (see 5.1).

THEOREM 3. *Under the above notation, f is homotopic to a nice generic map if and only if we can subtract all the handles except for a top dimensional one in any handle decomposition of $\Delta(g)$ relative to $S'(g)$.*

The handle attaching lemma is proved in (2.1-2.5), the surgery lemma is proved in (2.6), and the possibility of killing homotopy elements of $\Delta(f)$ and $S'(f)$ is studied in (2.7-2.9). Theorem 1, 2 and 3 are proved in Section 3, 4 and 5, respectively.

2. Handle attaching lemma

Let $f : V \rightarrow M$ be a generic map and $h : S^r \times D^{p-r} \rightarrow S'(f)$, $-1 \leq r \leq p$, be an embedding. Suppose that $f' : V \rightarrow M$ is a generic map homotopic to f such that $\Delta(f') \cong \mathcal{H}(\Delta(f), h) = \Delta(f) \cup_h D^{r+1} \times D^{p-r}$. We call f' the result of a handle attaching on f using h . If such a handle attaching is possible, it follows that $f^{-1}h$ is contractible in V . So the contractibility is a necessary condition for a handle attaching. But this condition is not sufficient to attach a handle in general. We may need to modify h before we can attach a handle.

Let $s_* : \pi_r(SO_{p-r}) \rightarrow \pi_r(SO)$ (the stable homotopy group), $r \geq -1$, be the homomorphism induced by the inclusion map. If $r = -1$, both groups are understood to be trivial and if $r = 0$, they must be replaced by $\pi_0(O_p)$ and $\pi_0(O)$, respectively. The following discussions are made for $r \geq 1$ but with proper modification they hold true for $r = -1, 0$.

Given $\lambda \in \pi_r(SO_{p-r})$ define new embedding h_λ by $h_\lambda(x, v) = h(x, \lambda(x)v)$, $(x, v) \in S^r \times D^{p-r}$.

LEMMA 1. *Let $f : V \rightarrow M$ be a generic map, and let $h : S^r \times D^{p-r} \rightarrow S'(f)$ be an embedding such that $f^{-1}(h|S^r \times \{0\})$ is null homotopic in V . Assume that $S(f)$ is two-sided in $D(f)$ over the image of $f^{-1}h$ if $r = 1$. If $s_* : \pi_r(SO_{p-r}) \rightarrow \pi_r(SO)$ is onto, then there exists an element $\lambda \in \pi_r(SO_{p-r})$ and a generic map g homotopic to f such that $\Delta(g)$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathcal{H}(\Delta(f), h_\lambda)$. Furthermore, λ can be replaced with any element in the coset $\text{Ker}(s_*) + \lambda$ in $\pi_r(SO_{p-r})$.*

We prove the lemma by following the steps and notation of the proof of Proposition 2 of [5].

2.1. Let $g_\tau, 0 \leq \tau \leq 1$, be the family of maps from

$$\mathbf{R}^n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m-n}, u_1, \dots, u_{p-r}, v_1, \dots, v_{r+1})$$

to

$$\mathbf{R}^m(X_1, \dots, X_{m-n}, Y_1, \dots, Y_{m-n}, U_1, \dots, U_{p-r}, V_1, \dots, V_{r+1})$$

defined in 4.4 of [5]. We repeat the definition for the convenience of readers.

Let $u^2 = \sum u_j^2, v^2 = \sum v_j^2, w^2 = u^2 + v^2, R^2 = U^2 + V^2$ and $\rho^2 = \sum_{1 < i \leq m-n} x_i^2$. Let γ be an even function with variable x so that $\gamma(x) = 1$ for $|x| \leq 1, \gamma(x) = 0$ for $|x| \geq 2$, and it increases for $x < 0$. Define $\theta(w^2) = \theta_0^2 \gamma(w^2/\theta_0^2)$, where θ_0 is a real number, $0 < \theta_0 \leq 1$. Let α be an even function in ρ such that $0 \leq \alpha(\rho) \leq 1, \alpha(0) = 1$ and $\alpha(\rho) = 0$ for $\rho > \varepsilon$, where ε is a positive real number. Then g_τ is defined as follows.

$$g_\tau : \begin{cases} X_1 &= x_1(1 - 2Y_1) \\ Y_1 &= A(u^2, v^2, \rho^2, \tau)\gamma(x_1)/(1 + x_1^2) \\ X_i &= x_i, Y_i = x_1 x_i, 1 < i \leq m - n \\ U_j &= u_j, V_j = v_j, \end{cases}$$

where $A(u^2, v^2, \rho^2, \tau) = [1 + \theta(w^2)(1 - 2\alpha(\rho)\tau) + 2v^2]/(1 + w^2)$. It can be checked that g_τ is a generic map for $\tau \neq 1/2$.

Let K_ε be the subset of \mathbf{R}^n defined by $|x_1| \leq 2, \rho^2 \leq \varepsilon^2$ and $w \leq 2\theta_0$, and let K'_ε be the subset of \mathbf{R}^m defined by $|X_1| \leq 2, \sum_{i>1} X_i^2 \leq \varepsilon^2, 0 \leq Y_1 \leq 1, \sum_{i>1} Y_i^2 \leq 4\varepsilon^2$ and $R \leq 2\theta_0$. Then $g_\tau^{-1}(K'_\varepsilon) = K_\varepsilon$ for all τ and g_τ is fixed outside of K_ε in τ .

Let K denote $K_\varepsilon(x_i = 0, i > 1)$, i.e., K is the intersection of K_ε with the plane $x_i = 0, i > 1$, and $K' = K'_\varepsilon(X_i = Y_i = 0, i > 1)$.

Let H_+^{p-r+1} be the $(p-r+1)$ -dimensional upper half disk consisted of points in $(p-r+1)$ -disk whose last coordinate is non-negative and let H_-^{p-r+1} be the lower half. Let D_0^{p-r} be the subset of points in H_+^{p-r+1} whose last coordinate is equal to 0. Regard D^{p-r+1} as the

union of H_+^{p-r+1} and H_-^{p-r+1} identified along D_0^{p-r} . We use 1 for θ_0 from now on.

Let S_0^r and S_1^r be the r -spheres contained in K_ϵ and K'_ϵ , respectively, satisfying the following equations.

$$S_0^r; x_i = 0, u_j = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad v^2 = 1.$$

$$S_1^r; X_i = 0, Y_1 = 1/2, Y_j = 0, 1 < j, U_k = 0, V^2 = 1.$$

We also let $D_0^{r+1} = K_\epsilon(x_i = 0, u_j = 0, v^2 \leq 1)$ and $D_1^{r+1} = K'_\epsilon(X_i = 0, Y_1 = 1/2, Y_j = 0, 1 < j, U_k = 0, V^2 \leq 1)$.

From the description of $\Delta(g_r)$ in 4.4 of [5], $\Delta(g_1) \cap K'_\epsilon$ can be naturally identified with $S_1^r \times H_+^{p-r+1}$, $S'(g_1) \cap K'_\epsilon$ with $S_1^r \times D_0^{p-r}$, $D(g_1) \cap K_\epsilon$ with $S_0^r \times D^{p-r+1}$ and $S(g_1)$ with $S_0^r \times D_0^{p-r}$. These identifications can be done so that $\partial/\partial U_1, \dots, \partial/\partial U_{p-r}$ and ν'_0 are independent normal vector fields to $S_1^r \times \{0\}$ in $S_1^r \times H_+^{p-r+1}$ (0 denotes the center of a disk), where ν'_0 , a linear combination of $\partial/\partial V_1, \dots, \partial/\partial V_{r+1}$, is a normal vector field to $S_1^r \times D_0^{p-r}$ in $S_1^r \times H_+^{p-r+1}$, and $\partial/\partial u_1, \dots, \partial/\partial u_{p-r}$ and $\partial/\partial x_1$ are independent normal vector fields to $S_0^r \times \{0\}$ in $S_0^r \times D^{p-r+1}$. We may further assume that $g_1(v, y) = (v, y) \in S_1^r \times H_+^{p-r+1}$ for $(v, y) \in S_0^r \times H_+^{p-r+1}$ and $g_1(v, y') = (v, y) \in S_1^r \times H_+^{p-r+1}$ for $(v, y') \in S_0^r \times H_-^{p-r+1}$, where the last coordinate of y is equal to $-$ (last coordinate of y') and the other coordinates of y and y' are the same.

Now $\Delta(g_0) \cap K'_\epsilon$ is diffeomorphic to $\Delta(g_1) \cap K'_\epsilon$ with an ambient $(r + 1)$ -handle attached in K'_ϵ using a small thickening of S_1^r in $S_1^r \times D_0^{p-r}$, where the core of the handle is D_1^{r+1} .

Given a generic map $f : V \rightarrow M$ and an embedding $h : S^r \times D^{p-r} \rightarrow S'(f)$ with $f^{-1}(h|S^r \times \{0\})$ null homotopic in V , if $s_* : \pi_r(SO_{p-r}) \rightarrow \pi_r(SO)$ is onto, then we construct diffeomorphisms $H : K_\epsilon \rightarrow V$ and $H' : K'_\epsilon \rightarrow M$ such that

- (1) $H'g_1 = fH$ over K_ϵ
- (2) $f^{-1}H'(K'_\epsilon) = H(K_\epsilon)$
- (3) $H' | S_1^r \times D_0^{p-r} = h_\lambda$ for some $\lambda \in \pi_r(SO_{p-r})$.

If generic map g is defined by $g = f$ outside of $H(K_\epsilon)$ and $g = H'g_0H^{-1}$ over $H(K_\epsilon)$, then g satisfies the conclusions of Lemma 1. We construct H and H' in several steps as in 4.5 through 4.6 of [5].

2.2. Over $(D(g_1) \cap K_\epsilon) \cup$ (a neighborhood of $S(g_1) \cap K_\epsilon$ in K_ϵ) and $(\Delta(g_1) \cap K'_\epsilon) \cup$ (a neighborhood of $S'(g_1) \cap K'_\epsilon$ in K'_ϵ).

Define $H'_1 : S_1^r \times H_+^{p-r+1} \rightarrow M$ such that $H'_1|_{S_1^r \times D_0^{p-r}} = h$ and H'_1 gives a collar neighborhood of $H'_1(S_1^r \times D_0^{p-r})$ in $\Delta(f)$. Then we can find a diffeomorphism $H_1 : S_0^r \times D^{p-r+1} \rightarrow V$ so that the conditions (1), (2) and (3) hold. Here we use the assumption that $S(f)$ is two-sided in $D(f)$ over $f^{-1}(\text{Im}(h))$ when $r = 1$.

Let $e_i = \partial/\partial x_i$, $1 \leq i \leq m-n$ and $e'_i = \partial/\partial X_i$, $e''_i = \partial/\partial Y_i$, $2 \leq i \leq m-n$. Since $2(2n-m) < n$ and $H_1|(S_0^r \times D_0^{p-r})$ is null homotopic in V , there exist independent normal vector fields $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{m-n}, \nu$ to $H_1(S_0^r \times D_0^{p-r})$ in V , where $\xi_1 = dH_1(e_1)$ is a unit normal vector field to $H_1(S_0^r \times D_0^{p-r})$ in $H_1(S_0^r \times D^{p-r+1})$. Define an isomorphism $dH_2 : T(K_\epsilon)|_{S_0^r \times D_0^{p-r}} \rightarrow T(V)|_{H_1(S_0^r \times D_0^{p-r})}$ by $dH_2(e_i) = \xi_i$, $1 \leq i \leq m-n$, $dH_2(\nu_0) = \nu$, where ν_0 is the unit normal vector field to $S_0^r \times D^{p-r+1}$ in K , thus ν_0 is a linear combination of $\partial/\partial v_1, \dots, \partial/\partial v_{r+1}$. By 3.2 and 3.3 of [5], there exist diffeomorphisms H_2 from $(D(g_1) \cap K_\epsilon) \cup$ (a neighborhood of $S(g_1) \cap K_\epsilon$ in K_ϵ) into V and H'_2 from $(\Delta(g_1) \cap K'_\epsilon) \cup$ (a neighborhood of $S'(g_1) \cap K'_\epsilon$ in K'_ϵ) into M such that H_2 and H'_2 extend H_1 and H'_1 , respectively, and (1), (2) and (3) hold.

Before further extensions of H_2 and H'_2 are considered, we study the possibility of choosing H_2 and H'_2 differently, which is crucial in the proof of the lemma.

2.3. Let $\lambda_1 \in \pi_r(SO_{p-r})$, $\lambda_2 \in \pi_r(SO_{m-n-1}) \cong \pi_r(SO)$, $u = (u_1, \dots, u_{p-r})$, $v = (v_1, \dots, v_{r+1})$, $U = (U_1, \dots, U_{p-r})$ and $V = (V_1, \dots, V_{r+1})$. Define diffeomorphisms $J(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ of $\mathbf{R}^n(|v| \neq 0)$ onto itself and $J'(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ of $\mathbf{R}^m(|V| \neq 0)$ onto itself by $J(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m-n}, u, v) = (x_1, \lambda_2(v/|v|)(x_2, \dots, x_{m-n}), \lambda_1(v/|v|)(u), v)$ and $J'(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{m-n}, Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_{m-n}, U, V) = (X_1, \lambda_2(V/|V|)(X_2, \dots, X_{m-n}), Y_1, \lambda_2(V/|V|)(Y_2, \dots, Y_{m-n}), \lambda_1(V/|V|)(U), V)$. Then $g_1 J(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = J'(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) g_1$ over $\mathbf{R}^n(|v| \neq 0)$, $J(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)(K_\epsilon, |v| \neq 0) = (K_\epsilon, |v| \neq 0)$, $J(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)(D(g_1) \cap K_\epsilon) = D(g_1) \cap K_\epsilon$, $J(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)|_{S_0^r} = id$ of S_0^r , $J'(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)(K'_\epsilon, |V| \neq 0) = (K'_\epsilon, |V| \neq 0)$, $J'(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)(\Delta(g_1) \cap K'_\epsilon) = \Delta(g_1) \cap K'_\epsilon$ and $J'(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)|_{S_1^r} = id$ of S_1^r .

By choosing proper domains, H_2 and H'_2 can be replaced by $H_2 J(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ and $H'_2 J'(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$, respectively. Clearly $H'_2 J'(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)|_{S_1^r \times D_0^{p-r}} = h_{\lambda_1}$.

If $dJ(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ is restricted over $T(K_\epsilon)|_{S(g_1) \cap K_\epsilon}$, then $dJ(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)(e_1) = e_1$, $dJ(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)(\nu_0) = \nu_0$ and $dJ(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)(e_i) = \lambda_2(v/|v|)(e_i)$, $2 \leq i \leq$

$m - n$.

If $dJ'(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ is restricted over $T(K'_\epsilon) | S'(g_1) \cap K'_\epsilon$, then $dJ'(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ $(\partial/\partial X_1, \partial/\partial Y_1) = (\partial/\partial X_1, \partial/\partial Y_1)$, $dJ'(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)(e'_i) = \lambda_2(V/|V|)(e'_i)$, $2 \leq i \leq m - n$, $dJ'(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)(e''_i) = \lambda_2(V/|V|)(e''_i)$, $2 \leq i \leq m - n$, and $dJ'(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)(\nu'_0) = \nu'_0$, where ν'_0 is defined in 2.1.

REMARK. Suppose that \bar{H}_2 and \bar{H}'_2 are two diffeomorphisms that can replace H_2 and H'_2 , respectively, in 2.2. If we assume that $H_2 = \bar{H}_2$ over S^r_0 and $H'_2 = \bar{H}'_2$ over S^r_1 , then $H_2^{-1} \bar{H}_2$ and $H'^{-1}_2 \bar{H}'_2$ are diffeomorphisms of small neighborhoods of S^r_0 and S^r_1 into themselves, respectively. It can be seen that if there exist $\lambda_1 \in \pi_r(SO_{p-r})$ and $\lambda_2 \in \pi_r(SO_{m-n-1})$ such that $d(H_2^{-1} \bar{H}_2) = dJ(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ on the tangent subspace generated by e_i , $1 < i \leq m - n$ and $\partial/\partial u_1, \dots, \partial/\partial u_{p-r}$ along S^r_0 , then $d(H'^{-1}_2 \bar{H}'_2) = dJ'(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ on the tangent subspace generated by e'_i, e''_i , $1 < i \leq m - n$ and $\partial/\partial U_1, \dots, \partial/\partial U_{p-r}$ along S^r_1 .

2.4. Over K and K' .

As in 4.6 of [5], we can find diffeomorphisms $H_3 : K \rightarrow V$ and $H'_3 : K' \rightarrow M$ satisfying (1), (2) and (3) since $H_2 | S^r_0$ is null homotopic in V . Notice that $H'_3 | S^r_1 \times D_0^{p-r} = h$. Furthermore, H_3 and H'_3 agree with H_2 and H'_2 in the neighborhoods of $S(g_1) \cap K_\epsilon$ in K_ϵ and $S'(g_1) \cap K'_\epsilon$ in K'_ϵ , respectively. The argument for the construction of H_3 and H'_3 is easier here than that of 4.6 of [5] because $g_1(K)$ is a deformation retract of K' in our case.

2.5. Over K_ϵ and K'_ϵ .

To extend H_3 and H'_3 over K_ϵ and K'_ϵ , it suffices to construct vector bundle isomorphisms dH from $N(K_\epsilon, K)$ (the normal bundle of K in K_ϵ) to $N(V, H_3(K))$ covering H_3 and dH' from $N(K'_\epsilon, K')$ to $N(M, H'(K'))$ covering H'_3 so that $dH'dg_1 = df dH$ and they agree with dH_2 and dH'_2 over $S(g_1) \cap K_\epsilon$ and $S'(g_1) \cap K'_\epsilon$, respectively.

Observe that dH_2 defines a bundle isomorphism from $N(K_\epsilon, K) | S^r_0$ to $N(V, H_3(K)) | H_3(S^r_0)$ and it gives an element $\lambda \in \pi_r(SO_{m-n-1})$ by using a fixed trivialization of $N(V, H_3(K))$.

Replace H_2 and H'_2 with $\bar{H}_2 = H_2 J(0, -\lambda)$ and $\bar{H}'_2 = H'_2 J'(0, -\lambda)$, respectively, where J and J' are defined in 2.3. Construct \bar{H}_3 and \bar{H}'_3 from \bar{H}_2 and \bar{H}'_2 . Then $d\bar{H}_2 = dH_2 dJ(0, -\lambda)$ as bundle maps from $N(K_\epsilon, K) | S^r_0$ to $N(V, \bar{H}_3(K)) | \bar{H}_3(S^r_0)$. Since we may assume that

$\bar{H}_3 = H_3$ over K , $d\bar{H}_2 = \lambda - \lambda = 0$ in $\pi_r(SO_{m-n-1})$ in terms of the above trivialization of $N(V, \bar{H}_3(K))$.

Since $S(g_1) \cap K_\epsilon$ and K deformation retract to S_0^r and D_0^{r+1} , respectively, the bundle isomorphism $d\bar{H}_2$ from $N(K_\epsilon, K) | S(g_1) \cap K_\epsilon$ to $N(V, \bar{H}_3(K)) | \bar{H}_3(S(g_1) \cap K_\epsilon)$ extends to an isomorphism dH from $N(K_\epsilon, K)$ to $N(V, \bar{H}_3(K))$ covering \bar{H}_3 .

REMARK. If we replace \bar{H}_2 and \bar{H}_2' in the above with $\bar{H}_2 J(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ and $\bar{H}_2' J'(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$, respectively, where $\lambda_1 \oplus \lambda_2 = 0$ in $\pi_r(SO_{p-r+m-n-1})$, we can still construct H_3 , H_3' and dH .

We assume that H_2 and H_2' have been chosen so that H_3 , H_3' and dH are constructed as required. Let ξ_i'' , $1 < i$, be the independent vector fields of $N(M, H_3'(K'))$ defined over $H_3'(\Delta(g_1) \cap K')$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_i'' &= dH_2'(e_i'') \text{ over } H_3'(S'(g_1) \cap K'), \quad i > 1, \text{ and} \\ \xi_i''(d) &= [df dH(e_i(d_1)) - df dH(e_i(d_2))] / [x_1(d_1) - x_1(d_2)], \end{aligned}$$

where $d \in H_3'(\Delta(g_1) \cap K')$ and $d = fH_3(d_1) = fH_3(d_2)$. If ξ_i'' , $1 < i$, can be extended over $H_3'(K')$ so that $df dH(e_i)$, ξ_i'' , $1 < i$, are independent at every point in $H_3'(g_1(K) - \Delta(g_1))$, then dH' can be constructed as in 4.7 of [5] with the desired properties.

Let N_0 be the subvector bundle of $N(M, H_3'(K')) | H_3'g_1(D_0^{r+1})$ generated by $\{df dH(e_i)\}_{1 < i}$ and let N_1 be the complementary bundle of N_0 . Then N_1 is generated by $\xi_i'' = dH_2'(e_i'')$, $1 < i$, over $H_3'g_1(S_0^r) = H_3'(S_1^r)$. If these vector fields can be extended to independent vector fields of N_1 , then ξ_i'' , $1 < i$, can be extended over $N(M, H_3'(K'))$ as required, since $H_3'g_1(D_0^{r+1}) \cup H_3'(\Delta(g_1) \cap K')$ is a deformation retract of $H_3'(K')$.

For a fixed trivialization of N_1 , $dH_2'(e_i'')$, $1 < i$, determine an element $\lambda \in \pi_r(SO_{m-n-1})$. We may regard λ as an element of $\pi_r(SO_{p-r})$ by the assumption that s_* is onto. Replace H_2 and H_2' with $\bar{H}_2 = H_2 J(\lambda, -\lambda)$ and $\bar{H}_2' = H_2' J'(\lambda, -\lambda)$, respectively. By the above remark, \bar{H}_3 , \bar{H}_3' and $d\bar{H}$ can be constructed. Choose an $(n + 1)$ -dimensional submanifold Q of M containing $L = H_3'$ (a tubular neighborhood of $g_1(D_0^{r+1})$ in K') so that $N(Q, L) | H_3'g_1(D_0^{r+1}) = N_0$ and $N(M, Q) | H_3'g_1(D_0^{r+1}) = N_1$.

Let N'_0 be subbundle of $N(M, \bar{H}'_3(K')) | \bar{H}'_3 g_1(D_0^{r+1})$ generated by $\{df d\bar{H}(e_i)\}_{1 < i}$, and let N'_1 be its complementary bundle. Then \bar{H}_3, \bar{H}'_3 and $d\bar{H}$ can be constructed so that $N'_1 = N(M, Q) | \bar{H}'_3 g_1(D_0^{r+1})$ and $H'_3 g_1(D_0^{r+1}) = \bar{H}'_3 g_1(D_0^{r+1})$. Hence the trivialization of N_1 induces a trivialization of N'_1 and with respect to this trivalization, $d\bar{H}'_2(e''_i), 1 < i$, represent the trivial element of $\pi_r(SO_{m-n-1})$. Therefore, we have $\bar{H}_3, \bar{H}'_3, d\bar{H}$ and $d\bar{H}'$ satisfying the requirements given at the beginning of this subsection.

Let H and H' be the diffeomorphisms covered by $d\bar{H}$ and $d\bar{H}'$. Then $H' | S^r_1 \times D_0^{p-r} = h_\lambda$ for some $\lambda \in \pi_r(SO_{p-r})$. If g is constructed as in 2.1, then $\Delta(g)$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathcal{H}(\Delta(f), h_\lambda)$. Furthermore, we may replace λ with any element of $\text{Ker}(s_*) + \lambda$. This completes the proof of Lemma 1.

REMARK. In the above construction, g depends on the null homotopy of $f^{-1}(h | S^r \times \{0\})$.

2.6. The handle attaching lemma implies the following surgery lemma. Given an embedding $h : S^r \times D^{p-r+1} \rightarrow \text{Int}(\Delta(f))$, let $\chi(\Delta(f), h)$ denote the result of a surgery on $\Delta(f)$ using h .

LEMMA 2. Let $f : V \rightarrow M$ be a generic map and let $h : S^r \times D^{p-r+1} \rightarrow \text{Int}(\Delta(f))$ be an embedding, $r \neq 1$. Suppose that $\pi_0(\Delta(f), S'(f)) = 0, \pi_1(f) = \pi_2(f) = \pi_r(V) = \pi_{r+1}(f) = 0$, and $s_* : \pi_r(SO_{p-r}) \rightarrow \pi_r(SO)$ is onto, then there exists $\lambda \in \pi_r(SO_{p-r})$ and a generic map g homotopic to f such that $\Delta(g)$ is diffeomorphic to $\chi(\Delta(f), h_{s_*(\lambda)})$, where $s_*(\lambda) \in \pi_r(SO_{p-r+1})$.

Regarding $\text{Im}(h) = h(D^r_+ \times D^{p-r+1}) \cup h(D^r_- \times D^{p-r+1})$, we subtract the 0-handle $h(D^r_+ \times D^{p-r+1})$ by [5]. This can be done since $\pi_1(f) = 0$. Then $h(D^r_- \times D^{p-r+1})$ is an r -handle in $Cl(\Delta(f) - h(D^r_+ \times D^{p-r+1}))$ and it can be subtracted since $\pi_{r+1}(f) = 0$. Therefore there exists a generic map f' homotopic to f such that $\Delta(f') = Cl(\Delta(f) - \text{Im}(h))$.

Define h' as the composition: $S^r \times D^{p-r} = S^r \times D^{p-r}_+ \subset S^r \times S^{p-r} = S^r \times \partial D^{p-r+1} \xrightarrow{h'} h(S^r \times \partial D^{p-r+1}) \subset \Delta(f')$. Then h' is an embedding of $S^r \times D^{p-r}$ into $S'(f')$ and $f'^{-1}(h' | S^r \times \{0\})$ is null homotopic in V . By Lemma 1, there exist $\lambda \in \pi_r(SO_{p-r})$ and a generic map f'' homotopic to f' such that $\Delta(f'')$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathcal{H}(\Delta(f'), h'_\lambda)$.

We claim that $\Delta(f'')$ is diffeomorphic to $\chi(\Delta(f), h_{s_*(\lambda)})$ with the interior of an embedded $(p + 1)$ -disk in the interior of $\chi(\Delta(f), h_{s_*(\lambda)})$ removed.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(f'') &= \Delta(f') \cup (D^{r+1} \times D^{p-r}) \text{ (identified by } h'_\lambda) \\ \chi(\Delta(f), h_{s_*(\lambda)}) &= \Delta(f') \cup (D^{r+1} \times S^{p-r}) \text{ (identified by } h_{s_*(\lambda)}) \\ &= \Delta(f') \cup [(D^{r+1} \times D_+^{p-r}) \cup (D^{r+1} \times D_-^{p-r})] \\ &\hspace{15em} \text{(identified by } h_{s_*(\lambda)}) \end{aligned}$$

To see the claim, remove the interior of $D^{r+1} \times D_-^{p-r}$ from $\chi(\Delta(f), h_{s_*(\lambda)})$.

Now $S'(f'') = S^p \cup S'(f)$. Find an embedded 1-handle $D^1 \times D^p$ in $\Delta(f'')$ such that $(D^1 \times D^p) \cap S'(f'') = S^0 \times D^p$, $\{1\} \times D^p \subset S^p$ and $\{-1\} \times D^p \subset S'(f)$. Since $\pi_2(f) = 0$, this handle can be subtracted, which implies that there exists a generic map g homotopic to f such that $\Delta(g)$ is diffeomorphic to $\chi(\Delta(f), h_{s_*(\lambda)})$, thus completing the proof of Lemma 2.

In the following subsections, we study the possibility of killing the homotopy groups of $\Delta(f)$ and $S'(f)$.

2.7. Let $f : V \rightarrow M$ be a generic map and let $i : S^r \rightarrow S'(f)$ be an embedding such that $f^{-1}i$ is null homotopic in V . We show that if $S(f)$ is two-sided in $D(f)$ over $f^{-1}i(S^r)$, then the normal bundle of i is stably trivial. Therefore, if $2r < p$, then the normal bundle of i is trivial. Note that $S(f)$ is always two-sided over $f^{-1}i(S^r)$ if $r \neq 1$.

Denote the normal bundle of i by $N(S'(f), i)$ or $N(S'(f), i(S^r))$. Push the embedding i into the interior of $\Delta(f)$ using a collar structure of $S'(f)$ in $\Delta(f)$. Let i_1 be the resulting embedding. Now $f^{-1}i_1$ can be regarded as two embeddings i' and i'' of S^r into V and both are null homotopic. The extra assumption is used here when $r = 1$. Clearly, $N(\Delta(f), i_1)$ is isomorphic to $N(S'(f), i) \oplus \varepsilon^1$, where ε^1 is the trivial line bundle, and $N(M, \Delta(f))|_{i_1(S^r)}$ is isomorphic to $N(V, D(f))|_{i'(S^r)} \oplus N(V, D(f))|_{i''(S^r)}$. Observe that the following

bundles are stably trivial.

$$\begin{aligned}
 N(M, i_1) &= N(\Delta(f), i_1) \oplus N(M, \Delta(f))|_{i_1(S^r)}, \\
 N(D(f), i') &\oplus N(V, D(f))|_{i'(S^r)} \quad \text{and} \\
 N(D(f), i'') &\oplus N(V, D(f))|_{i''(S^r)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $N(\Delta(f), i_1) \oplus N(V, D(f))|_{i'(S^r)} \oplus N(V, D(f))|_{i''(S^r)}$ is stably trivial and $N(\Delta(f), i_1)$ is stably equivalent to $N(D(f), i') \oplus N(D(f), i'')$. But $N(\Delta(f), i_1)$, $N(D(f), i')$ and $N(D(f), i'')$ are isomorphic bundles. This implies that $N(\Delta(f), i_1)$ is stably trivial and so is $N(S'(f), i)$. The above argument also shows that the normal bundle of any embedding i of S^r into the interior of $\Delta(f)$ is stably trivial if $r \geq 2$ and $\pi_r(V) = 0$.

2.8. By [2] and [8], it can be shown that the inclusion homomorphism $s_* : \pi_r(SO_{r+j}) \rightarrow \pi_r(SO)$, $1 \leq r$ and $-1 \leq j$, is onto in any one of the following cases.

- (1) $j \geq 1$
- (2) $j = 0$ or -1 and $r \neq 1, 3, 7$.

2.9. The discussions above imply that if V and M are $[(p + 1)/2]$ -connected and $f : V \rightarrow M$ is generic, then there is no difficulty in killing the homotopy groups of $\Delta(f)$ and $S'(f)$ below the middle dimension except for the fundamental group. If f is nice, then the fundamental group can also be killed. Finally, if f' is the result of a handle subtraction or attaching on a nice map f , then the construction can be done such that f' is again nice.

3. Proof of Theorem 1

Clearly, (a) implies (b) by the definition, and (c) implies (a) by Theorem 1 of [9].

It suffices to show that (b) implies (c).

Suppose that f is homotopic to a nice map g . If $S'(g)$ is empty, subtract a 0-handle from g to find a nice map g' homotopic to g such that $S'(g') \cong S^p$. To save notation, we will use g for g' . By subtracting or attaching 1-handles, make $\Delta(g)$ and $S'(g)$ connected and $(\Delta(g), S'(g))$ 1-connected. We may assume that g is a nice map. Represent the

generators of $\pi_1(S'(g))$ by disjointly embedded circles. They have trivial normal bundle by 2.7. Attach 2-handles to kill the generators by Lemma 1 and 2.8, thus making $\Delta(g)$ and $S'(g)$ simply connected. By repeating the corresponding steps in higher dimensions, and by doing surgery on $\Delta(g)$, we can make $\Delta(g)$ and $S'(g)$ $([(p + 1)/2] - 1)$ and $([p/2] - 1)$ -connected, respectively. We now consider two cases.

(1) $p + 1 = 2k$.

We have $H_i(\Delta(g), S'(g)) \cong 0$ if $i \neq k, 2k$, and $H_k(\Delta(g), S'(g)) \cong H^k(\Delta(g)) \cong \text{Hom}(H_k(\Delta(g)), \mathbf{Z})$ is a finitely generated free abelian group since $\Delta(g)$ and $S'(g)$ are $(k - 1)$ and $(k - 2)$ -connected, respectively, where the homology groups are taken over \mathbf{Z} . Now $\pi_k(\Delta(g), S'(g)) \cong H_k(\Delta(g), S'(g))$ by Hurewicz isomorphism theorem. Represent elements of a basis of $H_k(\Delta(g), S'(g))$ by disjointly embedded k -handles relative to $S'(g)$ by the method of §4 of [12] and subtract them using that $\pi_{k+1}(g) = 0$. Then $\Delta(g)$ is contractible and $S'(g)$ is a homotopy sphere. It follows that $\Delta(g)$ is diffeomorphic to the $(2n - m)$ -disk by the h -cobordism theorem ([11]).

(2) $p + 1 = 2k + 1$

In this case, $\Delta(g)$ and $S'(g)$ are $(k - 1)$ -connected. Subtract k -handles relative to $S'(g)$ from $\Delta(g)$ to make $(\Delta(g), S'(g))$ k -connected. Then $\Delta(g)$ and $S'(g)$ are still $(k - 1)$ -connected. Hence we have a short exact sequence of free abelian groups.

$$0 \rightarrow H_{k+1}(\Delta(g), S'(g)) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_k(S'(g)) \rightarrow H_k(\Delta(g)) \rightarrow 0.$$

We again use the technique in §4 of [12] to represent elements of a basis of $\text{Im}(\partial)$ by disjoint embeddings of $S^k \times D^k$ into $S'(g)$, and attach $(k + 1)$ -handles by Lemma 1 and 2.8 if $k \neq 3, 7$. If $k = 3, 7$, we use Lemma 3 (mirror handle attaching lemma) of [9] to attach $(k + 1)$ -handles. Now $S'(g)$ is a homotopy sphere, and $H_i(\Delta(g)) \cong 0$ for $i \neq k, k + 1, 0$, and $H_k(\Delta(g)) \cong H_{k+1}(\Delta(g))$ is free abelian. We do surgery to eliminate $H_k(\Delta(g))$ using Lemma 2, 2.7 and 2.8 to make $\Delta(g)$ contractible. Finally, apply the h -cobordism theorem to finish the proof.

4. Proof of Theorem 2

4.1. Let f be an orientable immersion. By Theorem 1 and 2.9, f is nicely homotopic to a pseudo-embedding g . Define an element $\theta(g) \in \pi_{p+2}(g)$ as follows. Let $x_0 \in V$ be a base point and regard $D^{p+2} = D_+^{p+1} \times I / (x, t) = (x, 0)$ for $x \in \partial D_+^{p+1}$, where D_+^{p+1} is the upper hemisphere of $\partial D^{p+2} = S^{p+1}$. There exists a commutative diagram unique up to orientation and isotopy,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^{p+1} & \xrightarrow{e} & D^{p+2} \\ i \downarrow & & j \downarrow \\ V & \xrightarrow{g} & M \end{array}$$

where e is an inclusion, $j|D_+^{p+1}$ is a diffeomorphism onto $\Delta(g)$, $j(x, t) = j(x, 0)$ for each t , and i is a diffeomorphism onto $D(g)$. Let $\theta(g)$ be the above diagram. Then $\theta(g)$ represents an element of $\pi_{p+2}(g)$ up to sign.

Let F be a nice homotopy from f to g , i.e., $F|V \times \{0\} = f$ and $F|V \times \{1\} = g$. Let $u : Z(f) \rightarrow Z(F)$ be the inclusion map. We regard $(\{x_0\} \times I) * \theta(g)$ as an element of $\pi_{p+2}(F)$, where $*$ denotes the action of a path on a homotopy element. Define $\Gamma(f) = [u_*^{-1}((\{x_0\} \times I) * \theta(g))] \in \pi_{p+2}(f)^+$.

4.2. $\Gamma(f)$ is well defined. Let F' be a nice homotopy from f to another pseudo-embedding g' . Define nice homotopy $G = F \cup (-F')$ from g' to g by

$$\begin{aligned} G(x, t) &= F'(x, 1 - 2t), & 0 \leq t \leq 1/2 \\ G(x, t) &= F(x, 2t - 1), & 1/2 \leq t \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of Theorem 1, we can find a generic map G' homotopic to G relative to $V \times \{0\} \cup V \times \{1\}$ such that $\Delta(G')$ is a h -cobordism. Here we use $3n + 3 < 2m$ and $([(p + 2)/2] + 1)$ -connectedness of V and M . By the h -cobordism theorem, $\Delta(G') \cong \Delta(g') \times I$. Hence $\theta(g)$ and $\theta(g')$ are freely homotopic in $(Z(G'), V \times I)$. This implies that $\Gamma(f)$ is well defined since V is simply connected.

4.3. Suppose that f is nicely homotopic to an embedding by a nice homotopy F' . Let F be a nice homotopy from f to a pseudo-embedding g . We show that $\theta(g) = 0$ in $\pi_{p+2}(g)$, which implies that $\Gamma(f) = [0]$.

Let $G = F' \cup (-F)$. By attaching and subtracting handles on G as in the proof of Theorem 1, we can find a generic map G' homotopic to G relative to $V \times \{0\} \cup V \times \{1\}$ such that there exists a diffeomorphism h from $\Delta(G')$ to D^{p+2} with corners along S^p , where $S^{p+1} = \partial D^{p+2} = D_+^{p+1} \cup_{S^p} D_-^{p+1}$, and $h|\Delta(g)$ is a diffeomorphism onto D_-^{p+1} .

Let $\theta(g)$ be represented by a diagram as in 4.1. Then $(G')^{-1}h^{-1}(D^{p+2})$ is diffeomorphic to D^{p+2} , and its boundary is equal to $\text{Im}(i)$. Hence i is null homotopic in V . Furthermore, there exists a map $h' : D^{p+3} \rightarrow V \times I$ such that $h'(D_-^{p+2}) \subset V \times \{0\}$, $h'|S^{p+1} = i$ and $h'|D_+^{p+2}$ is a diffeomorphism onto $(G')^{-1}h^{-1}(D^{p+2})$, where $S^{p+2} = \partial D^{p+3} = D_+^{p+2} \cup_{S^{p+1}} D_-^{p+2}$. Now $G'(h'|D_-^{p+2})$ can be regarded as a map of S^{p+2} into $M \times \{0\}$ and $PG'h'$ is a null homotopy of this map, where $P : M \times I \rightarrow M \times \{0\}$ is the projection. This shows that $\theta(g)$ is trivial in $\pi_{p+2}(g)$.

To show the converse, suppose that $\Gamma(f) = [0]$, then f is nicely homotopic to an embedding by a handle subtraction on a pseudo-embedding which is nicely homotopic to f .

5. Proof of Theorem 3

5.1. Suppose that f is a generic map that is not nice. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\Delta(f)$ and $S'(f)$ are connected and $(\Delta(f), S'(f))$ is 1-connected.

Define a homomorphism $\phi : \pi_1(S'(f)) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_2$ as follows. For $\alpha \in \pi_1(S'(f))$, represent α by an embedded circle S in $S'(f)$. Then $\pi(\alpha) = (a, b) \in \mathbf{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_2$, where $a = 1$ if $S(f)$ is not two-sided in $D(f)$ over $f^{-1}(S)$ and $a = 0$ otherwise, and $b = 1$ if the normal bundle of S in $S'(f)$ is non-trivial and $b = 0$ otherwise. Since f is not nice, ϕ is a non-trivial homomorphism. Furthermore, $\text{Im}(\phi) = \mathbf{Z}_2 \oplus \{0\}$ if $m - n$ is even, and $\text{Im}(\phi)$ is the subgroup generated by $(1,1)$ in $\mathbf{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_2$ if $m - n$ is odd by 4.11 of [5].

By Lemma 1 and 2.8, kill the kernel of ϕ thus making the inclusion homomorphism $\pi_1(S'(f)) \rightarrow \pi_1(\Delta(f))$ an isomorphism with both groups isomorphic to \mathbf{Z}_2 . We can also kill the homotopy groups below the middle dimension as in the simply connected case. Hence after

finite steps we can find a generic map g homotopic to f such that $\pi_1(S'(g)) \cong \pi_1(\Delta(g)) \cong \mathbf{Z}_2$, $\tilde{\Delta}(g)$ and $\tilde{S}'(g)$ are $(\lfloor (p+1)/2 \rfloor - 1)$ and $(\lfloor p/2 \rfloor - 1)$ -connected, respectively, where $\tilde{\Delta}(g)$ denotes the universal covering space of $\Delta(g)$.

5.2. Suppose that f is homotopic to a pseudo-embedding g' . To prove the theorem we must produce a sequence of null homotopies for the handles in any given handle decomposition of $\Delta(g)$ except for a top dimensional handle.

Let $F : V \times I \rightarrow M \times I$ be a generic homotopy (not necessarily level preserving) from g to g' . We will homotopy F relative to $V \times \{0\} \cup V \times \{1\}$ so that $\Delta(F)$ becomes a h -cobordism between $\Delta(g)$ and $S'(F) \cup \Delta(g')$.

By attaching and subtracting handles on F away from 0 and 1-level, make $\Delta(F)$ and $S'(F)$ connected and $(\Delta(F), S'(F))$ 1-connected. Attach 2-handles along $S'(F)$ to make $\phi : \pi_1(S'(F)) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_2$ a monomorphism. The inclusion of $\pi_1(S'(F))$ into $\pi_1(\Delta(F))$ is an isomorphism. This implies that the inclusion homomorphism of $\pi_1(S'(g))$ into $\pi_1(S'(F))$ is also an isomorphism since the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \pi_1(S'(g)) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathbf{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_2 \\
 \text{inclusion homomorphism} \downarrow & & \\
 \pi_1(S'(F)) & \xrightarrow{\phi} &
 \end{array}$$

We now divide the argument into two cases.

(1) $p = 2k$.

As in 5.1, make $\Delta(F)$ k -connected and $S'(F)$ $(k - 1)$ -connected. Now $\partial\Delta(F) = D^{p+1} \cup S'(F) \cup \Delta(g)$. Let $N = D^{p+1} \cup S'(F) = Cl(\partial\Delta(F) - \Delta(g))$. In this section, the homology of a manifold or a pair is understood to be the homology of the universal covering space with coefficients \mathbf{Z} , i.e., $H_i(N)$ denotes actually $H_i(\tilde{N})$, where \tilde{N} is the universal cover of N . This group can be considered as a $\mathbf{Z}\pi_1(N)$ -module. Similarly, the cohomology of a manifold N (or a pair) is the cohomology induced from the cellular chain complex of $\mathbf{Z}\pi_1(N)$ -modules of the universal covering space of N with coefficients $\mathbf{Z}\pi_1(N)$ unless it is said otherwise. (See [12] for more information.)

From the connectivities of $\Delta(g)$, $\Delta(F)$ and $S'(F)$, $H_i(\Delta(F), N) \cong 0$ for all i except for $i = k + 1$ by duality. Also $H^{k+2}(\Delta(F), N) = H_k(\Delta(F), \Delta(g)) = 0$. (This is true for every $\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{Z}_2$ -module as coefficients.) By Lemma 2.3 of [12], $H_{k+1}(\Delta(F), N)$ is a finitely generated stably free $\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{Z}_2$ -module. By attaching trivial $(k + 1)$ -handles along $S'(F)$, make $H_{k+1}(\Delta(F), N)$ a free $\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{Z}_2$ -module. Now represent, a set of basis elements of $H_{k+1}(\Delta(F), N)$ by disjoint $(k + 1)$ -handles relative to $S'(F)$ as in §4 of [12], and subtract the handles.

It follows that $\Delta(F)$ is an h -cobordism between $\Delta(g)$ and $S'(F) \cup \Delta(g')$. Hence $\Delta(F)$ is diffeomorphic to $\Delta(g) \times I$ since $Wh(\mathbf{Z}_2) = 0$.

We now need a lemma whose proof is postponed until 5.3.

DEFINITION. Let C be a compact manifold with a non-empty boundary, and let A be a compact codimension 0 submanifold of ∂C or empty. Define the wedge $W(C, A)$ of C relative to A as the manifold with corners obtained by rotating C by 90° about A . If $A = \emptyset$, then $W(C, A)$ is defined to be $C \times I$. Let $W_0(C, A)$ be the copy of C at 0° in $W(C, A)$ and $W_1(C, A)$ the copy of C at 90° . Note that $W(C, A)$ contains naturally a copy of A and we denote it by A again. Observe that $W(C, A)$ can be regarded as $C \times I$ with $A \times I$ collapsed to $A \times \{0\}$.

REMARK. $S^{r+1}(r \geq 0)$ may be regarded as the union of four copies of $W(D^r, S^{r-1})$.

LEMMA 3. Let $F : V \times I \rightarrow M \times I$ be a generic map such that $F(V \times \{0\}) \subset M \times \{0\}$ and $F(V \times \{1\}) \subset M \times \{1\}$. Let g be $F|V \times \{0\}$. Let C be a compact connected manifold with non-empty boundary, and let A be a compact submanifold of ∂C of codimension 0 or empty. Suppose that there is an embedding $h : W(C, A) \rightarrow \Delta(F)$ such that $h(W(C, A)_0) \subset \Delta(g)$, $h(A) = S'(g) \cap h(W(C, A)_0)$, $h(W(C, A)_1) \subset S'(F)$ and $h(W(C, A)) \cap M \times \{1\} = \emptyset$. Then there exists a generic map $F' : V \times I \rightarrow M \times I$ such that $\Delta(F') = Cl(\Delta(F) - Im(h))$, $g' = F'|V \times \{0\}$ is a generic map obtained from g by a sequence of handle subtractions, $\Delta(g) = Cl(\Delta(g) - h(W(C, A)_0))$ and $F'|V \times \{1\} = F|V \times \{1\}$.

Using the lemma, we finish the proof of the first case. Given a handle decomposition of $\Delta(g)$ relative to $S'(g)$ with a top dimensional handle H (this is a $(2n - m)$ -disk and there is one in any handle decomposition), define a trivialization $T : W(\Delta(g), S'(g)) \rightarrow \Delta(F)$ by

the h -cobordism theorem such that $T|W_0(\Delta(g), S'(g))$ is the identity and $T(W_1(H, \emptyset)) = \Delta(g') \times \{1 - \varepsilon\} \subset M \times \{1 - \varepsilon\}$ for some small $\varepsilon > 0$, where we assume that F is a product for $t \geq 1 - 2\varepsilon$, $t \in I$ and $W(H, \emptyset)$ is regarded as a subset of $W(\Delta(g), S'(g))$.

Let $C = Cl(\Delta(g) - H)$, $A = S'(g) \subset \partial C$ and $h : W(C, A) \rightarrow \Delta(F)$ be an embedding defined by $h = T|W(C, A)$, where $W(C, A)$ is regarded as a subset of $W(\Delta(g), S'(g))$. By Lemma 3 we can subtract all the handles except for H .

(2) $p = 2k + 1$.

After the initial modification $S'(g)$ is $(k - 1)$ -connected, and $\Delta(g)$ k -connected. We may also assume that $S'(F)$ and $\Delta(F)$ are k -connected. Let $N = Cl(\partial\Delta(F) - \Delta(g))$. By subtracting $(k + 1)$ -handles in $\Delta(F)$ relative to $S'(F)$, make $(\Delta(F), N)$ $(k + 1)$ -connected with the same connectivity conditions on $\Delta(F)$ and $(\Delta(F), \Delta(g))$.

Since $H_i(\Delta(F), \Delta(g)) = 0, i \leq k, H_i(\Delta(F), \Delta(g)) \cong H^{2k+3-i}(\Delta(F), N) \cong 0, i \geq k+2$, and $H^{k+2}(\Delta(F), \Delta(g)) \cong H_{k+1}(\Delta(F), N) \cong 0$ (with any $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{Z}_2$ -module as coefficients), $H_{k+1}(\Delta(F), \Delta(g))$ is a finitely generated stably free $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{Z}_2$ -module. By attaching trivial $(k + 1)$ -handles along $S'(F)$, make $H_{k+1}(\Delta(F), \Delta(g))$ a free $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{Z}_2$ -module. Represent the basis elements by a disjoint union H of $(k + 1)$ -handles in $\Delta(F)$ relative to $\Delta(g)$. Let $W = Cl(\Delta(F) - H)$ and $N' = Cl(\partial W - N)$. From the long exact sequence of the triple $(\Delta(F), H \cup \Delta(g), \Delta(g))$, it can be seen that $(W; N', N)$ is an h -cobordism. Since $Wh(\mathbb{Z}_2) = 0$, there exists a diffeomorphism $T : W(N', \partial N') \rightarrow W$ such that $T|W_0(N', \partial N')$ is the identity and $(T|W_1(N', \partial N'))^{-1}(\Delta(g')) \subset W_1(N' - \Delta(g), \phi)$. Furthermore, we may assume that $H \cap \Delta(g)$ is contained in a top dimensional handle of any handle decomposition of $\Delta(g)$ relative to $S'(g)$ since $\Delta(g)$ is k -connected.

Let $C = Cl(\Delta(g)$ -the above top dimensional handle). Then we have $T(W(C, S'(g))) \subset \Delta(F)$, $T|W_0(C, S'(g))$ is the identity, and $T(W_1(C, S'(g))) \subset S'(F)$. As in the first case apply Lemma 3 to subtract all the handles in C . This completes the proof.

5.3. Proof of lemma 3.

It suffices to prove the lemma when C is an r -handle. Suppose that $H = D^r \times D^{2n-m-r}$ is an r -handle of $\Delta(g)$ relative to $S'(g)$ with $S^{r-1} \times D^{2n-m-r}$ as A . Put $q = 2n - m$. Let $h : W(D^r \times D^{q-r}, S^{r-1} \times$

$D^{q-r} \rightarrow \Delta(F)$ be an embedding satisfying the assumptions of the lemma. Let $W = W(D^r \times D^{q-r}, S^{r-1} \times D^{q-r})$.

Define reflection $\alpha : M \times [-1, 1] \rightarrow M \times [-1, 1]$ by $\alpha(x, t) = (x, -t)$, $(x, t) \in M \times [-1, 1]$. Now construct generic map $G : V \times [-1, 1] \rightarrow M \times [-1, 1]$ by $G|V \times [0, 1] = F$ and $(G|V \times [-1, 0])(x, t) = \alpha(F(x, -t))$.

There is a natural $(r + 1)$ -handle in $\Delta(G)$ relative to $S'(G)$ obtained from h as follows. Regard $D^{r+1} \times D^{q-r}$ as the union of two copies W^1 and W^2 of W with W_0^1 identified with W_0^2 (W_0 is the 0-level of W .) Define $(r + 1)$ -handle $h' : (D^{r+1} \times D^{q-r}, S^r \times D^{q-r}) \rightarrow (\Delta(G), S'(G))$ by $h'|W^1 = h$ and $h'|W^2 = \alpha h$.

Then h' determines a commutative diagram $\theta(h')$ as in 4.1.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 S^{r+1} & \xrightarrow{e} & D^{r+2} \\
 i \downarrow & & j \downarrow \\
 V \times [-1, 1] & \xrightarrow{g} & M \times [-1, 1]
 \end{array}$$

In the above diagram, e is an inclusion, $j|D_+^{r+1}$ is a diffeomorphism onto $h'(D^{r+1} \times \{0\})$ and i is a diffeomorphism onto $G^{-1}(h'(D^{r+1} \times \{0\}))$.

Using the symmetry of G in $V \times \{0\}$, it is easy to produce a null homotopy of $\theta(h')$ which restricts to a null homotopy of $\theta(H)$ in 0-level. We subtract the handle h' from G to get a generic map G' . The construction of G_τ , $0 \leq \tau \leq 1$ in §4 of [5] can be done so that G_τ preserves 0-level for all time (see §5 of [5]). Let $F' = G'|V \times [0, 1]$. Then F' has the desired properties of the lemma.

References

1. J. Becker, H. Glover, *Note on the embedding of manifolds in Euclidean space*, Proc. of Amer. Math. Soc. **27** (1971), 405-411.
2. R. Bott, *The stable homotopy of classical groups*, Ann. of Math. **70** (1959), 313-337.
3. F. Connolly, *From immersions to embeddings of smooth manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **152** (1970), 253-271.
4. H. Glover, G. Mislin, *Metastable embedding and 2-localization*, Springer-Verlag Lecture Notes **418** (1974), 48-57.
5. A. Haefliger, *Plongement différentiable de variétés dans variétés*, Comment. Math. Helv. **36** (1961), 47-82.
6. _____, *Plongements différentiables dans la domaine stable*, Comment. Math. Helv. **37** (1962/63), 155-176.

7. M. Hirsh, *Immersion of manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **93** (1959), 242–276.
8. M. Kervaire, *Some nonstable homotopy groups of Lie groups*, Illinois J. Math. **4** (1960), 161–169.
9. Y. Lee, *Handle attaching on generic maps*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **279** (1983), 77–94.
10. E. Rees, *Embedding odd torsion manifolds*, Mich. Math. J. **17** (1970), 161–164.
11. S. Smale, *On the structure of manifolds*, Amer. J. Math. **84** (1962), 387–399.
12. C. T. C. Wall, *Surgery on compact manifolds*, Academic Press (1970).

Department of Mathematics
University of Wisconsin-Parkside
Kenosha, WI 53141
U.S.A.