Comm. Korean Math. Soc. 4(1989), No. 1, pp. 113~119

## ON THE REPRESENTING MEASURE OF A FUNCTION SUBORDINATE TO $(1+z)^2/(1-z)^2$ \*

HONG OH KIM AND SANG KEUN LEE

The function  $F(z) = [(1+z)/(1-z)]^2$  maps the unit disc U conformally onto the complex plane minus the negative real axis. A function f is said to be subordinate to F, denoted by  $f \prec F$ , if  $f = F \circ \psi$  for some holomorphic map  $\psi : U \to U$  with  $\psi(0) = 0$ , or equivalently if f maps holomorphically U into the range of F with f(0) = F(0) = 1.

We recall the following theorem of Brannan, Clunie and Kirwan [1,2].

THEOREM A. If  $f \prec F$  then there is a unique probability measure  $\mu$  on the boundary  $\partial U$  of U which represents f as

(1) 
$$f(z) = \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\frac{1+ze^{-it}}{1-ze^{-it}}\right)^2 d\mu(e^{it}), \quad z \in U.$$

In this short note, we determine the Poisson integral of the representing probability measure  $\mu$  of  $f \prec F$ . This gives a method of determing  $\mu$  from f which we illustrate by examples. An extremal property related to  $f \prec F$  is also given as a corollary.

The Poisson integral of a measure  $\mu$  on  $\partial U$  is defined as

$$P[d\mu](z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1 - r^2}{1 - 2r\cos(\theta - t) + r^2} d\mu(e^{it}), \ z = re^{i\theta}$$

See [3].

Received December 7, 1988.

<sup>\*</sup>이 논문은 1988년도 문교부 지원 학술진흥재단의 자유공모 과제 학술연구 조성비에 의하여 연구되었음.

We now prove

THEOREM 1. If  $f \prec F$  is represented by (1), then the Poisson integral  $P[d\mu]$  of  $\mu$  is given by

(2) 
$$P[d\mu](z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{4\pi} Re \int_0^r \frac{f(\rho e^{i\theta}) - 1}{\rho} d\rho, \ z = re^{i\theta} \in U.$$

**Proof.** Let 
$$f(z) = 1 + \sum_{1}^{\infty} f_n z^n$$
. Since

$$\left(\frac{1+ze^{-it}}{1-ze^{-it}}\right)^2 = 1 + \sum_{1}^{\infty} 4nz^n e^{-int}$$

we have

(3) 
$$1 + \sum_{1}^{\infty} f_n z^n = 2\pi \hat{\mu}(0) + \sum_{1}^{\infty} 8\pi n \hat{\mu}(n) z^n, \ z \in U,$$

where

$$\hat{\mu}(n) = rac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-int} d\mu(e^{it})$$

is the Fourier coefficients of  $\mu$ . Comparing the corresponding coefficients of (3), we have

$$\hat{\mu}(n) = \begin{cases} 1/2\pi, & n = 0 \\ f_n/8\pi n, & n = 1, 2, \cdots. \end{cases}$$

Since  $\mu$  is a real measure (in fact, a probability measure) we have

$$\hat{\mu}(-n) = \overline{\mu(n)} = \overline{f_n}/8\pi n, \quad n = 1, 2, \cdots.$$

Therefore

$$\mu \sim \frac{1}{2\pi} + \sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{f_n}{8\pi n} e^{in\theta} + \sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{f_n}}{8\pi n} e^{-in\theta}.$$

114

On the representing measure of a function subordinate to  $(1+z)^2/(1-z)^2$  115 Hence the Poisson integral of  $\mu$  is given by

$$P[d\mu](z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} + \sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{f_n}{8\pi n} z^n + \sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{f_n}}{8\pi n} \overline{z}^n$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{4\pi} \operatorname{Re} \sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{f_n}{n} z^n$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{4\pi} \operatorname{Re} \int_0^r \frac{f(\rho e^{i\theta}) - 1}{\rho} d\rho, \ z = r e^{i\theta} \in U.$$

This completes the proof.

COROLLARY 2. If  $f \prec F$  then

(4) 
$$\frac{4r}{1-r} \ge \operatorname{Re} \int_0^r \frac{f(\rho e^{i\theta}) - 1}{\rho} d\rho \ge -\frac{4r}{1+r}, \ z = r e^{i\theta} \in U.$$

The equality holds on the right or on the left for one value  $z_0 = r_0 e^{i\theta_0}$  if and only if

$$f(z) = \left(\frac{1 - ze^{-i\theta_0}}{1 + ze^{-i\theta_0}}\right)^2, \ z \in U$$
  
or  
$$f(z) = \left(\frac{1 + ze^{-i\theta_0}}{1 - ze^{-i\theta_0}}\right)^2, \ z \in U, \quad \text{respectively.}$$

*Proof.* We prove only the lower estimate. The upper estimate can be proved similarly.

If  $\mu$  is the probability measure on  $\partial U$  which represents f by (1), then

(5) 
$$P[d\mu](z) \geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1-r}{1+r}, \quad z = re^{i\theta} \in U.$$

Therefore, we have by (2)

$$\operatorname{Re} \int_0^r \frac{f(\rho e^{i\theta}) - 1}{\rho} d\rho \ge 2(\frac{1 - r}{1 + r} - 1) = \frac{-4r}{1 + r}.$$

Now, we note that the equality holds on the right in (4) for  $z_0 = re^{i\theta_0}$  if and only if the corresponding equality holds in (5). Since

$$\frac{1-r^2}{1-2r\cos(t-\theta)+r^2} \ge \frac{1-r}{1+r},$$

the equality holds in (5) for  $z_0 = r_0 e^{i\theta_0}$  if and only if  $\mu$  is the unit point mass at  $e^{i(\theta_0 + \pi)}$ . This is the case where

$$f(z) = \left(\frac{1 - ze^{-i\theta_0}}{1 + ze^{-i\theta_0}}\right)^2.$$

This completes the proof.

Theorem 1 also gives a method of determining the representing measure  $\mu$  of  $f \prec F$ . We state it explicitly as a corollary.

COROLLARY 3. If  $f \prec F$ , then the representing probability measure  $\mu$  of f is obtained as the weak limit of

$$h_r(e^{i heta})=rac{1}{2\pi}+rac{1}{4\pi}Re\int_0^rrac{f(
ho e^{i heta})-1}{
ho}d
ho$$

as  $r \rightarrow 1$ .

Proof is immediate.

We illustrate Corollary 3 by examples.

EXAMPLE 4  $f(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z} \prec F(z)$ . We compute

$$h_r(e^{i\theta}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{4\pi} \operatorname{Re} \int_0^r \left(\frac{1+\rho e^{i\theta}}{1-\rho e^{i\theta}} - 1\right) \frac{d\rho}{\rho}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \operatorname{Re} \int_0^r \frac{e^{i\theta}}{1-\rho e^{i\theta}} d\rho$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} - \frac{1}{2\pi} \log|1-re^{i\theta}|,$$

On the representing measure of a function subordinate to  $(1+z)^2/(1-z)^2$  117 which converges to  $\frac{1}{2\pi}(1-\log 2 - \log |\sin \frac{\theta}{2}|)$  as  $r \to 1$  in the sense of  $L^1(T)$ .

Therefore we have

$$\frac{1+z}{1-z} = \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\frac{1+ze^{-it}}{1-ze^{-it}}\right)^2 (1-\log 2 - \log|\sin\frac{t}{2}|) \frac{dt}{2\pi}.$$

EXAMPLE 5  $f(z) = \left(\frac{1+z^n}{1-z^n}\right)^2 \prec F(z), n = 1, 2, \cdots$ . We compute

$$2\pi h_r(e^{i\theta}) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re} \int_0^r \left[ \left( \frac{1+\rho^n e^{in\theta}}{1-\rho^n e^{in\theta}} \right)^2 - 1 \right] \frac{d\rho}{\rho}$$
  
=  $1 + 2 \operatorname{Re} \int_0^r \frac{\rho^{n-1} e^{in\theta}}{(1-\rho^n e^{in\theta})^2} d\rho$   
=  $1 + \frac{2}{n} \operatorname{Re} \left[ \frac{1}{1-r^n e^{in\theta}} - 1 \right]$   
=  $1 + \frac{2}{n} \frac{r^n (\cos n\theta - r^n)}{|1-r^n e^{in\theta}|^2}$   
=  $1 + \frac{2}{n} \frac{r^n (1-r^n)}{|1-r^n e^{in\theta}|^2} - \frac{2}{n} \frac{r^n (1-\cos n\theta)}{|1-r^n e^{in\theta}|^2}$   
=  $1 + (I) + (II).$ 

We easily check that

$$(II) = -\frac{2}{n} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{(1-r^n)^2}{2|1-r^n e^{in\theta}|^2} \right)$$
$$= -\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n} \frac{(1-r^n)^2}{|1-r^n e^{in\theta}|^2}$$

converges to  $-\frac{1}{n}$  as  $r \to 1$  in the sense of  $L^1(T)$ . Now, we show that

$$(I) = \frac{2}{n} \cdot \frac{r^n}{1+r^n} \cdot \frac{1-r^{2n}}{|1-r^n e^{in\theta}|^2}$$

Hong Oh Kim and Sang Keun Lee

converges weakly to

$$\frac{2\pi}{n^2}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\delta_{\omega^j}$$

as  $r \to 1$ , where  $\omega = e^{2\pi i/n}$  is the primitive root of unity and  $\delta_{\omega i}$  is the unit mass concentrated at the point  $\omega^j$ . In fact, if  $g \in C(\partial U)$  then

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{2\pi} g(e^{i\theta}) \frac{1 - r^{2n}}{|1 - r^{n}e^{in\theta}|^{2}} d\theta &= \int_{-\pi}^{(2n-1)\pi} g(e^{it/n}) \frac{1 - r^{2n}}{|1 - r^{n}e^{it}|^{2}} \frac{dt}{n} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(e^{ij\pi/n}e^{i\theta}) \frac{1 - r^{2n}}{|1 - r^{n}e^{i\theta}|^{2}} d\theta, \end{split}$$

which converges to

$$\frac{2\pi}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}g(e^{ij\pi/n})$$

as  $r \to 1$  since the Poisson kernel is an approximate identity [3, Theorem 11.9]. Therefore we have

$$d\mu=ig(1-rac{1}{n}ig)rac{d heta}{2\pi}+rac{1}{n^2}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}d\delta_{\omega^j}\,.$$

Hence we have the following representation of f(z),

$$\left(\frac{1+z^n}{1-z^n}\right)^2 = \frac{n-1}{n} + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{\omega^j + z}{\omega^j - z}\right)^2,$$

an identity which can also be proved by an elementary calculations.

## References

 Brannan, D.A., Clunie, J.G. and Kirwan, W.E., On the coefficient problem for functions of bounded boundary rotation, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. Al Math. Phys. 523 (1973), 3-18.

118

On the representing measure of a function subordinate to  $(1+z)^2/(1-z)^2$  119

- 2. Hallenbeck, D.J. and MacGregor, T.H., Subordination and extreme point theory, Pacific J. Math., 50 (1974), 455-468.
- 3. Rudin, W., Real and complex Analysis, 2nd. McGraw-Hill, New York (1974).

KAIST Seoul 130–010, Korea and Gyeongsang National University Chinju 660–701, Korea