# MEASURES GENERATED BY DERIVATION BASES

### Han Soo Kim and Young Mi Kim

#### 1. Introduction

In 1982, B.S. Thomson suggested the following question [4,p164]: If h is a monotonically increasing function on  $[0,\infty)$ , and h(0)=0, then the function  $\tilde{h}: I \rightarrow h(|I|)(|I|)$ : the length of the interval I) represents a measure on R and  $\tilde{h}_{B}(B)$ : Derivation bases, see definition 2.4) again represents a measure on R that should be related to classical p-dimensional Hausdorff measure. What is the exact relation here? About this question, S. Meinershagen in [2] defined three variational measures and he examined the relationship between these measures and Hausdorff measure. In this paper, we investigate some properties of these measures and compare rarefaction indices with Hausdorff dimension of some thin sets

# 2. Definitions and preliminaries

Let  $H_0 = \{h \mid h : [0,\infty) \rightarrow [0,\infty)$ , monotone, increasing, continuous from the right, and  $h(0)=0\}$  and let B(R) be the family of bounded subsets of R.

**Definition 2.1.** ([2]) Let  $h \in H_0$  and any set  $E \subset [a,b]$ . For any positive function  $\delta : R \to R^+$ , let  $\beta_{\delta}^s = \{(I,x) : x \text{ is a midpoint of } I \subset (x-\delta(x), x+\delta(x))\}.$ 

 $V(h^*,\beta_\delta^{s}[E]) = \sup\{\Sigma_{n(E)}h(|I,|): \pi = \{(I,x_i)\} \text{ is a partition of } [a,b] \text{ in } \beta_\delta^{s} \text{ and } (I,x_i) \in \pi[E] \text{ if } x_i \in E\}. V(h^*,D^*[E]) = \inf_{\delta} V(h^*,\beta_\delta^{s}[E]). When V (h^*,D^*[E]) \text{ is being considered as a measure rather than a variation, it will be written by <math>h_{\delta}^{*}(E)$ .

**Definition 2.2.** ([2]). Let h, E and  $\delta$  be given as above and let  $\beta_{\delta} = \{(I,x) : x \text{ is an end point of } I \subset (x - \delta(x), x + \delta(x))\}$ .  $V(h^*,\beta_{\delta}[E]) = \sup \{\Sigma_{\pi[E]} h(|I, |) : \pi = \{(I,x)\} \text{ is a partition of } [a,b] \text{ in } \beta_{\delta} \text{ and } (I_{\delta}x_{\delta}) \in \pi[E] \text{ if } x_{\delta} \in E\}$  and  $h_{D}^{*}(E) = \inf V(h^*,\beta_{\delta}[E])$ .

**Definition 2.3.** ([2]). Let h, E and  $\delta$  be given as above and let  $\beta_{\delta}^{\#} = \{(I,x) : T \subset (x \cdot \delta(x), x + \delta(x))\}$ .  $V(h^{*}, \beta_{\delta}^{\#} [E]) = \sup\{\Sigma_{\pi(E)} h(|I_{1}|) : \pi$  $= \{(I_{*}, x_{*})\}$  is a partition of [a, b] in  $\beta_{\delta}^{\#}$  and  $(I_{*}, x_{*}) \in \pi[E]$  if  $x_{*} \in E\}$ and  $h_{D^{\#}}(E) = \inf V(h^{*}, \beta_{\delta}^{\#} [E])$ .

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $\beta = \{(I,x) : I \text{ and } x \text{ are associated by a rule which determines how to choose the interval I in terms of the point <math>x\}$ . A collection of such  $\beta$ 's is called a derivation basis. For example,  $B = \{\beta_5^s : \delta \text{ is any positive function}\}$  is a derivation basis which is called symmetric.

It is noted that  $h_{S}^{*}(E) \leq h_{D^{\#}}(E)$ ,  $h_{D}(E) \leq h_{D^{\#}}(E)$ , and  $h_{S}^{*}(E) \leq h_{D}(E)$  if *h* is concave down. If  $\overline{D}^{+}h(0) = \infty$ , then  $h_{D^{\#}}(E) = \infty$ . So we dose not concern about  $h_{D^{\#}}^{*}$ . In this paper,  $h - m(E) = \liminf_{b \to 0} h - m_{\delta}(E) = \liminf_{b \to 0} \{\Sigma h (|L|): (|L|) \geq E, |L| < \delta$  and *L* is an interval  $\}$  denotes the Hausdorff measure. The rarefaction index corresponding to *h*-*m* is called Hausdorff dimension and is defined by  $dimE = \inf\{a > 0: e^{a} - m(E) = 0\} = \sup\{a > 0: e^{a} - m(E) = \infty\}$ , where if  $h(x) = x^{a}$ , then we write  $h(x) = e^{a}$ .

# 3. Results.

Let  $h^*$  be one of  $h_S$  and  $h_D$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** Let E be a bounded, h-m measurable subset of R and  $0 < h-m(E) < \infty$ . Then  $h-m(E) \le h^{\bullet}(E)$ .

**Proof.** It is sufficient to prove that  $h-m(F) \le h_s(F)$  for a closed bounded subset F of E. Since h-m is regular, given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a closed set  $F \subseteq E$  such that  $h-m(F) > h-m(E)-\varepsilon$ . So  $h-m(E) - \varepsilon < h-m(F) \le h_s(F) \le h_s(E)-\varepsilon$ .

Assume that  $h_{\delta}^{*}(F) < \infty$  and  $F \subset [a,b]$ . Let  $\delta$  be a positive function such that  $(x \cdot \delta(x), x + \delta(x)) \subset [a,b] \setminus F$  if  $x \notin F(\text{take } \delta(x) = \frac{\operatorname{dist}(x,F)}{2}$  and  $V(h^*, \beta_{\delta}^{*}[F]) < h_{\delta}^{*}(F) + \varepsilon$ .

Since  $h \cdot m(F)$  is finite, there exists  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that  $h \cdot m(F) \cdot \varepsilon < h \cdot m_{\delta_0}(F)$ . Put  $\delta_1(x) = \min\{\delta(x), \frac{\delta_0}{2}\}$ . Let  $\pi \subset \beta_{\delta_1}^s$ , where  $\pi[F] = \{(I, x_i)\}_{i=1}^n$  and  $x_i \in F$ . Then  $F \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n I_i$ . Therefore  $h \cdot m(F) \cdot \varepsilon < h \cdot m_{\delta_0}(F) \le \sum_{i=1}^n h(|I_i|) \le V$  $(h^*, \beta_{\delta_1}^s(F)) \le V(h^*, \beta_{\delta}^s[F]) < h_{\delta_0}^*(F) + \varepsilon$ . Hence  $h \cdot m(F) \le h_{\delta_0}^*(F)$ .

We can use the similar methods for  $h_D$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $h,g \in H_0$  and let  $E \in B(R)$ . If  $\lim_{x\to 0} \frac{h(x)}{g(x)} = 0$  and  $g^*(E) < \infty$ , then  $h^*(E) = 0$ .

**Proof.** Let  $g_D(E) = M < \infty$ . There exists a positive function  $\delta_1$  such that  $V(h^*, \beta_{\delta_1}[E]) < M+1$ . Since  $\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{h(x)}{g(x)} = 0$ , for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $n_0 \in N$  with  $\frac{h(x)}{g(x)} > \frac{\varepsilon}{M+1}$  for all  $0 < x < \frac{1}{n_0}$ . Put  $\delta_2(x) = \min\{\delta_1(x), \frac{1}{n_0}\}$ . For any positive function  $\delta \le \delta_2$ , let  $\pi = \{(I_*x_i)\}_{i=1}^n$  be a partition of E in  $\beta_{\delta}$ . Then  $\sum_{i=1}^n h(|I_i|) < \frac{\varepsilon}{M+1} \sum_{i=1}^n g(|I_i|) \le \frac{\varepsilon}{M+1} V(g^*, \beta_{\delta}[E])$ 

$$\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{M+1} V(g^*, \beta_{\delta_1}[E]) < \varepsilon. \text{ Hence } V(h^*, \beta_{\delta}[E]) < \varepsilon \text{ and } h_D(E) = 0.$$

Similar proof holds for  $h_s$ 

If  $h(x)=x^{*}$ , then we denote  $h^{*}(E)=h^{*}(E)$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** There is a rarefaction index  $\triangle$  such that for  $E \in B(R)$ , (i) If  $0 , then <math>h^p(E) = \infty$  and (ii) If  $p > \triangle$ , then  $h^p(E) = 0$ .

**Proof.** Put  $\triangle = \inf\{p > 0 : h'(E) = 0\}$ .

(i) Suppose that  $h'(E) < \infty$  for some 0 . There exists <math>p' such that  $p < p' < \triangle$ . By lemma 3.2, h''(E) = 0. This is contradict to the definition of  $\triangle$ .

(ii) It is similar to (i).

So we can define the rarefaction index  $\triangle$  of  $h^*$  like this;  $\triangle = \inf\{p>0$ :  $h^*(E)=0\}=\sup\{p>0: h^*(E)=\infty\}$ . We have  $\triangle = 0$  if  $h^*(E)=0$  for all p>0,  $\triangle = \infty$  if  $h^*(E)=\infty$  for all p>0. When  $h^*$  is  $h_s^*$  we write  $\triangle = \triangle_s$ , and when  $h^*$  is  $h_p^*$ , we write  $\triangle = \triangle_s$ 

#### Remark.

(i)  $\triangle(E_1) \leq \triangle(E_2)$  if  $E_1 \subset E_2$ . (ii)  $\triangle(E_1 \cup E_2) = \max\{\triangle(E_1), \triangle(E_2)\}$ . (iii)  $\dim(E) \leq \triangle$  by theorem 3.1.

Lemma 3.4. ([2], Corollary 4.2). If  $\lim_{I \in I \to 0} \frac{h-m(E \cap I)}{h([I])} > 0$  (I is a symmetric interval about x) for every point x of E and  $h-m(E) < \infty$ , then  $h^*(E) < \infty$ .

There are sets with the same rarefaction index as Hausdorff dimension

246

**Example 3.5**(Cantor-like Set). Let  $C = \{x \in R \mid x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{4^{n}}, a_n = 0,3\}$ . Then  $\Delta_s = \frac{1}{2}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $h(x) = x^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and let *I* be any symmetric interval about  $x \in C$ . Let *J* be the largest contiguous interval of *C* in *I*. Then  $I \subset 12J$  and  $I \subset 48J'$ , where *J'* is second large contiguous interval in *J*. So  $h(|J'|) \leq h - m(C \cap I)$  (If  $|J'| = \frac{2}{4^{n+1}}$ , then  $h - m(C \cap I) \leq \frac{1}{2^n}$ ). Therefore

$$\frac{h-m(C\cap I)}{h(\mid I\mid)} \ge \frac{h-m(C\cap I)}{h(48\mid J'\mid)} \ge \frac{h-m(C\cap I)}{h(48)h(\mid J'\mid)} \ge \frac{1}{h(48)} > 0.$$
  
By lemma 3.4,  $h_s(C) < \infty$ . Hence  $\triangle_s \le \frac{1}{2}$ . Since  $h-m(C) = 1 \le h_s(C), \frac{1}{2} \le \triangle_s$ 

By analogous methods, we can obtain the rarefaction index of Cantor Ternary Set as  $\frac{\log 2}{\log 3}$ .

The following example shows that there is a set with different rarefaction index from Hausdorff dimension.

**Example 3.6.** Let  $h(0)=h(0^+)=0$  and  $D^+h(0)=\infty$ . Then there is a compact set E such that  $h_S^*(E)=\infty$  and h-m(E)=0. In particular,  $h_S^{\alpha}(E)=\infty$  for all  $0<\alpha<1$  and  $e^{\alpha}-m(E)=0$  for all  $0<\alpha<1$ . Hence  $\triangle_s=1$ and dim(E)=0.

**Construction.** Let  $p_0 = \lambda_0 = 1$ .  $L_1^0 = [0,1]$ . We proceed by induction. Suppose that *n* is a natural number and that disjoint closed intervals  $L_j^{n-1}(j=1,\ldots,p_{n-1})$  of length  $\lambda_{n-1}$  are given. Since  $D^+h(0) = \infty$ , there is

247

 $q_n \in N$  such that  $q_n h(\frac{\lambda_{n-1}}{2q_n}) > n$ . Since  $h(0^+) = 0$ , there is an  $\eta_n \in (0, \frac{\lambda_{n-1}}{2q_n})$  such that  $2q_n np_{n-1} h(\eta_n) < 1$ . For each *i*, let  $L_i^n$  be the closed interval of length  $\eta_n$  with the same center at  $f_i^n$ . Then  $L_i^n \subset f_i^n$ . Put  $p_n = 2q_n p_{n-1}, \lambda_n = \eta_n$ . Then  $\lambda_n \leq 2^n$  for each *n*. Let  $E = \bigcap_n (\bigcup_n L_i^n)$ . Then  $\sum_n h(|L_i^n|) = p_n h(\eta_n) < \frac{1}{n}$  for each *n*. Therefore h - m(E) = 0. Furthermore,  $h_{in}^n(E) = \infty$ . [see[2], Example 4.2]

Suppose  $f: I = [0,1] \rightarrow R$  and P is a partition of [0,1].  $0 = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n = 1$ .  $\sigma(p) = \max(x_i - x_{i-1})$ . The limiting strong *h*-variation is defined by  $V_k(f) = \lim_{k \to 0} \sup_{\sigma(p) < k} \sum_{i=1}^n h(|f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})|)$ .

**Theorem 3.7.** Suppose  $f: I \rightarrow R$  continuous and let  $h \in H_0$  be continuous with smoothness condition (there exists positive, finite  $c_0$  such that  $h(2x) < c_0 h(x)$  for  $0 < x < \frac{1}{2}$ ). Then  $h'_s(f(I)) \le c_0 V_n(f)$ 

**Proof.** Given  $\eta > 0$ , there exists  $\varepsilon$  such that  $\sup_{\sigma(p) < \varepsilon} \sum_{i=1}^{n} h(|f(x_i) - f(x_{i+1})|) < V_h(f) + \eta$  and  $\varepsilon^2 < h(s)$  for some s > 0. Choose  $\delta_0$  such that  $h(\delta_0) = \varepsilon^2$ . Let  $\delta$  be any positive function  $\delta \leq \frac{\delta_0}{2}$  and let  $\pi = \{(I_i, f(x_i))\}_{i=1}^{m}$  be a partition of f(I) in  $\beta_{\delta}^s$ . Together with 0 and 1, the points  $x_i, 1 \le i \le m$ , form a partition P of [0,1]. Put  $\pi_1 = \{I_i \in \pi \mid x_i \cdot x_{i+1} \ge \varepsilon\}$  and  $\pi_2 = \pi \setminus \pi_1$ . Since  $\Sigma(x_{i+1} \cdot x_i) > \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \varepsilon = 1$ , the number of  $\pi_1$  does not exceed  $\frac{1}{\varepsilon}$ . So  $\Sigma_{I_i \in \pi_1} h(|I_i|) \le \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \cdot \varepsilon^2 = \varepsilon$ . Add further division points to the dissection  $P_2($ where  $P_2 = \{x_i \mid (I_i, x_i) \in \pi_2\}$  to give a new partition  $P_3$ , with  $\sigma(P_3) < \varepsilon$ , containing no extra division point in  $(x_{i+1}, x_i)$  if  $x_i \in P_2$ . Since  $|I_i| \le -2 \mid f(x_i) \cdot f(x_{i+1}) \mid$ ,

$$\sum_{I_i \in \pi_2} h(|I_i|) \leq \sum_{x_i \in P_2} h(2|f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})|) \leq C_0 \sum_{x_i \in P_2} h(|f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})|)$$
$$\leq C_0 \sum_{x_i \in P_3} h(|f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})|) \leq C_0 (V_b(f) + \eta).$$

Therefore  $\sum_{n[f(l)]} h(|I_i|) \leq \varepsilon + c_0(V_h(f) + \eta).$ 

This theorem is not sharp.

Example 3.8. Let h(x) = x and define  $f: [0,1] \rightarrow R$  by f(0) = 0, f(x) = xsin  $\frac{1}{x}$  for  $0 < x \le 1$ . Then  $V_{x}(f) = \infty$  and  $h_{s}^{*}(f(1)) \le 2$ .

## References

- 1. G.De Barra, Measure theory and integration, 1981, Ellis Horwood Ltd.
- S.Meinershagen, Derivation bases and Hausdorff measure, Real Analysis Exchange, Vol. 13(1987-1988), p233-244.
- 3. C.A.Rogers, Hausdorff measures, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1970.
- B.S. Thomson, Derivation bases on the real line, Real Analysis Exchange, Vol. 8, No. I (1982-1983), p67-208.
- C.Tricot, Two definitions of fractional dimension, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 91(1982) p57-74.
- 6. R.Wheeden and A. Zygmund, Measure and integral, 1977.

Kyungpook National University Department of Mathematics College of Natural Sciences