

MEROMORPHICALLY STARLIKE FUNCTIONS WITH POSITIVE AND FIXED SECOND COEFFICIENTS

B. A. Uralegaddi

1. Introduction

A function $f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + a_0 + a_1z + \dots$ analytic in the annulus $0 < |z| < 1$ and satisfying the condition

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + 1 \right| / \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| < \alpha, \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 1$$

for $|z| < 1$ is said to be meromorphically starlike of order α and the class of all such functions is denoted by $\Sigma(\alpha)$. The class $\Sigma(1)$ is the same as the class of meromorphically starlike functions in the punctured disk satisfying the condition

$$\operatorname{Re} z f'(z)/f(z) < 0 \quad \text{for } |z| < 1.$$

In [1] K.S. Padmanabhan has obtained the radius of convexity for the class $\Sigma(\alpha)$. Let $\Sigma[\alpha]$ denote the subclass of $\Sigma(\alpha)$ consisting of functions of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| z^n. \quad (1)$$

Functions of the form (1) in $\Sigma[\alpha]$ satisfy the coefficient inequality $|a_1| \leq \alpha[3]$. Hence we may take $|a_1| = p\alpha$ where $0 \leq p \leq 1$. Let $\Sigma_p[\alpha]$ be the subclass of $\Sigma[\alpha]$ consisting of functions of the form $f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + p\alpha z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| z^n$.

In this paper we obtain coefficient inequalities for the class $\Sigma_p[\alpha]$ and show that the class $\Sigma_p[\alpha]$ is closed under *arithmetic mean* and *convex*

AMS(MOS) Subject classification (1980). Primary 30145. Keywords and phrases. Starlike functions of order α , radius of convexity.

linear combinations. Further the radius of convexity is obtained for the class $\Sigma_p[\alpha]$. While determining the radius of convexity for the class $\Sigma_p[\alpha]$, we have been able to show that the earlier result of the author [3] follow as a special case of the result derived here. Techniques used are similar to those of H. Silverman [2].

2. Coefficient Inequalities

Theorem 1. A function $f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + p\alpha z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n|z^n$ is in the class $\Sigma_p[\alpha]$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n|(n+1+n\alpha-\alpha) \leq 2\alpha(1-p). \quad (2)$$

Proof. It is known that $f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|z^n \in \Sigma[\alpha]$ if and only if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|(n+1+n\alpha-\alpha) \leq 2\alpha[3]$.

The result follows by putting $a_1 = p\alpha$ in the above inequality.

Corollary 1. If $f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + p\alpha z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n|z^n$ is in $\Sigma_p[\alpha]$ then $|a_n| \leq \frac{2\alpha(1-p)}{n+1+n\alpha-\alpha}$, $n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$.

The estimate is sharp for the function

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + p\alpha z + \frac{2\alpha(1-p)}{n+1+n\alpha-\alpha} z^n.$$

Corollary 2. If $0 \leq p_1 \leq p_2 \leq 1$, then $\Sigma_{p_2}[\alpha] \subset \Sigma_{p_1}[\alpha]$.

3. Closure Properties

In this section we shall show that the class $\Sigma_p[\alpha]$ is closed under arithmetic mean and convex linear combinations.

Theorem 2. Let $f_i(z) = \frac{1}{z} + p\alpha z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_{ni}|z^n$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. If $f_i(z) \in \Sigma_p[\alpha]$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ then the function $g(z) = \frac{1}{z} + p\alpha z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |b_n|z^n$ also is a member of $\Sigma_p[\alpha]$, where $|b_n| = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m |a_{ni}|$.

Proof. Since $f_i \in \Sigma_p[\alpha]$ it follows from Theorem 1 that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_{ni}|(n+1+n\alpha-\alpha) \leq 2\alpha(1-p)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |b_n|(n+1+n\alpha-\alpha) &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m |a_{n_i}| \right) (n+1+n\alpha-\alpha) \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_{n_i}| (n+1+n\alpha-\alpha) \\ &\leq 2\alpha(1-p) \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows.

Theorem 3. Let $f_1(z) = \frac{1}{z} + p\alpha z$ and $f_n(z) = \frac{1}{z} + p\alpha z + \frac{2\alpha(1-p)}{n+1+n\alpha-\alpha} z^n$, $n = 2, 3, \dots$. Then $f(z)$ is in $\Sigma_p[\alpha]$ if and only if $f(z)$ can be expressed in the form $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n f_n(z)$ where $\lambda_n \geq 0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n = 1$.

Proof. Suppose

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n f_n(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{z} + p\alpha z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n(1-p)2\alpha}{n+1+n\alpha-\alpha} z^n. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n(1-p)2\alpha}{n+1+n\alpha-\alpha} \frac{n+1+n\alpha-\alpha}{(1-p)2\alpha} = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda_n = 1 - \lambda_1 \leq 1,$$

it follows from Theorem 1 that $f(z) \in \Sigma_p[\alpha]$.

Conversely suppose $f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + p\alpha z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| z^n$ is in $\Sigma_p[\alpha]$. From corollary 1, we have

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{2\alpha(1-p)}{n+1+n\alpha-\alpha}.$$

Taking $\lambda_n = \frac{n+1+n\alpha-\alpha}{2\alpha(1-p)} |a_n|$, $n = 2, 3, \dots$ and $\lambda_1 = 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda_n$, we get $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n f_n(z)$.

4. Radius of Convexity

Theorem 4. If $f \in \Sigma_p[\alpha]$ then f is convex in $0 < |z| < r = r(\alpha, p)$, where $r(\alpha, p)$ is the largest value for which

$$3p\alpha r^2 + \frac{n(n+2)2\alpha(1-p)}{n+1+n\alpha-\alpha} r^{n+1} \leq 1, (n = 2, 3, \dots)$$

The result is sharp for the function

$$f_n(z) = \frac{1}{z} + p\alpha z + \frac{2\alpha(1-p)}{n+1+n\alpha-\alpha} z^n \quad \text{for some } n.$$

Proof. Since $|zf''(z)/f'(z) + 2| < 1$ implies $\operatorname{Re}(1 + zf''(z)/f'(z)) < 0$, the function $f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + p\alpha z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n|z^n$ will be convex in any annulus $0 < |z| < r$ for which $|zf''(z)/f'(z) + 2| < 1$. But

$$\left| zf''(z)/f'(z) + 2 \right| \leq \frac{2p\alpha r^2 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n+1)|a_n|r^{n+1}}{1 - p\alpha r^2 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n|a_n|r^{n+1}} < 1$$

whenever $3p\alpha r^2 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n+2)|a_n|r^{n+1} < 1$. Since $f \in \Sigma_p[\alpha]$, from (2) we may take

$$|a_n| = \frac{2\lambda_n\alpha(1-p)}{n+1+n\alpha-\alpha}, \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda_n \leq 1.$$

For each fixed r , choose an integer $n = n(r)$ for which $\frac{n(n+2)r^{n+1}}{n+1+n\alpha-\alpha}$ is maximal. Then

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n+2)|a_n|r^{n+1} \leq \frac{n(n+2)2\alpha(1-p)}{n+1+n\alpha-\alpha} r^{n+1}.$$

Now find the value $r_0 = r_0(\alpha, p)$ and the corresponding $n(r_0)$ so that

$$3p\alpha r_0^2 + \frac{n(n+2)2\alpha(1-p)}{n+1+n\alpha-\alpha} r_0^{n+1} = 1.$$

It is this value r_0 for which $f(z)$ is convex in $0 < |z| < r_0$. By taking $p = 1$ and $\alpha = 1$ in Theorem 4 the following result of the author [3] is obtained: If $f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|z^n$ is meromorphically starlike then $f(z)$ is convex in $0 < |z| < 1/\sqrt{3}$.

References

- [1] K. S. Padmanabhan, *On certain classes of Starlike functions in the unit disk*, J. Indian Math. Soc. 32(1968), 89-103.
- [2] H. Silverman and E. M. Silvia, *Fixed Coefficients for sub classes of starlike functions*, Houston J. Math. 7(1981), 129-136.

- [3] B. A. Uralegaddi, *The radius of convexity for meromorphically starlike functions with positive coefficients*, (submitted).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KARNATAK UNIVERSITY, DHARWAD-580003,
INDIA