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## ON THE REPRESENTING MEASURE OF A FUNCTION SUBORDINATE TO $(1+z)^{2} /(1-z)^{\mathbf{2}}$ *

## Hong Oh Kim and Sang Keun Lee

The function $F(z)=[(1+z) /(1-z)]^{2}$ maps the unit disc $U$ conformally onto the complex plane minus the negative real axis. A function $f$ is said to be subordinate to $F$, denoted by $f \prec F$, if $f=F \circ \psi$ for some holomorphic map $\psi: U \rightarrow U$ with $\psi(0)=0$, or equivalently if $f$ maps holomorphically $U$ into the range of $F$ with $f(0)=F(0)=1$.

We recall the following theorem of Brannan, Clunie and Kirwan [1,2].
Theorem A. If $f \prec F$ then there is a unique probability measure $\mu$ on the boundary $\partial U$ of $U$ which represents $f$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(\frac{1+z e^{-i t}}{1-z e^{-i t}}\right)^{2} d \mu\left(e^{i t}\right), \quad z \in U \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this short note, we determine the Poisson integral of the representing probability measure $\mu$ of $f \prec F$. This gives a method of determing $\mu$ from $f$ which we illustrate by examples. An extremal property related to $f \prec F$ is also given as a corollary.

The Poisson integral of a measure $\mu$ on $\partial U$ is defined as

$$
P[d \mu](z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{1-r^{2}}{1-2 r \cos (\theta-t)+r^{2}} d \mu\left(e^{i t}\right), z=r e^{i \theta}
$$

See [3].
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We now prove
Theorem 1. If $f \prec F$ is represented by (1), then the Poisson integral $P[d \mu]$ of $\mu$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P[d \mu](z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi}+\frac{1}{4 \pi} \operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{f\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)-1}{\rho} d \rho, z=r e^{i \theta} \in U . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $f(z)=1+\sum_{1}^{\infty} f_{n} z^{n}$. Since

$$
\left(\frac{1+z e^{-i t}}{1-z e^{-i t}}\right)^{2}=1+\sum_{1}^{\infty} 4 n z^{n} e^{-i n t}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\sum_{1}^{\infty} f_{n} z^{n}=2 \pi \hat{\mu}(0)+\sum_{1}^{\infty} 8 \pi n \hat{\mu}(n) z^{n}, z \in U \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\hat{\mu}(n)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} e^{-i n t} d \mu\left(e^{i t}\right)
$$

is the Fourier coefficients of $\mu$. Comparing the corresponding coefficients of (3), we have

$$
\hat{\mu}(n)= \begin{cases}1 / 2 \pi, & n=0 \\ f_{n} / 8 \pi n, & n=1,2, \cdots\end{cases}
$$

Since $\mu$ is a real measure (in fact, a probability measure) we have

$$
\hat{\mu}(-n)=\overline{\mu(n)}=\overline{f_{n}} / 8 \pi n, \quad n=1,2, \cdots .
$$

Therefore

$$
\mu \sim \frac{1}{2 \pi}+\sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{f_{n}}{8 \pi n} e^{i n \theta}+\sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{f_{n}}}{8 \pi n} e^{-i n \theta} .
$$
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Hence the Poisson integral of $\mu$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
P[d \mu](z) & =\frac{1}{2 \pi}+\sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{f_{n}}{8 \pi n} z^{n}+\sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{f_{n}}}{8 \pi n} \bar{z}^{n} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi}+\frac{1}{4 \pi} \operatorname{Re} \sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{f_{n}}{n} z^{n} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi}+\frac{1}{4 \pi} \operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{f\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)-1}{\rho} d \rho, z=r e^{i \theta} \in U
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.
Corollary 2. If $f \prec \boldsymbol{F}$ then
(4)

$$
\frac{4 r}{1-r} \geq \operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{f\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)-1}{\rho} d \rho \geq-\frac{4 r}{1+r}, z=r e^{i \theta} \in U
$$

The equality holds on the right or on the left for one value $z_{0}=r_{0} e^{i \theta_{0}}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(z) & =\left(\frac{1-z e^{-i \theta_{0}}}{1+z e^{-i \theta_{0}}}\right)^{2}, z \in U \\
\text { or } \quad f(z) & =\left(\frac{1+z e^{-i \theta_{0}}}{1-z e^{-i \theta_{0}}}\right)^{2}, z \in U, \quad \text { respectively. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We prove only the lower estimate. The upper estimate can be proved similarly.

If $\mu$ is the probability measure on $\partial U$ which represents $f$ by (1), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
P[d \mu](z) \geq \frac{1}{2 \pi} \frac{1-r}{1+r}, \quad z=r e^{i \theta} \in U \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we have by (2)

$$
\operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{f\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)-1}{\rho} d \rho \geq 2\left(\frac{1-r}{1+r}-1\right)=\frac{-4 r}{1+r}
$$

Now, we note that the equality holds on the right in (4) for $z_{0}=r e^{i \theta_{0}}$ if and only if the corresponding equality holds in (5). Since

$$
\frac{1-r^{2}}{1-2 r \cos (t-\theta)+r^{2}} \geq \frac{1-r}{1+r},
$$

the equality holds in (5) for $z_{0}=r_{0} e^{i \theta_{0}}$ if and only if $\mu$ is the unit point mass at $e^{i\left(\theta_{0}+\pi\right)}$. This is the case where

$$
f(z)=\left(\frac{1-z e^{-i \theta_{0}}}{1+z e^{-i \theta_{0}}}\right)^{2} .
$$

This completes the proof.
Theorem 1 also gives a method of determining the representing measure $\mu$ of $f \prec F$. We state it explicitly as a corollary.

Corollary 3. If $f \prec F$, then the representing probability measure $\mu$ of $f$ is obtained as the weak limit of

$$
h_{r}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi}+\frac{1}{4 \pi} \operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{f\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)-1}{\rho} d \rho
$$

as $r \rightarrow 1$.
Proof is immediate.
We illustrate Corollary 3 by examples.
EXAMPLE $4 f(z)=\frac{1+z}{1-z}-\{F(z)$. We compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{r}\left(e^{i \theta}\right) & =\frac{1}{2 \pi}+\frac{1}{4 \pi} \operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{r}\left(\frac{1+\rho e^{i \theta}}{1-\rho e^{i \theta}}-1\right) \frac{d \rho}{\rho} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi}+\frac{1}{2 \pi} \operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{e^{i \theta}}{1-\rho e^{i \theta}} d \rho \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi}-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \log \left|1-r e^{i \theta}\right|,
\end{aligned}
$$

On the representing measure of a function subordinate to $(1+x)^{2} /(1-x)^{2} \quad 117$ which converges to $\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left(1-\log 2-\log \left|\sin \frac{\theta}{2}\right|\right)$ as $r \rightarrow 1$ in the sense of $L^{1}(T)$.

Therefore we have

$$
\frac{1+z}{1-z}=\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(\frac{1+z e^{-i t}}{1-z e^{-i t}}\right)^{2}\left(1-\log 2-\log \left|\sin \frac{t}{2}\right|\right) \frac{d t}{2 \pi}
$$

EXAMPLE $5 f(z)=\left(\frac{1+z^{n}}{1-z^{n}}\right)^{2} \prec F(z), n=1,2, \cdots$.
We compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \pi h_{r}\left(e^{i \theta}\right) & =1+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{r}\left[\left(\frac{1+\rho^{n} e^{i n \theta}}{1-\rho^{n} e^{i n \theta}}\right)^{2}-1\right] \frac{d \rho}{\rho} \\
& =1+2 \operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{\rho^{n-1} e^{i n \theta}}{\left(1-\rho^{n} e^{i n \theta}\right)^{2}} d \rho \\
& =1+\frac{2}{n} \operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{1}{1-r^{n} e^{i n \theta}}-1\right] \\
& =1+\frac{2}{n} \frac{r^{n}\left(\cos n \theta-r^{n}\right)}{\left|1-r^{n} e^{i n \theta}\right|^{2}} \\
& =1+\frac{2}{n} \frac{r^{n}\left(1-r^{n}\right)}{\left|1-r^{n} e^{i n \theta}\right|^{2}}-\frac{2}{n} \frac{r^{n}(1-\cos n \theta)}{\left|1-r^{n} e^{i n \theta}\right|^{2}} \\
& =1+(I)+(I I) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We easily check that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(I I) & =-\frac{2}{n}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\left(1-r^{n}\right)^{2}}{2\left|1-r^{n} e^{i n \theta}\right|^{2}}\right) \\
& =-\frac{1}{n}+\frac{1}{n} \frac{\left(1-r^{n}\right)^{2}}{\left|1-r^{n} e^{i n \theta}\right|^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

converges to $-\frac{1}{n}$ as $r \rightarrow 1$ in the sense of $L^{1}(T)$.
Now, we show that

$$
(I)=\frac{2}{n} \cdot \frac{r^{n}}{1+r^{n}} \cdot \frac{1-r^{2 n}}{\left|1-r^{n} e^{i n \theta}\right|^{2}}
$$

converges weakly to

$$
\frac{2 \pi}{n^{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \delta_{\omega^{j}}
$$

as $r \rightarrow 1$, where $\omega=e^{2 \pi i / n}$ is the primitive root of unity and $\delta_{\omega j}$ is the unit mass concentrated at the point $\omega^{j}$. In fact, if $g \in C(\partial U)$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} g\left(e^{i \theta}\right) \frac{1-r^{2 n}}{\left|1-r^{n} e^{i n \theta}\right|^{2}} d \theta & =\int_{-\pi}^{(2 n-1) \pi} g\left(e^{i t / n}\right) \frac{1-r^{2 n}}{\left|1-r^{n} e^{i t}\right|^{2}} \frac{d t}{n} \\
& =\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g\left(e^{i j \pi / n} e^{i \theta}\right) \frac{1-r^{2 n}}{\left|1-r^{n} e^{i \theta}\right|^{2}} d \theta,
\end{aligned}
$$

which converges to

$$
\frac{2 \pi}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} g\left(e^{i j \pi / n}\right)
$$

as $r \rightarrow 1$ since the Poisson kernel is an approximate identity [3, Theorem 11.9]. Therefore we have

$$
d \mu=\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right) \frac{d \theta}{2 \pi}+\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} d \delta_{\omega^{j}}
$$

Hence we have the following representation of $f(z)$,

$$
\left(\frac{1+z^{n}}{1-z^{n}}\right)^{2}=\frac{n-1}{n}+\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left(\frac{\omega^{j}+z}{\omega^{j}-z}\right)^{2}
$$

an identity which can also be proved by an elementary calculations.
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