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## 1. Introduction

The mean curvature function $H$ on an oriented surface $S$ in $R^{3}$ is defined at a point $p$ in $S$ to be $H(p)=\lambda_{1}(p)+\lambda_{2}(p)$, where $\lambda_{1}(p)$ and $\lambda_{2}(p)$ are the principal curvatures of $S$ at $p$. When $H$ is constant, $S$ is called a surface of constant mean curvature. In this paper, if $S$ is a surface of constant mean curvature $H$, we call $S$ an MCH-surface. We can (and will) assume $H>0$.

We consider properly embedded MCH -annulli $A$, which are homeomorphic to the punctured unit disc $D \backslash O$ in $R^{2}$. Let $F: D \backslash O \rightarrow A \subset$ $R^{3}$ be a homeomorphism. Then $f$ will be a proper map and $F(y) \rightarrow \infty$ as $y \rightarrow 0$. Due to W. Meeks III [1], every properly embedded MCH annulus $A$ is cylindrically bounded, i.e., $A$ stays a bounded distance from one half infinite straight line. Recently, N.J. Korevarr, R. Kusner and B. Solomon proved that every properly embedded MCH- annulus is asymtotic to a Delaunay surface [2]. They also proved that if $\sum$ is a complete properly embedded MCH -surface and has two annular end, then it is a Delaunay surface.

Modifying the method of three authors, we obtained some different results about properly embedded MCH-annulli. Also, we proved that if $S \subset R^{3}$ is a compact MCH-graph with $\partial S \subset x^{3}=0$ and if $S$ has a point $p$ such that $x^{3}(P)=2 H^{-1}$, then $S$ is a hemisphere.

We need some notations and definitions. Many of them are due to three authors.
(1.1). For $0<R<\infty, P \in R^{3}$ and given a unit vector $v$ the disc with center $P$ and normal $v$, is defined by $D_{v, R}(P)=\left\{y \in R^{3}\right.$ :

[^0]$|y-P| \leq R,(y-P) \cdot v=0\}$. The solid half cylinder generated by $D_{v, R}(p)$ and $v$ is
$$
C_{v, R}^{+}(p)=\left\{y+x v: y \in D_{v, R}(p), x \geq 0\right\} .
$$

Due to W. Meeks III, if $A \subset R^{3}$ is a properly embedded $M C H$ - annulus. then there exists $C_{v, R}^{+}(P)$ such that $A \subset C_{v, R}^{+}(P)$. In this case, We call $v$ an axis vector of $A$.

## 2. Compcat MCH-graphs

In this section, we will prove that a compact MCH-graph with some property must be a hemisphere.

Proposition 2.1. Proposition suppose $S \subset R^{3}$ is a compact MCHgraph with $\partial S \subset\left\{x^{3}=0\right\}$. Then $\left|x^{3}(S)\right| \leq 2 H^{-1}$. Futhermore, if $S$ has a point $p$ such that $x^{3}(p)=2 H^{-1}$ or $-2 H^{-1}$, then $S$ must be a hemisphere.

Proof. We may assume $x^{3}(S) \geq 0$. By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequaity, the second fundamental form $A$ and the mean ourvature $H$ satisfies $2|A|^{2}-H^{2} \geq 0$. On a graph, the (upward) unit normal $v$ satisfies $v^{3} \geq 0$. Combining these inequalities with the equations $\Delta x^{3}=-H v^{3}$ and $\Delta v^{3}=-|A|^{2} v^{3}$ yields the differential inequality $\Delta\left(H x^{3}-2 v^{3}\right) \geq 0$ on $S$. Since $H x^{3}-2 v^{3} \leq 0$ on $\partial S$, the maximum principle implies the same inequality on $S$. The first result follows since $v^{3} \leq|v|=1$. Suppose $x^{3}(p)=2 H^{-1}$ at some point $p \in S$. Then $H x^{3}-2 v^{3}$ has an interior maximum at $p$. The maximum principle implies $H x^{3}--2 v^{3}$ must be constant and $\Delta\left(H x^{3}-2 v^{3}\right)=\left(2|A|^{2}-H^{2}\right) v^{3}$ is constantly zero. By continuity, we may conclude that $2|A|^{2}-H^{2}=0$. Hence $S$ is a hemisphere with radius $H$.

Remarks.

1. The first part of Proposition 2.1 are firstly overserved by Serrin [3].
2. For the known examples, if $S$ is an MCH-graph over a connected closed (not necessarly compact) domain in $\left\{x^{3}=0\right\}$ with $\partial S \subset\left\{x^{3}=0\right\}$, we expect $S$ has the property mentioned in Proposition 2.1.

Corollary 2.2. Let $S$ be a compact MCH-graph with $\partial S \subset\left\{x^{3}=\right.$ $0\}$. If $S$ is not a hemisphere, then $\left|x^{3}(S)\right|<2 H^{-1}$.

## 3. Properly embedded MCH-annulus

To prove our results, we need some argument which is similar to three authors'. Let $A$ be a properly embedded MCH-annulus and let $A \subset C_{a, R}^{+}(q)$. We may assume $q=0$. The axis vector $a$ is parallel to positive $x_{1}$-axis.

Fix a plane $\Pi \subset R^{3}$ with unit normal $v$, which is below annulus $A$ and is parallel to the axis vector $a$. Let $L$ be the perpendicular line given by $L=\{t v: t \in R\}$. For $t \in R$ and $p \in \Pi$ define the $\Pi$-parallel plane $\Pi_{t}$, and the $\Pi$-perpendicular line $L_{p}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{t}=\Pi+t v, \quad \mathrm{£}_{p}=p+L \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a point $p \in \Pi$, consider the line $L_{p}(3.1)$. Let $p_{1}=p+t_{1} v$ be the first point in $L_{p} \cap A$ as $t$ decreases from $\infty$. If the intersection is transverse and if $L_{p}$ meets $A$ at $p_{2}=p+t_{2} v$ secondly, (if $L_{p}$ meets $A$ at $p_{1}$ tangently, let $p_{2}=p_{1}$ ) then $p$ is in the domain of Alexnadrov function $\alpha_{1}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1}(p)=\left(t_{1}+t_{2}\right) / 2 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\alpha_{1}$ has an interior local maximum at $p \in \Pi$, then one can show the plane $\Pi_{\alpha_{1}(p)}$ is a plane of symmetry for $A[2$, Lemma 2.6$]$. Three authors observed that $\alpha_{1}$ is upper-semicontinuous. Now, we state three authors' crucial lemma. They proved the following lemma by using cylindrical boundedness of $A$, Alexandrov reflection technique and upper-semicontinuity of $\alpha_{1}$.

Lemma 3.1 [2]. Let $A \subset C_{a, R}^{+}(0)$. Define the related Alexandrov function $\alpha$ on $A$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(x)=\max _{\substack{p \in \Pi \\ p \cdot a=x \geq 0}} \alpha_{1}(p) . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\alpha$ is not increasing. i.e., either $\alpha(x)$ is strictly decreasing, or else A has a plane of reflection symmetry parallel to II.

By simple application of above lemma, we obtaind the following result.

Proposition 3.2. Let $A$ be a properly embedded $M C H$-annulus and let $A$ be contained in $C_{a, R}^{+}(0)$ and $\partial A \subset D_{a, R}(0)$. If $\partial A$ has a line of reflection symmetry, and the portion of $\partial A$ above this line is a graph, then $A$ has a plane of symmetry parallel to $a$ and to this line.

Proof. Consider some plane $\Pi$ which lies below $A$ and is parallel to $a$ and the line of symmetry. The symmetry of $\partial A$ implies that $\alpha_{1}(p)$ is constant for all $p \in \Pi$ with $L_{p} \cap \partial A \neq \emptyset$. This constant value is equivalent to $\alpha(0)$. If $A$ has not a plane of symmetry parallel to $\Pi$, then $\alpha_{1}(q)<\alpha(0)$ for all $q$ (at which $\alpha_{1}$ can be defined) with $q \cdot a>0$. Consider another plane II which lies above annulus $A$ and is parallel to $\Pi$. Then the function $\alpha$ relative to $\Pi$ has the property $\alpha(0)<\alpha_{1}(q)$ for all $q \in$ II (at which $\alpha_{1}$ can be defined) with $q \cdot a>0$. This is contradiction to Lemma 3.3. Hence $A$ has a plane of symmetry $\Pi_{z}$ parallel to $a$ and the line of symmetry.

Corollary 3.3. Let $A$ be a properly embedded $M C H$-annulus contained in $C_{a, R}^{+}(0)$. If some plane $a \perp$ which orthogonalto the axis vector a makes a circle by intersecting the annulus $A$, then $A$ is a Delauny surface.

Proof. If $a \perp \cap A$ bounds a compact component of $A$, then we can show that this component is a piece of sphere by using Alexandrov reflection technique. By annaliticity of MCH-surface, $A$ must be a piece of sphere. This is impossible. Hence we may assume $a \perp \cap A$ seperates $A$ into a compact annulus and an infinite annulus. Consider the infinite part. This annulus has symmetry planes parallel to every plane containing $a$ by Proposition 3.4. But the center of mass of any crosssection of $\Sigma$ perpendicular to $a$ must be contained in each symmetry plane. Hence all symmetry planes intersect in a line parallel to $a$, and this annulus has rotational symmetry about this line.
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