

Characterization of Weak Asplund Space in Terms of Positive Sublinear Functional

SEUNG JAE OH

ABSTRACT. For each continuous convex function ϕ defined on an open convex subset A_ϕ of a Banach space X , if we define a positively homogeneous sublinear functional σ_x on X by $\sigma_x(y) = \sup\{f(y) : f \in \partial\phi(x)\}$, where $\partial\phi(x)$ is a subdifferential of ϕ at x , then we get the following characterization theorem of Gateaux differentiability (weak Asplund) space.

THEOREM. For every ϕ above, $D_\phi = \{x \in A : \sup_{\|u\|=1} \sigma_x(u) + \sigma_x(-u) = 0\}$ contains dense (dense G_δ) subset of A_ϕ if and only if X is a Gateaux differentiability (weak Asplund) space.

A Banach space X is said to be a Gateaux differentiability space (GDS) (or weak Asplund space ;WAS) if every continuous convex function defined on an open convex subset A of X is Gateaux differentiable on a dense (dense G_δ) subset of A . This weak Asplund space has been characterized by means of separability of the space X [5], rotundness of the dual space X^* of X , or more generally by means of the space X of weakly compactly generated [1]. It has been tried for times to characterize it by smoothness of the space X [4]. (X is said to be smooth if the norm on X is Gateaux differentiable at every point except 0). But it failed. Recently J. M. Borwein and D. Preiss proved a Banach space X is at least a GDS if it is smooth [2]. This paper characterizes weak Asplund space by means of some particular positively homogeneous sublinear functionals.

DEFINITIONS. A real function ϕ on an open convex subset A of X is said to be *Gateaux differentiable at $x \in A$ in the direction $y \in X$* if

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\phi(x + ty) - \phi(x)}{t} \text{ exists.}$$

Received by the editors on May 10, 1988.

1980 *Mathematics subject classifications*: Primary 46B99.

If ϕ is continuous and convex, then right-hand [left-hand] Gateaux derivative at x in the direction y denoted by $\phi'_+(x)(y)$ [$\phi'_-(x)(y)$] exists and $\phi'_+(x)$ is a continuous sublinear functional on X .

A real function ψ on a linear space X is said to be positively homogeneous if

$$\psi(tx) = t\psi(x) \quad \text{for all } t > 0 \text{ and } x \in X.$$

If ψ is positively homogeneous and convex, it is called *sublinear functional*. A real function ϕ on an open convex subset A of X said to be *Gateaux differentiable at $x \in A$* if

$$\phi'_-(x)(y) = \phi'_+(x)(y) \quad \text{for all } y \in X.$$

The real functional f on X which satisfies

$$\phi'_-(x)(y) \leq f(y) \leq \phi'_+(x)(y) \quad \text{for all } y \in X$$

is called a *subgradient of ϕ at x* and we denote the set of all such subgradients by $\partial\phi(x)$, *the subdifferential of ϕ at x* .

LEMMA 1. Let X be a Banach space. For a continuous convex function ϕ on an open convex subset A of X , define σ_x on X by

$$\sigma_x(y) = \sup\{f(y) : f \in \partial\phi(x)\}, \quad y \in X.$$

Then

(1) for every $x \in X$ and $u \in X$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\phi(x + tu) - \phi(x)}{t} = \sigma_x(u),$$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\phi(x - tu) - \phi(x)}{-t} = -\sigma_x(-u).$$

(2) If $\sigma_x(u) + \sigma_x(-u) = 0$, then for any $y \in X$,

$$\sigma_x(y + u) = \sigma_x(y) + \sigma_x(u), \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_x(y - u) = \sigma_x(y) - \sigma_x(u).$$

PROOF. 1. By the definition of subdifferential of ϕ at x ,

$$f_x(tu) \leq \phi(x + tu) - \phi(x) \leq f_{x+tu}(tu)$$

for all $f_x \in \partial\phi(x)$ and $f_{x+tu} \in \partial\phi(x + tu)$. Hence if $t > 0$,

$$f_x(u) \leq \frac{\phi(x + tu) - \phi(x)}{t} \leq f_{x+tu}(u).$$

By the weak* upper semi-continuity of subgradient mapping $x \mapsto \partial\phi(x)$, f_{x+tu} weak* converges to $f_x \in \partial\phi(x)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ [3, P.132]. Hence

$$\sigma_x(u) \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\phi(x + tu) - \phi(x)}{t} \leq \sigma_x(u), \quad \text{that is,}$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\phi(x + tu) - \phi(x)}{t} = \sigma_x(u).$$

With same argument, we get the second part.

2. By the property of convexity of ϕ , we have

$$-\sigma_x(-u) = \phi'_-(x)(u) \leq \phi'_+(x)(u) = \sigma_x(u).$$

But since $\sigma_x(u) + \sigma_x(-u) = 0$, we have $\phi'_-(x)(u) = \phi'_+(x)(u)$, that is, if $f \in \partial\phi(x)$, then $f(u) = \sigma_x(u) = -\sigma_x(-u)$. Consider $\sigma_x(y)$ for any $y \in X$. Since $\partial\phi(x)$ is a weak* compact convex subset of X^* , there exists a $f \in \partial\phi(x)$ such that $f(y) = \sigma_x(y)$. Now for such f ,

$$f(y + u) = f(y) + f(u) \leq \sigma_x(y + u) \leq \sigma_x(y) + \sigma_x(u).$$

Since for any $f \in \partial\phi(x)$, we have $f(u) = \sigma_x(u)$, and hence

$$\sigma_x(y) + \sigma_x(u) \leq \sigma_x(y + u) \leq \sigma_x(y) + \sigma_x(u).$$

Therefore

$$\sigma_x(y + u) = \sigma_x(y) + \sigma_x(u).$$

Likewise we get the second part.

THEOREM 2. *Let X be a Banach space. For every continuous convex function ϕ defined on some open convex subset A_ϕ of X , if we define σ_x as in Lemma 1, we have the following characterizations.*

$$(1) \text{ For every } \phi, D_\phi = \{x \in A_\phi : \sup_{\|u\|=1} \sigma_x(u) + \sigma_x(-u) = 0\}$$

contains dense (dense G_δ) subset of A_ϕ if and only if X is a GDS(WAS).

(2) *In a GDS, for every ϕ on some open convex subset A_ϕ of X , $\{x \in A : \sigma_x \text{ is Gateaux differentiable at } x\}$ is dense G_δ subset of A_ϕ if and only if X is a WAS.*

PROOF. 1. Suppose X is a GDS(WAS). ϕ is Gateaux differentiable on a dense (dense G_δ) subset D of A_ϕ . Hence for each $x \in D$, $\partial\phi(x)$ is a singleton set [3, p.122], that is, σ_x is a linear functional on X . Therefore $A_\phi \subset D$.

Conversely, for a given ϕ on A_ϕ , suppose D_ϕ contains dense (dense G_δ) subset D of A_ϕ . For each $x \in D$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\phi(x+tu) + \phi(x-tu) - 2\phi(x)}{t} \\ & = \sigma_x(u) + \sigma_x(-u) \leq \sup_{\|u\|=1} \sigma_x(u) + \sigma_x(-u) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence ϕ is Gateaux differentiable on D .

2. A real function $x \mapsto \sigma_x(x)$ is upper semi-continuous. Suppose $\|x_n - x\| \rightarrow 0$, then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{x_n}(x_n) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{x_{n_k}}(x_{n_k}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_{n_k}(x_{n_k})$$

for some $f_{n_k} \in \partial\phi(x_{n_k})$ for the weak* compact convexness of $\partial\phi(x_{n_k})$. Again by using weak* upper semi-continuity of subgradient mapping, $x \mapsto \partial\phi(x)$, we get the weak* convergence of $\{f_{n_k}\}$ to some point $f_x \in \partial\phi(x)$, and because of local boundedness of $\partial\phi(x)$,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_{n_k}(x_{n_k}) = f_x(x) \quad \text{for some } f_x \in \partial\phi(x),$$

which implies

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{x_n}(x_n) \leq \sigma_x(x).$$

$x \mapsto \sigma_x(-x)$ is also upper semi-continuous and so is $x \mapsto \sigma_x(x) + \sigma_x(-x)$. Hence

$$D_n = \{x \in A_\phi : \sigma_x(x) + \sigma_x(-x) < \frac{1}{n}\}$$

is an open set for each n . But since X is GDS, D_n is dense in A_ϕ for each n . Let $D_1 = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n$. By assumption $D_2 = \{x \in A : \sigma_x \text{ is Gateaux differentiable at } x\}$ is dense G_δ set, hence so is $D = D_1 \cap D_2$. For each $x \in D$ and any $u \in X$, $\|u\| = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\phi(x+tu) + \phi(x-tu) - 2\phi(x)}{t} \\ &= \sigma_x(u) + \sigma_x(-u) = \frac{\sigma_x(tu) + \sigma_x(-tu)}{t} \quad (t > 0) \\ &= \frac{\sigma_x(x+tu) + \sigma_x(x-tu) - 2\sigma_x(x)}{t}, \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 1, and right-hand side is Gateaux differentiable. Hence ϕ is Gateaux differentiable on D .

The converse is obvious.

If X is smooth, then X is a GDS [2], hence following corollary is obvious.

COROLLARY 3. *If X is smooth and for every ϕ on some open convex subset A_ϕ of X , $\{x \in A_\phi : \sigma_x \text{ is Gateaux differentiable at } X\}$ is dense G_δ subset of A_ϕ , then X is a WAS.*

REFERENCES

1. E. Asplund, *Fréchet differentiability of convex functions*, Acta Math. **121** (1968), 31-47.
2. J. M. Borwein and D. Preiss, *A smooth variational principle with applications to subdifferentiability and to differentiability of convex functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **303** (1987), 517-527.
3. J. R. Giles, "Convex analysis with application in differentiation of convex functions," 58, Pitman Research Notes in Math., Pitman, 1982.

4. D. G. Larman and R. R. Phelps, *Gateaux differentiability of convex functions on Banach spaces*, J. London Math. Soc. **20** (1979), 115–127.
5. S. Mazur, *Über konvexe mengen in linearen normierten räumen*, Studia Math. **4** (1933), 70–84.

Department of Mathematics
Han Nam University
Taejon, 300-791, Korea