

A NOTE ON IDEALS WHICH ARE MAXIMAL AMONG NONVALUATION IDEALS

BYUNG GYUN KANG*

In this paper R will be an integral domain. A Noetherian ring with unique maximal ideal is called a local ring. An ideal of R is called a valuation ideal if it is the contraction of an ideal of some valuation overring of R . It is known that every primary ideal of a Noetherian domain R is a valuation ideal if and only if R is a Dedekind domain. From this fact we come to be interested in the ideals which are maximal among nonvaluation ideals. One might guess that such an ideal has to be a primary ideal, but this is false. We will show that such an ideal I in a Noetherian domain R is a primary ideal if and only if its radical \sqrt{I} is a maximal ideal. In the case that R is a two dimensional regular local ring, we will show that I is a primary ideal. Note that \sqrt{I} is not always a prime ideal. But it will turn out that \sqrt{I} is a prime ideal if R is a local domain. This will be used to prove that in a two dimensional regular local ring, I is always a primary ideal. For undefined terms and general information, the reader is referred to [2].

LEMMA 1. *Let R be a commutative ring such that the set $Z(R)$ of zero divisors is a union of finite number of prime ideals. Then any regular ideal of R is generated by regular elements.*

Proof. This follows from [1, Lemma B]

LEMMA 2. *Let R be a local domain and I an ideal of R . If I is maximal among nonvaluation ideals of R , then \sqrt{I} is a prime ideal.*

Proof. Let M be the maximal ideal of R . If $\sqrt{I} = M$, then there is nothing to prove. So let us assume that $\sqrt{I} \subsetneq M$. Choose $a \in M \setminus$

Received March 24, 1989.

*This research was supported by the POSTECH research fund.

\sqrt{I} . Then for each $k \geq 1$, $I \subsetneq I + (a^k)$. Now by passing to R/I and using Krull's intersection theorem [3, Theorem 142], we deduce that $I = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} (I + (a^k))$. Put $I + (a^k) = I_k$. Now $I = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k$, $I_1 \supseteq I_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq I_k \supseteq I_{k+1} \supseteq \dots$, and each I_k is a valuation ideal. To prove that \sqrt{I} is a prime ideal, suppose that $xy \in I^2$ for $x, y \in R$. Then $xy \in (I_k)^2$ for each k . So either x or y is in I_k since I_k is a valuation ideal [2, Lemma 24.4]. Hence at least one of x and y is contained in infinitely many I_k 's, which implies that either x or y is contained in $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k = I$. Now suppose $xy \in \sqrt{I}$ for $x, y \in R$. Then $(xy)^n \in I$ for some $n > 0$. So $x^{2n}y^{2n} = (xy)^{2n} \in I^2$. From the previous argument, either x^{2n} or y^{2n} is contained in I . From this, we conclude that x or $y \in \sqrt{I}$ and hence \sqrt{I} is a prime ideal.

LEMMA 3. *Let R be an integral domain and I an ideal which is maximal among nonvaluation ideals. If P is a prime ideal containing I , then R/P is a valuation ring.*

Proof. Let \bar{x}, \bar{y} be two nonzero elements of $\bar{R} = R/P$, so that $x \notin P$, $y \notin P$. Since $I \subseteq P \subset P + (xy)$, we have that $P + (xy)$ is a valuation ideal of R . For some valuation overring V of R , $(xy) + P = ((xy) + P)V \cap R$.

Then either

$$[x^2V \subseteq ((xy) + P)V \text{ or } y^2V \subseteq ((xy) + P)V]$$

or

$$[x^2V \supseteq ((xy) + P)V \text{ and } y^2V \supseteq ((xy) + P)V]$$

Case I. $x^2V \subseteq ((xy) + P)V \Rightarrow x^2 \in ((xy) + P)V \cap R = (xy) + P \Rightarrow x^2 = rxy + p$ for $r \in R$ and $p \in P \Rightarrow x(x - ry) \in P \Rightarrow x - ry \in P$ since $x \notin P \Rightarrow x \in (y) + P \Rightarrow (x) + P \subseteq (y) + P \Rightarrow (\bar{x}) \subseteq (\bar{y})$.

Case II. $((xy) + P)V \subseteq x^2V$ and $((xy) + P)V \subseteq y^2V \Rightarrow xy = x^2v$, $xy = y^2v'$ for some $v, v' \in V \Rightarrow x^2y^2 = x^2y^2vv' \Rightarrow vv' = 1 \Rightarrow x^2 = xyv'$ from $xy = x^2v \Rightarrow x^2 \in ((xy) + P)V \cap R$. This reduces to case I. Thus either $(\bar{x}) \subseteq (\bar{y})$ or $(\bar{y}) \subseteq (\bar{x})$. Hence R/P is a valuation ring.

COROLLARY 4. *Let R be a local domain and I an ideal maximal among nonvaluation ideals. Then R/\sqrt{I} is a principal ideal domain.*

Proof. This follows from Lemma 2 and Lemma 3.

Let R be a Noetherian domain and I an ideal maximal among non-valuation ideals. Let D be a Dedekind domain which is not a DVR. The set of nonvaluation ideals of D is not empty. Let us choose an ideal I which is maximal among nonvaluation ideals. If I is a primary, then $I = I_P \cap R$, where $P = \sqrt{I}$, and hence I is a valuation ideal since D_P is a DVR. This contradicts our choice of I . So I need not be a primary ideal. In the next theorem, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for I to be a primary ideal.

THEOREM 5. *Let D be a Noetherian domain and I an ideal maximal among nonvaluation ideals. Then I is a primary ideal if and only if \sqrt{I} is a maximal ideal.*

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Suppose that I is a primary ideal. Let $\sqrt{I} = P$. We want to show that P is a maximal ideal. If not, there exists a maximal ideal M such that $P \subset M$. In $R = D/I$, $Z(R) = P/I$. Let \bar{x} and \bar{y} be regular elements of R , so $x, y, xy \notin P$. Then $I + (xy) \supseteq I$ so $I + (xy)$ is a valuation ideal of D . Then for some valuation overring V of R , $I + (xy) = (I + (xy))V \cap D$. As in the proof of Lemma 3, we deduce that either $x^2V \subseteq ((xy) + I)V$ or $y^2V \subseteq ((xy) + I)V$. We may assume that $x^2V \subseteq ((xy) + I)V$. We can find $r \in R$ such that $x(x - ry) \in I$ as we did in the case I of the proof of Lemma 3. Since I is a primary ideal and $x \notin P = \sqrt{I}$, so $(\bar{x}) \subseteq (\bar{y})$. Thus in D/I , the regular principal ideals are totally ordered. It is easy to see that every element of $(M \setminus P)/I$ is a regular element of D/I . So M/I is a regular ideal and it is generated by regular elements by Lemma 1. Since D/I is Noetherian, M/I is finitely generated and hence M/I is a principal ideal. By the Krull's principal ideal theorem, M/I is a minimal prime ideal of D/I . So $M/I = P/I$ and $M = P$, which contradicts our assumption that $P \subset M$. Therefore we conclude that P is a maximal ideal.

(\Leftarrow) is obvious.

Let $P_1 \subseteq P_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq P_n$ be a chain of prime ideals of an integral domain R . Then there always exists a valuation overring V of R such that $P_i V \cap D = P_i$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. This fact is crucial in proving

the next result.

THEOREM 6. *Let (R, M) be a two dimensional regular local domain and I an ideal maximal among nonvaluation ideals of R . Then \sqrt{I} is the maximal ideal of R .*

Proof. If $P = \sqrt{I}$ is not the maximal ideal, then P is a minimal prime ideal of R . Since R is a UFD, there exists an $a \in R$ such that $P = (a)$. By corollary 4, R/P is a PID. So $M = P + (b)$ for some $b \in R$ and $M = (a, b)$. It is easy to see that $A \equiv \{J \text{ is an ideal of } R \mid (a^2) \subseteq J \subseteq (a)\} = \{(a^2, ab^k)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$. We claim that $I \in A$. We have to show that $P^2 \subseteq I$. For otherwise, $P^2 \not\subseteq I$ and $P^2 \not\subseteq I + (b^n)$ for some n by Krull's intersection theorem. Let $J = (I + (b^n)) \cap P$. Then $Pb^n \in J$. Since $I \subseteq J \subseteq P$, we have that $\sqrt{I} \subseteq \sqrt{J} \subseteq P$. Thus $\sqrt{J} \subseteq M \setminus \sqrt{J}$. Then following the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 2, we can show that $J = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} (J + (z^k))$. Put $J + (z^k) = J_k$. Then each J_k is a valuation ideal since J_k properly contains I . Now let $x = a, y = b^n$. Now $xy \in J$, which implies that $xy \in J_k$ for each k . For each k , either x^2 or y^2 belongs to J_k since J_k is a valuation ideal [2, Lemma 24.4], and hence either x^2 or y^2 belongs to infinitely many J_k . So $x^2 \in J = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} J_k$ or $y^2 \in J$, i. e., $a^2 \in J$ or $b^{2n} \in J$. This contradicts that $P^2 \not\subseteq I$ and $b \notin P$. Thus we have that $P^2 \subseteq I$. Now $I \in A$, so that $I = (a^2, ab^n)$ for some $n \geq 0$. We can choose a valuation domain V such that $PV \cap R = P$ and $MV \cap R = M$. Obviously $IV \cap R \in A$, so $IV \cap R = (a^2, ab^k)$ for some k . But $k \leq n$ since $I \subseteq IV \cap R$. We will show that $k = n$, so that $I = IV \cap R$. Suppose $k < n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} ab^k \in IV = (a^2, ab^n)V &\Rightarrow b^k \in (a, b^n)V \\ &\Rightarrow b^k(1 - b^{n-k}v) \in aV \text{ for some } v \in V \\ &\Rightarrow b^k \in aV \text{ since } 1 - b^{n-k}v(n-k > 0) \text{ is a unit of } V \\ \text{(note that } b \text{ is a nonunit of } V \text{ since } b \in M \text{ and } MV \neq V) & \\ &\Rightarrow b^k \in aV \cap D = P \\ &\Rightarrow b \in P, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts that $P \neq M$. Thus $k = n$, so $I = IV \cap R$ is a valuation ideal. But this contradicts that I is not a valuation ideal. Therefore \sqrt{I} is the maximal ideal of R .

A note on ideals which are maximal among nonvaluation ideals

References

1. E. Davis, *OVERRINGS OF COMMUTATIVE RINGS*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **110** (1964), 196-212.
2. R. Gilmer, *Multiplicative ideal theory*, Dekker, 1972.
3. E. Kaplansky, *Commutative rings*, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, Mass., 1970.

Pohang Institute of Science and Technology
Pohang 790-330, Korea