## ON HILBERT SEMIGROUP RINGS*
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A ring $R$ is called a Hilbert ring if every prime ideal of $R$ is an intersection of primitive ideals. When $R$ is commutative, Gilmer [3] shows that equivalent conditions for $R\left[\left\{x_{1}\right\}_{i \in I}\right]$ to be a Hilbert ring. But the weakness of his results is including commutativity.
In this paper, we shall discuss Hilbert semigroup ring with noncommutative coefficients rings. Actually, when $S$ is a cancellative monoid and the coefficient ring $R$ is a $P I$ ring, the condition that the semigroup ring $R[S]$ to be Hilbert will be observed. All monoid considered are assumed to be commutative.

We begin the following.
Lemma 1. Let $R$ bea $P I$ ring and $P$ be an ideal of $R$. Then $P$ is a primitive ideal if and only if $P$ is a maximal ideal.

Proof. Suppose $P$ is a maximal ideal of $R$. Then the factor ring $R / P$ is a simple ring. So it is primitive and

[^0]therefore $P$ is a primitive ideal.
Conversely, if $P$ is a primitive ideal of $R$, then $R / P$ is a primitive ring. Since $R$ is $P I, R / P$ is $P I$. Thus the factor ring $R / P$ is a primitive $P I$ ring and so it is simple by Kaplansky's Theorem [4].

We denote the center and the classical quotient ring of $R$ by $Z(R)$ and $Q(R)$, respectively.

Lemma 2. Let $R$ be a prime $P I$ ring and $Q(R)$ the classical quotient ring of $R$. Then $Q(R)=R\left[a^{-1}\right\rfloor$ for some $0 \neq a$ in $Z(R)$ if and only if $A \cap R=0$ for some maximal $A$ of $R[x]$.

Proof. Suppose $Q(R)=R\left[a^{-1}\right]$ with $0 \neq a \in Z(R)$. Then the map $\sigma$ from $R[x]$ to $R\left[a^{-1}\right]$ induced from the map sending $x$ to $a^{-1}$ is a ring epimorphism. Now since $Q(R)=$ $R\left[a^{-1}\right]$ is simple Artinian, $A=\operatorname{ker} \sigma$ is a maximal ideal of $R[x]$. In this case $R[x] / A \cong R\left[a^{-1}\right]=Q(R)$ and $A \cap R=0$ since the map sending $r$ to $r+A$ is one to one.

Conversely, assume that $A \cap R=0$ for some maximal ideal $A$ of $R[x]$. Let $u=x+A$ in the ring $R[x] / A$. Then since $A \cap R=0, R \subseteq R[u]$ and $R[u]=R[x] / A$ is simple Artinian. So $Q(R)[u]=R[u]=Q(R[u])$. If $u=0$, then $R=R[x]$ is simple Artinian and so we are done. Hence we may assume that $u \neq 0$. Since $u$ is a central element of the simple Artinian ring $R[u], u$ is in the center of $R[u]$. Bu note that the center of a simple Artinian ring is a field. So $u$ is invertible in $R[u]$. Actually $u^{-1} \in Z(R[u])=Z(Q(R)[u])$. say

$$
u^{-1}=\alpha_{\theta}+\alpha_{1} u+\cdots+\alpha_{n} u^{n}
$$

with $\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n} \in Q(R)$ and $\alpha_{n} \neq 0$. But since $Q(R)$ is simple, $Q(R) \alpha_{n} Q(R)=Q(R)$ and so there exist $q_{1}, q_{1}, \cdots, q_{s}$ and $q_{1}{ }^{\prime}, q_{2}{ }^{\prime}, \cdots, q_{s}{ }^{\prime}$ in $Q(R)$ such that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{\sum} q_{1} \alpha_{n} q_{i}^{\prime}=1
$$

Thus $\sum_{i=1}^{s} q_{i}\left(\alpha_{i} u^{n+1}+\cdots+\alpha_{1} u^{2}+\alpha_{0} u-1\right) q_{i}=0$. Therefore $\beta_{0}+$ $\beta_{1} u+\cdots+\beta_{n} u^{n}+u^{n+1}=0$ with some $\beta_{r} \in Q(R)$. Now let $k$ be the least positive integer such that

$$
u^{k}+\beta_{k-1} u^{k-1}+\cdots+\beta_{1} u+\beta_{0}=0
$$

with $\beta_{1} \in Q(R), i=0,1, \cdots, k-1$. In this case our claim is that $\beta_{v,}, \beta_{1}, \cdots, \beta_{k-1}$ are in the center $Z(Q(R))$ of $Q(R)$. Now for $r \in Q(R)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & r\left(u^{k}+\beta_{k-1} u^{k-1}+\cdots+\beta_{1} u+\beta_{0}\right) \\
& -\left(u^{k}+\beta_{k-1} u^{k-1}+\cdots+\beta_{1} u+\beta_{0}\right) r \\
= & \left(r \beta_{k-1}-\beta_{k-1} r\right) u^{k-1}+\cdots+\left(r \beta_{1}-\beta_{1} r\right) u+\left(r \beta_{0}-\beta_{0} r\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $r \beta_{k-1}-\beta_{k-1} r \neq 0$, then since $Q(R)$ is simple, we have

$$
Q(R)\left(r \beta_{k-1}-\beta_{k-1} r\right) Q(R)=Q(R) .
$$

So there exist $l_{1}, l_{2}, \cdots, l_{n}$ and $l_{1}{ }^{\prime}, l_{2}^{\prime}, \cdots, l_{n}^{\prime}$ in $Q(R)$ such that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} l_{s}\left(r \beta_{k-1}-\beta_{k-1} r\right) l_{i}^{\prime}=1 .
$$

So we have

$$
0=\sum_{i=1}^{n} l_{2}\left(r \beta_{k-1} \beta-\beta_{k-1} r\right) l_{\mathrm{i}}^{\prime} u^{k-1}+\cdots+\sum_{i=1}^{n} l_{1}\left(r \beta_{0}-\beta_{0} r\right) l_{i}^{\prime} .
$$

Therefore

$$
0=u^{k-1}+\cdots+\varepsilon_{1} t \psi+\varepsilon_{0}
$$

with $\varepsilon_{0}, \varepsilon_{1}, \cdots, \varepsilon_{k-1}$ in $Q(R)$. But thit is impossible by the choice of $k$. Hence $r \beta_{k-1}-\beta_{k-1} r=0$. Similarly $r \beta_{k-2}-\beta_{k-2} r$ $=0, \cdots$, and $r \beta_{0}-\beta_{0} r=0$. Therefore $r \beta_{t}=\beta_{t} r$ for evers $r \in Q(R)$ and $i=0,1, \cdots, k-1$. This means that

$$
u^{k}+\beta_{k-1} u^{k-1}+\cdots+\beta_{1} u+\beta_{0}=0
$$

with $\beta_{1} \in Z(Q(R)), i=0,1, \cdots, k-1$.
Now since $F[u]$ is central in $R[u], F[u]$ is a domain. But since $u$ is algebraic over $F, F[u]$ is an algebraic domain over the field $F$. So $F[u]$ is a field. Consider the canonical map $\sigma$ from $Q(R) \otimes_{F} F[u]$ onto $Q(R)[u]$ :

$$
Q(R) \otimes_{p} F[u] \tau \longrightarrow Q(B)[u]
$$

$$
\Sigma q_{i} \otimes a_{t} \longrightarrow \Sigma q_{i} a_{t}
$$

Then since $Q(R)$ is a central simple $F$-algebra and $F[u]$ is also simple $F$-algebra, $Q(R) \otimes_{F} F[u]$ is a simple $F$ algebra by Therefore the nonzero map $\sigma$ has the zero kernel. Thus $\sigma$ is an isomorphism. So we have $Q(R) \otimes_{F} F[u]$ $\equiv Q(R)[u]$. Since $F=Z(Q(R))$ is the field of fractions of $Z(R)$, we have

$$
a_{k} u^{k}+a_{k-1} u^{k-1}+\cdots+a_{1} u+a_{0}=0,
$$

with $a_{i} \in Z(R)$ and $a_{k} \neq 0$ from the realtion

$$
u^{k}+\beta_{k-1} u^{k-1}+\cdots+\beta_{1} u+\beta_{0}=0 .
$$

Observe the ring $R\left[a_{k}^{-1}\right]$. Since

$$
u^{k}=\left(a_{k}^{-1}\right) a_{k-1} u^{k-1}+\cdots+\left(a_{k}^{-1}\right) a_{0}
$$

with $a_{k}{ }^{-1} a_{i} \in R\left[a_{k}{ }^{-1}\right]$, we have that $R\left[a_{k}{ }^{-1}\right][u]$ is a finitely generated $R\left[a_{k}^{-1}\right]$-module by $\left\{\mathrm{I}, u, \cdots, u^{k-1}\right\}$. Of course in the case $Q(R)[u]=R\left[a_{k}{ }^{-1}\right][u]$. Since $\operatorname{dim}_{F} F[u]=k$,
$Q(R) \otimes_{F} F[u] \equiv Q(R)[u \bar{j}$ is a free $Q(R)$-module with basis $\left\{\mathrm{I}, u, \cdots, u^{k-1}\right\}$ by the standard tenssor product property.

Now finally let $q \in Q(R)$. Then $q \in Q(R)[u]=R\left[a_{k}^{-1}\right][u]$. Then we have

$$
q=\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1} u+\cdots+\alpha_{k-1} u^{k-1}
$$

with $\alpha_{1} \in R\left[a_{k}{ }^{-1}\right] \subseteq Q(R)$. But since $Q(R)[u]$ is free over $Q(R)$ with basis $\left\{1, u, \cdot \cdot, u^{k-1}\right\}$, we have

$$
0=\left(\alpha_{0}-q\right)+\alpha_{1} u+\cdots+\alpha_{k-1} u^{k-1} .
$$

Hence $\alpha_{0}-q=0, \alpha_{1}=\cdots=\alpha_{k-1}=0$. Thus $q=\alpha_{0}$ is in $R\left[a_{k}^{-1}\right]$. So we have $Q(R)=R\left[a_{k}{ }^{-1}\right]$ completing our tedious proof.

For a $P I$ ring $R$, an ideal $Q$ of $R$ is called $G$-ideal if $Q=M \cap R$ for some maximal ideal $M$ of $R[x]$. A ring $R$ is called $G$-ring if 0 is $G$-ideal. By Lemma 2, we easily have the following.

Lemma 3. A prime $P I$ ring $R$ is $G$-ring if and oniy if $Q(R)=R[u]$ for some $u$ in $Q(Z(R))$.

The following may already be well known but for completeness we collect some characterizations of Hilberts rings.

Proposition 4. Let $R$ be $P I$ ring. Then the following conditions are equivalent.
(1) $R$ is a Hilbert ring.
(2) Every maximal ideal $A$ of $R[x], A \cap R$ is maximal ideal of $R$.
(3) Every simple $R[x]$-module is finitely generated $R$-module.
(4) Every $G$-ideal of $R$ is maximal.

Proof. (1) implies (2): Suppose $R$ is a Hilbert ring. For a maximal ideal $A$ of $R[x], A \cap R$ is a prime ideal of $R$. Now by passing $R$ to $R / A \cap R$, we may assume that $A \cap R=0$ and $R$ is a prime $P I$ ring. But since $R$ is Hilbert, so its homomorphic image $R / A \cap R$ is Hilbert. So again we may assume that $R$ is a prime $P I$ Hilbert ring. Therefore $R$ is semiprimitive and hence the intersection of maximal ideals $\cap_{a} M_{c}$ is zero. Since $A \cap R=0, Q(R)=$ $R_{[ }^{\left[a^{-1}\right]}$ for some $0 \neq a$ in $Z(R)$ by Lemma 2.

Assume $M_{\alpha} \neq 0$ for every $\alpha$. Then since $R$ is prime $P I_{0}$ $M_{\alpha} \cap Z(R) \neq 0$ for every $\alpha$ by Rowen [5]. Take $0 \neq b_{\alpha}$ in $M_{a} \cap Z(R)$. Then $b_{z}^{-1} \subseteq Q(R)=R\left[a^{-1}\right]$. So there is a positive integer $n(\alpha)$ such that $b_{a}^{-1}=c_{q} a^{-n(a)}$ with $c_{n} \leqslant R$. Therefore $a^{n(c)}=b_{\varepsilon} c_{\theta}$ is in $M_{\alpha}$. Since $M_{s}$ is a maximal ideal and a is central, we have $a \cong M_{a}$ for every $\alpha$. For, since $a^{n(\alpha)} \in M_{2}$, $R a^{n(\alpha)} \subseteq M$. Thus

$$
R a^{n(\alpha)} R=(R a R) \cdots(R a R) \subseteq M_{\alpha .}^{(\alpha) \text {-times }} .
$$

But since $M_{a}$ is maximal, $M_{u}$ is prime and so $R a R=a R$ $\subseteq M_{u}$. Thus $a \in M_{a}$ for every $\alpha$. Therefore $a \in \cap_{\alpha} M_{x}=0$ is a contradiction. So $M_{a}=0$ for some $\alpha$. That is, 0 is a maximal ideal of $R$ and so $R$ is a simple ring. In other words, $A \cap R$ is a maximal ideal.
(2) implies (3): Let $N$ be a simple $R[x]$-module. Then there is a maximal right ideal $I$ of $R[x]$ such that $N=R[x] / I$. In this case, we can choose a two-sided ideal $I_{0}$ of $R[x]$ which is maximal with respect to the fact that $I_{0}$ is sitting inside $I$. Indeed $I_{0}$ is the right annihilator of
$N$ in $R[x]$. So $I_{0}$ is a primitive ideal of $R[x]$ with $N$ as a faithful irreducible $R[x] / I_{0} \sim$ module. But since $R[x]$ is a PI ring, $I_{0}$ is a maximal ideal by Lemma 1. and obviously it is nonzero. Furtheremore $R[x] / I_{0}$ is simple Artinian and $R[x] / I$ is isomorphic to a minimal right ideal which is of course a direct summand of the ring $R[x] / I_{0}$. Now by our assumption (2), $R \cap I_{0}$ is a maximal ideal and so we have $R[x] / I_{0}=\bar{R}[x] / \bar{I}_{0}$, where $R=R / R \cap I_{0}$ and $I_{0}=I_{0} /\left(R \cap I_{0}\right)[x]$. But since $R$ is simple, $R[x] / I_{0}=\bar{R}[x] / \bar{I}_{0}$ is finitely generated as $R$ module. So $R[x] / I_{0}$ is finitely generated as $R$-module which shows (3) holds.
(3) Impiles (5): Assume that every $R x_{\text {; }}^{\text {; moduie is a }}$ finiteiy generated $R$-module. For a given prime ideal of $R$ by passing to its factor ring, we may assume that $R$ is prime PI. In this situation we need to show that the intersection of maximal ideals (equivalently, primitive ideals) is 0 from the definition of Hilbert ring.

Let $\left\{M_{a}\right\}$ be the set of all maximal ideals of $R$. Suppose $\bigcap_{r} M_{a} \neq 0$. Then $\cap M_{a} Z(R) \neq 0$. Take $0 \neq a \in \cap M_{a} \cap Z(R)$. Then $\left\{a^{r}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is an $m$-system with $a^{2} \neq 0$ for every $i$, because $Z(R)$ is a commutative domain. Let $P$ be an ideal of $R$ with $P \cap\left\{a^{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}=\phi$ and $P$ is maximal with such property. Then as we already noted, $P$ is a prime ideal of $R$. Of course the exstence of such $P$ is assured by Zorn's lemma. Let $\bar{a}$ be the image of a in the factor ring $R=R / P$. Then $\bar{a}$ is in the center of $R$. For a nonzero $\bar{b}$ in $Z(R)$, $\bar{b} R$ is a nonzero ideal of $R$. Now by the definition of $P$, we have $\bar{a}^{k} \in \bar{b} R$ for some positive integer $k$. Hence $\bar{a}^{k}=\bar{b} \bar{c}$ with $\vec{c}$ in $R$ and so $\bar{c}=\vec{b}^{-1} \bar{a}^{k} \in Q(Z(R)) \cap R=Z(R)$. So $\vec{b}^{-1}$
$=\bar{a}^{-n} \in R\left[\bar{a}^{-1}\right]$. Therefore $R\left[\bar{a}^{-1}\right]=Q(R)$. So $R$ is a $G-$ ring. Thus $P$ is a $G$-ideal and hence $P=A \cap R$ for some maximal ideal $A$ of $R[x]$. So $P$ is a maximal ideal of $R$. Since $a \notin P$, we have $a \notin M_{a}$ for some $\alpha$. But this is a contradiction since $a \in Z(R) \cap \cap M_{a}$. So $Z(R) \cap \cap M_{a}=0$. Thus we have $\bigcap_{\alpha} M_{\sigma}=0$. Hence $R$ is a Hilbert ring. (2) implies (4) and (4) implies (2): Obvious.

We recall that the pseudoradical of the ring $R$ is the intersection of all nonzero prime ideals of $R$. Now we are in the situation to characterize Hilbert ring $R[x]$ that the coefficient ring $R$ satisfies a polynomial identity.

Theorem 5. Assume that $X=\left\{x_{i}\right\}$ is a set of commuting indeterminates of cardinality $\alpha$ over the $P I$ ring $R$. Denote by $Z^{*}$ the direct sum of $\alpha$ copiesof the additive group $Z$ of integers. Then the following conditions are equivalent.
(1) $R[X]$ is not a Hilbert ring.
(2) There exists a prime ideal $P$ of $R$ such that $R / P$ admist an $\alpha$-generated extension ring that is a $G$ ring but not a simple.
(3) The group ring $R\left[Z^{\alpha}\right]$ is not a Hilbert ring.

Proof. (1) implies (2): Let $R[X]$ be not a Hilbert ring. Then there is $G$-ideal $A$ of $R[X]$ that is not maximal. So there a maximalideal $M$ of $R[X][y]$ such that $A=M \cap$ $R[X]$. Since $R$ is a $P I$ ring, $R[X][y]$ is a PI ring. Of $V=\{x+A \mid x \in A\}$, then $|V| \leq \alpha$ and $R[X] / A=(R / R \cap A)[V]$ is G-ring because $A$ is $G$-ideal clealy $R \cap A$ is prime idea! of $R$. Of $P=R \cap A$, then $(R / P)[V]=R[X] / M \cap R[X]$ is an
$\alpha$-generated extension ring of $R / P$ because $x$ is the set of commuting indeterminates. Since $M \cap R[X]$ is not maximal. it is not simple.
(2) imples (3): Let $D=R / P$ and let $J=D\left[\left\{a_{i}\right\}_{2 \varepsilon I}\right]$ be $\alpha$ generated extension of $D$ that is a $G$-ring but not simple. We will show that if $T$ is a subring of $D\left[\left\{x_{i}\right\},\left\{x_{2}^{-1}\right\}\right] \cong$ $D\left[Z^{\alpha}\right]$ containing $D[X]$, then $T$ is not a Hilbert ring. Since $J$ is a $G$-ring, it has nonzero pseudoradical by gilmer [2]. Of $J$ has a zero maximal ideal, then $J$ is simple. Thus the pseudoradical of $J$ is contained in the intersection of nonzero maximal ideal of $J$ choose a nonzero element $b$ in the pseudoradical. Then, for each $i, 1+b a$, is invertible in $J$ because the pseudoradical of $J$ is in Rad $J$ by Lemma 1. So

$$
D\left[\{1+b a i\}, \quad\left\{(1+b a i)^{-1}\right\}\right] \subseteq J
$$

The $D$-homomorphism of $D[X]=D\left[\left\{x_{2}\right\}\right]$ onto $D\left[\left\{1+b a_{i}\right\}\right]$ determined by $i \longrightarrow 1+b a_{i}$ and $d \longrightarrow d$ forall $d \in D$ induces a $D$-homomorphism $\sigma$ of $D\left[\left\{x_{\mathrm{r}}\right\},\left\{x_{\mathrm{r}}^{-1}\right\}=D\left[Z^{a}\right]\right.$ onto

$$
D\left[\left\{1+b a_{i}\right],\left\{\left(1+b a_{i}\right)^{-1}\right\}\right]
$$

and under $\sigma$ we have

$$
J \supseteq \sigma(T) \supseteq D\left[\left\{1+b a_{1}\right\}\right]=D\left[\left\{b a_{1}\right\}\right] .
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
J\left[b^{-1}\right] \supseteq \sigma(T)\left[b^{-1}\right] \supseteq & D\left[\left\{b a_{\mathrm{t}}\right\}, b^{-1}\right]=D\left[\left\{a_{1}\right\}, b^{-1}\right] \\
& =D\left[\left\{a_{i}\right\}\right]\left[b^{-1}\right]=J\left[b^{-1}\right]=Q(J)
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently,

$$
J\left[b^{-1}\right]=\sigma(T)\left[b^{-1}\right]=Q(J)
$$

We clain that $\sigma(T)$ is not simple. To do this, if $\sigma(T)$ is simple, then $\sigma(T)$ is a simple $P I$ ring. Since the quotient ring of simple $P I$ is itself,

$$
Q(\sigma(T))=\sigma(T)=J\left[b^{-1}\right] \subseteq J
$$

Hence

$$
J=J\left[b^{-1}\right]=Q(J)
$$

It means that $J$ is simple and this contradicts the fact that $J$ is not simple. Therefore, $\sigma(T)$, and hence $T$, is not a Hilbert ring.

Finally, let $T=D\left[\left\{x_{t}\right\},\left\{x_{t}^{-1}\right\}\right]=D\left[Z^{a}\right]$. Then

$$
\sigma(T)=D\left[\{1+b a i\},\left\{(1+b a i)^{-1}\right\}\right] \subseteq J
$$

is not simple. Since

$$
Q(\sigma(T))=\sigma(T)\left[b^{-1}\right] \subseteq J\left[b^{-1}\right]=Q(J)
$$

by Lemma 3, $\sigma(T)$ is a $G$-ring. Now if $\sigma(T)$ is a Hilbert ring, then so is its homomorphic image $\sigma(T)$ and zero is maximal ideal of $\sigma(T)$. Hence $\sigma(T)$ is simple. Therefore, in particular $T=D\left[Z^{\sigma}\right], D\left[Z^{\alpha}\right]$ is not a Hilbert ring.
(3) implies (1): By Armendariz, Koo and Park [I?.

An overring $S$ of a ring $R$ with the same identity is called a finite centralizing extension of $R$ if there is a finite subset $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \cdots u_{n}\right\}$ of $S$ such that $S=u_{1} R+u_{2} R+\cdots+u_{n} R$ and $n_{1} r=r u_{2}$ to all $i=1,2, \cdots, n$ and $r \in R$. Schelter [6] shows that every finite centralizing extension of a $P I$ ring $R$ is an integral extensions. Also he proves that such extensions enjoy Lying over, Going up and Incomparablity. So for a finite centralizing extension $S$ of $R, S$ is Hilbert
if and only if $R$ is Hilbert. By the help of Theorem 5 we can investigate monoid rings over $P I$ rings.

Theorem 6. Let $R$ be a $P I$ ring, $S$ be a cancellative monoid of torsion-free rank $\alpha$ and $G=S S^{-1}$ be its quotient group. The the following anditions are equivalent:
(1) $R \lesssim x j$ is a Hilbert ring with $|x|=\alpha$.
(2) $\left.R_{\downarrow}^{-} G\right]$ is a Hilbert ring.
(3) $\left.R_{L}^{-} S\right]$ is a Hilbert ring.

Proof. For $\alpha$ we consider two cases:
Case 1. $\alpha$ is infinite.
(1) implies (2): Suppore that $R[x]$ is a Hilbert ring. Let $H$ be the sub group of $G$ generatedby a maximal free subset $\left\{f_{i}\right\}_{t \varepsilon I}$ of $G=S S^{-1}$. Then $I I=\alpha$ and $G / H$ is a torsion abelian group. Let $\beta=r_{1} g_{1}+r_{2} g_{2}+\cdots+r_{r} g_{n}$ be an element of $R[G]$ with $r_{i} \in R$ and $g_{i} \in G, i=1,2, \cdots, n$. Consider the subgroup $H_{0}$ generated by $H$ and $g_{1}, g_{2}, \cdots, g_{n}$. Then $H_{0} / H$ is finite and $b$ is in $R\left[H_{0}\right]$. Observing that $R\left[H_{0}\right]$ is a finite centralizing extension of $R[H], R\left[H_{0}\right]$ is an intergral extension of $R[H]$ by Schelter $[6]$. So $b$ is integral over $R[H\rfloor$ and hence $R[G]$ is integral over $R[H]$. In fact, since $R[H]$ is free abelian group of rank $\alpha$, $H \cong Z_{c}$. By Theorem, $R[H]$ is a Hilbert ring. By application of previous mentioned Schelter's result, $R[G]$ is a Hilbert ring.
(2) implies(3): Suppore that $R[S]$ is not a Hilbert ring. Since $\alpha$ is infinite, $|S|=\alpha$. Observing that $R[S]$ is a epimorphic image of $R[x]$ by sending $x_{x} \rightarrow s_{x}$. Since $R[G]$ is integral over $R[H], R[G]$ is Hilbert ring if and only if
$R[H]$ is Hilbert. By Theorem $5, R[X]$ is a Hilbert ring because $R[G]$ is a Hilbert ring. But this contradicts the fact that $R[S]$ is not a Hilbert ring.
(3) implies (1): Let $F=\left\{f_{\iota}\right\}_{\text {er }}$ bea maximal free subset of $G$. But since $G=S S^{-1}$ we may assume that $F$ is a subset of $S$. Let $H$ be the subgroup of $G$ generated by $\left\{f_{i}\right\}_{\text {.s }}$. Then ofcause, $H \cong Z^{\alpha}$ and $T=H \cap S$ is a free submonoid of $S$. First our claim is that $R[S]$ is integral over $R[T$. . For this argument, let $\beta=a_{1} s_{1}+a_{2} s_{2}+\cdots+a_{k} s_{k}$ be an element of $R[S]$ with $a_{1} \in R$ and $s_{1} \in S, i=1,2, \cdots, k$. Then for each $s_{i}$, there is a positive integer $n_{2}$ such that $n_{1} s_{i} \in H$ and so $n_{1} s_{2} \in T$. So if we denote $T_{0}$ as the submonoid generated by $T$ and $s_{1}, s_{2}, \cdots, s_{k}$, then $R\left[T_{0}\right]$ is a finite centralizing extension of $R[T]$ generated by finite centralizing elements $\left\{m_{1} s_{1}+\cdots+m_{k} s_{k} \mid 1 \leq m_{1} \leq n_{i}, i=1,2, \cdots k\right\}$ over $R_{\llcorner }^{-} T_{-}^{-}$. Rut since $\beta$ is in $R\left[T_{0-}\right], \beta$ is integral over $\left.R_{\llcorner }^{[ } T\right]$. In other words, every element of $R[S]$ is integral over $R[T$, that is $R[S]$ is an integral extension of $R[T]$.

Now for our proof that (3) implies (1), assume to the contrary that contrary that $R[x]$ is not a Hilbert ring. Then by Theorem 5, $R\left[Z^{a}\right]$ is not a Hilbert ring. By the condition (2) in Theorem 5, there is a prime ideal $P$ of $R$ such that the ring $R / P$ admits an $\alpha$-generated extension that is a $G$-ring but not simple. In this situation any ring sitting between $(R / P)\left[Z_{0}{ }^{\alpha}\right]$ and $(R / P)\left[Z^{\alpha}\right]$ can not be Hilbert, where $Z_{3}{ }^{a}$ denotes the monoid of nonnegative intergers. Now since

$$
(R / P)\left[Z_{0}{ }^{a}\right] \subseteq(R / P)[T] \subseteq(R / P)\left[Z^{\alpha}\right],
$$

$(R / P)[T]$ is not a Hilbert ring and hence $R\left[T_{-}\right.$is not
a Hilbert ring. But as we already proved since $R[S]$ integral over $R[T], R[S]$ is not a Hilbert ring, which is a contradiction So So $R[x]$ is Hilbert.

Case 2. $\alpha$ is finite
As in the proof of (3) impleis (1), still we can verify $R[S]$ is integral over $R-T]$ when $\alpha$ is finite. Now since $T$ is a free submonoid and $|F|=\alpha$, we have that $R_{[ }^{[T]}$ $=R[X]$. So we have $R[X]$ is Hilbert if and only if $\left.R_{[ } T\right]$ is Hilbert if and only if $R\left[S_{-}^{7}\right.$ is Hilbert. On the other hand by Theorem $5, R[X]$ is Hilbert if and only if $R[G]$ is Hilbert.
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