

A Study on Tests for Parallelism of k Regression Lines Against Ordered Alternatives*

Euen-Sook Jee

Kwangwoon University, Seoul, Korea

Consider the linear regression model

$$(1) \quad Y_{ij} = \alpha_i + \beta_i x_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ij}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n_i; \quad i = 1, \dots, k,$$

where the x'_{ij} 's are known constants, the α'_i 's are nuisance parameters, and the β'_i 's are the slope parameters of interest. The Y'_{ij} 's are observable while the ε_{ij} 's are mutually independent and identically distributed unobservable random variables with continuous cumulative distribution function (cdf) F .

We are interested in testing the parallelism of k regression lines against ordered alternatives. That is, we want to test

$$(2) \quad H_0 : \beta_1 = \dots = \beta_k = \beta \quad (\text{unknown})$$

against the ordered alternatives

$$(3) \quad H_1 : \beta_1 \leq \dots \leq \beta_k,$$

where at least one inequality is strict.

In this paper we construct a nonparametric test statistic for testing parallelism of several regression lines against ordered alternatives. We want to construct an asymptotically distribution-free rank test statistic based on residuals.

In the linear regression model (1) we assume that the intercepts α_i are equal, i.e.,

$$(4) \quad \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \dots = \alpha_k = \alpha \quad (\text{unknown}).$$

Then the regression model (1) can be written as

$$(5) \quad Y_{ij} = \alpha + \beta_i x_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ij}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n_i; \quad i = 1, \dots, k.$$

Jonckheere (1954) has proposed a distribution-free rank test for testing homogeneity of location parameters against ordered alternatives. The test statistic is a sum of pairwise Mann-Whitney statistics. We now want to construct a Jonckheere type statistic, for testing H_0 in (2) against H_1 in (3), applied on the residuals.

Let $\hat{\beta}$ be a consistent estimator of the common slope β under H_0 such as the Hodges-Lehmann type estimators. For example, we may use the median or the weighed median of the set of slope estimators

$$(6) \quad \{(Y_{it} - Y_{is}) / (x_{it} - x_{is}) \mid 1 \leq s \leq t \leq n_i; \quad i = 1, \dots, k\}$$

* 이 논문은 1988년도 문교부 학술연구 조성비 지원에 의하여 연구된 것임.

Let $Z_{ij}(\hat{\beta})$ denote the residuals defined by

$$(7) \quad Z_{ij}(\hat{\beta}) = (Y_{ij} - \hat{\beta}x_{ij}) \text{sign}(x_{ij}), \quad j = 1, \dots, n_i; \quad i = 1, \dots, k,$$

where $\text{sign}(x) = 1$ or -1 according as $x \geq 0$ or < 0 . For $u < v$, we define the Mann-Whitney type statistic U_{uv} based on the residuals from the u th and v th lines as follows:

$$(8) \quad U_{uv}(\hat{\beta}) = \sum_{s=1}^{n_u} \sum_{t=1}^{n_v} \psi(Z_{vt}(\hat{\beta}) - Z_{us}(\hat{\beta})),$$

where ψ is the indicator function defined by

$$\psi(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & t \geq 0 \\ 0, & t < 0 \end{cases}$$

The proposed test statistic is then defined by

$$(9) \quad J(\hat{\beta}) = \sum_{u < v}^k U_{uv}(\hat{\beta})$$

Under the ordered alternatives H_1 in (3) the values of $J(\hat{\beta})$ is expected to be large. We thus reject H_0 in favor of H_1 for large values of $J(\hat{\beta})$.

If $\hat{\beta}$ is replaced by the true common slope β , in (8) and (9), then the distribution of $U_{uv}(\beta)$ is the same as that of the Mann-Whitney statistic and the distribution of $J(\beta)$ is the same as that of Jonckheere statistic, which has a distribution-free property. But, because of the dependence among the residuals, the test statistic $J(\hat{\beta})$ is not distribution-free.

Assuming the regression model (5), the proposed statistic $J(\hat{\beta})$ in (9) is the sum of

$$(10) \quad U_{uv}(\hat{\beta}) = \sum_{s=1}^{n_u} \sum_{t=1}^{n_v} \psi(Z_{vt}(\hat{\beta}) - Z_{us}(\hat{\beta})),$$

which is the Mann-Whitney statistic applied to the residuals from the u th and v th regression lines. While, if $\hat{\beta}$ is replaced by β in (10), the statistic becomes

$$(11) \quad U_{uv}(\beta) = \sum_{s=1}^{n_u} \sum_{t=1}^{n_v} \psi(Z_{vt}(\beta) - Z_{us}(\beta)),$$

which is the Mann-Whitney statistic applied to independent observations.

We now want to prove the asymptotic equivalence of $U_{uv}(\hat{\beta})$ and $U_{uv}(\beta)$ for each (u, v) to show that $J(\hat{\beta})$ and $J(\beta)$ have the same limiting distributions. We assume that

$$(12) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n_i}{N} = \lambda_i, \quad 0 < \lambda_i < 1, \quad i = 1, \dots, k,$$

with

$$N = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i$$

The U statistics corresponding to $U_{uv}(\hat{\beta})$ and $U_{uv}(\beta)$ are respectively given by

$$(13) \quad U_{uv}^*(\hat{\beta}) = \frac{1}{n_u n_v} U_{uv}(\hat{\beta})$$

and

$$(14) \quad U_{uv}^*(\beta) = \frac{1}{n_u n_v} U_{uv}(\beta),$$

which are two-sample U statistics. The following theorem gives some conditions under which the two-sample U statistics $U_{uv}^*(\hat{\beta})$ and $U_{uv}^*(\beta)$ are asymptotically equivalent.

Theorem 1. Assume that the density f of the error terms and the design points x_{ij} satisfy the following conditions:

D1 : f is bounded by M_2 and symmetric about zero.

D2 : There exists an $M_3 > 0$ such that for each (u, v)

$$\max_{s, t} ||x_{vt}| - |x_{us}|| \leq M_3.$$

D3 : Let $|\bar{X}|_i = \frac{1}{n_i} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} |x_{ij}|$, $i = 1, \dots, k$. The, for each (u, v) , $|\bar{X}|_v - |\bar{X}|_u \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Then, under H_0 ,

$$\sqrt{n} [U_{uv}^*(\hat{\beta}) - U_{uv}^*(\beta)] \xrightarrow{P} 0.$$

Proof: Let

$$h_{s,t}(X_{us}; X_{vt}; \gamma) = \psi(z_{vt}(\gamma) - Z_{us}(\gamma)).$$

For convenience we omit the subscripts u and v , if necessary. Then $h_{s,t}(\cdot)$ is the corresponding kernel of degree $(1, 1)$, and we have

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu_{s,t}(\gamma) &= E_{\beta} [h_{s,t}(X_{us}; X_{vt}; \gamma)] \\ &= E_{\beta} [\psi\{(\varepsilon_{vt} + \beta X_{vt} - \gamma X_{vt}) \text{sign}(X_{vt}) \\ &\quad - (X_{us} + \beta X_{us} - \gamma X_{us}) \text{sign}(X_{us})\}] \\ &= E_{\beta} [\psi\{W_{vtus} - (\gamma - \beta)(|X_{vt}| - |X_{us}|)\}] \end{aligned}$$

where W_{vtus} is defined by

$$W_{vtus} = \varepsilon_{vt} \text{sign}(X_{vt}) - \varepsilon_{us} \text{sign}(X_{us}).$$

Note that, since ε'_{ij} 's are symmetric about zero, W_{vtus} is identically distributed for every (s, t) . Let G and g be the *cdf* and density of W_{vtus} , respectively. The, $\mu_{s,t}(\gamma)$ in (15) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{s,t}(\gamma) &= P_{\beta} \{W \geq (\gamma - \beta)(|X_{vt}| - |X_{us}|)\} \\ &= 1 - G((\gamma - \beta)(|X_{vt}| - |X_{us}|)) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, according to D1 and D3,

$$(16) \quad \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma} \mu_{s,t}(\gamma) \right|_{\gamma=\beta} = -g(0)(|X_{vt}| - |X_{us}|)$$

exists for all (s, t) , and is achieved uniformly in (s, t) . Then, from (16) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{\partial \mu(\gamma)}{\partial \gamma} \right|_{\gamma=\beta} &= \frac{1}{n_u n_v} \sum_{s=1}^{n_u} \sum_{t=1}^{n_v} \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma} \mu_{s,t}(\gamma) \right|_{\gamma=\beta} \\ &= \frac{1}{n_u n_v} \sum_{s=1}^{n_u} \sum_{t=1}^{n_v} [-g(0)(|X_{vt}| - |X_{us}|)] \\ &= -g(0)(\overline{|X|}_v - \overline{|X|}_u) \\ &\rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$ by the condition D3. Thus, we have

$$\sqrt{N}(U_{uv}^*(\hat{\beta}) - U_{uv}^*(\beta)) \xrightarrow{P} 0,$$

which completes the proof.

From Theorem 1 we have the following theorem which indicates the asymptotic equivalence of $J(\hat{\beta})$ and $J(\beta)$.

Theorem 2. *Assume that the conditions D1 ~ D3 are satisfied for every (u, v) . Assume also that the sample sizes satisfy the condition (12). Then, under H_0 ,*

$$N^{-3/2}[J(\hat{\beta}) - J(\beta)] \xrightarrow{P} 0,$$

where $\hat{\beta}$ is a \sqrt{N} -consistent estimator of β .

Since the null distribution of $J(\beta)$ is the same as that of the Jonckheere statistic, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3. *Under the conditions in Theorem 2, the limiting distribution of*

$$[J(\hat{\beta}) - E_0(J(\beta))]/[\text{var}_0(J(\beta))]^{1/2}$$

is standard normal when H_0 is true, where

$$E_0(J(\beta)) = \frac{1}{4} \left[N^2 - \sum_{i=1}^k n_i^2 \right]$$

and

$$\text{Var}_0(J(\beta)) = \frac{1}{72} \left[N^2(2N + 3) - \sum_{i=1}^k n_i^2(2n_i + 3) \right].$$

To compare the proposed test with the other tests a small sample Monte Carlo study is performed. We compare the efficiencies of our proposed test statistic J with Adichie's parametric statistics \bar{X}_k^2 , \bar{E}_k^2 , S_t , nonparametric statistics $\bar{X}_i^2(\phi)$, $S(\phi)$, and Rao-Gore non-parametric statistic G . The proposed rank test based on J has higher empirical powers than any other statistics.

The simulation results show that nonparametric test statistics \bar{X}_k^2 , $S(\phi)$ and G have lower empirical powers for light-tailed and moderately heavy-tailed distributions.

The Rao-Gore test based on G , which is a type of Jonckheere statistic, is much worse than the proposed test based on J in its power for all distributions. There might be some information loss to make the Rao-Gore test distribution-free.

The procedure for testing the parallelism of k regression lines without the assumption of equal intercepts is an interesting subject for a further study.

References

1. Adichie, J. N., *Rank Score Comparison of Several Regression Parameters*, Ann. Statist. **2** (1974), 396-402.
2. —————, *Testing Parallelism of Regression Lines against Ordered Alternatives*, Comm. Statist. Theory Methods **A5(11)** (1976), 985-997.
3. Barlow, R.E., Bartholomew, D.J., Bremner, J.M., and Brunk, H.D., "Statistical Inference Under Order Restrictions. The Theorem and Application of Isotonic regression," John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1972.
4. Chacko, V.J., *Testing Homogeneity against Ordered Alternatives*, Ann. Math. Statist. **34** (1963), 945-956.
5. Hodges, J.L, Jr. and Lehmann, E.L., Ann. Math. Statist **34** (1963), 598-611.
6. Hollander, M., *A Distribution-Free Test for Parallelism*, J. Amer. Statist. Assoc. **65** (1954), 387-394.
7. Jonckheere, A.R., *Biometrika* **41** (1954), 349-354.
8. Randles, R.H., *On tests Applied to Residuals*, J. Amer. Statist. Assoc. **79** (1984), 349-354.
9. Rao, K.S.M. and Gore A.P., *Testing Concurrence and Parallelism of Several Sample Regressions Against Ordered Alternatives. Math. Operationsforsch, U. Stat. Ser. Statist.* **15** (1984), 43-50.
10. Song, M.S., Huh, M.Y., Kang, H.J., *J. KSQC* **15** (1987), 50-54.