

PROBABILISTIC INTERPRETATION OF NON-SYMMETRIC DIRICHLET FORMS

JAI HEUI KIM

1. Introduction

Analysis based on additive functionals (AF's for short) and stochastic calculus related to symmetric Dirichlet spaces were developed by M. Fukushima [3], S. Nakao [7], M. Takeda [9] and others. Many results in the symmetric case have been extended to the non-symmetric case by S. Carrillo Menendez [2], J.H. Kim [4], Y. Le Jan [5] etc.. The purpose of this paper is to give a functional calculus on Dirichlet spaces (Theorem 3.4) and a general form of below (2.6).

We first give the definition of non-symmetric Dirichlet space. Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space with countable base and m a non-negative Radon measure on X such that $\text{supp } [m] = X$. $L^2(X, m)$ denotes the real L^2 -space with inner product

$$(u, v)_{L^2} = \int_X u(x)v(x)m(dx), \quad u, v \in L^2(X, m).$$

Let H be a dense linear subspace of $L^2(X, m)$ which forms a Hilbert space with a norm $\| \cdot \|_H$ such that for some $K > 0$, $\|u\|_H \geq K\|u\|_{L^2}$ for any $u \in H$. Moreover we assume that if $u \in H$, then $|u|, u \wedge 1 \in H$. In this article we consider a bilinear form a on $H \times H$ which satisfies the following conditions;

(a.1) there exists a constant $\alpha_0 \geq 0$ such that a_α is coercive for any $\alpha > \alpha_0$, i. e., for some constant $K_1 = K_1(\alpha) > 0$, $a_\alpha(u, u) \geq K_1\|u\|_H^2$ for every $u \in H$,

(a.2) a is continuous in the following sense; there exists a constant $K_2 > 0$ such that $|a(u, v)| \leq K_2\|u\|_H\|v\|_H$ for all $u, v \in H$,

(a.3) $a(T_1u, u - T_1u) \geq 0$,

(a.4) $\hat{a}(T_1u, u - T_1u) \geq 0$.

Received January 18, 1988.

This research is supported by the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation research grant.

Here $a_\alpha(u, v) = a(u, v) + \alpha(u, v)_{L^2}$, $\hat{a}(u, v) = a(v, u)$ and $T_1 u = u^+ \wedge 1$ ($u^+ = u \vee 0$). A bilinear form a which fulfills (a.1)~(a.4) is called a *Dirichlet form* on $H \times H$, and (a, H) a *Dirichlet space* on $L^2(X, m)$. Let $C_0(X)$ be the set of all bounded continuous functions on X with compact support. From now on we assume that H is *regular*, i.e., $C_0(X) \cap H$ is dense in H with the norm $\| \cdot \|_H$ and dense in $C_0(X)$ with the uniform norm.

J. H. Kim [4] gave the Beurling-Deny formula for a ; for every $u, v \in H$,

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{1}{2} [a(u, v) + \hat{a}(u, v)] = a^c(u, v) + a^j(u, v) + a^k(u, v) + a^{\hat{k}}(u, v),$$

where $a^c(u, v)$ is a symmetric form on $H \times H$ such that for $u, v \in H$ with compact support, $a^c(u, v) = 0$ if v is constant on a neighbourhood of $\text{supp}[u]$ (=support of u), we say that the form $a^c(\cdot, \cdot)$ satisfies the *local property*, and

$$(1.2) \quad a^j(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{X \times X - \Delta} (\tilde{u}(x) - \tilde{u}(y)) (\tilde{v}(x) - \tilde{v}(y)) \sigma(dx, dy),$$

$$(1.3) \quad a^k(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_X \tilde{u}(x) \tilde{v}(x) \chi(dx), \quad a^{\hat{k}}(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_X \tilde{u}(x) \tilde{v}(x) \hat{\chi}(dx).$$

Here σ is a positive Radon measure on $X \times X - \Delta$ (Δ is diagonal) satisfying

$$\int_{X \times X - \Delta} u(x) v(y) \sigma(dx, dy) = -a(u, v)$$

for $u, v \in C_0(X) \cap H$ such that $\text{supp}[u] \cap \text{supp}[v] = \emptyset$, and χ and $\hat{\chi}$ are positive Radon measures on X satisfying

$$\int_X u(x) \chi(dx) = a(v, u) - \int_{X \times X - \Delta} u(y) (1 - v(x)) \sigma(dx, dy)$$

and

$$\int_X u(x) \hat{\chi}(dx) = a(v, u) - \int_{X \times X - \Delta} u(x) (1 - v(y)) \sigma(dx, dy)$$

for $u, v \in C_0(X) \cap H$ such that $v = 1$ on a neighbourhood of $\text{supp}[u]$, and \tilde{u} is a q. c. version of $u \in H$ (see [3] for the definition).

2. Probabilistic interpretation of (1.1)

In this section we give a probabilistic interpretation of each term of the right hand side of (1.1) and an example.

Let $\bar{M} = (P_x, X_t)$ and $\hat{M} = (\hat{P}_x, \hat{X}_t)$ be the *Hunt processes* associated

with a and \hat{a} , respectively, \mathbf{S} the set of all *smooth measures* of \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{A}_c^+ the set of all *positive continuous* AF's of \mathcal{M} (see [3] for the definitions). S. Carrillo Menendez [1] showed that \mathbf{S} and \mathcal{A}_c^+ are in one to one correspondence which is characterized by the following relation; for $A \in \mathcal{A}_c^+$ and $\mu \in \mathbf{S}$,

$$\int_X E_x \left[\int_0^t f(X_s) dA_s \right] h(x) m(dx) = \int_0^t \left\{ \int_X \hat{E}_x [h(\hat{X}_s)] f(x) \mu(dx) \right\} ds$$

for any non-negative Borel functions f and h on X and $t > 0$, where $E_x[Y]$ (resp. $\hat{E}_x[Y]$) is the expectation of random variable Y with respect to P_x (resp. \hat{P}_x). J.H. Kim [4] defined the *energy* of AF A by

$$(2.1) \quad e(A) = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \int_X E_x \left[\int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha t} A_t^2 dt \right] m(dx)$$

if the limit exists, and defined the *mutual energy* of AF's A and B by

$$e(A, B) = \frac{1}{2} (e(A+B) - e(A) - e(B))$$

and proved that the set \mathcal{M} of all martingale AF's of finite energy is a real Hilbert space with $e(\cdot)$ and that the AF

$$(2.2) \quad A_t^{[u]} = \tilde{u}(X_t) - \tilde{u}(X_0) \quad (u \in H)$$

has a unique decomposition

$$(2.3) \quad A^{[u]} = M^{[u]} + N^{[u]}, \quad M^{[u]} \in \mathcal{M}, \quad N^{[u]} \in \mathcal{N}_c,$$

where \mathcal{N}_c is the set of all continuous AF's of zero energy. M. Fukushima [3] proved the above results in the symmetric case. It is known that $M^{[u]}$ has a decomposition

$$(2.4) \quad M^{[u]} = M^{c[u]} + M^{d[u]} = M^{c[u]} + M^{j[u]} + M^{k[u]}$$

where $M^{c[u]}$ and $M^{d[u]}$ are the continuous and purely discontinuous part of $M^{[u]}$ respectively, and $M^{j[u]}$ and $M^{k[u]}$ are defined by

$$M^{k[u]} = -\widehat{\tilde{u}(X_{\zeta-})} I_{[\zeta \leq t]}, \quad M^{j[u]} = M^{d[u]} - M^{k[u]}.$$

Here, ζ is the life time of \mathcal{M} and for AF A , \hat{A} denotes $A - A^p$ with A^p being the dual predictable projection of A (cf. [2]). The smooth measure corresponding to $A \in \mathcal{A}_c^+$ is denoted by μ_A . If $A_t = A_t^{(1)} - A_t^{(2)}$ a. s., $A^{(i)} \in \mathcal{A}_c^+$ and $\mu_{A^{(i)}}$, $i=1, 2$, are bounded measures, then the measure μ_A corresponding to A is given by $\mu_{(1)} - \mu_{A^{(2)}}$. In particular, for $M^{[u]}, M^{[v]} \in \mathcal{M}(u, v \in H)$, we use the abbreviations $\mu_{\langle u, v \rangle}$ and $\mu_{\langle M^{[u]}, M^{[v]} \rangle}$ for $\mu_{\langle M^{[u]}, M^{[v]} \rangle}$ and $\mu_{\langle M^{i[u]}, M^{i[v]} \rangle}$, $i=c, d, j, k$, respectively. The

symbol $\langle M, N \rangle$ is the quadratic variation of the martingales M and N . Then we have the following result.

THEOREM 2.1 ([4]). (i) For any $u, v \in H$, we have

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{1}{2} \mu^i \langle u, v \rangle (X) = a^i(u, v) \text{ for } i=c, j, \hat{k}, k.$$

(ii) For $u, v \in H_b$ (=the set of all bounded functions in H) and $w \in H$,

$$(2.6) \quad d\mu^c \langle u, v, w \rangle (x) = \bar{u}(x) d\mu^c \langle v, w \rangle (x) + \bar{v}(x) d\mu^c \langle u, w \rangle (x) \quad (x \in X).$$

The equality (2.6) is essential to prove a strong local property of the symmetric form $a^c(\cdot, \cdot)$ (see Corollary 5.10 in [4]). In the next section we give a functional rule of $\mu^c \langle u, v \rangle$ as an extension of (2.6).

EXAMPLE 2.2. Let dx be the Lebesgue measure on d -dimensional Euclidean space R^d and $H^1(R^d)$ the Sobolev space of order 1, i.e.,

$$H^1(R^d) = \{u \in L^2(R^d, dx) : \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \in L^2(R^d, dx), 1 \leq i \leq d\},$$

where the derivatives $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}$ are taken in the sense of Schwartz distributions.

We define the norm on $H^1(R^d)$ by, for $u \in H^1(R^d)$,

$$\|u\|_{H^1} = \|u\|_{L^2} + \|u_x\|_{L^2},$$

where

$$\|u_x\|_{L^2} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{L^2}^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Consider the following differential operator given formally by

$$\begin{aligned} L &= L^0 + \sum_{i \neq j}^d \delta(x_i - x_j) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \\ &= L^0 + \sum_{i,j=1}^d \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} H(x_j - x_i) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \end{aligned}$$

where $H(y) = \frac{1}{2} (I_{\{y>0\}} - I_{\{y<0\}})$ and

$$L^0 u = \sum_{i,j=1}^d \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^d b_i \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} - cu.$$

Suppose that a_{ij} , b_i , $i, j=1, 2, \dots, d$, and c are bounded measurable functions on R^d satisfying that

(i) there exists a constant $\nu > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^d a_{ij}(x) y_i y_j \geq \nu |y|^2 \text{ for all } y \in R^d,$$

(ii) $c \geq 0$,

(iii) $c + \sum_{i=1}^d (b_i)_{x_i} \geq 0$ (in the sense of distributions).

Then the bilinear form a corresponding to L is given by, for $u, v \in H^1(R^d)$,

$$(2.7) \quad a(u, v) = \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_{R^d} \overline{a_{ij}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} dx - \sum_{i=1}^d \int_{R^d} b_i v \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} dx + \int_{R^d} c u v dx,$$

where $\overline{a_{ij}}(x) = a_{ij}(x) + H(x_j - x_i)$, $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, d$. And $(a, H^1(R^d))$ is a regular Dirichlet space (see [4]). In this case

$$\mu^c \langle u, v \rangle(dx) = \sum_{i,j=1}^d (\overline{a_{ij}} + \overline{a_{ji}}) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} dx, \quad \mu^j \langle u, v \rangle(dx) = 0,$$

$$\mu^k \langle u, v \rangle(dx) = c u v dx, \quad \mu^k \langle u, v \rangle(dx) = u v (c + \sum_{i=1}^d (b_i)_{x_i}) dx.$$

REMARK. The process corresponding to the form a defined by (2.7) is special case of the diffusion treated by H. Osada [8]. He has given a specific construction of the diffusion by means of the associated transition density function.

3. Functional rule of $\mu^c \langle \dots \rangle$

Let $(G_\alpha)_{\alpha > \alpha_0}$ (resp. $(\hat{G}_\alpha)_{\alpha < \alpha_0}$) is the *resolvent* of a (resp. \hat{a}) (see [3] or [5]).

LEMMA 3.1 ([3]). *If the resolvent $(G_\alpha)_{\alpha > \alpha_0}$ is positive, then there exists a unique measure σ_α on $X \times X$ such that*

$$\alpha^2 (G_\alpha u, v)_{L^2} = \int_{X \times X} u(x) v(x) \sigma_\alpha(dx, dy)$$

for every Borel functions $u, v \in L^2(X, m)$.

Let

$$a^{(\alpha)}(u, v) = \alpha (u - \alpha G_\alpha u, v)_{L^2}$$

and

$$\hat{a}^{(\alpha)}(u, v) = \alpha (u - \alpha \hat{G}_\alpha u, v)_{L^2}$$

for any $\alpha > \alpha_0$ and $u, v \in L^2(X, m)$.

LEMMA 3.2 ([5]). (i) *Let $u \in L^2(X, m)$. Then $u \in H$ if and only if*
 $\sup_{\alpha > \alpha_0} a^{(\alpha)}(u, v) < \infty$,

(ii) *For any $u, v \in H$, $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} a^{(\alpha)}(u, v) = a(u, v)$.*

LEMMA 3.3. For any Borel functions $u, v \in L^2(X, m)$,

$$(3.1) \quad a^{(\alpha)}(u, v) + \hat{a}^{(\alpha)}(u, v) = \int_{X \times X} (u(x) - u(y))(v(x) - v(y)) \sigma_\alpha(dx, dy) \\ + \int_X u(x)v(x)(1 - \alpha G_\alpha 1)(x)m(dx) \\ + \int_X u(x)v(x)(1 - \alpha \hat{G}_\alpha 1)(x)m(dx).$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.1 and the definitions of $a^{(\alpha)}$ and $\hat{a}^{(\alpha)}$, we can prove that for any $u, v \in L^2(X, m)$

$$a^{(\alpha)}(u, v) = \int_{X \times X} u(x)(v(x) - v(y)) \sigma_\alpha(dx, dy) \\ + \int_X u(x)v(x)(1 - \alpha \hat{G}_\alpha 1)(x)m(dx)$$

and

$$\hat{a}^{(\alpha)}(u, v) = \int_{X \times X} u(x)(v(x) - v(y)) \sigma_\alpha(dx, dy) \\ + \int_X u(x)v(x)(1 - \alpha G_\alpha 1)(x)m(dx)$$

Form these we easily see that (3.1) holds. The proof is complete.

Now we give a functional rule on Dirichlet spaces.

THEOREM 3.4. Let $\Phi \in C^1(R^m)$ and $\Phi(0) = 0$. For any $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m \in H_b$, the composition function $\Phi(u) = \Phi(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m)$ belong to H_b and

$$(3.2) \quad a_\alpha(\Phi(u), \Phi(u)) \leq \frac{m+2}{2} \sum_{i=2}^m \|\Phi_{x_i}\|_{L^\infty(V)}^2 a_\alpha(u_i, u_i),$$

where V is an m -dimensional finite cube containing the range of $u(x) = (u_1(x), u_2(x), \dots, u_m(x))$ ($x \in X$).

Proof. Let $u^{(1)}, u^{(2)}, \dots, u^{(m)} \in H$ and $w \in L^2(X, m)$ satisfy

$$(3.3) \quad |w(x)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^m |u^{(i)}(x)|, \quad |w(x) - w(y)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^m |u^{(i)}(x) - u^{(i)}(y)|.$$

Using w in place of u and v in (3.1), we find that

$$(3.4) \quad a^{(\alpha)}(w, w) \leq \frac{m+2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m a^{(\alpha)}(u^{(i)}, u^{(i)}).$$

Applying the mean value theorem, we can prove that $w = \Phi(u)$ and $u^{(i)} = \|\Phi_{x_i}\|_{L^\infty(V)} u_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, satisfy (3.3). And hence

Probabilistic interpretation of non-symmetric Dirichlet forms

$$a^{(\alpha)}(\Phi(u), \Phi(u)) \leq \frac{m+2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \|\Phi_{x_i}\|_{L^\infty(\nu)}^2 a^{(\alpha)}(u_i, u_i).$$

This inequality and Lemma 3.2 complete the proof.

COROLLARY 3.5. For any $v \in H_b$, we have

$$d\mu^{c_{\langle \Phi(u), v \rangle}} = \sum_{i=1}^m \Phi_{x_i}(u) d\mu^{c_{\langle u_i, v \rangle}}.$$

Proof. This follows from Theorem 3.4 by the same method as Theorem 5.4.1 in [3].

REMARK. Let $m=1$ in Corollary 3.5. Then the image measure $\mu^{c_{\langle u, u \rangle}}(u^{-1}(\cdot))$ of $\mu^{c_{\langle u, u \rangle}}$ induced by $u \in H$ is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure ν on R^1 , i.e., if $\nu(E)=0$, then $\mu^{c_{\langle u, u \rangle}}(u^{-1}(E))=0$. In fact, let $\Phi(t) = \int_0^t I_E(s) d\nu(s)$ and assume that $\nu(E)=0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^{c_{\langle u, u \rangle}}(u^{-1}(E)) &= \int_X I_{u^{-1}(E)}(x) d\mu^{c_{\langle u, u \rangle}}(x) \\ &= \int_X I_E(u(x)) d\mu^{c_{\langle u, u \rangle}}(x) \\ &= \int_X \Phi'(u(x)) d\mu^{c_{\langle u, u \rangle}}(x) \end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 3.5, the last term is equal to $\mu^{c_{\langle \Phi(u), u \rangle}}(X)$ and hence equal to 0 since $\Phi(u(x))=0$ for all $x \in X$.

References

1. S. Carrillo Menendez, *Processus de Markov associé à une forme de Dirichlet non symétrique*, Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete **33**(1975), 139-154.
2. C. Dellacherie and P.A. Meyer, *Probabilités et potential; Theories des martingales*, Paris, Hermann, 1980.
3. M. Fukushima, *Dirichlet forms and Markov processes*, Amsterdam-Oxford-New York, North-Holland, Tokyo, Kodansha, 1980.
4. J.H. Kim, *Stochastic calculus related to non-symmetric Dirichlet forms*, Osaka J. Math. **24**(1987), 331-371.
5. H. Kunita, *Sub-Markov semigroups Banach lattices*, Proc. Int. Conf. Functional Anal. and Related Topics, Tokyo, 1969.
6. Y. Le Jan, *Measures associées à forme de Dirichlet*, Appl., Bull. Soc.

Jai Heui Kim

Math. France (1978), 61-112.

7. S. Nakao, *Stochastic calculus for continuous additive functionals of zero energy*, Z. Wahrsh. Verw. Gebiete **68**(1985), 557-578.
8. H. Osada, *Diffusion processes associated with generalized divergence form*, Preprint.
9. M. Takeda, *Stochastic calculus related to Dirichlet spaces and applications*, Master thesis, Osaka University.

Pusan National University
Pusan 609-735, Korea