

FUZZY P -LIMIT SPACES

KYUNG CHAN MIN

1. Introduction

The notion of convergence in a fuzzy topological space was introduced in various aspects as a local theory of fuzzy topologies [2, 9, 10, 11, 12, 15, 19, 20]. In [12] a notion of fuzzy limitierung on a set is defined in terms of prefilters convergent to a fuzzy point and allows natural function structure in it. In this paper using fuzzy x -filters we introduce a notion of convergence to a point in a set, called a fuzzy p -limitierung, generalizing that of fuzzy neighborhood system introduced by Warren [18], which characterizes fuzzy topology. The notion of fuzzy p -limitierung enables us to form a convenient class containing all fuzzy topological spaces and all limit spaces. In section 4 we show that in fuzzy topology there is no natural function space structure. We show that fuzzy p -limit spaces form a quasitopos and give explicitly natural function space structure in it, providing an exponential law $\mathcal{C}(X \times Y, Z) = \mathcal{C}(X, \mathcal{C}(Y, Z))$. From a categorical point of view the category **FpLim** of fuzzy p -limit spaces and fuzzy continuous maps is shown to be a quasitopos (=topological universe) containing the category **FTop** of fuzzy topological spaces as a bireflective subcategory and the category **Lim** of limit spaces as a bicoreflective subcategory.

Throughout this paper, we use Lowen's notion of fuzzy topology [7]. For categorical background we refer to [4, 6]

2. Fuzzy p -limitierung

Warren [18] characterized a fuzzy topology in terms of a fuzzy neighborhood system, which defines a concept of convergence to a point in a fuzzy topological space. A fuzzy set N in X is called a *neighborhood*

Received July 14, 1990. Revised May 9, 1991.

This research was supported by the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation.

of a point x in (X, δ) iff there exists $U \in \delta$ such that $U \subseteq N$ and $0 < \mu_U(x) = \mu_N(x)$. A fuzzy set U is open in (X, δ) iff for every $x \in X$ with $\mu_U(x) > 0$, U is a neighborhood of x . Thus this neighborhood system determines a fuzzy topology. A function $f : (X, \delta) \rightarrow (Y, \delta')$ is fuzzy continuous at x iff for every neighborhood N of $f(x)$ there exists a neighborhood M of x such that $f(M) \subseteq N$ and $\mu_M(x) = \mu_N(f(x))$.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let X be a set and $x \in X$. A fuzzy x -filter \mathcal{F} on X is a family of fuzzy sets in X subject to the following axioms:

- (F1) if $A \in \mathcal{F}$ and $A \subseteq_x B$, then $B \in \mathcal{F}$, ($A \subseteq_x B$ means $A \subseteq B$ and $\mu_A(x) = \mu_B(x)$)
- (F2) for all $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$, we have $A \cap B \in \mathcal{F}$,
- (F3) $\underline{0} \notin \mathcal{F}$, where $\underline{0}$ is the constant map with value 0,
- (F4) for every subfamily $\{A_i\}_I$ of \mathcal{F} , $\cup_{i \in I} A_i \in \mathcal{F}$.

The family of all neighborhoods of x in a fuzzy topological space X is a fuzzy x -filter on X , called the *neighborhood fuzzy x -filter*.

Let \mathcal{B} be a family of fuzzy sets in X . If \mathcal{B} satisfies the conditions, $\underline{0} \notin \mathcal{B}$ and for any $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}$, there exists $B \in \mathcal{B}$ with $B_1 \cap B_2 \subseteq_x B$, then \mathcal{B} generates a fuzzy x -filter $[\mathcal{B}] = \{A \in I^X : \cup_{i \in I} B_i \subseteq_x A \text{ for some family } \{B_i\}_I \text{ in } \mathcal{B}\}$. Denote $\mathcal{F}_x(X)$ = the collection of all fuzzy x -filter on X . For $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{F}_x(X)$, we denote $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ in $\mathcal{F}_x(X)$ iff for each $A \in \mathcal{F}$ there exists $B \in \mathcal{G}$ with $B \subseteq_x A$. For $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{F}(X)_x$, the family $\{A \cup B : A \in \mathcal{F}, B \in \mathcal{G}, \mu_A(x) = \mu_B(x)\}$ generates a fuzzy x -filter, denoted by $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{G}$ in $\mathcal{F}_x(X)$. We note that if $\mathcal{F}_i \subseteq \mathcal{G}_i$ in $\mathcal{F}_x(X)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then $\cap_{i=1}^n \mathcal{F}_i \subseteq \cap_{i=1}^n \mathcal{G}_i$ in $\mathcal{F}_x(X)$.

For a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}_x(X)$, we define a fuzzy $f(x)$ -filter $f(\mathcal{F}) = \{B \in I^Y : f(A) \subseteq B \text{ with } 0 < \mu_A(x) = \mu_B(f(x)) \text{ for some } A \in \mathcal{F}\}$ on Y . In general, for $A \in \mathcal{F}$, $f(A)$ needs not be a member of $f(\mathcal{F})$. However if $\mu_A(x) = \alpha > 0$, then $f(A \cap \underline{\alpha}) \in f(\mathcal{F})$. Hence we can show that for $f : X \rightarrow Y, g : Y \rightarrow Z$ and $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}_x(X)$, $g \circ f(\mathcal{F}) = g(f(\mathcal{F}))$.

DEFINITION 2.2. A fuzzy p -limit space (fps for short) is a set X structured with a function Δ , called a *fuzzy p -limitierung*, which assigns to every $x \in X$ a set $\Delta(x)$ of fuzzy x -filters on X subject to the following axioms:

- (L0) $\mathcal{F} \in \Delta(x) \Rightarrow \underline{\alpha} \in \mathcal{F}$ for all $\alpha > 0$,

- (L1) $[x] = \{A \in I^X : \mu_A(x) > 0\} \in \Delta(x)$,
- (L2) $\mathcal{F} \in \Delta(x)$ and $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ in $\mathcal{F}_x(X) \Rightarrow \mathcal{G} \in \Delta(x)$,
- (L3) $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \Delta(x) \Rightarrow \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{G} \in \Delta(x)$.

If $\mathcal{F} \in \Delta(x)$, we say that \mathcal{F} converges to x and sometimes write $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow x$ instead of $\mathcal{F} \in \Delta(x)$.

REMARK. A subset A of a set X can be identified with the characteristic function χ_A . Thus if we substitute I by $\{0, 1\}$, the two point chain, then it is easy to see that the notion of fuzzy p -limitierung is equivalent to that limitierung.

We introduce the notion of initial and final fuzzy p -limitierung. If the identity map $1_X : (X, \Delta_1) \rightarrow (X, \Delta_2)$ is fuzzy continuous, then we say that Δ_1 is finer than Δ_2 and that Δ_2 is coarser than Δ_1 .

THEOREM 2.3. (*Existence of initial structure*) Let X be a set, let $\{(Y_i, \Delta_i)\}_I$ be a family of fps's, and for each $i \in I$ let $f_i : X \rightarrow Y_i$ be a map. Define a function Δ on X as follows; for each $x \in X$ a fuzzyp x -filter $\mathcal{F} \in \Delta(x)$ iff $f_i \mathcal{F} \in \Delta_i(f_i(x))$ for each $i \in I$. Then Δ is the initial fuzzy p -limitierung on X w.r.t. the family $\{f_i\}_I$, which is the coarsest fuzzy p -limitierung on X making each map f_i fuzzy continuous.

Proof. It follows from the fact that $f([x]) = [f(x)]$ and $f(\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{G}) = f(\mathcal{F}) \cap f(\mathcal{G})$ fuzzy x -filters \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} .

The existence of initial structures guarantees that of final structures. However, we present here an explicit form of final fuzzy p -limitierung: Let Y be a set, let $\{(X_i, \Delta_i)\}_I$ be a family of fps's and for each $i \in I$ let $f_i : X_i \rightarrow Y$ be a map. Define a function Δ on Y as follows, for each $y \in Y$ a fuzzy y -filter $\mathcal{F} \in \Delta(y)$ iff $\mathcal{F} \supseteq [y]$ or $\mathcal{F} \supseteq \bigcap_{k=1}^n f_{i_k}(\mathcal{F}_k)$, where $\mathcal{F}_k \in \Delta_{i_k}(x_k)$ for some $x_k \in f_{i_k}^{-1}(y)$, $i_k \in I$ and $k = 1, \dots, n$. Then Δ is the final fuzzy p -limitierung on Y w.r.t. the family $\{f_i\}_I$, which is the finest fuzzy p -limitierung on Y making each f_i fuzzy continuous. In a usual way, the notions of subspace, product, quotient space and coproduct can be defined.

3. Relationship with fuzzy topology and limitierung

Let (X, δ) be a fuzzy topological space (fts for short). For each $x \in X$, let $\Delta_\delta(x) = \{\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}_x(X) : \mathcal{N}_x \subseteq \mathcal{F}\}$, where \mathcal{N}_x is the neighborhood fuzzy x -filter. Then Δ_δ is a fuzzy p -limitierung on X . We call a

fuzzy p-limitierung *fuzzy topological* if the convergent fuzzy x-filters are precisely those of a fuzzy topology. We show that a fuzzy p-limitierung is not fuzzy topological in general. Let (X, Δ) be a fps. A fuzzy set U in X is said to be *open* iff for every $x \in X$ with $\mu_U(x) > 0$, $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow x$ implies $U \in \mathcal{F}$. Then the collection δ_Δ of all open fuzzy sets in (X, Δ) forms a fuzzy topology on X . Moreover, by routine work, we have the following.

- PROPOSITION 3.1. (1) For any fuzzy topology δ on X , $\delta = \delta_{\Delta_\delta}$.
 (2) A fuzzy p-limitierung Δ on x is fuzzy topological iff $\Delta = \Delta_{\delta_\Delta}$.
 (3) For every $x \in X$, $\Delta(x) \subseteq \Delta_{\delta_\Delta}(x)$.

Let X and Y be fps's. A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be *fuzzy continuous* at $x \in X$ iff $f(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow f(x)$ in Y , whenever $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow x$ in X . A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is *fuzzy continuous* iff it is *fuzzy continuous* at every $x \in X$. Note that the identity map $1_X : X \rightarrow X$ is fuzzy continuous since $1_X(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F} \cap [x]$ for a fuzzy x-filter \mathcal{F} . Recall that for fts's X, Y , a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is fuzzy continuous at $x \in X$ iff $\mathcal{N}_{f(x)} \subseteq f(\mathcal{N}_x)$ in $\mathcal{F}_{f(x)}(Y)$. Thus by definitions we have

- PROPOSITION 3.2. (1) A function $f : (X, \delta) \rightarrow (Y, \delta')$ is *fuzzy continuous* iff $f : (X, \Delta_\delta) \rightarrow (Y, \Delta_{\delta'})$ is *fuzzy continuous*.
 (2) If $f : (X, \Delta) \rightarrow (Y, \Delta')$ is *fuzzy continuous*, then $f : (X, \delta_\Delta) \rightarrow (Y, \delta_{\Delta'})$ is *fuzzy continuous*.

Let (X, \wedge) be a limit space and $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow x$ in X . Then the set $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{F}$ of all fuzzy sets A in X such that $\mu_A(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mu_A(x)$ in I_r ($=I$ with the right topology) and $\mu_A(x) > 0$ form a fuzzy x-filter on X . Let $\Delta_\wedge(x) = \{\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}_x(X) : \mathcal{F} \supseteq \mathcal{F}_\mathcal{F} \text{ for some } \mathcal{F} \in \wedge(x)\}$. Then Δ_\wedge is a fuzzy p-limitierung on X . Note that $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{G} = \mathcal{F}_\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{G}_\mathcal{G}$ for $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \wedge(x)$. Let (X, Δ) be a fps. For each $\mathcal{F} \in \Delta(x)$ let $\Omega_\mathcal{F}$ be the set of all filters \mathcal{F} on X such that $\mu_A(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mu_A(x)$ in I_r for each $A \in \mathcal{F}$ with $\mu_A(x) > 0$. Then $\Delta_\Delta(x) = \bigcap_{\mathcal{F} \in \Delta(x)} \Omega_\mathcal{F}$ defines a limitierung on X , since $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{G}$ in $\Omega_\mathcal{F} \cap \Omega_\mathcal{G}$ for $\mathcal{F} \in \Omega_\mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{G} \in \Omega_\mathcal{G}$.

- PROPOSITION 3.3. (1) For any limitierung \wedge on X , $\wedge = \Delta_{\Delta_\wedge}$.
 (2) For any fuzzy p-limitierung Δ on X , $\Delta_{\Delta_\Delta}(x) \subseteq \Delta(x)$.

Proof. (1) By defintions, $\wedge(x) \subseteq \Delta_{\Delta_\wedge}(x)$. Let $\mathcal{F} \in \Delta_{\Delta_\wedge}(X)$. Then $\mathcal{F} \in \Omega_\mathcal{F}$ for some $\mathcal{F} \in \Delta_\wedge(x)$ and $\mathcal{F} \supseteq \mathcal{G}_\mathcal{G}$ for some $\mathcal{G} \in \wedge(x)$. We

show that $\mathcal{F} \supseteq \mathcal{G} \cap \langle x \rangle$: Let $V \in \mathcal{G} \cap \langle x \rangle$. Then the characteristic function $\chi_V \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}}$ and hence $\chi_V(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \chi_V(x)$ in I_r . Thus $V \supseteq F$ for some $F \in \mathcal{F}$.

(2) It is immediate from definitions.

PROPRSITION 3.4. (1) *If a map $f : (X, \Delta) \rightarrow (Y, \Delta')$ is fuzzy continuous, then $f : (X, \wedge_{\Delta}) \rightarrow (Y, \wedge_{\Delta'})$ is continuous.*

(2) *A map $f : (X, \wedge) \rightarrow (Y, \wedge)$ is continuous iff $f : (X, \Delta_{\wedge}) \rightarrow (Y, \Delta_{\wedge})$ is fuzzy continuous.*

Proof. It is easy to show by definitions and Proposition 5.1.

Categorical comments

The category **FTop** is known to be a topological category [8]. A category **FpLim** is formed by all fuzzy p -limit spaces and fuzzy continuous maps. By Propositions 3.1 and 3.2, **FTop** is shown to be a bireflective subcategory of **FpLim** in a natural way. By Theorem 2.3 and the definition of fuzzy p -limitierung, **FpLim** is a topological category. By Propositions 3.3 and 3.4 the category **Lim** is shown to be a bireflective subcategory of **FpLim**. We recall that the category **Top** of topological spaces is a bireflective subcategory of **FTop**[7] and **Top** is a bireflective subcategory of **Lim**[3]. Let $L(\hat{L})$ be the embedding functor from **Top**(**FTop**) into **Lim**(**FpLim**) with reflectors $R(\hat{R})$, respectively. Let $F(\hat{F})$ be the embedding functor form **Top**(**Lim**) into **FTop**(**pLim**) with coreflector $T(\hat{T})$, respectively. We note that $F(X) = (X, \mathcal{C}(X, I_r))$ and $T(X, \delta) = (X, \mathcal{T}_{\delta})$, where \mathcal{T}_{δ} is initial topology w.r.t. the family $\{\mu : X \rightarrow I_r : \mu \in \delta\}$. Then we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbf{Lim} & \xleftrightarrow{\hat{T}} & \mathbf{FpLim} \\
 \uparrow L & \text{bireflective } \hat{F} & \uparrow \hat{L} \\
 & & \text{bireflective} \\
 \mathbf{Top} & \xleftrightarrow{\hat{F}} & \mathbf{FTop} \\
 \downarrow R & \text{bireflective } T & \downarrow \hat{R} \\
 & & \text{bireflective} \\
 & \xleftrightarrow{F} & \\
 & \text{bireflective} &
 \end{array}$$

THEOREM 3.5. (1) $\hat{T} \circ \hat{L} = L \circ T$, (2) $\hat{R} \circ \hat{F} = F \circ R$, (3) $\hat{L} \circ F = \hat{F} \circ L$.

Proof. (1) and (2) are equivalent by the uniqueness of adjoint functor. We show (1). $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow x$ in $\hat{T} \circ \hat{L}(X, \delta)$ iff $\mathcal{F} \in \Omega_{\mathcal{N}_x}$, where \mathcal{N}_x is the neighborhood fuzzy x-filter in (X, δ) , iff $\mathcal{F} \supseteq \mathcal{N}_x$ in $T(X, \delta)$, where \mathcal{N}_x is the neighborhood filter of x in $T(X, \delta)$ iff $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow x$ in $L \circ T(X, \delta)$. (3) $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow x$ in $\hat{L} \circ F(X, T)$ iff $\mathcal{F} \supseteq \mathcal{N}_x$, where \mathcal{N}_x is the neighborhood fuzzy x-filter in $F(X, T) = (X, \delta_T)$. Note that if $\mu_A : X \rightarrow I_r$ is continuous at x , then there exists a continuous map $\mu_B : X \rightarrow I$ such that $B \subseteq A$ and $\mu_B(x) = \mu_A(x)$. Hence $\mathcal{N}_x = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{N}_x}$, where \mathcal{N}_x is the neighborhood filter of x in (X, T) . Therefore $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow x$ in $\hat{L} \circ F(X, T)$ iff $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow x$ in $\hat{F} \circ L(X, T)$.

REMARK. This Theorem shows that \hat{T} and \hat{F} are extensions of T and F by (1) and (3), respectively, and \hat{L} and \hat{R} are extensions of L and R by (3) and (2), respectively.

COROLLARY. If a fps (X, Δ) is fuzzy topological, then $\hat{T}(X, \Delta)$ is topological.

Proof. By Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 3.5, $\hat{T}(X, \Delta) = \hat{T}\hat{L}\hat{R}(X, \Delta) = LT\hat{R}(X, \Delta)$.

REMARK. It is well known [16] that there exists a limit space (X, \wedge) , which is not equal to $L(X, T)$ for any topology T on X . Hence $\hat{F}(X, \wedge) = \hat{L}(X, \delta)$ for any fuzzy topology δ on X , i.e., $\hat{F}(X, \wedge)$ is not fuzzy topological, by Proposition 3.3(1) and Theorem 3.5(1). Moreover there exists a fts (X, δ) which is not equal to $F(X, T)$ for any topology T on X [7]. Hence $\hat{L}(X, \delta) = \hat{F}(X, \wedge)$ for any limitierung \wedge on X by Proposition 3.1(1) and Theorem 3.5(2).

4. Function spaces

Since the category **Top** is a bicoreflective subcategory of **FTop** and the full embedding functor from **Top** into **FTop** preserves initial sources, by Proposition 2.4 in [13] **FTop** is not cartesian closed. This means that there is no natural function space structure in **FTop**. However we can show that **FpLim** has a natural function space structure.

In fact, **FpLim** is shown to be a quasitopos(= topological universe [14]) in the sense of Herrlich [5], equivalently cartesian colsed and hereditary.

THEOREM 4.1. *Final epi-sinks in **FpLim** are preserved by pullbacks.*

Proof. Let $\{f_i : X_i \rightarrow Y\}_I$ be a final epi-sink in **FpLim**, $g : Z \rightarrow Y$ be a fuzzy continuous map and for each $i \in I$ the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W_i & \xrightarrow{k_i} & X_i \\ \downarrow h_i & & \downarrow f_i \\ Z & \xrightarrow{g} & Y \end{array}$$

a pullback. We will show that $\{h_i : W_i \rightarrow Z\}_I$ is a final epi-sink in **FpLim**.

Note that for each $i \in I$, $W_i = \{(z, x_i) : f_i(x_i) = g(z)\}$ is a subspace of the product $Z \times X$ and h and k are projections. Clearly $\{h_i\}_I$ is epi-sink. Let $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow b$ in Z . Then $g(\mathcal{H} \cap [b]) \rightarrow g(b)$ in Y and hence there exists $\mathcal{F}_i \rightarrow a_i$ in $X_i (i = 1, \dots, n)$ such that $g(\mathcal{H} \cap [b]) \supseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^n f_i(\mathcal{F}_i \cap [a_i])$ in $\mathcal{F}_{g(b)}(Y)$ and $f_i(a_i) = g(b)$. Let \mathcal{G}_i be the fuzzy (b, a_i) -filter on W_i generated by $\{[H, F_i] : H \in \mathcal{H} \cap [b], F_i \in \mathcal{F}_i \cap [a_i]\}$, where $\mu_{[H, F_i]}(z, x_i) = \mu_H(z) \wedge \mu_{F_i}(x_i)$. Then $h_i(\mathcal{G}_i) \rightarrow b$ in Z , since $h_i(\mathcal{G}_i) \supseteq \mathcal{H} \cap [b]$ (Note that $h_i([H, F_i] \cap t) \subseteq H$, where $t = \mu_{[H, F_i]}(a_i)$), $k_i(\mathcal{G}_i) \rightarrow a_i$ in X and hence $\mathcal{G}_i \rightarrow (b, a_i)$ in W_i . We claim that $\mathcal{H} \cap [b] \supseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^n h_i(\mathcal{G}_i)$. Take $A \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n h_i(\mathcal{G}_i)$. Then there exist $H_i \in \mathcal{H} \cap [b]$ and $F_i \in \mathcal{F}_i \cap [a_i]$ such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^n h_i([H_i, F_i]) \subseteq A$ with $\mu_{H_i}(b) \cap \mu_{F_i}(a_i) = \mu_A(b) > 0$. for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. Denote $\alpha^* = \mu_A(b)$. Note that $\mu_{f_i(\mathcal{F}_i \cap \underline{\alpha}^*)}(f_i(a_i)) = \alpha^*$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$ and hence $\bigcup_{i=1}^n f_i(F_i \cap \underline{\alpha}^*) \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n f_i(\mathcal{F}_i[a_i])$. Thus there exists $H \in \mathcal{H} \cap [b]$ such that $g(H) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n f_i(F_i \cap \underline{\alpha}^*)$ with $0 < \mu_H(b) = \alpha^*$. Now we show that $K = (\bigcap_{i=1}^n H_i) \cap H \subseteq_b A$. Take any $z \in Z$. Then $\mu_H(z) \leq \mu_{g(H)}(g(z)) \leq \bigvee_{i=1}^n \sup_{x'_i \in f_i^{-1}(g(z))} \mu_{F_i}(x'_i) \wedge \alpha^*$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_K(z) &= (\bigwedge_{i=1}^n \mu_{H_i}(z)) \wedge \mu_H(z) \\ &\leq (\bigwedge_{i=1}^n \mu_{H_i}(z)) \wedge (\bigvee_{i=1}^n \sup_{(z, x'_i) \in W_i} (\mu_{F_i}(x'_i) \wedge \alpha^*)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \bigvee_{i=1}^n (\mu_{H_i}(z) \wedge \sup_{(z, x'_i) \in W_i} \mu_{F_i}(x'_i)) \\
 &= \bigvee_{i=1}^n \sup_{(z, x'_i) \in W_i} \mu_{[H_i, F_i]}(z, x'_i) \\
 &= \bigvee_{i=1}^n \mu_{h_i([H_i, F_i])}(z) \leq \mu_A(z).
 \end{aligned}$$

Obviously $\mu_K(b) = \mu_A(b)$. Hence the result follows.

This Theorem means that **FpLim** is a quasitopos and hence cartesian closed and hereditary. (So, for any fps X the comma category **FpLim**/ X is cartesian closed. [5]) However there is no subobject classifier in **FpLim**, since a bimorphism is not an isomorphism in general. Cartesian closedness implies the existence of a natural function space structure, which is now introduced explicitly.

For fps's X, Y , let $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ be the set of all fuzzy continuous maps from X into Y . For a fuzzy set L in $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ and a fuzzy set A in X , we define a fuzzy set $L(A)$ in Y by $\mu_{L(A)}(y) = \sup_{(x, g) \in ev^{-1}(y)} \mu_L(g) \wedge \mu_A(x)$, if $ev^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset$, and 0, otherwise, where ev is the evaluation map. Let \mathcal{H} be a fuzzy f-filter on $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ and \mathcal{A} be a fuzzy x-filter on X . The family $\{B \in I^Y : L(A) \subseteq B, 0 < \mu_L(f) = \mu_A(x) = \mu_B(f(x))\}$ for some $L \in \mathcal{H}, A \in \mathcal{A}$ generates a fuzzy $f(x)$ -filter $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ on Y . Define a function Δ on $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ as follows; for each $f \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ a fuzzy f-filter $\mathcal{H} \in \Delta(f)$ iff for each $x \in X, \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow f(x)$ in Y , whenever $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow x$ in X . Then Δ is the natural fuzzy p-limitierung on $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$, i.e., with respect to this function space structure, $ev : X \times \mathcal{C}(X, Y) \rightarrow Y$ is fuzzy continuous and for a fuzzy continuous map $h : X \times Z \rightarrow Y$ there exists a unique fuzzy continuous map $h^* : Z \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ such that $ev \circ (1_X \times h^*)$. (Cf. [12]) Thus we have the following convenient properties [4]:

- (1) $\mathcal{C}(X \times Y, Z) = \mathcal{C}(X, \mathcal{C}(Y, Z))$ (first exponential law)
- (2) $\mathcal{C}(X, \prod Y_i) = \prod \mathcal{C}(X, Y_i)$ (second exponential law)
- (3) $\mathcal{C}(\prod X_i, Y) = \prod \mathcal{C}(X_i, Y)$ (third exponential law)
- (4) $X \times \prod X_i = \prod (X \times X_i)$ (distributive law)
- (5) Finite products of quotient maps are quotient maps.

References

1. E. Binz, *Continuous convergence on $C(X)$* , LNM **469**, Springer-Verlag (1975).
2. C. L. Chang, *Fuzzy topological spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **24** (1968), 182–190.
3. H. R. Fischer, *Limesraume*, Math. Ann. **137** (1959), 269–303.
4. H. Herrlich, *Cartesian closed topological categories*, Math. Collq., Univ. Cape Town **9** (1974), 1–16.
5. H. Herrlich, *Topological improvements of categories of structured sets*, Top. Appl. **27** (1987), 145–155.
6. H. Herrlich and G. E. Strecker, *Category theory*, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin (1979).
7. R. Lowen, *Fuzzy topological spaces and fuzzy compactness*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **56** (1976), 621–633.
8. R. Lowen, *Initial and final fuzzy topologies and the fuzzy Tychonoff theorem*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **58** (1977), 11–21.
9. R. Lowen, *Convergence in fuzzy topological spaces*, Top. Appl. **10** (1979), 147–160.
10. R. Lowen, *Fuzzy neighborhood spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **7** (1982), 165–189.
11. A. S. Mashhour, A. Kandl and O. A. El-Tantawy, *Separation axioms and convergence of filters in the fuzzy syntopogeneous spaces*, Comm. IFSA Math. Chap. **2** (1988), 20–23.
12. K. C. Min, *Fuzzy limit spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **32** (1989), 343–357.
13. L. D. Nel, *Initially structured categories and cartesian closedness*, Can. J. Math. **27** No. 6 (1975), 1361–1377.
14. L. D. Nel, *Topological universes and smooth Gelfand-Naimark duality*, Contemporary Math. **30** AMS (1984), 244–276.
15. P-M. Pu and Y-M. Liu, *Fuzzy topology I, Nieghborhood suructure of a fuzzy point and Moore-Smith convergence*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **76** (1980), 571–500.
16. W. A. Robertson, *Convergence as a nearness concepts*, Doct.Diss., Carleton Univ. (1975).
17. R. H. Warren, *Neighborhoods, bases and continuity in fuzzy topological spaces*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **8** (1978), 459–470.
18. R. H. Warren, *Fuzzy topologies characterized by neighborhood systems*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **9** (1979), 761–764.
19. R. H. Warren, *Convergence in fuzzy topology*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **13** (1983), 31–36.
20. C. K. Wong, *Fuzzy topology, Fuzzy sets and their applications to cognitive and decision processes*, Academic Press, New York (1975), 171–190.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, YONSEI UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 120-749, KOREA