

## A CONSTRUCTIVE PROOF OF THE EXISTENCE OF GREEN'S FUNCTION ON MANIFOLDS

DONG PYO CHI

### 1. Introduction

Let  $M$  be a complete Riemannian manifold and  $\Delta$  be the Laplace operator acting on  $C^\infty$  functions on  $M$ . Then the Green's function on  $M$  is a function on  $M \times M$ , which satisfies the following properties;

$$\Delta_x \int G(x, y) f(y) dy = -f(x)$$

and

$$\int G(x, y) \Delta_y f(y) dy = -f(x),$$

for all smooth functions  $f$  with compact support on  $M$ . These two conditions are equivalent to that  $G(x, y)$  satisfies the equation

$$\Delta_x G(x, y) = -\delta_x(y), \text{ for all } x \in M.$$

in distribution sense.

If  $M$  is  $R^n$ , then the explicit form of  $G(x, y)$  is known. But in general, we can not expect explicit form of Green's function. Also the positivity of  $G(x, y)$  is not guaranteed in general.

In 1955 Malgrange [2] showed that the Laplace operator admits a symmetric Green's function. But his argument was abstract and non-constructive. In case  $M$  admits a positive non-constant harmonic function, Yau and Schoen [3] proved that  $M$  admits a positive symmetric Green's function. In particular a complete manifold with lower bounded Ricci curvature admits a positive Green's function. Recently Li and Tam [1] constructed a Green's function, called a minimal Green's function.

---

Received June 27, 1988.

The purpose of this paper is to give a simplified version of Li and Tam's proof on the existence of Green's function on a complete Riemannian manifold. That is, we are going to give another proof of the following theorem.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $M$  be a complete non-compact Riemannian manifold. Then there exists a Green's function on  $M$ .*

## 2. Proof of the existence of Green's function

Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional complete noncompact Riemannian manifold without boundary. Consider a fixed point  $p \in M$  and a monotone sequence of compact subdomains,  $\Omega_i$ , which exhaust  $M$ . That is to say,

$$\Omega_j \supseteq \Omega_i, \text{ if } i < j,$$

and  $\bigcup \Omega_i = M$ .

For each  $i$ , we let  $G_i(x, y)$  to be the symmetric Green's kernel on  $\Omega_i$  which satisfies the Dirichlet boundary condition. It is known that  $G_i(x, y)$  must behaves like

$$G_i \sim C(n)r(x, y)^{2-n}, \text{ as } y \rightarrow x, \text{ when } n > 2,$$

and  $G_i(x, y) \sim C(2) \log r(x, y)$ , as  $x \rightarrow y$ , when  $n = 2$ .

The constants  $C(n)$  only depend on  $n$ , the dimension of  $M$ , and the function  $r(x, y)$  denotes geodesic distance between  $x$  and  $y$ . The following lemma in Li and Tam [1] is essential in the proof

**LEMMA.** *Let  $p$  be a fixed point in  $M$ . The sequence of Green's functions  $G_i(p, y)$  must have uniformly bounded oscillations in any compact subset  $K$  of  $M - p$ , for sufficiently large  $i$ 's such that  $\Omega_i \supseteq K$ .*

Now we give our proof of the existence of Green's function on a complete non-compact Riemannian manifold without boundary.

*Proof.* Let us define

$$l_i(r) = \inf \{G_i(p, y) \mid y \in \partial B_p(r)\}$$

and

$$S_i(r) = \sup \{G_i(p, y) \mid y \in \partial B_p(r)\}.$$

Let us denote  $l_i(1)$  by  $a_i$ . By the lemma, for any given  $R > 1$ , there

exists a constant  $\omega$  such that if  $i$  is sufficiently large then

$$S_i\left(\frac{1}{R}\right) \leq \omega + a_i \text{ and } a_i - \omega \leq l_i(R).$$

Applying the maximum principle, we have

$$-\omega \leq f_i(p, y) \leq \omega, \text{ on } B_p(R) - B_p\left(\frac{1}{R}\right)$$

where  $f_i(p, y) = G_i(p, y) - a_i$ .

Hence the  $f_i(p, y)$ 's are uniformly bounded on compact subsets of  $M - p$ , and there is a subsequence of the  $f_i$ 's which converges uniformly on compact subsets of  $M - p$ .

Let  $x$  be a point in  $M$ , which is different from  $p$ . We are going to show that  $f_i(x, y)$  as a function of  $y$  also converges on compact subsets of  $M - p$ .

As our previous argument, any subsequence of the  $G_i(x, y)$ 's contains a subsequence denoted by  $G_j(x, y)$  and a set of non-negative numbers  $b_j$ 's such that the sequence

$$G_j(x, y) - b_j$$

converges. Now, we show that we could take  $b_j$ 's as  $a_j$ 's, which implies that  $f_i(x, y)$  as a function of  $y$  converges on compact subsets of  $M - p$ .

Since  $f_i(p, y)$  converges to a function  $G(p, y)$  implies that

$$\begin{aligned} G(p, x) &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f_i(p, x) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \{G_j(p, x) - a_j\} \\ &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \{G_j(p, x) - b_j\} + \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \{b_j - a_j\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the last limit must also converge to a constant  $c$ . Now clearly the subsequence

$$G_j(x, y) - a_j = \{G_j(x, y) - b_j\} + \{b_j - a_j\}$$

must also converge to some function  $J(x, y)$ . To show that the original sequence

$$f_i(x, y) = G_i(x, y) - a_i$$

converges, it suffices to prove that if there is another converging subsequence

$$G_k(x, y) - a_k$$

then it must converge to  $J(x, y)$ , Let us denote the limit by

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \{G_k(x, y) - a_k\} = K(x, y).$$

The difference of the two functions  $G(p, y)$  and  $K(x, y)$  as functions of  $y$  must be bounded on  $M - B_p(R)$  if  $x \in B_p(R)$ . In fact, let us consider the formula

$$\begin{aligned} G(p, y) - K(x, y) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \{G_k(p, y) - a_k\} - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \{G_k(x, y) - a_k\} \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \{G_k(p, y) - G_k(x, y)\} \end{aligned}$$

Due to the fact that  $G_k$  satisfies Dirichlet boundary condition, after applying the maximum principle, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup \{|G(p, y) - K(x, y)| \mid y \in M - B_p(R)\} \\ &\leq \sup \{|G(p, y) - G_k(x, y)| \mid y \in \partial B_p(R)\}, \end{aligned}$$

which is bounded by the compactness of  $\partial B_p(R)$  and the assumption that  $x \in B_p(R)$ . By the same argument, the difference of  $G(p, y)$  and  $J(x, y)$  is also a bounded function on  $M - B_p(R)$ . Hence the function

$$J(x, y) - K(x, y)$$

must also be bounded on  $M - B_p(R)$ . On the other hand, in view of the previous argument, we may assume that

$$a_k = \inf \{G_k(x, y) \mid y \in \partial B_x(1)\} - c,$$

where  $c$  is a constant. Therefore

$$H_{2R}(x, y) = c \leq G_k(x, y) - a_k + \theta \leq H_{2R}(x, y) + c + 2\theta,$$

with  $H_{2R}$  being the Dirichlet Green's function on  $B_x(2R)$  and  $\theta$  is the bound for the oscillation of the  $G_k$ 's restricted on  $B_x(2R) - B_x(1)$ . Passing to the limit we conclude that  $K(x, y)$  must behave like Green's function. Hence their difference  $J(x, y) - K(x, y)$  is a bounded harmonic function on  $B_p(R)$ , therefore also a bounded harmonic function on  $M$ . The fact that there is no non-constant harmonic function on  $M$  now implies that  $J(x, y) - K(x, y)$  is identically constant on  $M$ . Evaluating at  $y = p$ ,

$$J(x, y) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \{G_j(x, y) - a_j\} = G(p, x) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \{G(x, p) - a_k\} = K(x, p),$$

which implies that  $J(x, y) = K(x, y)$  for all  $y \in M$ .

This completes our proof.

### References

1. P. Li and L.F. Tam, *Symmetric Green's functions on complete manifold*, preprint.
2. B. Malgrange, *Existence et approximation des solutions des equations aux derivees partielles et des equations de convolution*, Annales de l'Institut Fourier 6(1955).
3. R. Schoen and S.T. Yau, Lecture notes.

Seoul National University  
Seoul 151-742, Korea