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#### Abstract

A new paradigm for the viscosity of fluid is presented by considering the fact that the viscosity is equal to the shear stress divided by the shear rate. The shear stress is obtained from the sum of kinetic and internal pressures of fluid, and the shear rate is found from the phonon velocity divided by the mean free path of the phonon. The calculated viscosities for various simple substances are in excellent agreements with those of the observed data through the wide temperature range covered both of liquid and gas phase.


## Introduction

In the 1860th, Maxwell proposed a theory of viscosity of gas based on the idea of a mean free path introduced earlier by Clausius. This theory shows that the gas viscosity is independent of the density and proportional to the square root of the absolute temperature. In the later theory, Maxwell was able to shown that the gas viscosity is proportional to the temperature of considering the inverse fifth power repulsion force ${ }^{1} . \ln 1921$, Enskog and Chapman ${ }^{2}$ obtained the similar viscosity equation by solving the Boltzmann equation for the dilute gas.

Following the acceptance of Maxwell's theory of gas viscosity and its later refinements by Enskog and Chapman, it has been customary to begin theoretical discussion of liquid viscosity by pointing out that the mechanism of momentum transport in a liquid must be different from that in a gas. Since the density of a liquid is usually so high that the momentum transfer in liquid may occur by the action of the intermolecular forces of the neighbors, while in a gas the molecules transport their momentum by their own motion from one layer to another. Some formal approaches to the lj quid viscosity were done by considering the intermolecular forces in liquid ${ }^{3}$. However, no general theory of viscosity which can be applicable to both phases of gas and liquid has been developed until now.

In this paper, a fundamental equation of viscosity of fluids is proposed, which can be applicable to both phases of liquid and gas including the critical point for the Newtonian flow.

## Theory

Let a shear stress a be applied with a shear rate $s$ to a fluid which contains N molecules in a volume V at the temperature T. If the resulting flow is a Newtonian, the shear viscosity defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=a / s \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is independent of the shear stress and the shear rate. Since the viscosity results from the kinetic molecular motions and the intermolecular interactions in the fluid, the viscosity can

[^0]be expressed as
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=\eta_{k}+\eta_{1} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $\eta_{x}$ and $\eta_{1}$ are the viscosities contributed by the kinetic molecular motions and the intermolecular interactions in the fluid, respectively. If the fluid is homogeneous with respect to the external forces, the viscosities $\eta_{k}$ and $\eta_{\text {, }}$ may be proportional to the corresponding pressures as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\eta_{\mathrm{K}}=\xi_{\mathrm{K}} p_{\mathrm{K}} / s \\
\eta_{\mathrm{I}}=\xi_{i} p_{i} / s \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $p_{k}$ and $p_{I}$ are the kinetic and the internal pressures of the fluid respectively and $\xi$ is the proportionality factor which may be related to the external forces.

The kinetic and the internal pressures are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{x}=T(\partial P / \partial T)_{V}=T a_{P} / \beta_{Y}  \tag{5}\\
& P_{i}=(\partial E / \partial V)_{\tau}=T \alpha_{\mu} / \beta_{T}-P
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{E}, \boldsymbol{a}_{p}$, and $\beta_{\mathrm{r}}$ are the equilibrium pressure, the internal energy, the isobaric expansion coefficient, and the isothermal compressibility of the fluid respectively.

In conjunction with the shear rate, we assume that the rate is proportional to the velocity of phonon propagating through the fluid as

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=\xi V_{\rho n} / \lambda_{\rho h} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{v}_{p h}$ is the phonon velocity for which we take the sound velocity ( $\gamma_{\left(\rho \beta_{T}\right.}$ ), $\gamma$ being the heat capacity ratio $\mathrm{C}_{p} / \mathrm{C}_{v}$ and , the mass density of the fluid, and where $\lambda_{p h}$ is the mean free path of the phonon. The latter may be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{\rho h}=1 /\left(\pi d^{2} n_{\rho h}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where d and $n_{p h}$ are the inter-phonon collision diameter and the phonon number density respectively. For the Newtonian flow, the proportionality factor $\xi$ in eqs 3,4 and 7 should be the same to have the viscosity which is independent of the external force.

By introducing eqs 2 through 8 into eq. 1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=\left(2 T \alpha_{\rho} / \beta_{T}-P\right)\left(\rho \beta_{T} / \gamma\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\pi d^{2} n_{p h}\right)^{-1} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

All the quantities included in the right hand side of eq. 9 are the intrinsic properties for the fluid in equilibrium state so that we can find them by applying the equilibrium thermodynamics.

Table 1. Parametric Values used in Calculation

|  | Ar | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$ | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{d}^{\circ} / \AA$ | $3.418^{a}$ | $3.749^{a}$ | $3.882^{a}$ | $5.881^{a}$ | $5.349^{b}$ | $4.483^{b}$ | $5.30^{c}$ |
| $\mathrm{~V}_{s} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 26.42 | 30.76 | 34.00 | 91.92 | 84.81 | 54.56 | 67.59 |

${ }^{a}$ Parameters taken from Ref. $9{ }^{b}$ Parameters taken from Ref. 5 p. $678{ }^{c}$ Parameter obtained by fitting the calculated viscosity to the observed one.

## Calculation and Result

To calculate the viscosity of fluids by using eq. 9 , we take an approximation for $d$ as follows;

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=d^{0}\left(1+1.8 T_{b} / T\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the same as the Sutherland's ${ }^{4}$ correction equation for the intermolecular collision diameter except the proportionality constant $1.8, \mathrm{~T}_{b}$ being the normal boiling temperature of liquids. The parametric value $\mathrm{d}^{\circ}$ can be taken equal to that of Sutherland's one.

For the phonon number density $n_{p h}$, we assume that it is proportional to the number density $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{V}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{\rho h}=f(N / V) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the proportionality factor $f$ is taken equal to the vacan-
cy fraction in the fluid (V-V)/V, $\mathrm{V}_{s}$ being the fluid volume at the closest packed state.

The parametric values of $\mathrm{d}^{\circ}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{s}$ for various fluids are listed in Table 1. The experimental data of $\alpha_{p}, \beta_{p}$, and $\mathrm{C}_{p}$ for the fluids are listed in Tables 2 through 8. As shown in those tables, the calculated viscosities of argon, nitrogen, methane, carbon tetrachloride. benzene, carbon disulfide, and acetone are in good agreements with those of the experimental data through the wide temperature range of liquid and gas phases. We can also find the excellent agreements in Figure 1.

## Discussion

The viscosity equation (eq. 9) can be applied successfully to liquid and gas phases. To calculate the viscosities by using the equation, we have to have the data of $\alpha_{p}, \beta_{r}$, and $\gamma$. These values can be calculated if we have a partition function for the fluid. Since we cannot find the simple partition function for liquids yet, we used the observed data for the calculation of liquid viscosity. For dilute gas, we obtained the values of $\alpha_{\rho}$ and $\beta_{\tau}$ by using the equation of state of ideal gas, as $1 / \mathrm{T}$ and $1 / \mathrm{P}$ respectively.

It may remain some arguments in using the approximations of eq. 10 and 11 , since these equations are the kinds of semiempirical. One may find the better approximations for $d$ and $n_{p h}$. The values of $d^{o}$ used in this paper are the ones for

Table 2. Comparison of calculated and observed Viscosties of liquid and Gas for Agron

| Liquid state |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Gas state |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{T} \\ & \mathrm{~K} \end{aligned}$ | $\mathbf{P}^{a}$ <br> bar | $\begin{gathered} V^{a} \\ \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10^{3} a_{p}^{a} \\ \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10^{4} \beta_{\gamma}^{a} \\ & \mathrm{bar}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{\rho^{0}} \\ \mathrm{JK}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \eta_{\text {calc. }} \\ & \mu \mathrm{P} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \eta_{\text {Dobs }}^{b} \\ & \mu \mathrm{P} \end{aligned}$ | 4\% | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{T} \\ & \mathrm{~K} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{\dot{\phi}}^{\prime} \\ \text { cal mol }{ }^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \eta_{\text {catc }} \\ & \mu \mathrm{P} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \eta_{o b s}^{d} \\ & \mu \mathrm{P} \end{aligned}$ | $\Delta \%$ |
| 85 | 0.97 | 28.39 | 4.42 | 2.088 | 41.9 | 2735 | 2750 | -0.5 | 273 | 4.968 | 212 | 209.6 | 1.1 |
| 87.28 | 1.01 | 28.69 | 4.47 | 2.189 | 42.9 | 2492 | 2520 | -1.1 | 373 | 4.968 | 274 | 269.5 | 1.7 |
| 90 | 1.34 | 29.04 | 4.57 | 2.330 | 43.6 | 2280 | 2320 | -1.7 | 473 | 4.968 | 330 | 332.3 | 2.4 |
| 100 | 3.25 | 30.47 | 5.21 | 3.154 | 46.3 | 1780 | 1730 | 2.9 | 575 | 4.969 | 380 | 368.5 | 3.1 |
| 110 | 6.67 | 32.21 | 6.22 | 4.600 | 49.1 | 1483 | 1380 | 7.5 | 674 | 4.969 | 425 | 411.5 | 3.3 |
| 120 | 12.13 | 34.43 | 7.91 | 7.340 | 53.0 | 1274 | 1160 | 9.8 | 766 | 4.970 | 464 | 448.4 | 3.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 857 | 4.970 | 500 | 481.5 | 3.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 987 | 4.970 | 548 | 525.7 | 4.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1100 | 4.976 | 587 | 563.2 | 4.2 |

${ }^{\sigma}$ Values taken from Ref. $10^{5}$ Values are the interpolated ones of Ref. $16{ }^{\circ}$ Values taken from Ref. 5 p. $629{ }^{d}$ Values taken from Ref. 12.

Table 3. Comparieon of calculated and observed Viecosities of liquid and Gae for Nitrogen

| Liquid state |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Gas state |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{T} \\ \mathrm{~K} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{P}^{a} \\ \text { bar } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} V^{e} \\ \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10^{3} a_{p}^{a} \\ K^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10^{4} \beta^{a} \\ & \text { bar }^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{JK}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}}{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}^{a}}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \eta_{\text {cakk }} \\ & \mu \mathbf{P} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \eta_{o b s}^{b} \\ & \mu_{\mathrm{P}} \end{aligned}$ | $\Delta \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{T} \\ & \mathrm{~K} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{p}^{c} \\ \mathrm{cal} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \eta_{\text {calk }} \\ & \mu \mathrm{P} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \eta_{\text {abs }}^{d} \\ & \mu_{\mathrm{P}} \end{aligned}$ | $\Delta \%$ |
| 65 | 0.174 | 32.54 | 4.48 | 1.97 | 56.5 | 2154 | 2180 | -1.2 | 251.6 | 7.07 | 160 | 156.3 | 2.1 |
| 70 | 0.366 | 33.32 | 4.96 | 2.40 | 56.9 | 1709 | 1760 | -2.9 | 284.1 | 7.10 | 174 | 170.7 | 1.9 |
| 77.35 | 1.013 | 34.64 | 5.70 | 3.22 | 57.7 | 1335 | 1360 | -1.8 | 400.3 | 7.35 | 230 | 219.1 | 4.9 |
| 80 | 1.370 | 35.18 | 5.99 | 3.60 | 58.0 | 1239 | 1230 | 0.7 | 499.8 | 7.77 | 274 | 255.9 | 7.1 |
| 90 | 3.607 | 37.55 | 7.31 | 5.59 | 60.1 | 1002 | 960 | 4.4 | 572.1 | 8.20 | 304 | 279.7 | 8.7 |
| 100 | 7.789 | 40.68 | 9.53 | 9.74 | 64.7 | 853 | 750 | 13.7 | 763.2 | 9.93 | 379 | 337.4 | 12.3 |
| 110 | 14.67 | 45.20 | 14.1 | 20.2 | 75.7 | 751 | 610 | 23.2 | 1098.1 | 15.29 | 498 | 419.2 | 18.8 |
| 115 | 19.39 | 48.50 | 19.5 | 35.0 | 88.0 | 710 | 570 | 24.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 126.2 | 33.50 | 89.50 | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{\circ}$ Values taken from Ref. $10^{\circ}$ Values are the interpolated ones of Ref. $16^{\circ}$ Values taken from Ref. 5 p 629 Values taken from Ref. $12^{*}$, critical point.

Table 4. Comparison of calculated and observed Viecositien of liquid and Gas for Methame

| Liquid state |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Gas state |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{T} \\ & \mathrm{~K} \end{aligned}$ | Pa <br> bar | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{V}^{a} \\ \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10^{3} a_{p}^{a} \\ K^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10^{4} \beta_{\mathrm{r}}^{a} \\ \mathrm{bar}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{p}^{a} \\ \mathrm{JK}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\pi_{\text {cale }}$ <br> $\mu \mathrm{P}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \eta_{o b s}^{b} \\ & \mu \mathrm{P} \end{aligned}$ | $\Delta \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{T} \\ & \mathrm{~K} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{\hat{\rho}}^{\mathrm{C}} \\ \mathrm{cal}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\eta_{\text {catc }}$ <br> $\mu \mathrm{P}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \eta_{o b s}^{d} \\ & \mu \mathrm{P} \end{aligned}$ | $\Delta \%$ |
| 90.68 | 0.117 | 35.55 | 2.93 | 1.471 | 52.7 | 2095 | 212 | -1.2 | 91.5 | 5.759 | 30.9 | 34.8 | -11.2 |
| 100 | 0.345 | 36.55 | 3.11 | 1.745 | 54.3 | 1507 | 1530 | -1.5 | 194.6 | 7.109 | 74.5 | 76.0 | -1.9 |
| 110 | 0.884 | 37.76 | 3.38 | 2.145 | 55.6 | 1207 | 1200 | 0.6 | 273.1 | 8.423 | 106.4 | 102.6 | 3.7 |
| 120 | 1.919 | 39.13 | 3.74 | 2.710 | 56.9 | 1035 | 1040 | -0.5 | 373.1 | 9.499 | 142.8 | 133.1 | 7.3 |
| 130 | 3.680 | 40.70 | 4.24 | 3.550 | 58.6 | 921 | 950 | -3.1 | 473.7 | 10.849 | 176.7 | 160.5 | 10.1 |
| 140 | 6.419 | 42.54 | 4.93 | 4L830 | 61.0 | 838 | 750 | 11.7 | 557.1 | 11.954 | 202.7 | 181.3 | 11.8 |
| 150 | 10.40 | 44.78 | 5.97 | 6.980 | 65.0 | 775 | 665 | 16.5 | 653.1 | 13.196 | 230.4 | 202.6 | 13.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 772.1 | 14.671 | 262.3 | 226.4 | 15.9 |

${ }^{\circ}$ Values taken from Ref. $10^{b}$ Values are the interpolated ones of Ref. $16^{\circ}$ Values taken from Ref. 5 p. 629 dValues taken from Ref. 12.

Table 5. Comparison of calculated and observed Viecosities of liquid and Gas for Carbon Tetrachlorlde

| Liquid state |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Gas state |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{T} \\ & \mathbf{K} \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{P}^{a}$ <br> bar | $\begin{gathered} V^{a} \\ \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10^{3} \sigma_{b}{ }^{0} \\ K^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10^{4} \beta_{r}^{4} \\ \text { bar }^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{\hat{p}}^{a} \\ \mathrm{JK}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \eta_{\text {calk }} \\ & \mu \mathrm{P} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \eta_{0 b}^{b} \\ & \mu \mathrm{P} \end{aligned}$ | $\Delta \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{T} \\ & \mathrm{~K} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} C_{p}^{C} \\ \mathrm{cal} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \eta_{\text {calk. }} \\ & \mu \mathrm{P} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \eta_{o b s}^{d} \\ & \mu \mathrm{P} \end{aligned}$ | $\Delta \%$ |
| 273 | 0.045 | 94.20 | 1.177 | 0.881 | 131.0 | 13206 | 13290 | -0.6 | 350 | 21.11 | 109.9 | - | - |
| 283 | 0.075 | 95.33 | 1.198 | 0.954 | 131.3 | 9315 | 11100 | -16.1 | 401 | 21.99 | 128.4 | 133.4 | -3.7 |
| 293 | 0.122 | 96.49 | 1.220 | 1.032 | 131.7 | 7337 | 9690 | -24.3 | 473 | 22.98 | 154.3 | 156.2 | -1.2 |
| 303 | 0.189 | 97.68 | 1.241 | 1.117 | 132.0 | 6130 | 8430 | -27.3 | 588 | 24.05 | 193.9 | 190.2 | 1.9 |
| 313 | 0.285 | 98.91 | 1.266 | 1.213 | 132.3 | 5317 | 7390 | -28.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 323 | 0.416 | 100.18 | 1.296 | 1.322 | 132.7 | 4731 | 6510 | -27.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 333 | 0.591 | 101.50 | 1.327 | 1.437 | 133.0 | 4280 | 5850 | -26.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 323 | 0.822 | 102.87 | 1.349 | 1.563 | 133.0 | 3932 | 5240 | $-24.9$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 353 | 1.118 | 104.30 | 1.400 | 1.720 | 134.0 | 3656 | 4710 | -22.4 |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{\circ}$ Values taken from Ref. $10^{\circ}$ Values are the interpolated ones of Ref $16^{\circ}$ Values taken from Ref. 5 p. 629 dValues taken from Ref. 12.

Table 6. Comparison of calculated and observed Viscosities of liquid and Gas for Benzene

| Liquid state |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Gas state |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{T} \\ & \mathbf{K} \end{aligned}$ | $P^{a}$ bar | $\begin{gathered} V^{e} \\ \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10^{3} a_{p}{ }^{c} \\ K^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10^{4} \beta_{r}^{a} \\ & \mathrm{bar}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{\boldsymbol{p}}{ }^{a} \\ \mathrm{JK}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \eta_{\text {calk. }} \\ & \mu \mathrm{P} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \eta_{o b s .}^{b} \\ & \mu \mathbf{P} \end{aligned}$ | $\Delta \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{T} \\ & \mathrm{~K} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{p}^{\mathrm{c}} \\ \text { cal } \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \eta_{\text {cale }} \\ & \mu \mathrm{P} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \eta_{o b s \mathrm{~s}}^{d} \\ & \mu \mathbf{P} \end{aligned}$ | $\Delta \%$ |
| 293 | 0.100 | 88.86 | 1.22 | 0.935 | 134.9 | 6533 | 6520 | 0.2 | 287.3 | 18.91 | 74.3 | 73.8 | 0.7 |
| 313 | 0.244 | 91.08 | 1.25 | 1.091 | 139.2 | 4720 | 5010 | $-5.8$ | 404.3 | 27.05 | 112.0 | 103.1 | 8.6 |
| 333 | 0.522 | 93.46 | 1.29 | 1.281 | 144.3 | 3784 | 3920 | -3.5 | 467.7 | 30.87 | 132.0 | 119.8 | 10.2 |
| 353 | 1.010 | 95.90 | 1.35 | 1.520 | 149.0 | 3264 | 3210 | 1.7 | 525.6 | 34.02 | 149.9 | 134.3 | 11.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 585.9 | 36.97 | 168.1 | 148.4 | 13.3 |

${ }^{a}$ Values taken from Ref. $10^{\circ}$ Values are the interpolated ones of Ref. 16 Values taken from Ref. 5 p. 629 dValues taken from Ref. 12.

Table 7. Comparison of calculated and observed Viscoalties of liquid and Gas for Carbon Disuibide

| Liquid state |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Gas state |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{T} \\ \mathbf{K} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} V^{a} \\ \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10^{3} a_{p}^{a} \\ K^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10^{4} \beta_{\tau}{ }^{a} \\ & \mathrm{~atm}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{\hat{\rho}}^{\boldsymbol{a}} \\ \text { cal mol } \end{gathered}$ | $\eta_{\text {cak. }}$ $\mu \mathrm{P}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7_{o b s}^{b} \\ & \mu \mathrm{P} \end{aligned}$ | 4\% | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{T} \\ & \mathrm{~K} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{\rho}^{\mathrm{C}} \\ \mathrm{cal} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\eta_{\text {cak. }}$ <br> $\mu \mathrm{P}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \eta_{o b s}^{d} \\ & \mu \mathrm{P} \end{aligned}$ | $\Delta \%$ |
| 233 | 56.21 | 1.104 | 0.620 | 17.10 | 8751 | - |  | 273.1 | 10.62 | 100.4 | 91.1 | 10.2 |
| 273 | 58.94 | 1.155 | 0.814 | 42.74 | 4274 | 4360 | -1.9 | 387.4 | 11.70 | 151.1 | 130.3 | 15.9 |
| 313 | 61.70 | 1.211 | 1.065 | 18.50 | 3237 | 3301 | -1.9 | 463.3 | 12.25 | 184.0 | 156.1 | 17.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 582.9 | 12.91 | 134.0 | 196.6 | 19.0 |

${ }^{\sigma}$ Values taken from Ref. 11 Values taken from Ref. $14{ }^{c}$ Values are the interpolated ones of Ref. 14 Values taken from Ref. $12{ }^{\circ}$ Values taken from Ref. 5 p. 629.

Table 8. Comparison of calculated and observed Viscoesties of liquid and Gas for Acetone

|  |  |  |  | Liquid state |  |  |  | Gas state |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{T} \\ & \mathrm{~K} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{a}} \\ \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10^{3} a_{p}^{b} \\ K^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10^{4} \beta_{T}^{c} \\ & \mathrm{amm}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{p}^{b} \\ \mathrm{cal} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \eta_{\text {cak. }} \\ & \mu \mathrm{P} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \eta_{\text {duss }}^{c} \\ & \mu \mathbf{y} \end{aligned}$ | $\Delta \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{T} \\ & \mathrm{~K} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{p}^{d} \\ \mathrm{cal} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \eta_{\text {catk }} \\ & \mu \mathrm{P} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \eta_{\text {obs. }}^{c} \\ & \mu \mathrm{P} \end{aligned}$ | $\Delta \%$ |
| 283 | 72.48 | 1.350 | 1.132 | 29.62 | 3698 | 3680 | 0.5 | 373.1 | 20.81 | 92.8 | 93.1 | -0.2 |
| 293 | 73.43 | 1.375 | 1.229 | 32.23 | 3263 | -1.3 |  | 463.5 | 24.41 | 118.4 | 118.6 | -0.2 |
| 303 | 74.43 | 1.433 | 1.334 | 30.32 | 2935 | 2950 | -0.5 | 520.8 | 26.49 | 134.2 | 133.4 | 0.6 |
| 313 | 75.48 | 1.463 | 1.464 | 30.72 | 2606 | 2800 | -3.4 | 579.5 | 28.48 | 150.1 | 148.1 | 1.4 |
| 323 | 76.58 | 1.500 | 1.603 | 31.19 | 2472 | 2560 | -3.4 |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{6}$ Values taken from Ref. $11^{b}$ Values taken from Ref. 14 Values taken from Ref. $12{ }^{\text {d }}$ Values taken from Ref. 5 p .629.


Figure 1. Viscosity of nitrogen for gas and liquid Solid line; Calculated, Open circles; Observed (from Ref. I6).
the observed molecular collision diameters instead of the ones for the phonons. The parametric value of $\mathrm{V}_{s}$ are the one obtained by fitting the calculated viscosity to the observed one at a temperature (for example, at the melting temperature). The obtained values of $\mathrm{V}_{s}$ are slightly higher than those of solid volumes.

Notwithstanding the use of the simple viscosity equation, the calculated viscosities are in excellent agreements with those of the observed ones for various fluids in both phases of liquid and gas.

The viscosity of gas is a strong function of pressure only in certain regions of pressure and temperature. Usually, pressure variations are not significant at very high temperatures or low pressures and $\mathrm{d} \eta / \mathrm{d} \mathrm{T}>0$ in these regions. At somewhat high pressures, the viscosity would be expected to increase sharply, and we see that there is a wide range of temperature where decreases with temperature. In this region, the viscosity behavior simulates more closely the liquid state one.

There is few theories to explain the effect of pressure on the viscosity of gas except Enskog theory ${ }^{5}$. But the Enskog equation can usually illustrate the dense gas viscosity only if the experimental data about the radial distribution function are available. In Figure 2, the calculated viscosities for nitrogen using a simple equation of state


Figure 2. Viscosity of nitrogen for several values of reduced pressures by using eqs 9 and $12 . \eta_{c}=61.6(\mathrm{MTc})^{1 / 2}$ (Vc) $)^{-2 / 3}$ (Ref. 17) $\mathrm{C}_{p}$ values are taken from Ref. 15 . The parameters for nitrogen in eq. 12 are as follows; $\mathrm{a} ; 3.03 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~atm} .\left(\mathrm{cm}^{3}\right)^{n} \mathrm{~b} ; 24.05 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{n} ; 1.74$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=R T /(V-b)-a / V^{n} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

are shown, $a, b$ and $n$ being the parameters. It is clear that in the vicinity of the critical point pressure effects are quite important. At very high temperatures, there results a condition where there is but little effect of pressure on gas viscosity, and the viscosity is expressed as a simple form similar to the Maxwell viscosity equation as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=\sqrt{2} \cdot \gamma^{-1 / 2} \cdot \eta_{\text {Max }} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta_{\text {max }}$ is the Maxwell viscosity.
The viscosity of fluid exhibits an anomalous enhancement near the gas-liquid critical region ${ }^{6}$, and the experimental evidences ${ }^{6}$ indicate that the shear viscosity diverges at the critical point. No general viscosity equation, however, has predicted the anomalous behavior. Some dicoupled theories ${ }^{7.8}$ had been applied to the critical viscosity with little success. In Figure 3, we can exhibit the anomalous behavior slightly above the critical temperature. We can also find the divergence of viscosity at the critical point in Figures 1 and


Figure 3. Viscosity of nitrogen at the temperature slightly above the critical temperature by using the same equations and the same parameters of Figure 2.
2. These behaviors are mainly because of the large compressibility near the critical point. We hope our viscosity equation is useful to explain the true nature of fluids.
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