

CONTINUOUS AND LINEAR SELECTIONS FOR THE METRIC PROJECTION

SUNG HO PARK

1. Introduction

A linear subspace M of a normed linear space X is called *proximal* (resp. *Chebyshev*) if, for each x in X , the set of best approximations to x from M ,

$$P_M(x) := \{y \in M : \|x - y\| = \inf_{m \in M} \|x - m\|\}, \quad (1-1)$$

is nonempty (resp. a singleton). The set-valued mapping $P_M : X \rightarrow 2^M$ thus defined is called the metric projection onto M . A selection for P_M or a metric selection for M is a function $s : X \rightarrow M$ such that $s(x) \in P_M(x)$ for all $x \in X$. In this paper, we are mainly interested in selections which are also continuous or linear.

Let $H(M)$ denote the collection of all nonempty, closed, bounded and convex subsets of M . It is well-known that if M is proximal, then $P_M : X \rightarrow H(M)$ and P_M is homogeneous, i. e., $P_M(\alpha x) = \alpha P_M(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$, and P_M is additive, i. e., $P_M(x+m) = P_M(x) + m$ for all $x \in X$ and $m \in M$.

A selections s for P_M is said to be:

$$\text{homogeneous if } s(\alpha x) = \alpha s(x), \quad x \in X, \alpha \in \mathbf{R}, \quad (1-2)$$

$$\text{additive if } s(x+m) = s(x) + m, \quad x \in X, m \in M. \quad (1-3)$$

Finally, the kernel of the metric projection P_M is the set

$$\text{Ker } P_M := \{x \in X : 0 \in P_M(x)\}.$$

Now we can outline some of the main results of this paper. In section 2, we give general results for continuous selection from [5].

In Section 3, consider the space $L_1 = L_1(T, \mathcal{J}, \mu)$ of the functions on the measure space (T, \mathcal{J}, μ) . For an n -dimensional subspace M of L_1 which has a basis $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ satisfying $\mu \{ \text{supp}(y_i) \cap \text{supp}(y_j) \} = 0$

Received October 30, 1989.

Revised January 30, 1990.

Supported by Korea Science and Engineering Foundation.

for all $i \neq j$, we proved the following result. P_M has a continuous selection if and only if each x_j satisfies the Lazar condition.

In Section 4, we study the linear metric projection on $L_p = L_p(T, \mathcal{J}, \mu)$. By using the results from [7], for an n -dimensional subspace M of L_p we proved the following result. P_M is linear if and only if there exists a basis $\{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n\}$ of M such that $\text{supp}(m_i)$ is purely atomic and contains at most two atoms.

In Section 5, for an n -dimensional subspace M of L_1 we prove the following theorem by using results in [1] and [7]. P_M has a linear selection if and only if there exists a basis $\{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n\}$ of M such that $\text{supp}(m_i)$ contains an atom A_i for $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ so that

$$\|m_i\| \leq 2 |m_i(A_i) \mu(A_i)| \text{ and } m_i(A_j) = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j.$$

In Section 6, we learn something from the proof of Theorem 11 in [7].

2. General theorem

A subset N of X is called homogeneous if $\alpha N \subset N$ for each $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$. If M is a proximal subspace of X and N is a subset (not necessarily a subspace) of X , we will write $X = M \oplus N$ to mean that each $x \in X$ has a unique representation as $x = m + n$, where $m \in M$ and $n \in N$.

Recall that the quotient map $Q = Q_M : X \rightarrow X/M$ defined by $Q(x) = x + M$, is linear, $\|Q(x)\| \leq \|x\|$ for every x , and $\|Qx\| = \|x\|$ for each $x \in \text{Ker} P_M$.

We can now characterize when the metric projection has a continuous selection which is additive modulo M .

THEOREM 2.1. [5] *Let M be a proximal subspace of a Banach space X . The following are equivalent:*

- (1) P_M has a continuous selection;
- (2) P_M has a continuous selection which is homogeneous and additive modulo M ;
- (3) $\text{Ker } P_M$ contains a closed homogeneous subset N such that $X = M \oplus N$ and the mapping $s(m+n) = m$ is continuous;
- (4) $\text{Ker } P_M$ contains a closed homogeneous subset N such that $Q|_N$ is a homeomorphism between N and X/M .

COROLLARY 2.2. [5] *Let M be a Chebyshev subspace of a normed space*

X. *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) P_M is continuous;
- (2) $(Q|_{\text{Ker } P_M}) - 1$ is continuous.

REMARKS. (1) In [1], we can find characterization of subspaces of a normed linear space whose metric projection has a linear selection.

(2) We can find more informations of general results of continuous, and Lipschitz continuous selections in [5].

3. Continuous selections in L_1

Let (T, \mathcal{J}, μ) be a measure space and $L_1 = L_1(T, \mathcal{J}, \mu)$ denote the space of all real-valued measurable functions x on T which are integrable and having the norm

$$\|x\| : = \int_T |x(t)| d\mu.$$

An *atom* is a set $A \in \mathcal{J}$ such that $0 < \mu(A) < \infty$ and if $B \in \mathcal{J}$, $B \subset A$, then either $\mu(B) = 0$ or $\mu(B) = \mu(A)$. A measurable subset E of T is called *purely atomic* if E is (up to a set of measure zero) a union of atoms. Any measurable function x is constant a.e. (μ) on an atom A . We will write $x(A)$ for this value. For $x \in L_1$, the support of x and zero set of x are defined (up to a set of measure zero) by $\text{supp } x = \{t \in T : x(t) \neq 0\}$ and $Z(x) = T \setminus \text{supp } x = \{t \in T : x(t) = 0\}$.

DEFINITION 3.1. [3] Let $y \in L_1(T)$. We say that y satisfies the *Lazar condition* if whenever A and B are disjoint measurable sets with $A \cup B = \text{supp } y$ and

$$\int_A |y| d\mu = \int_B |y| d\mu,$$

then either A or B must be a finite union of atoms.

THEOREM 3.2. [3] Let $y \in L_1 \setminus \{0\}$. Then $P_{[y]}$ has a continuous selection if and only if y satisfies the Lazar condition.

THEOREM 3.3. Let $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \in L_1 \setminus \{0\}$ be such that $\mu(\text{supp}(y_i) \cap \text{supp}(y_j)) = 0$ if $i \neq j$. Then $P_{[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n]}$ has a continuous selection if and only if y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n satisfy the Lazar condition.

Proof. We proceed by induction.

- (i) $n=1$. By Theorem 3.2, it holds.

(ii) Assume that $P_{[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k]}$ has a continuous selection if and only if y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k satisfy the Lazar condition.

(iii) We need to prove it for $n=k+1$.

Assume $P_{[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{k+1}]}$ has a continuous selection, say s . Then for each $x \in L_1(T)$, $s(x) = \alpha_1^x y_1 + \alpha_2^x y_2 + \dots + \alpha_k^x y_k + \alpha_{k+1}^x y_{k+1}$. Define $s_1 : L_1 \rightarrow [y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k]$ and $s_2 : L_1 \rightarrow [y_{k+1}]$ by

$$s_1(x) = \alpha_1^x y_1 + \alpha_2^x y_2 + \dots + \alpha_k^x y_k \text{ and } s_2(x) = \alpha_{k+1}^x y_{k+1}.$$

Then $s(x) = s_1(x) + s_2(x)$. Let $x_n \rightarrow x$. Since s is continuous, $\|s_1(x_n) - s_1(x)\|_1 + \|s_2(x_n) - s_2(x)\|_1 = \|s(x_n) - s(x)\|_1 \rightarrow 0$. Thus $\|s_1(x_n) - s_1(x)\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ and $\|s_2(x_n) - s_2(x)\|_1 \rightarrow 0$. So s_1 and s_2 are continuous.

Claim : s_1 is a selection for

$$P_{[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k]} : L_1|_{T - \text{supp}(y_{k+1})} \rightarrow [y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k].$$

Let $x \in L_1|_{T - \text{supp}(y_{k+1})} = \{x \in L_1 : x(\text{supp}(y_{k+1})) = 0\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - s(x)\|_1 &= \int_{T - \text{supp}(y_{k+1})} |x - s(x)| d\mu + \int_{\text{supp}(y_{k+1})} |s_2(x)| d\mu \\ &\leq \int_{T - \text{supp}(y_{k+1})} |x - (\alpha_1 y_1 + \alpha_2 y_2 + \dots + \alpha_k y_k)| d\mu + \int_{\text{supp}(y_{k+1})} |\alpha_{k+1} y_{k+1}| d\mu \end{aligned}$$

for any $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{k+1} \in \mathbf{R}$. If $\alpha_{k+1} = 0$, then

$$\int_{T - \text{supp}(y_{k+1})} |x - s_1(x)| d\mu \leq \int_{T - \text{supp}(y_{k+1})} |x - \alpha_1 y_1 - \alpha_2 y_2 - \dots - \alpha_k y_k| d\mu$$

for any $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k \in \mathbf{R}$. Thus for each $x \in L_1|_{T - \text{supp}(y_{k+1})}$, $s_1(x) \in P_{[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k]}(x)$. By assumption, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k satisfy the Lazar condition. Similarly we can prove that $s_2 : L_1|_{T - \bigcup_{i=1}^k \text{supp}(y_i)} \rightarrow [y_{k+1}]$ is a selection for $P_{[y_{k+1}]} : L_1|_{T - \bigcup_{i=1}^k \text{supp}(y_i)} \rightarrow 2[y_{k+1}]$. By theorem 3.2, y_{k+1} satisfies the Lazar condition.

Conversely, assume that y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{k+1} satisfy the Lazar condition. By (ii), $P_{[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k]}$ has a continuous selection, say s_1 . By Theorem 3.2, $P_{[y_{k+1}]}$ has a continuous selection, say s_2 .

Claim : $s_1 + s_2$ is a continuous selection for $P_{[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k, y_{k+1}]}$. Since s_1 and s_2 are continuous, $s_1 + s_2$ is continuous. It suffices to prove that, for each $x \in L_1$,

$$s_1(x) + s_2(x) \in P_{[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k, y_{k+1}]}(x).$$

Since $s_1(x) \in P_{[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k]}(x)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - s_1(x)\|_1 &= \int_{T - \bigcup_{i=1}^k \text{supp}(y_i)} |x| d\mu + \int_{\bigcup_{i=1}^k \text{supp}(y_i)} |x - s_1(x)| d\mu \\ &\leq \|x - \alpha_1 y_1 - \alpha_2 y_2 - \dots - \alpha_k y_k\|_1 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \int_{T - \bigcup_{i=1}^k \text{supp}(y_i)} |x| d\mu + \int_{\bigcup_{i=1}^k \text{supp}(y_i)} |x - \alpha_1 y_1 - \alpha_2 y_2 - \dots - \alpha_k y_k| d\mu$$

for any $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k \in \mathbf{R}$. Thus

$$\int_{\bigcup_{i=1}^k \text{supp}(y_i)} |x - s_1(x)| d\mu \leq \int_{\bigcup_{i=1}^k \text{supp}(y_i)} |x - \alpha_1 y_1 - \alpha_2 y_2 - \dots - \alpha_k y_k| d\mu$$

for any $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k \in \mathbf{R}$. Similarly,

$$\int_{\text{supp}(y_{k+1})} |x - s_2(x)| d\mu \leq \int_{\text{supp}(y_{k+1})} |x - \alpha_{k+1} y_{k+1}| d\mu$$

for any $\alpha_{k+1} \in \mathbf{R}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - s_1(x) - s_2(x)\|_1 &= \int_{\bigcup_{i=1}^k \text{supp}(y_i)} |x - s_1(x)| d\mu + \int_{\text{supp}(y_{k+1})} |x - \alpha_{k+1} y_{k+1}| d\mu \\ &\quad + \int_{T - \bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} \text{supp}(y_i)} |x| d\mu \\ &\leq \int_{\bigcup_{i=1}^k \text{supp}(y_i)} |x - \alpha_1 y_1 - \alpha_2 y_2 - \dots - \alpha_k y_k| d\mu \\ &\quad + \int_{\text{supp}(y_{k+1})} |x - \alpha_{k+1} y_{k+1}| d\mu + \int_{T - \bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} \text{supp}(y_i)} |x| d\mu \\ &= \|x - \alpha_1 y_1 - \alpha_2 y_2 - \dots - \alpha_{k+1} y_{k+1}\|_1 \end{aligned}$$

for any $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{k+1} \in \mathbf{R}$. Thus

$$s_1(x) + s_2(x) \in P_{[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{k+1}]}(x).$$

COROLLARY 3.4. *Let $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \in l_1 \setminus \{0\}$ be such that $\text{supp}(y_i) \cap \text{supp}(y_j) = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$. $P_{[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n]}$ has a continuous selection if and only if y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n satisfy the Lazar condition.*

Because of the assumption on the supports of a basis, the above theorem is not a complete characterization of those subspace having continuous metric selections. This leads us to the next question: Is there an intrinsic characterization of the n -dimensional ($n > 1$) subspaces of L_1 which have a continuous metric selection?

4. Linear selections in L_p

Let (T, \mathcal{A}, μ) be a measure space, $1 < p < \infty$, and let $L_p = L_p(T, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ denote the space of all real-valued measurable functions x on T whose absolute p^{th} power are integrable and whose norm is

$$\|x\| := \left[\int_T |x(t)|^p d\mu \right]^{1/p}.$$

F. Deutsch [1] gave the following Theorem that is an intrinsic characterization of those $x_1 \in L_p \setminus \{0\}$ such that $P_{[x_1]}$ is linear.

THEOREM 4.1. [1] *Let $x_1 \in L_p \setminus \{0\}$, $1 < p < \infty$, and $p \neq 2$. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $P_{[x_1]}$ is linear;
- (2) $\text{supp}(x_1)$ is purely atomic and contains at most two atoms.

when $p=2$, L_p is a Hilbert space, so for any closed subspace M , P_M is linear. We will therefore only be interested in the case when $p \neq 2$, i. e., when L_p is not a Hilbert space.

Let T_0 denote the union of all atoms in (T, \mathcal{J}, μ) .

THEOREM 4.2. *Suppose M is an n -dimensional subspace of L_p , $1 < p < \infty$, $p \neq 2$. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) P_M is linear;
- (2) There exist k disjoint subsets B_1, B_2, \dots, B_k of T_0 such that $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k M_i$, where M_i is either $L_p(B_i)$ or a hyperplane in $L_p(B_i)$;
- (3) There exist $l+1$ distinct subsets B_0, B_1, \dots, B_l of T_0 such that $M = L_p(B_0) \oplus (\bigoplus_{i=1}^l M_i)$ where M_i is a hyperplane of $L_p(B_i)$;
- (4) There exists a basis $\{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n\}$ of M such that $\text{supp}(m_i)$ is purely atomic and contains at most two atoms.

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) is proved by P.-K. Lin [7].

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Suppose (2) holds. Let \mathcal{B} be the collection of B_i which $M_i = L_p(B_i)$. Put $B_0 = \bigcup_{B_i \in \mathcal{B}} B_i$ and $l = k - \text{the number of sets in } \mathcal{B}$. Then

$L_p(B_0) = \bigoplus_{B_i \in \mathcal{B}} L_p(B_i)$. Thus $M = L_p(B_0) \oplus (\bigoplus_{i=1}^l M_i)$ where M_i is a hyperplane of $L_p(B_i)$.

(3) \Rightarrow (4) Suppose (3) holds. If $M = L_p(B_0)$, then B_0 has n atoms, say $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\}$. Thus $m_i = \chi_{A_i}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. We may assume $M \neq L_p(B_0)$. For $L_p(B_0)$ we can find a basis of $L_p(B_0)$ consisting of characteristic functions of each atom of B_0 . It suffices to prove that for M_1 there is a basis such that the support of each element of it is purely atomic and contains at most two atoms. Since M_1 is finite dimensional subspace, we can find a basis of M_1 such that the support of each element of it is purely atomic because B_1 is purely atomic.

By the elementary, property, we can find a basis for M_1 such that the support of each element of it contain at most two atoms. Similarly, we can find a basis of M_i such that the support of each element of it is purely atomic and contains at most two atoms. Therefore (4) holds.

(4) \Rightarrow (2) is easy to prove.

REMARK. F. Deutsch [1] proved (1) \Leftrightarrow (4) when $\dim M=1$. P.-K. Lin [7] proved (1) \Leftrightarrow (2).

5. Linear selections in L_1

In this section, we give intrinsic characterizations of those finite-dimensional subspaces of L_1 whose metric projections admits linear selections.

LEMMA 5.1. *Let M be an n -dimensional subspace of $L_1(T)$. Suppose that P_M has a linear selection s and there exist an atom A and $m_0(\neq 0) \in M$ such that*

$$|m_0(A) \mu(A)| \geq \int_{T-A} |m_0(t)| d\mu.$$

Then the metric projection $P_{M_1} : L_1(T-A) \rightarrow M_1$ has a linear selection where $M_1 = \{m \in M : m(A) = 0\}$.

Proof. Let s be a linear selection for P_M .

Define $s_1 : L_1(T-A) \rightarrow M_1$ by

$$s_1(f) = s(f') - \frac{s(f')(A)}{m_0(A)} m_0 \text{ where } f' = \begin{cases} f & \text{on } T-A \\ 0 & \text{on } A. \end{cases}$$

Then s_1 is well-defined since $m_0(A) \neq 0$. Since $s(f') \in M$, $\frac{s(f')(A)}{m_0(A)} m_0 \in M$ and $s_1(f)(A) = 0$, $s_1(f) \in M_1$. Since s is linear, s_1

is linear. To show s_1 is a linear selection for P_{M_1} , we must show that $s_1(f) \in P_{M_1}(f)$ for each $f \in L_1(T-A)$. Let $f \in L_1(T-A)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - s_1(f)\|_{T \setminus A} &= \|f - (s(f') - \frac{s(f')(A)}{m_0(A)} m_0)\|_{T \setminus A} \\ &\leq \|f - s(f')\|_{T \setminus A} + \left| \frac{s(f')(A)}{m_0(A)} \right| \|m_0\|_{T \setminus A} \\ &= \|f - s(f')\|_{T \setminus A} + \left| \frac{s(f')(A)}{m_0(A)} \right| \int_{T \setminus A} |m_0| d\mu \\ &\leq \|f - s(f')\|_{T \setminus A} + |s(f')(A) \mu(A)| \\ &= \|f' - s(f')\|_T \leq \|f' - m\|_T \end{aligned}$$

for any m in M . Since M_1 is a subspace of M ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - s_1(f)\|_{T \setminus A} &\leq \|f' - m\|_T \\ &= \|f - m\|_{T \setminus A} \end{aligned}$$

for any m in M_1 . Thus $s_1(f) \in P_{M_1}(f)$. Therefore s_1 is a linear selection for P_M in $L_1(T \setminus A)$.

Frank Deutsch [1] proved the following theorem.

THEOREM 5.2. *Let $x_1 \in L_1 \setminus \{0\}$. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $P_{[x_1]}$ has a linear selection;
- (2) $\text{Supp}(x_1)$ contains an atom and $\|x_1\| \leq 2 \max\{|x_1(A)\mu(A)| : A \text{ is an atom}\}$;
- (3) $\text{Supp}(x_1)$ contains an atom A_0 such that $\|x_1\| \leq 2|x_1(A_0)|\mu(A_0)$.

In the following theorem, we find that for an n -dimensional subspace the result in [7] is an extension of Theorem 5.2.

THEOREM 5.3. *Suppose that M is an n -dimensional subspace of L_1 . The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) P_M admits a linear selection;
- (2) There exists a subset T_1 of T which contains exactly n atoms such that for any $m \in M$

$$\int_{T_1} |m(t)| d\mu \geq \int_{T \setminus T_1} |m(t)| d\mu;$$

- (3) There exists a basis $\{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n\}$ of M such that $\text{supp}(m_i)$ contains an atom A_i for $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ so that

$$\|m_i\| \leq 2|m_i(A_i)\mu(A_i)| \text{ and } m_i(A_j) = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j;$$

- (4) There exists a basis $\{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n\}$ of M and $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\} \subset T_0$ such that $P_{[m_i]}$ has a linear selection for $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ and $m_i(A_j) = 0$ for $i \neq j$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) is proven by Pei-Kee Lin [7]. In his proof, there may have a gap. In fact, he proved that if P_M has a selection, then there exists an atom A_1 in T and $m_1 \neq 0 \in M$ such that

$$|m_1(A_1)\mu(A_1)| \geq \int_{T \setminus A_1} |m_1(t)| d\mu.$$

By the previous lemma, $P_{M_1} : L_1(T \setminus \{A_1\}) \rightarrow M_1$ has a linear selection where $M_1 = \{m \in M \mid m(A_1) = 0\}$. Since $m_1 \neq 0$, we can find a basis

$\{m_1, m_2', \dots, m_n'\}$ of M . Let $m_i'' = m_i' - \frac{m_i'(A_1)}{m_1(A_1)}m_1$ for $i=2, \dots, n$. Then $\{m_1, m_2'', \dots, m_n''\}$ is a basis of M . So we may assume M_1 has $n-1$ dimension. By the same argument, there exist an atom A_2 in T and $m_2 \neq 0 \in M_1$ such that

$$|m_2(A_2)\mu(A_2)| \geq \int_{T \setminus (A_1 \cup A_2)} |m_2(t)| d\mu.$$

Replace m_1 by $m_1 - \frac{m_1(A_2)}{m_2(A_2)}m_2$ if necessary. So we may assume $m_1(A_2) = 0$. Continuing this process up to n , there exists $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n \in M$ and $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\} = T_1 \subset T_0$ such that $m_i(A_j) = 0$ if $i \neq j$ and

$$\begin{aligned} |m_i(A_i)\mu(A_i)| &\geq \int_{T \setminus A_i} |m_i(t)| d\mu \\ &= \int_{T \setminus T_1} |m_i(t)| d\mu \end{aligned} \tag{5-1}$$

for any $i=1, 2, \dots, n$. By (5-1), $\|m_i\| \leq 2|m_i(A_i)\mu(A_i)|$ for any $i=1, 2, \dots, n$. Since $m_i(A_j) = 0$ if $i \neq j$, $\{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n\}$ is a basis for M . Thus we proved (1) \Rightarrow (3).

(3) \Rightarrow (2) Suppose that (3) holds. Since $\{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n\}$ is a basis of M , for any $m \in M$, $m = a_1m_1 + a_2m_2 + \dots + a_nm_n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{T_1} |m(t)| d\mu &= \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| |m_i(A_i)\mu(A_i)| \\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| \int_{T \setminus T_i} |m_i(t)| d\mu \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{T \setminus T_1} |a_i m_i(t)| d\mu \\ &= \int_{T \setminus T_1} \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i m_i(t)| d\mu \\ &\geq \int_{T \setminus T_1} |\sum_{i=1}^n a_i m_i(t)| d\mu \\ &= \int_{T \setminus T_1} |m| d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for any $m \in M$,

$$\int_{T_1} |m(t)| d\mu \geq \int_{T \setminus T_1} |m| d\mu.$$

Therefore (3) \Rightarrow (2) is proved.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) is proven by Pei-Kee Lin [7].

(3) \Leftrightarrow (4) By Theorem 5.2, it is obvious.

REMARK. F. Deutsch [1] proved (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) when $\dim M=1$.

Pei-Kee Lin [7] proved $(1) \Leftrightarrow (2)$. In fact, he proved $(1) \Leftrightarrow (3)$.

6. Linear selections in $C_0(T)$

Let T be a locally compact Hausdorff space of all real-valued continuous functions x on T which "vanish at infinity" (i. e., $\{t \in T : |x(t)| \geq \varepsilon\}$ is compact for every $\varepsilon > 0$) and endowed with the uniform norm: $\|x\| = \sup\{|x(t)| : t \in T\}$. When T is actually compact, $C_0(T)$ reduces to the space $C(T)$ of all continuous functions on T .

A point $t \in T$ is called an isolated point if the set $\{t\}$ is open.

THEOREM 6.1. *Let T be an n -dimensional subspace of $C_0(T)$. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) P_M admits a linear selection.;
- (2) There exists a basis $\{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n\}$ of M and n isolated points $\{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n\}$ such that $m_i(t_j) = \delta_{ij}$ for $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $\text{card}(\text{supp}(m_i)) \leq 2$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$;
- (3) There exists a basis $\{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n\}$ of M such that $\text{card}(\text{supp}(m_i)) \leq 2$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$;
- (4) Let T_0 be the union of all isolated points of T . Then there exist k disjoint subsets B_1, B_2, \dots, B_k of T_0 such that $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k M_i$ where M_i is either $C(B_i)$ or a hyperplane of $C(B_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$;
- (5) Let T_0 be the union of all isolated points of T . Then there exist $l+1$ disjoint subsets D_0, D_1, \dots, D_l of T_0 such that $M = C(D_0) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^l M_i\right)$ where M_i is either $C(D_i)$ or a hyperplane of $C(D_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$;

Proof. Pei-Kee Lin [7] proved $(1) \Rightarrow (3)$.

$(3) \Rightarrow (2)$ Suppose that (3) holds. By the elementary property, we can find n isolated points $\{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n\}$ such that $m_i(t_j) = \delta_{ij}$ for $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Thus $(3) \Rightarrow (2)$.

$(2) \Rightarrow (4)$ In the proof of $(3) \Rightarrow (4)$, Pei-Kee Lin defined $i \sim j$ if $\text{supp} m_i \cap \text{supp} m_j \neq \emptyset$. He said " \sim " is an equivalence relation on $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. But it is not true because it does not satisfy the transitive law. Let $\text{supp} m_i = \{s_1, s_2\}$, $\text{supp} m_j = \{s_2, t_1\}$ and $\text{supp} m_k = \{t_1, t_2\}$ with $s_1 \neq t_2$. Then $i \sim j$ and $j \sim k$ but not $i \sim k$. But if we assume that (2) holds, then " \sim " is an equivalence relation on $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Then Pei-Kee Lin's proof works for $(2) \Rightarrow (4)$.

(4) \Rightarrow (5) Suppose that (4) holds. Let \mathcal{D} be the collection of B_i which $M_i = C(B_i)$. Put $D_0 = \bigcup_{B_i \in \mathcal{D}} B_i$ and $l = k$ —the number of sets in \mathcal{D} . Then $C(D_0) = \bigoplus_{B_i \in \mathcal{D}} C(B_i)$. Rearrange l distinct subsets which are not contained in \mathcal{D} as D_1, D_2, \dots, D_l . Thus $M = C(D_0) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^l M_i \right)$ where M_i is a hyperplane of $C(D_i)$.

(5) \Rightarrow (4) is obvious.

(4) \Rightarrow (1) is proved by Pei-Kee Lin [7].

REMARK. F. Deutsch [1] proved (1) \Leftrightarrow (3) when $\dim M = 1$. P.-K. Lin proved (1) \Leftrightarrow (3) \Leftrightarrow (4).

References

1. F. Deutsch, *Linear selections for the metric projection*, J. Functional Anal. **49** (3) (1982), 269–292.
2. F. Deutsch, *A survey of metric selections*, Contemporary Mathematics **18** (1983), 49–71.
3. F. Deutsch, V. Indumathi, and K. Schnatz, *Lower semicontinuity, almost lower semicontinuity, and continuous selections*, J. Approx. Theory **53** (1989), 266–294.
4. F. Deutsch, *An exposition of recent results on continuous metric selections*, Numerical Methods of Approximation Theory, Vol. 8 (L. Collatz, G. Meinardus and G. Nurnberger, ed.), 67–79.
5. F. Deutsch, W. Li, and S.H. Park, *Characterizations of continuous and Lipschitz continuous metric selections in normed linear spaces*, J. Approx. Theory **58** (1989), 297–314.
6. A. J. Lazar, *Spaces of affine continuous functions on simplexes*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **134** (1986), 503–525.
7. P.-K. Lin, *Remarks on linear selections for the metric projection*, J. Approx. Theory **43** (1985), 64–74.

Sogang University
Seoul 121-742, Korea