

EXPONENTIATION OF HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

DO Y. KWAK* AND U JIN CHOI

1. An inequality for the coefficients of composite functions

We fix a positive integer n and let B be the unit ball in \mathbf{C}^n and D be the unit disk in \mathbf{C} . For $z, \xi \in \mathbf{C}^n$, we let

$$\langle z, \xi \rangle = z_1 \bar{\xi}_1 + \cdots + z_n \bar{\xi}_n, \quad \|z\| = \langle z, z \rangle^{1/2}$$

and let $A_q^p(B)$ be the space of all holomorphic functions f in the ball B with

$$\|f\|_{p,q}^p = \int_B |f|^p dv_q < \infty \quad (1 \leq p < \infty, \quad 0 \leq q \leq 1)$$

where for $0 < q \leq 1$

$$dv_q(z) = \frac{\Gamma(n+q)}{\pi^n \Gamma(q)} (1 - \|z\|^2)^{q-1} dv(z)$$

is the probability measure on B and dv_0 denote the unit surface measure on ∂B . We also let $A_{q,s}^p(B)$ be the space of holomorphic functions $f(z) = \sum_{\alpha \geq 0} a_\alpha z^\alpha$ on B with $D^s f \in A_q^p(B)$, where $D^s f(z) = \sum_{\alpha \geq 0} (|\alpha| + 1)^s a_\alpha z^\alpha$. We note that when $p=2$, $A_{q,s}^p$ becomes a Hilbert space and

$$\|D^s f\|_{2,q}^2 = \Gamma(q+n) \sum_{\alpha \geq 0} \frac{\alpha! (|\alpha| + 1)^{2s}}{\Gamma(n + |\alpha| + q)} |a_\alpha|^2.$$

For other properties of the spaces $A_q^p(B)$ and $A_{q,s}^p(B)$, we refer to [1]. We let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ denote the multi-index with $\alpha! = \alpha_1! \cdots \alpha_n!$, $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n$, $z^\alpha = z_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots z_n^{\alpha_n}$ etc.

Suppose F is a function given by the formal power series

$$F(w) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} F_j w^j \quad (F_j \geq 0, \quad w \in \mathbf{C}).$$

For any function f defined by the formal expansion

$$f(z) = \sum_{\alpha > 0} a_\alpha z^\alpha \quad (z \in \mathbf{C}^n, \quad a_\alpha \in \mathbf{C}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbf{Z}_+^n),$$

we let $A_\alpha^{(f)}$ be the coefficients of the composite function $F \circ f$, i. e.,

$$\{F \circ f\}(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} F_j (f(z))^j = A_0^{(f)} + \sum_{\alpha > 0} A_\alpha^{(f)} z^\alpha.$$

Received December 29, 1988.

* Partially supported by the Ministry of Education.

It follows that $A_\alpha^{(f)}$ are functions of a_β with $A_0^{(f)} = F_0$ and in general $A_\alpha^{(f)} = A_\alpha(\{a_\beta\})$, $\beta \leq \alpha$. We will show that $A_\alpha(\{a_\beta\})$ is a linear combination of certain products of powers of a_β . We observe that

or

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} F_k \left(\sum_{\alpha > 0} a_\alpha z^\alpha \right)^k = \sum_{\alpha \geq 0} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{|\alpha|} F_j \sum_{\beta_1 + \dots + \beta_j = \alpha} a_{\beta_1} \dots a_{\beta_j} \right) z^\alpha$$

(1.1)
$$A_\alpha(\{a_\beta\}) = \sum_{j=0}^{|\alpha|} F_j \left(\sum_{\beta_1 + \dots + \beta_j = \alpha} a_{\beta_1} \dots a_{\beta_j} \right) = \sum_k A_{\alpha, k} \prod_l a_{\beta_l}^{r_l},$$

where the products are distinct and $A_{\alpha, k} > 0$ when $F_1, \dots, F_{|\alpha|} > 0$. If we write $U_{\alpha, k}(\{a_\beta\})$ for the corresponding products, then using the homogeneity of $U_{\alpha, k}$ in a_β one can easily see that for any coefficients a_α, b_β ,

$$U_{\alpha, k}(\{a_\beta b_\beta\}) = U_{\alpha, k}(\{a_\beta\}) U_{\alpha, k}(\{b_\beta\});$$

for any real number r ,

$$|U_{\alpha, k}(\{d_\beta\})|^r = U_{\alpha, k}(\{|d_\beta|^r\});$$

for any $\xi \in \mathbf{C}^n$ and $\beta \leq \alpha$,

$$U_{\alpha, k}(\{\xi^\beta\}) = \xi^\alpha U_{\alpha, k}(\{1\}) = \xi^\alpha, \quad \mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1).$$

For $z, \xi \in \mathbf{C}^n$ and $0 < p < \infty$ we write $z \cdot \xi = (z_1 \xi_1, \dots, z_n \xi_n)$ and $|z|^p = (|z_1|^p, \dots, |z_n|^p) \in \mathbf{R}^n$. A domain D is said to be complete Reinhardt domain if $z \in D$ implies $z \cdot \xi \in D$ for every $\xi \in \bar{D}^n$. If we define

$$D(p) = \{z \in \mathbf{C}^n : |z|^p \in D\}, \quad 0 < p < \infty$$

then $D(p)$ is a complete Reinhardt domain with $D(2) = D$. We note that if $D = \mathcal{A}^n$, then $D(p) = D$ for all $0 < p < \infty$. We fix a function ϕ determined by the formal power series

$$\phi(z) = \sum_{\alpha > 0} c_\alpha z^\alpha \quad (c_\alpha > 0).$$

LEMMA 1.1. *Let α be in \mathbf{Z}_+^n . Then for $\beta \leq \alpha$ and any p with $1 \leq p < \infty$, the Taylor coefficients of the composite function $F \circ \phi$ satisfy*

$$|A_\alpha(\{a_\beta\})| \leq |A_\alpha(\{c_\beta^{1-p} |a_\beta|^p\})|^{1/p} \cdot |A_\alpha(\{c_\beta\})|^{(p-1)/p}.$$

For $1 < p < \infty$, equality holds if $a_\beta = c_\beta \xi^\beta$ for some $\xi \in \mathbf{C}^n$. If, in addition, $F_1, \dots, F_{|\alpha|} > 0$, this condition is also necessary.

Proof. We let $d_\alpha = a_\alpha / c_\alpha$ so that $f(z) = \sum_{\alpha > 0} c_\alpha d_\alpha z^\alpha$ and

$$A_\alpha(\{a_\beta\}) = A_\alpha(\{c_\beta d_\beta\}) = \sum_k A_{\alpha, k} U_{\alpha, k}(\{c_\beta d_\beta\}).$$

Using Hölder's inequality with $p' = p / (p - 1)$ and the properties of $U_{\alpha, k}$, we obtain

$$(1.2) \quad |A_\alpha(\{a_\beta\})| \leq \sum_k A_{\alpha, k} |U_{\alpha, k}(\{c_\beta d_\beta\})|$$

$$(1.3) \quad \leq \{ \sum A_{\alpha, k} U_{\alpha, k}(\{c_\beta |d_\beta|^p\}) \}^{1/p} \cdot \{ \sum A_{\alpha, k} U_{\alpha, k}(\{c_\beta\}) \}^{1/p'}.$$

$$= A_\alpha(\{c_\beta | d_\beta |^p\})^{1/p} \cdot A_\alpha(\{c_\beta\})^{1/p'}$$

and the desired inequality follows. When $a_\beta = c_\beta \xi^\beta$, $\beta \leq \alpha$, $\xi \in \mathbf{C}^n$ we have

$$A_\alpha(\{c_\beta \xi^\beta\}) = \sum \xi^\alpha A_{\alpha,k} U_{\alpha,k}(\{c_\beta\}) = \xi^\alpha A_\alpha(\{c_\beta\}).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |A_\alpha(\{a_\beta\})| &= |\xi^\alpha| \cdot A_\alpha(\{c_\beta\})^{1/p} \cdot A_\alpha(\{c_\beta\})^{1/p'} \\ &= A_\alpha(\{c_\beta | \xi^\beta |^p\})^{1/p} \cdot A_\alpha(\{c_\beta\})^{1/p'} \end{aligned}$$

and the equality holds in this case. Conversely, assume the equality holds. Writing $c_\beta d_\beta = \eta_\beta c_\beta |d_\beta|$, $\eta_\beta \in \mathbf{C}$, $|\eta_\beta| = 1$, we have

$$U_{\alpha,k}(\{c_\beta d_\beta\}) = U_{\alpha,k}(\{\eta_\beta\}) U_{\alpha,k}(\{c_\beta |d_\beta|\}).$$

It follows that (1.2) becomes equality if and only if $U_{\alpha,k}(\{\eta_\beta\})$ is independent of k and (1.3) becomes equality if and only if $A_{\alpha,k} U_{\alpha,k}(\{c_\beta |d_\beta|^p\}) = c(\alpha) A_{\alpha,k} U_{\alpha,k}(\{c_\beta\})$ for some constant $c(\alpha) > 0$ and every k . Since $A_{\alpha,k} > 0$ when $F_1, \dots, F_{|\alpha|} > 0$, we conclude that

$$U_{\alpha,k}(\{d_\beta\}) = c(\alpha)^{1/p} e^{i\theta} \quad (0 \leq \theta < 2\pi)$$

for every k . In particular, all monomials of the form $\prod d_{\beta i}^{|\alpha|}$ are equal. Hence $d_\beta = \xi^\beta$ for every $\beta \leq \alpha$ where $\xi = (d_1, \dots, d_n) \in \mathbf{C}^n$. This completes the proof.

REMARK. When $p=2$ this result appears in [3] with different proof, while $n=1$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$ the result appears in [5]. This remark applies to the theorem below as well.

We fix a complete Reinhardt domain D such that $\phi(z \cdot \bar{z}) = \sum c_\alpha |z|^{2\alpha} < \infty$, $z \in D$ and $\phi(z \cdot \bar{z}) = \infty$, $z \in \partial D$. Then we see that $\phi(|z|^p) < \infty$, $z \in D(p)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ and we have

THEOREM 1.2. *If for some $p \geq 1$ the Taylor coefficients of f and F satisfy*

$$(1.4) \quad \sum_{\alpha > 0} c_\alpha^{1-p} |a_\alpha|^p = \sigma < \infty, \quad \sum_{k > 0} F_k \sigma^k < \infty,$$

then

$$(1.5) \quad \sum_{\alpha \geq 0} A_\alpha(\{c_\beta\})^{1-p} |A_\alpha(\{a_\beta\})|^p \leq F(\sum_{\alpha > 0} c_\alpha^{1-p} |a_\alpha|^p).$$

For $1 < p < \infty$, equality holds if $a_\alpha = c_\alpha \xi^\alpha$ for all $\alpha > 0$ and for some $\xi \in D(p)$. This condition is also necessary if $F_m > 0$, $m=1, 2, \dots$

Proof. By Lemma 1.1, we have

$$(1.6) \quad A_\alpha(\{c_\beta\})^{1-p} |A_\alpha(\{a_\beta\})|^p \leq A_\alpha(\{c_\beta^{1-p} |a_\beta|^p\}).$$

Fix an integer N and sum over all α with $|\alpha| \leq N$ to obtain

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} A_\alpha(\{c_\beta\})^{1-p} |A_\alpha(\{a_\beta\})|^p \leq \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} A_\alpha(\{c_\beta^{1-p} |a_\beta|^p\}).$$

By (1.4), the function $g(z) = \sum_{\alpha>0} c_\alpha^{1-p} |a_\alpha|^p z^\alpha$ is holomorphic in \mathcal{A}^n , while $F(w)$ is holomorphic in the disk $|w| < \sigma$. Hence $(F \circ g)(z) = \sum A_\alpha(\{c_\beta^{1-p} |a_\beta|^p\}) z^\alpha$ is holomorphic in \mathcal{A}^n . Moreover,

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} A_\alpha(\{c_\beta^{1-p} |a_\beta|^p\}) \leq \sum_{k=0}^\infty F_k(g(\mathbf{1}))^k = F(\sigma),$$

where $\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1)$. Note that $g(\mathbf{1})$ and $F(\sigma)$ are both well defined. Hence by Abel's theorem

$$\sum_{\alpha>0} A_\alpha(\{c_\beta^{1-p} |a_\beta|^p\}) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} F(g(\mathbf{r})) = F(\sigma),$$

where $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_n) \in \mathcal{R}^n$. Letting $N \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain the result. For $1 < p < \infty$, equality holds if and only if equality holds in (1.6) for every $\alpha > 0$, which is true if $a_\alpha = c_\alpha \xi^\alpha$ for some $\xi \in \mathcal{C}^n$. When $F_m > 0$, $m = 1, 2, \dots$, $a_\alpha = c_\alpha \xi^\alpha(\alpha)$ by Lemma 1.1, we need to show that ξ is independent of α . Without loss of generality, we assume $a_\alpha \neq 0$ for some α and we let $a_\gamma = c_\gamma \xi^\gamma(\gamma)$ and $a_\delta = c_\delta \xi^\delta(\delta)$ and let $\beta \leq \alpha$ with $\gamma \leq \beta$, $\delta \leq \alpha$. Then $\xi(\gamma) = \xi(\beta)$ and $\xi(\delta) = \xi(\alpha)$. But since also $\beta \leq \alpha$, we have $a_\beta = c_\beta \xi^\beta$ with $\xi = \xi(\alpha)$. Hence $\xi(\alpha) = \xi(\beta)$ and thus $\xi(\gamma) = \xi(\delta)$. Moreover, in this case $\phi(|\xi|^p) < \infty$ and hence $\xi \in D(p)$.

2. Application for the exponential function

In this section, we define certain space of sequences and study exponentiation of holomorphic functions. For $0 < p < \infty$ we define l_p^n as the space of all sequences $\{a_\alpha\}$, $\alpha \in \mathcal{Z}^n_+$ with

$$\|\{a_\alpha\}\|_{l_p^n} = \left\{ \sum_{\alpha>0} c_\alpha^{1-p} |a_\alpha|^p \right\}^{1/p} < \infty.$$

We also define $l_p^n(D(p'))$ ($p' = p/(p-1)$, $1 < p < \infty$) as the space of all holomorphic functions $f(z) = \sum_{\alpha>0} a_\alpha z^\alpha$ ($z \in D(p')$) with

$$\|f\|_{p, \phi} = \|\{a_\alpha\}\|_{l_p^n} < \infty.$$

Then the mapping $A : l_p^n \rightarrow l_p^n(D(p'))$ defined as

$$A(\{a_\alpha\}) = \sum_{\alpha>0} a_\alpha z^\alpha$$

is an isometry, since if $\{a_\alpha\} \in l_p^n$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha>0} |a_\alpha z^\alpha| &\leq \left\{ \sum_{\alpha>0} c_\alpha^{1-p} |a_\alpha|^p \right\}^{1/p} \left\{ \sum_{\alpha>0} c_\alpha |z^\alpha|^p \right\}^{1/p'} \\ &= \|\{a_\alpha\}\|_{l_p^n} \{\phi(|z|^p)\}^{1/p'} < \infty \end{aligned}$$

for all $z \in D(p')$. Hence $f \in l_p^n(D(p'))$ and since $\|\{a_\alpha\}\|_{l_p^n} = \|f\|_{p, \phi}$, $f \in l_p^n(D(p'))$ implies $\{a_\alpha\} \in l_p^n$, completing the proof.

We choose $F(\lambda) = e^\lambda$, $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\phi(z) = -q \log(1 - \langle z, \mathbf{1} \rangle)$. $z \in B$, $q > 0$. In this case we find that $c_\alpha = q \Gamma(|\alpha|) / \alpha!$ and that the coefficients of

$F \circ \phi$ are $\Gamma(q + |\alpha|) / \Gamma(\alpha)\alpha!$. If $f(z) = \sum_{\alpha > 0} a_\alpha z^\alpha \in l_{\phi}^p(B(p'))$ then f satisfies (1.4) and (1.5) becomes

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{\alpha \geq 0} (\Gamma(q + |\alpha|) / \Gamma(q)\alpha!)^{1-p} |A_\alpha^{(f)}|^p \\ \leq \exp\left(\sum_{\alpha > 0} (q\Gamma(|\alpha|) / \alpha!)^{1-p} |a_\alpha|^p\right).$$

Equality holds if and only if $a_\alpha = q\Gamma(|\alpha|) / \alpha! \bar{\xi}^\alpha$ for some $\xi \in B(p)$, i. e., if and only if $f(z) = -q \log(1 - \langle z, \xi \rangle)$ for some $\xi \in B(p)$. In the terminology introduced in the beginning of this section, we have just proved the following:

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $p' = p / (p - 1)$. Then $e^f \in l_{\phi}^{p'}(B(p'))$ whenever $f \in l_{\phi}^p(B(p))$ with*

$$\|e^f\|_{p, \phi}^{p'} \leq \exp(\|f\|_{p, \phi}^p).$$

Equality holds if and only if $f(z) = \phi(z, \bar{\xi})$ for some $\xi \in B(p)$.

As a special case, if $p = 2$, we get the following result of Burbea [3].

COROLLARY 2.2. *Let k be any positive integer with $2k - n \geq 0$ and let $q \geq n$. Then for any $f \in A_{2k-n, k}^2$ with $f(0) = 0$, there exists a constant c independent of f such that*

$$\|e^f\|_{q-n}^2 \leq \exp(c \|D^k f\|_{2k-n}^2).$$

In particular, $e^f \in A_{q-n}^2$.

Proof. We have, by Sterling's formula, for $f \in A_{2k-n, k}^2$ with $f(0) = 0$,

$$\|D^k f\|_{2k-n}^2 = \Gamma(2k) \sum_{\alpha > 0} \frac{\alpha!}{\Gamma(|\alpha| + 2k)} (|\alpha| + 1)^{2k} |a_\alpha|^2 \\ \sim c \sum_{\alpha > 0} \frac{\alpha!}{\Gamma(|\alpha|)} |a_\alpha|^2$$

while $\|e^f\|_{q-n}^2 = \Gamma(q) \sum_{\alpha \geq 0} \frac{\alpha!}{\Gamma(q + |\alpha|)} |A_\alpha^{(f)}|^2$. This completes the proof.

References

1. F. Beatrous, Jr. and J. Burbea, *Sobolev spaces of holomorphic functions in the ball*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics, (to appear).
2. J. Burbea, *A Dirichlet norm inequality and some inequalities for reproducing kernel spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **83**(1981), 279-285.
3. J. Burbea, *Inequalities for holomorphic functions of several complex variables*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **276**(1983), 247-266.
4. Do Y. Kwak, *Hardy-Littlewood inequalities for the weighted Bergman*

spaces, Comm. of KMS 2 No.1 (1987), 33-38.

5. I.M. Milin, *Univalent Functions and orthogonal systems*, Translation of *Mathematical Monographs*, Vol. 49, AMS, providence, R.I., 1977.

Korea Institute of Technology
Taejon 305-701, Korea