

H-Closed Spaces and *W*-Lindelöf Spaces

JONG-SUH PARK

ABSTRACT. We introduce the concept of a *w*-Lindelöf space which is a more general concept than that of a Lindelöf spaces. We obtain some characterization about *H*-closed sapces and *w*-Lindelöf spaces. Also, we investigate their invariance properties.

1. Introduction

In section 2, we obtain a characterization of *H*-closed spaces using the results in [1] and show that *H*-closedness is invariant under the σ -continuous surjections.

In section 3, we introduce the concept of a *w*-Lindelöf space which is a more general concept than that of a Lindelöf space. We give a counterexample and one characterization of the *w*-Lindelöf property. Finally, we show that the product of a *H*-closed space and a *w*-Lindelöf space is *w*-Lindelöf.

2. *H*-Closed spaces

DEFINITION 2.1 A space *X* is said to be *H*-closed if for each open cover $\{U_i\}$ of *X* there are finitely many i_k such that $X = \bigcup \text{Cl}(U_{i_k})$.

DEFINITION 2.2 Let *X* be a space. A net (x_i) in *X* is said to *w*-accumulate to a point *x* of *X*, denoted by $x_i \overset{w}{\rightsquigarrow} x$, if for any neighborhood *U* of *x* and *i* there is an $i_1 \geq i$ such that $x_{i_1} \in \text{Cl}(U)$. A net (x_i) in *X* is said to *w*-converge to a point *x* of *X*, denoted by $x_i \overset{w}{\rightarrow} x$, if for each neighborhood *U* of *x* there is an i_1 such that $x_i \in \text{Cl}(U)$ for all $i \geq i_1$.

It is easy to show that the following lemma holds.

Received by the editors on April 20, 1988.

1980 *Mathematics subject classifications*: Primary 54B.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let X be a space. If an ultranet (x_i) in X w -accumulates to a point x of X , then (x_i) w -converges to x .*

DEFINITION 2.3 Let X be a space. For a subset A of X the w -closure $\text{Cl}_w(A)$ of A is defined by the set

$$\text{Cl}_w(A) = \{x \in X \mid A \cap \text{Cl}(U) \neq \emptyset \text{ for all neighborhoods } U \text{ of } x\}.$$

It is clear that $A \subset \text{Cl}(A) \subset \text{Cl}_w(A)$.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let X be a space and A a subset of X . Then $x \in \text{Cl}_w(A)$ if and only if there is a net (x_i) in A such that $x_i \xrightarrow{w} x$.*

PROOF. (\Rightarrow) Let (U_i) be the family of neighborhoods of x with the reverse inclusion order. For each i , since $A \cap \text{Cl}(U_i) \neq \emptyset$, there is an $x_i \in A \cap \text{Cl}(U_i)$. Then (x_i) is a net in A and $x_i \xrightarrow{w} x$.

(\Leftarrow) Given any neighborhood U of x , there is an i_1 such that $x_i \in \text{Cl}(U)$ for all $i \geq i_1$. Since $x_{i_1} \in A \cap \text{Cl}(U)$, $A \cap \text{Cl}(U) \neq \emptyset$. Thus $x \in \text{Cl}_w(A)$.

DEFINITION 2.4 Let X be a space. A subset A of X is said to be w -closed if $\text{Cl}_w(A) = A$.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let $\{X_k\}$ be a family of spaces. A net $((x_i^k))$ in $\prod X_k$ w -converges to a point (x^k) of $\prod X_k$ if and only if the net (x_i^k) in X_k w -converges to the point x^k of X_k for all k .*

PROOF. (\Rightarrow) Let U be a neighborhood of x^k . Since $p_k^{-1}(U)$ is a neighborhood of (x^k) , there is an i_1 such that $(x_i^k) \in \text{Cl}(p_k^{-1}(U)) = p_k^{-1}(\text{Cl}(U))$ for all $i \geq i_1$. Thus $x_i^k \in \text{Cl}(U)$ for all $i \geq i_1$.

(\Leftarrow) Let $\bigcap_{j=1}^n p_{k_j}^{-1}(U_j)$ be a basic neighborhood of (x^k) . There is an i_1 such that $x_i^k \in \text{Cl}(U_j)$ for all $i \geq i_1$. Thus we have

$$(x_i^k) \in \bigcap_{j=1}^n p_{k_j}^{-1}(\text{Cl}(U_j)) = \text{Cl}\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^n p_{k_j}^{-1}(U_j)\right)$$

for all $i \geq i_1$.

DEFINITION 2.5 A space X is said to be *completely Hausdorff* if for any two distinct points x and y of X there are neighborhoods U of x and V of y such that $\text{Cl}(U) \cap \text{Cl}(V) = \emptyset$.

THEOREM 2.4. *Let X be a space. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) X is completely Hausdorff.
- (2) Every net in X w -converges to at most one point of X .
- (3) The diagonal $\Delta = \{(x, x) \mid x \in X\}$ is w -closed in $X \times X$.

PROOF. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Suppose that a net (x_i) in X w -converges to two distinct points x and y of X . Since X is completely Hausdorff there are neighborhoods U of x and V of y such that $\text{Cl}(U) \cap \text{Cl}(V) = \emptyset$. Since $x_i \xrightarrow{w} x$ and $x_i \xrightarrow{w} y$, there is an i_1 such that $x_{i_1} \in \text{Cl}(U)$ and $x_{i_1} \in \text{Cl}(V)$. Thus $\text{Cl}(U) \cap \text{Cl}(V) \neq \emptyset$, this is a contradiction.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Let $(x, y) \in \text{Cl}_w(\Delta)$. There is a net (x_i) in X such that $(x_i, x_i) \xrightarrow{w} (x, y)$. Since $x_i \xrightarrow{w} x$ and $x_i \xrightarrow{w} y$, $x = y$. Thus $(x, y) \in \Delta$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Let x and y be two distinct points of X . Since $(x, y) \notin \Delta = \text{Cl}_w(\Delta)$, there is a neighborhood W of (x, y) such that $\Delta \cap \text{Cl}(W) = \emptyset$. Also, there are neighborhoods U of x and V of y such that $U \times V \subset W$. It is clear that $\text{Cl}(U) \cap \text{Cl}(V) = \emptyset$.

DEFINITION 2.6 Let X be a space. A family $\{A_i\}$ of subsets of X is said to satisfy the *s-finite intersection condition* if for any finitely many i_k , $\bigcap \text{Int}(A_{i_k}) \neq \emptyset$.

The following is a characterization of H -closed spaces [1].

THEOREM 2.5. *A space X is H -closed if and only if for each family $\{A_i\}$ of closed subsets of X satisfying the s-finite intersection condition, $\bigcap A_i \neq \emptyset$.*

LEMMA 2.6. *Let X be a H -closed space. Then for each net (x_i) in X there is an $x \in X$ such that $x_i \overset{w}{\propto} x$.*

PROOF. Suppose that $x_i \not\overset{w}{\propto} x$ for all $x \in X$. For each $x \in X$ there is a neighborhood U_x of x and an i_x such that $x_i \notin \text{Cl}(U_x)$ for all $i \geq i_x$. Then $\{U_x\}$ is an open cover of X . Since X is H -closed, there are finitely many x_k such that $X = \bigcup \text{Cl}(U_{x_k})$. There is an i_1 such that $i_1 \geq i_{x_k}$. Then $x_{i_1} \notin \bigcup \text{Cl}(U_{x_k}) = X$, this is a contradiction. Thus there is an $x \in X$ such that $x_i \overset{w}{\propto} x$.

Our characterization of H -closed spaces is the following.

THEOREM 2.7. *A space X is H -closed if and only if every net in X has a w -convergent subnet.*

PROOF. (\Rightarrow) Let (x_i) be a net in X . Since every net has an ultrasubnet, (x_i) has an ultrasubnet (x_{i_k}) . By lemma 2.6, there is an $x \in X$ such that $x_{i_k} \overset{w}{\propto} x$. Therefore we have $x_{i_k} \xrightarrow{w} x$ by lemma 2.1.

(\Leftarrow) Given any family \mathcal{A} of closed subsets of X satisfying the s -finite intersection condition, set $\{A_i\}$ be the family of finite intersections of members of \mathcal{A} . Clearly, $\mathcal{A} \subset \{A_i\}$. Define $i_1 \leq i_2$ by $A_{i_2} \subset A_{i_1}$. For each i , since $\text{Int}(A_i) \neq \emptyset$, there is an $x_i \in \text{Int}(A_i)$. Then (x_i) is a net in X . Since (x_i) has a w -convergent subnet, we may assume that $x_i \xrightarrow{w} x \in X$. Suppose that $\bigcap A_i = \emptyset$. Since $x \notin \bigcap A_i$, there is an i_1 such that $x \notin A_{i_1}$. Since $X - A_{i_1}$ is a neighborhood of x and $x_i \xrightarrow{w} x$, there is an $i_2 \geq i_1$ such that $x_{i_2} \in \text{Cl}(X - A_{i_1})$. But

$$x_{i_2} \in \text{Int}(A_{i_2}) \subset \text{Int}(A_{i_1}) = X - \text{Cl}(X - A_{i_1})$$

and so we have a contradiction. Thus $\bigcap A_i \neq \emptyset$. Since $\bigcap A_i \subset \bigcap \mathcal{A}$, $\bigcap \mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset$. By theorem 2.5, X is H -closed.

THEOREM 2.8. *Let X be a H -closed space. If A is a w -closed subset of X , then A is H -closed.*

PROOF. Let (x_i) be a net in A . Then (x_i) is a net in X . Since X is H -closed, (x_i) has a w -convergent subnet. Let $x_i \xrightarrow{w} x \in X$. Since $x \in \text{Cl}_w(A) = A$, A is H -closed.

It is easy to show that the following theorem holds.

THEOREM 2.9. *Let X be completely Hausdorff space. Then every H -closed subset of X is w -closed.*

DEFINITION 2.7 Let X and Y be spaces. A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be σ -continuous at a point x of X if for each neighborhood U of $f(x)$ there is a neighborhood V of x such that $f(\text{Cl}(V)) \subset \text{Cl}(U)$. f is said to be σ -continuous if f is σ -continuous at all $x \in X$.

Clearly, continuous functions are σ -continuous. σ -continuity is characterized by the w -convergence property in the following.

THEOREM 2.10. *Let X and Y be spaces. A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is σ -continuous at $x \in X$ if and only if for any net (x_i) in X which w -converges to x the net $(f(x_i))$ in Y w -converges to $f(x)$.*

PROOF. (\Rightarrow) Given any neighborhood U of $f(x)$, there is a neighborhood V of x such that $f(\text{Cl}(V)) \subset \text{Cl}(U)$. Also, there is an i_1 such that $x_i \in \text{Cl}(V)$ for all $i \geq i_1$. Since $f(x_i) \in f(\text{Cl}(V)) \subset \text{Cl}(U)$ for all $i \geq i_1$, we have $f(x_i) \xrightarrow{w} f(x)$.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose that f is not σ -continuous at x . Then there is a neighborhood U of $f(x)$ such that $f(\text{Cl}(V)) \not\subset \text{Cl}(U)$ for all neighborhoods V of x . Let (V_i) be the family of neighborhoods of x with the reverse inclusion order. For each i , since $f(\text{Cl}(V_i)) \not\subset \text{Cl}(U)$, there is an $x_i \in \text{Cl}(V_i)$ such that $f(x_i) \notin \text{Cl}(U)$. Then the net (x_i) in X w -converges to x but the net $(f(x_i))$ in Y does not w -converge to $f(x)$. Thus we have a contradiction. Hence f is σ -continuous at x .

DEFINITION 2.8 Let X and Y be spaces. A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to have *w-closed graph* if its graph $G(f) = \{(x, f(x)) \mid x \in X\}$ is w -closed subset of $X \times Y$.

THEOREM 2.11. *Let X and Y be spaces. A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ has a w -closed graph if and only if for any net (x_i) in X , $x_i \xrightarrow{w} x \in X$ and $f(x_i) \xrightarrow{w} y \in Y$ implies $y = f(x)$.*

PROOF. (\Rightarrow) Since $((x_i, f(x_i)))$ is a net in $G(f)$ and $(x_i, f(x_i)) \xrightarrow{w} (x, y)$, $(x, y) \in \text{Cl}_w(G(f)) = G(f)$. Thus $y = f(x)$.

(\Leftarrow) Let $(x, y) \in \text{Cl}_w(G(f))$. There is a net (x_i) in X such that $(x_i, f(x_i)) \xrightarrow{w} (x, y)$. Since $x_i \xrightarrow{w} x$ and $f(x_i) \xrightarrow{w} y$, $y = f(x)$. Thus $(x, y) \in G(f)$. Hence $G(f)$ is w -closed.

THEOREM 2.12. *Let Y be a completely Hausdorff space. Then every σ -continuous function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ has a w -closed graph.*

PROOF. Let $(x, y) \in \text{Cl}_w(G(f))$. There is a net (x_i) in X such that $(x_i, f(x_i)) \xrightarrow{w} (x, y)$. Then $x_i \xrightarrow{w} x$ and $f(x_i) \xrightarrow{w} y$. Since f is σ -continuous at x , $f(x_i) \xrightarrow{w} f(x)$. Since Y is completely Hausdorff, $y = f(x)$. This implies $(x, y) \in G(f)$. Hence $G(f)$ is w -closed.

The converse of the above theorem does not hold.

THEOREM 2.13. *Let Y be a H -closed space. If a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ has a w -closed graph, then f is σ -continuous.*

PROOF. Let (x_i) be a net in X and $x_i \xrightarrow{w} x \in X$. Since Y is H -closed, the net $(f(x_i))$ in Y has a w -convergent subnet by theorem 2.7. Let $f(x_i) \xrightarrow{w} y \in Y$. Since $(x_i, f(x_i)) \xrightarrow{w} (x, y)$, $(x, y) \in \text{Cl}_w(G(f)) = G(f)$. Thus $y = f(x)$ and so $f(x_i) \xrightarrow{w} f(x)$. This means that f is σ -continuous at x .

The σ -continuous image of a H -closed space is also H -closed.

THEOREM 2.14. *Let X be a H -closed space and Y a space. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a σ -continuous surjection, then Y is H -closed.*

PROOF. Let (y_i) be a net in Y . For each i , there is an $x_i \in X$ such that $y_i = f(x_i)$. Since X is H -closed, there is a subnet (x_{i_k}) of (x_i) and an $x \in X$ such that $x_{i_k} \xrightarrow{w} x$. Since f is σ -continuous at x , $f(x_{i_k}) \xrightarrow{w} f(x)$. Thus Y is H -closed.

THEOREM 2.15. *Let $\{X_k\}$ be a family of spaces. Then $\prod X_k$ is H -closed if and only if X_k is H -closed for all k .*

PROOF. (\Rightarrow) By theorem 2.14, X_k is H -closed for all k .

(\Leftarrow) Let (x_i) be a net in $\prod X_k$. For each k , since $(p_k(x_i))$ is a net in X_k and X_k is H -closed, $(p_k(x_i))$ has a w -convergent subnet. We may assume that $p_k(x_i) \xrightarrow{w} x_k \in X_k$. Let $x = (x_k) \in \prod X_k$. Then $x_i \xrightarrow{w} x$. Thus $\prod X_k$ is H -closed.

3. W -Lindelöf spaces

DEFINITION 3.1 A space X is said to be w -Lindelöf if for each open cover $\{U_i\}$ of X there are countably many i_k such that $X = \bigcup \text{Cl}(U_{i_k})$.

Clearly the Lindelöf property implies the w -Lindelöf property. But the following example shows that the converse need not hold.

EXAMPLE. Let $X = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid y \geq 0\}$. For any $(x, y) \in X$ and $r > 0$, let

$$N_r(x, y) = \begin{cases} B_r(x, y) & \text{if } y > 0 \text{ and } r \leq y \\ B_r(x, r) \cup \{(x, 0)\} \cup B_r(0, r) & \text{if } y = 0. \end{cases}$$

We take $\{N_r(x, y)\}$ as a basis for the topology. We shall show that X is not Lindelöf. Let

$$\mathcal{U} = \{N_1(x, y) \mid x \in R, y \geq 1\} \cup \{N_1(x, 0) \mid x \in R\}.$$

Then \mathcal{U} is an open cover of X . Note that $(z, 0) \notin N_1(x, y)$ if $y \geq 1$ and $(z, 0) \notin N_1(x, 0)$ if $x \neq z$. Thus \mathcal{U} has no countable subcover. This implies that X is not Lindelöf.

Let us show that X is w -Lindelöf. Given any open cover \mathcal{U} of X , let

$$\beta_1 = \{B \in \beta \mid \text{there is an } U \in \mathcal{U} \text{ such that } B \subset U\}$$

where $\beta = \{N_r(x, y) \mid x, y \text{ and } r \text{ are rational numbers, } 0 < r \leq y\}$. Clearly, β_1 is countable and so we let $\beta_1 = \{B_n\}$. For each n , there is an $U_n \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $B_n \subset U_n$. Also, there exists a $V \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $(0, 0) \in V$. We shall show that $X = \bigcup \text{Cl}(U_n) \cup \text{Cl}(V)$. Let $(x, y) \in X$.

Case 1 : $y > 0$. There is an $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $(x, y) \in U$. Also, there is a positive rational number r such that $N_{2r}(x, y) \subset U$. We have $(a, b) \in N_r(x, y)$ for some rational numbers a and b . Then

$$(x, y) \in N_r(a, b) \subset N_{2r}(x, y) \subset U.$$

Thus $N_r(a, b) \in \beta_1$ and so $N_r(a, b) = B_{n_1}$ for some n_1 . Therefore

$$(x, y) \in B_{n_1} \subset U_{n_1} \subset \text{Cl}(U_{n_1}).$$

Case 2 : $y = 0$. For any neighborhood W of $(x, 0)$ there is an $r_1 > 0$ such that $N_{r_1}(x, 0) \subset W$. Since V is a neighborhood of $(0, 0)$, there is an $r_2 > 0$ such that $N_{r_2}(0, 0) \subset V$. It is clear that $W \cap V \neq \emptyset$. Thus $(x, 0) \in \text{Cl}(V)$. Hence X is w -Lindelöf.

In regular spaces, w -Lindelöfness implies Lindelöf.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let X be a regular space. If X is w -Lindelöf, then X is Lindelöf.*

PROOF. Let $\{U_i\}$ be an open cover of X . For each $x \in X$, there is an i_x such that $x \in U_{i_x}$. Since X is regular, there is a neighborhood V_x of x such that $\text{Cl}(V_x) \subset U_{i_x}$. Then $\{V_x\}$ is an open cover of X . Since X is w -Lindelöf, there are countably many x_k such that $X = \bigcup \text{Cl}(V_{x_k}) \subset \bigcup U_{i_k}$. This proves that X is Lindelöf.

Now we obtain a characterization of w -Lindelöf spaces.

THEOREM 3.2. *A space X is w -Lindelöf if and only if for each family $\{A_i\}$ of closed subsets of X satisfying $\bigcap \text{Int}(A_{i_k}) \neq \emptyset$ for all countably many i_k , $\bigcap A_i \neq \emptyset$.*

PROOF. (\Rightarrow) Let $\bigcap A_i = \emptyset$. Since $X = X - \emptyset = X - \bigcap A_i = \bigcup (X - A_i)$, $\{X - A_i\}$ is an open cover of X . Since X is w -Lindelöf, there are countably many i_k such that $X = \bigcup \text{Cl}(X - A_{i_k})$. Then

$$\emptyset = X - X = X - \bigcup \text{Cl}(X - A_{i_k}) = \bigcap (X - \text{Cl}(X - A_{i_k})) = \bigcap \text{Int}(A_{i_k}).$$

This is a contradiction. Thus $\bigcap A_i \neq \emptyset$.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose that X is not w -Lindelöf. There is an open cover $\{U_i\}$ of X such that $\bigcup \text{Cl}(U_{i_k}) \neq X$ for all countably many i_k . Since $\{X - U_i\}$ is a family of closed subsets of X and

$$\bigcap \text{Int}(X - U_{i_k}) = \bigcap (X - \text{Cl}(U_{i_k})) = X - \bigcup \text{Cl}(U_{i_k}) \neq \emptyset$$

for all countably many i_k , $\bigcap (X - U_i) \neq \emptyset$. Then $\bigcup U_i = X - \bigcap (X - U_i) \neq X$. This is a contradiction. Thus X is w -Lindelöf.

DEFINITION 3.2 Let X be a space. A filter \mathcal{A} in X is said to w -accumulate to a point x of X , denoted by $\mathcal{A} \overset{w}{\propto} x$, if $A \cap \text{Cl}(U) \neq \emptyset$ for all neighborhoods U of x and $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

THEOREM 3.3. *Let X be a space. The following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) X is w -Lindelöf.
- (2) For any open filterbase $\{U_i\}$ in X , if $\bigcap U_{i_k} \neq \emptyset$ for all countably many i_k , then there is a point x of X such that $\{U_i\}$ w -accumulates to x .

PROOF. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Suppose that $\{U_i\} \not\overset{w}{\propto} x$ for all $x \in X$. For each $x \in X$, there is a neighborhood V_x of x and i_x such that $\text{Cl}(V_x) \cap U_{i_x} = \emptyset$. Clearly, $U_{i_x} \subset X - \text{Cl}(V_{x_k})$ and $\{V_x\}$ is an open cover of X . Since X is w -Lindelöf, there are countably many x_k such that $X = \bigcup \text{Cl}(V_{x_k})$. From the observation of

$$\bigcap U_{i_{x_k}} \subset \bigcap (X - \text{Cl}(V_{x_k})) = X - \bigcup \text{Cl}(V_{x_k}) = X - X = \emptyset,$$

we have $\bigcap U_{i_k} = \emptyset$. This is a contradiction. This proves (2).

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Suppose that X is not w -Lindelöf. Then there is an open cover $\{U_i\}$ of X such that $\bigcup \text{Cl}(U_{i_k}) \neq X$ for all countably many i_k . Let \mathcal{U} be the family of open subsets of X containing $\bigcap (X - \text{Cl}(U_{i_k}))$ for some countably many i_k . Since $\bigcap (X - \text{Cl}(U_{i_k})) = X - \bigcup \text{Cl}(U_{i_k}) \neq \emptyset$ for all countably many i_k , \mathcal{U} is an open filterbase in X satisfying the countable intersection condition. By (1), there is an $x \in X$ such that $\mathcal{U} \overset{w}{\ni} x$. Then $x \in U_{i_1}$ for some i_1 . Since $X - \text{Cl}(U_{i_1}) \in \mathcal{U}$, $(X - \text{Cl}(U_{i_k})) \cap \text{Cl}(U_{i_1}) \neq \emptyset$. This is a contradiction. Thus X is w -Lindelöf.

For the invariance property of w -Lindelöf spaces, we have

THEOREM 3.4. *Let X be a w -Lindelöf space and Y a space. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous surjection, then Y is w -Lindelöf.*

PROOF. Let $\{U_i\}$ be an open cover of Y . Then $\{f^{-1}(U_i)\}$ is an open cover of X . Since X is w -Lindelöf, there are countably many i_k such that $X = \bigcup \text{Cl}(f^{-1}(U_{i_k}))$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= f(X) = f\left(\bigcup \text{Cl}(f^{-1}(U_{i_k}))\right) \\ &= \bigcup f(\text{Cl}(f^{-1}(U_{i_k}))) \subset \bigcup \text{Cl}(ff^{-1}(U_{i_k})) = \bigcup \text{Cl}(U_{i_k}). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, Y is w -Lindelöf.

The product of two w -Lindelöf spaces need not be w -Lindelöf. However, we improve this by imposing H -closedness.

THEOREM 3.5. *Let X be a w -Lindelöf space and Y a H -closed space. Then the product space $X \times Y$ is w -Lindelöf.*

PROOF. Let $\{W_i\}$ be an open cover of $X \times Y$. For each $(x, y) \in X \times Y$, there is an $i(x, y)$ such that $(x, y) \in W_{i(x, y)}$. Also, there are neighborhoods $U_{(x, y)}$ of x and $V_{(x, y)}$ of y such that $U_{(x, y)} \times V_{(x, y)} \subset W_{i(x, y)}$. Clearly, $\{V_{(x, y)} \mid y \in Y\}$ is an open cover of Y . Since Y is H -closed, there are finitely many $y_1, \dots, y_{n(x)}$ such that $Y = \bigcup_{j=1}^{n(x)} \text{Cl}(V_{(x, y_j)})$. Let $U_x = \bigcap_{j=1}^{n(x)} U_{(x, y_j)}$. Then U_x is a neighborhood of x and

$$U_x \times V_{(x, y_j)} \subset U_{(x, y_j)} \times V_{(x, y_j)} \subset W_{i(x, y_j)}$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, n(x)$. Since X is w -Lindelöf, for an open cover $\{U_x \mid x \in X\}$, there are countably many x_1, x_2, \dots such that $X = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{Cl}(U_{x_k})$. Then we have

$$X \times Y = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{j=1}^{n(x_k)} \text{Cl}(U_{x_k}) \times \text{Cl}(V_{(x_k, y_j)}) \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{j=1}^{n(x_k)} \text{Cl}(W_{i(x_k, y_j)}).$$

Thus $X \times Y$ is w -Lindelöf.

Finally, w -Lindelöfness and σ -compactness are equivalent in locally compact spaces.

THEOREM 3.6. *Let X be a locally compact space. Then X is w -Lindelöf if and only if X is σ -compact.*

PROOF. (\Rightarrow) For each $x \in X$ there is a neighborhood U_x of x such that $\text{Cl}(U_x)$ is compact. $\{U_x\}$ is an open cover of X . Since X is w -Lindelöf, there are countably many x_k such that $X = \bigcup \text{Cl}(U_{x_k})$. Thus X is σ -compact.

(\Leftarrow) It is clear.

REFERENCES

1. L. L. Herrington and P. E. Long, *Characterizations of H -closed spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **48** (1975), 469–475.
2. T. Husain, "Topology and maps," Plenum Press, New York and London, 1977.
3. L. A. Steen and J. A. Seebach, Jr., "Counterexamples in topology," Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc., 1970.

Department of Mathematics
Chungnam National University
Taejon, 302-764, Korea