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#### Abstract

Certain type of singular two point boundary value problem is studied. This contains a wider class of differential equations than [5]. An example is provided for comparison with earlier results.


## 1. Introduction

In this paper we show the existence of the solution of a singular boundary value problem of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& y^{\prime \prime}+f(t, y)=0  \tag{1}\\
& y(0)=y(1)=0 \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f(t, y)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{i}(t) g_{i}(y), \phi_{i}$ positive, continuous for $0<t<1$, $g_{i}(y)$ positive and decreasing. This problem is singular because $f$ may have singularities at both end points $t=0, t=1$ and $y=0$. Equation (1) with $f(t, y)=\phi(t) y^{-\lambda}, \lambda<0, \phi(t)>0$ continuous, which is known as generalized Emden-Fowler equation, has been studied for a longtime, see [3], for example. The case $\lambda>0$ arises in fluid dynamics, and is done in [5]. Similar problems were considered in [2]. Our result generalizes Theorem 1 of [5] and even though Theorem 2 of [2] seems to be somewhat more general than our result, it is sometimes very difficult to check the conditions imposed on that theorem. Later we present an example for which this is so.

## 2. Existence

We need a lemma whose proof can be easily obtained by slightly modifying that in [4].

Lemma. Let $\phi_{i}(t)$ and $\psi_{i}(t)$ are nonnegative and continuous on $[a, b]$ with $\phi_{i}(t) \leq \psi_{i}(t)$. Suppose $y(t)$ and $z(t)$ are positive solutions of $y^{\prime \prime}+\sum \phi_{i}(t) g_{i}(y)=0$ and $z^{\prime \prime}+\sum \psi_{i}(t) g_{i}(y)=0$ on $[a, b]$ resp. If $y(a) \geq z(a)$ and $y^{\prime}(a)>z^{\prime}(a)$ (resp. $y(b) \geq z(b)$ and $\left.y^{\prime}(b)<z^{\prime}(b)\right)$ then $y(t)>z(t)$ and $y^{\prime}(t)>z^{\prime}(t)$ on $[a, b]$ (resp. $y(t)>z(t)$ and $y^{\prime}(t)<z^{\prime}(t)$ on $\left.[a, b)\right)$.

Theorem. The equation (1), (2) has a unique solution if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} t(1-t) \phi_{i}(t) d t<\infty, \quad i=1, \ldots, n \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Suppose $y$ is a solution. Integrating (1), we obtain

$$
y^{\prime}(t)-y^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)+\sum \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{t} \phi_{i}(s) g_{i}(y) d s=0
$$

Integrating again and using Fubini's Theorem, we obtain
(4) $y(t)-y\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)-y^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(t-\frac{1}{2}\right)+\sum \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{t}(t-s) \phi_{i}(s) g_{i}(y(s)) d s=0$
we let $t \rightarrow 1^{-}$. Then by the Monotone Convergence Theorem the integral approaches

$$
\sum \int_{1 / 2}^{1}(1-s) \phi_{i}(s) g_{i}(y(s)) d s=y(1)-y\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2} y^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)<\infty
$$

Thus $\int_{1 / 2}^{1}(1-s) \phi_{i}(s) d s<\infty$. Similarly, $\int_{0}^{1 / 2} s \phi_{i}(s) d s<\infty$. Conversely, suppose (3) holds. Given $a>0$, we claim that there exists a solution $v_{a}(t)$ of $(1)$ on $\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$ such that $v_{a}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=a$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow 1} v_{a}(t)=0$. If such $v_{a}(t)$ exists, it is unique by Lemma. We show that $v_{a}(t)$ is
given as a solution of the initial value problem whose existence is well known:

$$
\begin{gathered}
y_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}+\sum \phi_{i} g_{i}\left(y_{\alpha}\right)=0 \\
y_{\alpha}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=a, \quad y_{\alpha}^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=\alpha \quad \text { for some } \alpha .
\end{gathered}
$$

We choose $\alpha$ so that $\lim _{t \rightarrow 1} y_{\alpha}(t)$ attains infimum. This method resembles well known shooting method. Since $y_{-2 a}^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=-2 a$ and $y_{-2 a}^{\prime \prime}<0$, we have, by Lemma $y_{-2 a}(t)<-2 a(t-1), \frac{1}{2} \leq t<1$. Hence $y_{-2 a}\left(t_{0}\right)=0$ for some $\frac{1}{2}<t_{0}<1$. On the other hand, suppose for every $\alpha$ there is $\frac{1}{2}<t_{\alpha}<1$ such that $y_{\alpha}\left(t_{\alpha}\right)=a$ and $y_{\alpha}(t)>a$, $\frac{1}{2}<t<t_{\alpha}$. Integrating (1) twice from $\frac{1}{2}$ to $t_{\alpha}$, we get
$0=y_{\alpha}\left(t_{\alpha}\right)-y_{\alpha}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=\alpha\left(t_{\alpha}-\frac{1}{2}\right)-\int_{1 / 2}^{t_{\alpha}}\left(t_{\alpha}-s\right) \sum \phi_{i}(s) g_{i}\left(y_{\alpha}(s)\right) d s$.
Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha\left(t_{\alpha}-\frac{1}{2}\right) & \leq \max _{i} g_{i}(a) \int_{1 / 2}^{t_{\alpha}}\left(t_{\alpha}-s\right) \sum \phi_{i}(s) d s  \tag{5}\\
& \leq \max _{i} g_{i}(a) \sum_{i} \int_{1 / 2}^{1}(1-s) \phi_{i}(s) d s
\end{align*}
$$

By Lemma, $t_{\alpha}$ increases as $\alpha$ increases, hence (4) tend to $\infty$, a contradiction. Hence for some $\alpha, y_{\alpha}(t)>0$ for $\frac{1}{2} \leq t<1$. Let $F: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow C^{2}\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$ be the operator $\alpha \mapsto y_{\alpha}$. We claim $F$ is continuous. Let $\alpha_{n}>\alpha, \alpha_{n} \rightarrow \alpha$. By Lemma $F\left(\alpha_{n}\right)(t)>F\left(\alpha_{n+1}\right)(t)$, letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ with $y_{\alpha_{n}}$ in place of $y_{\alpha}$ in (4), we get by Monotone Convergence Theorem

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{\alpha}(t)=a+\alpha\left(t-\frac{1}{2}\right)-\int_{1 / 2}^{t}(t-s) \sum \phi_{i}(s) g_{i}\left(y_{\alpha}(s)\right) d s \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that $y_{\alpha}$ is $C^{2}\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$, hence $F$ is left continuous. Similarly, $F$ is right continuous. If we let $\alpha_{0}=\inf \left\{\alpha: y_{\alpha}(t)>0, \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq t<1\right\}$, then by Lemma $\alpha_{0} \geq \beta$, for all $\beta$ such that $y_{\beta}\left(t_{0}\right)<0$ for some
$\frac{1}{2}<t_{0}<1$, and there exists a sequence $\alpha_{n} \downarrow \alpha_{0}$ and $\beta_{n} \uparrow \alpha_{0}$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{\alpha_{n}}(t)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{\beta_{n}}(t)$ exist. Then clearly, $y_{\alpha_{0}}(t)>0, \frac{1}{2} \leq t<1$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow 1^{-}} y_{\alpha_{0}}(t)=0$. We call this $v_{\alpha}(t)$. By a similar argument, one can show there is a unique solution $u_{a}(t)$ of (1) on $\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ such that $u_{a}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=a$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} u_{a}(t)=0$. By Lemma, $v_{a}^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$ is a decreasing function of $a$, for $a>0$. We show $v_{a}^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$ is a continuous function of $a$. Let $a_{0}>0$ and $m_{1}=\lim _{a \rightarrow a_{0}^{-}} v_{a}^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right), m_{2}=\lim _{a \rightarrow a_{0}^{+}} v_{a}^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$ so that $m_{1} \leq m_{2}$. By the continuity of solutions with respect to the initial conditions, $v_{a}(t)$ converges to the solution $v_{a_{0}}\left(t, m_{1}\right)$ of (1) with the initial conditions

$$
y\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=a_{0}, \quad y^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=m_{1}
$$

as $a \uparrow a_{0}$. If $v_{a_{0}}^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)<m_{1}$, then by Lemma $v_{a_{0}}(t)<v_{a_{0}}\left(t, m_{1}\right)$, which is a contradiction to the fact that $v_{a}(t) \rightarrow v_{a_{0}}\left(t, m_{1}\right)$ as $a \uparrow a_{0}$. Thus $v_{a_{0}}^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \geq m_{1}$. Since $v_{a}^{\prime \prime}(t)<0, v_{a}(t) \geq 2 a(1-t)$ on $\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$ for all $a>a_{0}$, we have $v_{a_{0}}\left(t, m_{2}\right) \geq 2 a(1-t)$. If $v_{a_{0}}^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)>m_{2}$ we have $v_{a_{0}}(1)>v_{a_{0}}\left(1, m_{2}\right) \geq 0$, a contradiction. Hence $m_{2} \geq v_{a_{0}}^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$. Thus $m_{1}=m_{2}=v_{a_{0}}^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$. This shows $v_{a}^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$ is a continuous function of $a$.

Next step is to choose $a$ judiciously so that $v_{a}^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=u_{a}^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$ and hence $u, v$ piece together to be a solution. Integrating (1) twice and letting $t \rightarrow 1$ we get

$$
\int_{1 / 2}^{1}(1-s) \sum \phi_{i}(s) g_{i}\left(v_{a}(s)\right) d s=a+\frac{1}{2} v_{a}^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)
$$

If $v_{a}^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \leq 0$ then $v_{a}(s) \leq a$ for $\frac{1}{2} \leq s<1$ and hence

$$
\min _{i} g_{i}(a) \int_{1 / 2}^{1}(1-s) \sum \phi_{i}(s) d s \leq a
$$

or

$$
\int_{1 / 2}^{1}(1-s) \sum \phi_{i}(s) d s \leq \frac{a}{\min _{i} g_{i}(a)}
$$

But since the left hand side is independent of $a$, this leads to a contradiction. Hence $v_{a}^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$ must be positive for small $a$. Similarly,
$u_{a}^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)<0$ for all sufficiently large $a$. Since $W(a) \equiv v_{a}^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)-u_{a}^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$ is a continuous function of $a$, we can use the intermediate value theorem to conclude that there exists an $a$ such that $v_{a}^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=u_{a}^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$. Since $v_{a}^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=u_{a}^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=-f\left(\frac{1}{2}, a\right)$, piecing $u, v$ to together, we obtain the solution of (1) and (2).

## 3. Comparison with Other Results

Taliafero gave the following simple version in [5].
Theorem A. The boundary value problem

$$
\begin{array}{r}
y^{\prime \prime}+\phi(t) y^{-\lambda}=0 \\
y(0)=y(1)=0
\end{array}
$$

where $\lambda>0, \phi(t)>0$ and continuous has a solution if and only if

$$
\int_{0}^{1} t(1-t) \phi(t) d t<\infty
$$

Although our proof uses the method of Taliafero, our result is obviously more general than this. Next we present the result of Bobisud et. al [5] which is a generalization of Theorem A.

Theorem B. Let ( $P$ ) denote the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y^{\prime \prime}+f(t, y)=0, \quad \dot{0}<t<1 \\
& y(0)=y(1)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose that
(a) $f(t, y)$ is continuous and positive on $(0,1) \times(0, \infty)$;
(b) $f(t, y)$ is strictly decreasing in $y$;
(c) for some constant $k$,

$$
\lambda f(t, \lambda y) \leq k f(t, u)
$$

for $0<\lambda \leq 1,0<t<1$, and $y>0$;
(d) there exists a nonnegative $\alpha(t)$ satisfying
(i) $\alpha^{\prime \prime}(t)+f(t, \alpha(t)) \geq 0$ on $(0,1), \alpha(0)=\alpha(1)=0$
(ii) $\int_{0}^{1} f(t, \alpha(t)) d t<\infty$,
(iii) $f(t, y) / f(t, \alpha(t))$ is continuous on $[0,1] \times(0, \infty)$.

Then $(P)$ possesses a solution.
Their proof is quite long using topological transversality theorem, and not elementary. Even though Theorem B can be applied to such problem as

$$
f(t, y)=t^{-1 / 2} y^{-1 / 4}+t^{1 / 2}(1-t)^{1 / 2} y^{-1}
$$

with $\alpha(t)=c t(1-t), c$ small, it is not applicable to the following case

$$
f(t, y)=t^{-1 / 2}(1-t)^{-1 / 2} y^{-1}+t(1-t) y^{-1 / 2}
$$

Because if one chooses $\alpha(t)=c t(1-t), f(t, \alpha(t)) \geq t^{-\frac{3}{2}}(1-t)^{-\frac{3}{2}}$ is not intergrable. But our result can be applied without any trouble.
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