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#### Abstract

In this paper, we calculate the precise estimation of range of a Gateaux differentiable operator, and apply to the existence of a periodic solution of the second order nonlinear differential equation


$$
z^{\prime \prime}+A z^{\prime}+G(z)=e(t)=e(t+2 \pi) .
$$

## 1. Introduction

Let $X$ and $Y$ be two Banach spaces and $T: X \rightarrow Y$ a nonlinear mapping. There are many approaches to studying solvability of the equation $T x=y$ for $y \in Y$, a considerable number of which involve local or infinitesimal assumptions on the mapping $T$, by showing that $T$ is surjective. In particular, in [2], it was shown that if $T$ is a Gateaux differentiable mapping having closed graph such that for each $x$ in $X$,

$$
d T_{x}(\bar{B}(0: 1)) \supseteq \bar{B}(0: c(\|x\|))
$$

where $c:[0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ is a continuous function, then for any $K>0($ possibly $K=\infty), T(B(0: K))$ contains $B\left(T(0): \int_{0}^{K} c(s) d s\right)$. This fact implies that $T$ is an open mapping, therefore for any $y$ in $B\left(T(0): \int_{0}^{K} c(s) d s\right) \subseteq Y, T x=y$ has a solution $x$ in $B(0: K) \subseteq X$.

In the present paper we will give an application of the above theorem. Consider the second order nonlinear differentiable equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\prime \prime}+A z^{\prime}+G(z)=e(t)=e(t+2 \pi) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$
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where $e \in C\left(R, R^{n}\right), G: R^{n} \rightarrow R^{n}$ is a function such that its partial derivatives are exist and its differential is symmetric but its partial derivatives need not be continuous and $A$ is a constant symmetric matrix. In [6] it was shown that if there exist an integer $N>0$ and positive constants $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N^{2}<r_{1} \leq r_{2}<(N+1)^{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for any $u \in R^{n}$,

$$
r_{1} I \leq D^{2} \bar{G}(u) \leq r_{2} I
$$

where $G \in C^{2}\left(R^{n}, R\right)$, with $\bar{G}=\nabla G, D^{2} \bar{G}(u)$ denotes the Hessian of $\bar{G}$ at $u \in R^{n}$ and $I$ is the $n \times n$ identity matrix, then the differential equation

$$
z^{\prime \prime}+A z^{\prime}+\operatorname{grad} \bar{G}(z)=e(t)=e(t+2 \pi)
$$

has a unique $2 \pi$-periodic solution. Also the uniqueness and existence of a $2 \pi$-periodic solution for the case $A=0$ has been proved in [1] and [5]. In fact to prove the theorem in [6], the theorem 1.22 of [7] and theorem 5.4.4 of [3] are necessary, but our proof will be based on the theorem 3.1 of [2].

Now we give some definitions. Let $X$ and $Y$ be Banach spaces and $T$ mapping from an open subset $D$ of $X$ to $Y$. We say that $T$ is Gateaux differentiable if, for each $x \in D$, there is a linear function $d T_{x}: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{T(x+t y)-T(x)}{t}=d T_{x}(y), \quad y \in X
$$

Note that $x+t y \in D$ for small $t$ since $D$ is open. A Gateaux differentiable mapping, even from $R^{2}$ to $R^{1}$, need not be continuous. Also note that

$$
d T_{x}(y)=\left.\frac{d}{d t} T(x+t y)\right|_{t=0},
$$

thus $d T_{x}(y)$ may be considered as a directional derivative.
We say that a mapping $T: D \rightarrow Y$ has closed graph if $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subseteq D$ with $x_{n} \rightarrow x \in D$ and $T x_{n} \rightarrow y$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that $T x=y$. We denote by $B(x: r)$ the set $\{y:\|y-x\|<r\}$, and $\bar{B}(x: r)$ its closure.

## 2. Appication

We will start this section with some preliminary results.
Proposition 1. Let $E$ and $F$ be two Banach spaces and $T: E \rightarrow$ $F$ a Gateaux differentiable mapping with closed graph. If

$$
d T_{x}(\bar{B}(0: 1)) \supseteq \bar{B}(0: c), \quad x \in E,
$$

where $c$ is a positive constant, then $T$ is onto.
Proof: It is clear from the theorem 3.1 of [2].
Note that the linearity of $d T_{x}$ is not necessary in the proof of Proposition 1. Using Proposition 1, we will prove the next proposition which will be needed in the proof of our theorem.

Proposition 2. Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space and $X$ and $Y$ two closed subspace of $H$ such that $H=X \oplus Y$. Let $T: H \rightarrow H$ be a Gateaux differentiable mapping with closed graph, and assume there exist two positive constants $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ such that
(3) $\quad\left\langle d T_{u}(x), x\right\rangle \leq-m_{1}\|x\|^{2}, \quad \forall u \in H, \quad \forall x \in X$,

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\left\langle d T_{u}(y), y\right\rangle \geq m_{2}\|y\|^{2}, & \forall u \in H, & \forall y \in Y \\
\left\langle d T_{u}(x), y\right\rangle=\left\langle x, d T_{u}(y)\right\rangle, & \forall u \in H, & \forall x \in X, \quad \forall y \in Y \tag{5}
\end{array}
$$

Then under these condition we have $T$ is onto.
Proof. Let $u \in H$ and $v \in H, v=x+y, x \in X, y \in Y$. By linearity of $d T_{u}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle d T_{u}(v)\right. & y-x\rangle \\
& =\left\langle d T_{u}(x), y\right\rangle-\left\langle d T_{u}(x), x\right\rangle+\left\langle d T_{u}(y), y\right\rangle-\left\langle d T_{u}(y), x\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

From (3), (4), and (5) we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle d T_{u}(v), y-x\right\rangle \geq m_{1}\|x\|^{2}+m_{2}\|y\|^{2} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand it is clear that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x \pm y\|^{2} \leq 2\left[\|x\|^{2}+\|y\|^{2}\right] \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, first applying the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality to the left hand side of (6), taking the square of both sides of the resulting inequality, and then applying (7), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 c^{2}\left[\|x\|^{2}+\|y\|^{2}\right] \leq\left\|d T_{u}(v)\right\|^{2} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c=1 / 2 \min \left\{m_{1}, m_{2}\right\}$. Applying again (7) to the left hand side of (8) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
c\|v\| \leq\left\|d T_{u}(v)\right\| . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following a straightforward argument it also follows from (9) that $d T_{u}(H)$ is a closed subspace of $H$. We will prove next that for each $u \in H, d T_{u}(H)=H$. To do this let us assume there exists a $z$ in $\left[d T_{u}(H)\right]^{\perp}, z \neq 0$. Then $\left\langle z, d T_{u}(v)\right\rangle=0, \forall v \in H$. We have that $z$ can be decomposed as $z=h+k$, where $h \in X$ and $k \in Y$. Take $v=k-h$, then by linearity of $d T_{u}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left\langle z, d T_{u}(v)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle h, d T_{u}(k)\right\rangle-\left\langle h, d T_{u}(h)\right\rangle+\left\langle k, d T_{u}(k)\right\rangle-\left\langle k, d T_{u}(h)\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

From (3), (4) and (5) it follows that

$$
0=\left\langle z, d T_{u}(v)\right\rangle \geq m_{1}\|h\|^{2}+m_{2}\|k\|^{2}
$$

which is a contradiction. Thus $\left[d T_{u}(H)\right]^{\perp}=0$ and $d T_{u}$ is onto $H$ for each $u \in H$. Finally we claim that $d T_{u}(\bar{B}(0: 1)) \supseteq \bar{B}(0: c), u \in H$, $c=\frac{1}{2} \min \left\{m_{1}, m_{2}\right\}>0$. Using (9) and the surjectivity of $d T_{u}, u \in H$, it is trivial. Therefore by proposition $1, T$ is onto and we complete the proof.

Note that for each $u \in H, d T_{u}: H \rightarrow H$ is one to one mapping. Now at this point, we are ready to prove our theorem. Let (, ) and | | denote the euclidean inner product and norm in $R^{n}$, respectively. Using Proposition 2 we can prove the followings.

Theorem. Let $G: R^{n} \rightarrow R^{n}$ be a function such that its partial derivatives are exist and its differential is symmetric but its partial
derivatives need not be continuous. If there exist two positive constants $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ satisfying the condition (2) such that for all $u \in R^{n}$, $v \in R^{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{1}|v|^{2} \leq\left(d G_{u}(v), v\right) \leq r_{2}|v|^{2} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there exists a $2 \pi$-periodic solution of (1).
Before proving, we give a function $G: R \rightarrow R$ satisfying the conditions in the Theorem. Define a function $G: R \rightarrow R$ by

$$
G(x)= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } x=0 \\ x(x \sin 1 / x+M), & \text { if }|x| \leq a \\ a(a \sin 1 / a+M), & \text { if }|x|>a\end{cases}
$$

where $M$ is any given real number and $a$ is the zero of the equation $2 a \sin 1 / a-\cos a=0$ satisfying $0<a<1$. Then the function $G: R \rightarrow$ $R$ is differentiable but $G^{\prime}(x)$ is not continuous at 0 and by choosing suitable $M>0$, it can be proved that the function $G$ satisfy the conditions (2) and (10).

Proof. Let us say $v \in P_{n}$ if (a) $v: R \rightarrow R^{n}$ is $2 \pi$-periodic and absolutely continuous, (b) $\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|v^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t<\infty$. It is known that $P_{n}$ is a real Hilbert space for the following inner product

$$
\langle u, v\rangle=\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[\left(u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)+(u, v)\right] d t
$$

Let us define now two subspaces of $P_{n}$ as follows, $X$ consists of the $x \in P_{n}$ such that $x(t)=\left(a_{0} / 2\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{N}\left(a_{k} \cos k t+b_{k} \sin k t\right)$ and $Y$ consists of the $y \in P_{n}$ such that $y(t)=\sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty}\left(a_{k} \cos k t+b_{k} \sin k t\right)$, where $a_{k}, b_{k} \in R^{n}$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(k^{2}+1\right)\left(\left|a_{k}\right|^{2}+\left|b_{k}\right|^{2}\right)<\infty$. Then we have that $X$ and $Y$ are two closed subspaces of $P_{n}$ such that $P_{n}=X \oplus Y$.

Next using the Riesz representation theorem let us define a mapping $T: P_{n} \rightarrow P_{n}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle T(u), v\rangle=\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[\left(u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)-\left(A u^{\prime}, v\right)-(G(u), v)\right] d t \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $v \in P_{n}$. We observe that $T$ is defined implicity. From (11) and from the definition of $G$ it can be proved that $T$ is Gateaux differentiable having closed graph and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle d T_{u}(w), v\right\rangle=\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[\left(w^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)-\left(A w^{\prime}, v\right)-\left(d G_{u}(w), v\right)\right] d t \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $w, v, u \in P_{n}$. We note that in general $d T_{u}, u \in P_{n}$, is not a self-adjoint operator. Again using the Riesz representation theorem let $d$ be the unique element in $P_{n}$ such that

$$
\langle d, v\rangle=-\int_{0}^{2 \pi}(e(t), v(t)) d t
$$

for all $v \in P_{n}$. It can be proved that $u$ is a $2 \pi$-periodic solution of (1) if and only if $u$ satisfies the operator equation

$$
T(u)=d
$$

We will next show that $T$ satisfies the conditions of Proposition 2. This in turn will imply that (1) has a $2 \pi$-periodic solution.

Let $x \in X, y \in Y$ and let $u$ be any element in $P_{n}$. Using symmetricity of $d G$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle d T_{u}(x), y\right\rangle- & \left\langle x, d T_{u}(y)\right\rangle \\
& =-\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(A x^{\prime}(t), y(t)\right) d t+\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(x(t), A y^{\prime}(t)\right) d t=0
\end{aligned}
$$

since $A x^{\prime}$ is orthogonal to $y$ and $x$ is orthogonal to $A y^{\prime}$ in $L^{2}[0,2 \pi]$. Thus (5) of Proposition 2 is satisfied. Next let us note that for $v \in P_{n}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(A v^{\prime}, v\right) d t=\left.\frac{1}{2}(A v(t), v(t))\right|_{0} ^{2 \pi}=0 . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (12) and (13) and for any $u \in P_{n}$, any $x \in X$ and any $y \in Y$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle d T_{u}(x), x\right\rangle=\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[\left(x^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)-\left(d G_{u}(x), x\right)\right] d t \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle d T_{u}(y), y\right\rangle=\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[\left(y^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)-\left(d G_{u}(y), y\right)\right] d t \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also have that for $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$ the following inequalities are true

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t \leq N^{2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}|x(t)|^{2} d t  \tag{16}\\
& \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t \leq(N+1)^{2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}|y(t)|^{2} d t \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

From (2), (10), (14), (15), (16) and (17) it is possible to prove the existence of two positive constants $m_{1}, m_{2}$, such that (3) and (4) of Proposition 2 are satisfied. Hence we complete the proof.

Finally we remark the followings. Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space and $f: H \rightarrow R$ of class $C^{2}$. We denote by $D^{2} f$ the Hessian of $f$. It is known that if there exists a constant $m>0$ such that for any $u \in H$ and any $w \in H$ we have

$$
\left\langle D^{2} f(u) w, w\right\rangle \geq m\|w\|^{2}
$$

then there exists a unique $u_{0} \in H$ such that $\operatorname{grad} f\left(u_{0}\right)=0$ and $f\left(u_{0}\right)=\min \{f(u): u \in H\}$. Furthermore, these results are extended as the following version. Let $X$ and $Y$ be two colsed subspace of $H$, such that $H=X \oplus Y, X$ is finite dimensional and $X$ and $Y$ are not necessarily orthogonal. Let $T=\operatorname{grad} f$, then $T: H \rightarrow H$ and is a $C^{1}$ mapping. Its Frechet derivative at $u \in H$ is given by $T^{\prime}(u)=D^{2} f(u)$. Let $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ be two positive constants such that for any $u \in H$, any $x \in X$, and $y \in Y$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle T^{\prime}(u) x, x\right\rangle \leq-m_{1}\|x\|^{2} \\
& \left\langle T^{\prime}(u) y, y\right\rangle \geq m_{2}\|y\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Under these conditions, in [4], it can be proved that there exists a unique $u_{0} \in H$, such that $T\left(u_{0}\right)=0$ and that $u_{0}$ satisfies

$$
f\left(u_{0}\right)=\max _{x \in X} \min _{y \in Y} f(x+y)
$$

Here we note that the existence of $u_{0} \in H$ such that $T\left(u_{0}\right)=0$ also derive from Proposition 2.
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