

REALCOMPACT CONVERGENCE ORDERED SPACES

JUNG WAN NAM, HYO IL CHOI AND BAE HOON PARK

In this paper, we deal with the Wallman-type ordered realcompactification of a convex convergence ordered space which is a generalization of an ordered realcompactification of topological ordered space.

For a convex convergence ordered space (X, \leq, \rightarrow) , let $X^* = \{(d(x), i(x)) | x \in X\} \cup \{(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) | (\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \text{ is a maximal closed bifilter with the countable intersection property on } X \text{ such that } \mathcal{F} \vee \mathcal{G} \text{ fails to converge}\}$, and give the convergence structure $\xrightarrow{*}$ on X^* and order relation \leq^* on X^* . Then we obtain the Wallman-type ordered realcompactification $(X^*, \leq^*, \xrightarrow{*})$ for a convex convergence ordered space (X, \leq, \rightarrow) .

DEFINITION 1. If \mathcal{F} (resp. \mathcal{G}) is a decreasing (resp. increasing) (closed) filter on X , then a pair $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ is called a (closed) *bifilter* on X if $\mathcal{F} \vee \mathcal{G}$ exists where $\mathcal{F} \vee \mathcal{G}$ is the filter generated by $\{F \cap G | F \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } G \in \mathcal{G}\}$. A bifilter $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ on X is said to *converge* if the filter $\mathcal{F} \vee \mathcal{G}$ converges in X . If $\mathcal{F} \vee \mathcal{G}$ has an adherent point in X , then we say that the bifilter $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ has an *adherent point* in X .

For $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}(X)$, we denote by $i(\mathcal{F})$ the filter generated by $\{i(F) | F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ where $i(F)$ is increasing set; the filters

$d(\mathcal{F})$ and $c(\mathcal{F})$ are defined analogously.

A filter \mathcal{F} is called a *convex filter* if it has a filterbase of convex sets, i. e., $c(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}$. Note that \mathcal{F} is a convex filter iff $\mathcal{F} = i(\mathcal{F}) \vee d(\mathcal{F})$. The bifilter $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ is called *convex* if $\mathcal{F} \vee \mathcal{G}$ is a convex filter on X . A convergence ordered space (X, \leq, \rightarrow) is said to be *convex* if every convex bifilter converges in X .

Given a convergence ordered space (X, \leq, \rightarrow) , let $\Delta = \{(x, y) | x \leq y\}$ be the graph of the partial order \leq on X .

For filters \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} on X , define $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G}$ to mean that $(\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G}) \vee \Delta \neq \phi$, i. e., $(F \times G) \cap \Delta \neq \phi$ for $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $G \in \mathcal{G}$.

DEFINITION 2. Let (X, \leq, \rightarrow) be a convergence ordered space. Then (X, \leq, \rightarrow) is said to be T_1 -ordered if $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow x$, $\mathcal{F} \otimes \dot{y}$ implies that $x \leq y$, and similarly, if $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow x$, $\dot{y} \otimes \mathcal{G}$ implies that $y \leq x$. If $x \leq y$ whenever $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow x$, $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow y$, and $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G}$, then (X, \leq, \rightarrow) is defined to be T_2 -ordered.

DEFINITION 3. Let X and Y be convergence ordered spaces. If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is an order isomorphism and homeomorphic embedding, and if Y is compact and $f(X)$ is dense in Y , then (Y, f) will be called a convergence ordered *compactification* of X .

DEFINITION 4. Let (X, \leq, \rightarrow) be a convergence ordered space. Then (X, \leq, \rightarrow) is called a *realcompact* convergence ordered space if every maximal closed bifilter with countable intersection property converges in X . In definition of a convergence ordered compactification of X , if Y is realcompact convergence ordered space then (Y, f) is called a convergence ordered *realcompactification* of (X, \leq, \rightarrow) .

In [6] and [7], a Wallman-type ordered compactification is given in the topological setting. These ideas are used here.

THEOREM 5. Let (X, \leq, \rightarrow) be a T_1 -ordered convergence space. Then for each $x \in X$, $(d(x), i(x))$ is a maximal closed bifilter with the countable intersection property.

PROOF. Suppose that $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ is a closed bifilter on X such that $(d(x), i(x)) \subseteq (\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$. Then $d(x) \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ and $i(x) \subseteq \mathcal{G}$. If $F \in \mathcal{F}$ then there exists a decreasing closed set $F_1 \in \mathcal{F}$ with $F_1 \subseteq F$. Since F_1 is decreasing, $F_1 = d(F_1)$. We know that $d(F_1) \cap i(x) \neq \emptyset$. Hence $x \in d(F_1)$.

It follows that $d(x) \subseteq d(F_1) \subseteq F$. Thus $F \in d(x)$, so $\mathcal{F} \subseteq d(x)$. Similarly, $\mathcal{G} \subseteq i(x)$. Therefore $(d(x), i(x))$ is a maximal closed bifilter. It is obvious that it has the countable intersection property.

From now on, the space is a T_1 -ordered convergence space. Let $X' = \{(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \mid (\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \text{ is a maximal closed bifilter with the countable intersection property on } X \text{ such that } \mathcal{F} \vee \mathcal{G} \text{ fails to converge}\}$.

Define $X^* = \{(d(x), i(x)) \mid x \in X\} \cup X'$ and an order relation \leq^* on X^* as follows: $(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{G}_1) \leq^* (\mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{G}_2)$ if and only if $\mathcal{F}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{F}_1$ and $\mathcal{G}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{G}_2$ for any $(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{G}_1)$ and $(\mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{G}_2)$ in X^* . Then (X^*, \leq^*) is a partially ordered set.

For given decreasing subset A and increasing subset B of (X, \leq) , we define the sets $A^d = \{(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \in X^* \mid A \in \mathcal{F}\}$ and $B^i = \{(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \in X^* \mid B \in \mathcal{G}\}$.

Let \mathcal{F} (resp. \mathcal{G}) be a decreasing (resp. increasing) filter on X . Then \mathcal{F}^d (resp. \mathcal{G}^i) denotes the filter on X^* whose

base is $\{F^d \mid F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ (resp. $\{G^i \mid G \in \mathcal{G}\}$).

DEFINITION 6. We define a convergence structure $\xrightarrow{*}$ on X^* as follows: For a filter \mathcal{H} on X^* ,

- (1) $\mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{*} (d(x), i(x))$ in X^* if and only if there exists a filter $\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{*} x$ in X such that $(d\mathcal{F})^d \vee (i\mathcal{F})^i \subseteq \mathcal{H}$;
- (2) $\mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{*} (\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ in X^* , $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \in X'$ if and only if $\mathcal{F}^d \vee \mathcal{G}^i \subseteq \mathcal{H}$.

Then $(X^*, \leq^*, \xrightarrow{*})$ is called a *convergence ordered space*.

THEOREM 7. Let (X, \leq, \rightarrow) be a convergence ordered space. Then $A = d(A)$ and $A \subseteq X$ is closed in X if A^d is closed in X^* .

PROOF. Suppose that A^d is closed in X^* . Let $x \in \bar{A}$. Then there exists a filter $\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{*} x$ such that $A \in \mathcal{F}$. Thus $(d\mathcal{F})^d \wedge (i\mathcal{F})^i \xrightarrow{*} (d(x), i(x))$ and $A^d \in (d\mathcal{F})^d$. Hence we have a filter $(d\mathcal{F})^d \vee (i\mathcal{F})^i \xrightarrow{*} (d(x), i(x))$ such that $A^d \in (d\mathcal{F})^d \vee (i\mathcal{F})^i$, that is, $(d(x), i(x)) \in \bar{A}^d = A^d$ and so $d(x) \subseteq A$, i.e., $x \in A$, since A is decreasing. Thus A is closed in X .

THEOREM 8. Let (X, \leq, \rightarrow) be a T_1 -ordered convergence space. Then $(X^*, \leq^*, \xrightarrow{*})$ is a realcompact convergence ordered space.

PROOF. Let $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ be a maximal closed bifilter with the countable intersection property, and \mathcal{F} (resp. \mathcal{G}) be the filter generated by $\{A \subseteq X \mid A^d \in \mathcal{H}, A = d(A)\}$ (resp. $\{B \subseteq X \mid B^i \in \mathcal{K}, B = i(B)\}$). Then \mathcal{F} (resp. \mathcal{G}) is a decreasing (resp. increasing) closed filter with the countable intersection property. If $F \in \mathcal{F}$, $F = d(F)$ and $G \in \mathcal{G}$, $G = i(G)$ then $F^d \in \mathcal{H}$ and $G^i \in \mathcal{K}$. Since $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ is a bifilter, $F^d \cap G^i \neq \emptyset$

and so we have some maximal closed bifilter $(M, N) \in F^d \cap G'$. Hence $F \cap G \neq \phi$. Therefore $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ is a closed bifilter. Suppose that $(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{G}_1)$ is a closed bifilter with the countable intersection property on X such that $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \subset (\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{G}_1)$. If $A = d(A) \in \mathcal{F}_1$ such that $A \notin \mathcal{F}$, then $X - A$ is increasing and since $i(x)$ is a increasing closed set for some $x \in X - A$, $X - A \in \mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{G}_1$. It is contradiction to the fact $\mathcal{F}_1 \vee \mathcal{G}_1 \neq \phi$. Thus $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ is a maximal closed bifilter. If $\mathcal{F} \vee \mathcal{G} \rightarrow x$ in X , then $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}) \xrightarrow{*} (d(x), i(x))$ in X^* , since $(\mathcal{F}^d, \mathcal{G}^i) \subseteq (\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$. If $\mathcal{F} \vee \mathcal{G}$ fails to converge in X , then $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \in X'$ and so $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}) \xrightarrow{*} (\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ in X^* . Therefore $(X^*, \leq^*, \xrightarrow{*})$ is a realcompact convergence ordered space.

THEOREM 9. Let (X, \leq, \rightarrow) be a convex T_1 -ordered convergence space. Then the natural map $\phi: (X, \leq, \cdot) \rightarrow (X^*, \leq^*, \xrightarrow{*})$ is a dense embedding.

PROOF. Suppose that $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow x$ in X . Then $\phi(\mathcal{F}) \supseteq \phi(d\mathcal{F} \vee i\mathcal{F}) \supseteq \phi(d\mathcal{F}) \vee \phi(i\mathcal{F}) \supseteq (d\mathcal{F})^d \vee (i\mathcal{F})^i \xrightarrow{*} \phi(x)$ in X^* . Thus ϕ is a continuous function. Suppose that $\phi(\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{*} \phi(x)$ in X^* . Then $\phi(\mathcal{F}) \supseteq (d\mathcal{G})^d \vee (i\mathcal{G})^i$ for some $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow x$ in X . Thus $\mathcal{F} \supseteq \phi^{-1}((d\mathcal{G})^d \vee (i\mathcal{G})^i) = \phi^{-1}((d\mathcal{G})^d) \vee \phi^{-1}((i\mathcal{G})^i) = d\mathcal{G} \vee i\mathcal{G} \rightarrow x$, since X is convex. Hence ϕ is an embedding. Let $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \in X'$, then $\phi(\mathcal{F} \vee \mathcal{G}) \supseteq (d(\mathcal{F} \vee \mathcal{G}))^d \vee (i(\mathcal{F} \vee \mathcal{G}))^i = \mathcal{F}^d \vee \mathcal{G}^i$, since $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) = (d(\mathcal{F} \vee \mathcal{G}), i(\mathcal{F} \vee \mathcal{G}))$. Thus $\phi(\mathcal{F} \vee \mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{*} (\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ in X^* . We conclude that ϕ is a dense embedding.

By Theorem 8 and Theorem 9, we have the following.

THEOREM 10. Let (X, \leq, \rightarrow) be a convex T_1 -ordered convergence space. Then $(X^*, \leq^*, \xrightarrow{*})$ is a realcompactification

of (X, \leq) .

Suppose that $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ and $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ are two bifilters. We define the relation \leq^* on X^* as follow: $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \otimes (\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ if $\mathcal{G} \vee \mathcal{H} \neq \phi$.

DEFINITION 11. Let (X, \leq, \rightarrow) be a T_1 -ordered (resp. T_2 -ordered) convergence space. Then (X, \leq, \rightarrow) is said to be *strongly T_1 -ordered* (reps. *strongly T_2 -ordered*) if

- (1) if $(d\mathcal{F}, i\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow x$ and $(d\mathcal{F}, i\mathcal{F}) \otimes (\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$, then $(\dot{d}(x), \dot{i}(x)) \leq^* (\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$;
- (2) if $(d\mathcal{F}, i\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow x$ and $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}) \otimes (d\mathcal{F}, i\mathcal{F})$, then $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}) \leq^* (\dot{d}(x), \dot{i}(x))$.

THEOREM 12. Let (X, \leq, \rightarrow) be a convex convergence space. If X is strongly T_1 -ordered, then X^* is T_1 -ordered.

PROOF. Suppose that X is T_1 -ordered. Let $\mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{*} (\dot{d}(x), \dot{i}(x))$ and $\mathcal{H} \otimes (\dot{d}(y), \dot{i}(y))$. Then there exists a filter $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow x$ such that $(d\mathcal{F})^d \vee (i\mathcal{F})^i \subseteq \mathcal{H}$, and so $(d\mathcal{F})^d \vee (i\mathcal{F})^i \otimes (\dot{d}(y), \dot{i}(y))$. It follows that $\{((dF)^d \cap (iF)^i) \times (\dot{d}(y), \dot{i}(y))\} \cap \mathcal{A}^* \neq \phi$ for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$, where \mathcal{A}^* is the graph of order in X^* . This implies that there exists a maximal closed bifilter $(\mathcal{m}, \mathcal{n}) \leq^* (\dot{d}(y), \dot{i}(y))$ such that $dF \in \mathcal{m}$ and $iF \in \mathcal{n}$ for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$. By definition of \leq^* , $\dot{d}(y) \subseteq \mathcal{m}$ and $\mathcal{n} \subseteq \dot{i}(y)$. Thus $d(y) \in \mathcal{m}$ and $iF \in \mathcal{n}$ for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$. Since $(\mathcal{m}, \mathcal{n})$ is a bifilter, $iF \cap d(y) \neq \phi$ for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$. Hence we have a $z \in F$ with $z \leq y$ for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$. This means that $\mathcal{F} \otimes \dot{y}$. Since X is T_1 -ordered and $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow x$, $\mathcal{F} \otimes \dot{y}$, and $x \leq y$. Thus $(\dot{d}(x), \dot{i}(x)) \leq^* (\dot{d}(y), \dot{i}(y))$. Similarly, if $\mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{*} (\dot{d}(x), \dot{i}(x))$ in X^* and $(\dot{d}(y), \dot{i}(y)) \otimes$

\mathcal{H} , then $(d(y), i(y)) \leq^* (d(x), i(x))$. The natural map f is increasing.

Suppose that $\mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{*} (\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}), (\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \in X'$ and $\mathcal{H} \otimes (d(x), i(x))$. Then $\mathcal{F}^d \vee \mathcal{G}^i \otimes (d(x), i(x))$. This means that there exists a maximal closed bifilter $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \in F^d \cup G^i$ with $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \leq^* (d(x), i(x))$ for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $G \in \mathcal{G}$. If $G \in \mathcal{G}$ then $G \in \mathcal{N} \subseteq i(x)$. Thus $G \subseteq i(x)$. Since $F \in \mathcal{M}$ and $d(x) \in \mathcal{M}$, $F \cap d(x) \in \mathcal{M}$. For $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $G \in \mathcal{G}$, $d(x) \cap F \cap G \neq \phi$, since $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ is a maximal closed bifilter. Thus $d(x) \in \mathcal{F}$ and so $d(x) \subseteq \mathcal{F}$. Therefore $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \leq^* (d(x), i(x))$. Similarly, if $\mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{*} (\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ in X^* and $\mathcal{H} \otimes (\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}), (\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \in X'$ and $(d(x), i(x)) \otimes \mathcal{H}$, then $(d(x), i(x)) \leq^* (\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$.

Suppose that $\mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{*} (\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}), (\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \in X'$ and $\mathcal{H} \otimes (\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{T})$. Then $\mathcal{F}^d \vee \mathcal{G}^i \otimes (\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{T})$. It follows that there exists a maximal closed bifilter $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \in F^d \cap G^i$ for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $G \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \leq^* (\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{T})$. If $S \in \mathcal{J}$, then $S \in \mathcal{M}$ and $S \cap F \in \mathcal{M}$. Since $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ is a maximal closed bifilter, $S \cap F \cap G \neq \phi$ for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $G \in \mathcal{G}$. Thus $S \in \mathcal{F}$ and so $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$. If $G \in \mathcal{G}$ then $G \in \mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$. Thus $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$. Therefore $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \leq^* (\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{T})$. Similarly, if $\mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{*} (\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}), (\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \in X'$ and $(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{T}) \otimes \mathcal{H}$, then $(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{T}) \leq^* (\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$.

Suppose that $\mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{*} (d(x), i(x))$ and $\mathcal{H} \otimes (\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{T}), (\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{T}) \in X'$. Then $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow x$ and $(d\mathcal{F})^d \vee (i\mathcal{F})^i \otimes (\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{T})$. There exists a maximal closed bifilter $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \in (dF)^d \cap (iF)^i$ for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \leq^* (\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{T})$. Since $iF \in \mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$, $iF \cap S \neq \phi$ for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $S \in \mathcal{J}$. Thus $(d\mathcal{F}, i\mathcal{F}) \otimes (\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{T})$. Since X is convex, $(d\mathcal{F}, i\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow x$.

Therefore $(d(x), i(x)) \leq^* (\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{T})$. Similarly, if $\mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{*} (d(x), i(x))$ and $(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{T}) \otimes \mathcal{H}$, $(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{T}) \in X'$, then $(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{T}) \leq^*(d(x), i(x))$.

We conclude that $(X^*, \leq^*, \xrightarrow{*})$ is a T_1 -ordered convergence space. It is obvious that if X^* is T_1 -ordered then X is T_1 -ordered.

THEOREM 13. Let (X, \leq, \rightarrow) be a convex convergence ordered space. If (X, \leq, \rightarrow) is strongly T_2 -ordered, then $(X^*, \leq^*, \xrightarrow{*})$ is T_2 -ordered.

PROOF. Suppose that $\mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{*} (d(x), i(x))$, $\mathcal{K} \xrightarrow{*} (d(y), i(y))$ and $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}$. Then there exist $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow x$, $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow y$ such that $(d\mathcal{F})^d \vee (i\mathcal{F})^i \otimes (d\mathcal{G})^d \vee (i\mathcal{G})^i$. Thus we have two maximal closed bifilters $(m, n) \in (dF)^d \cap (iF)^i$ and $(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{T}) \in (dG)^d \cap (iG)^i$ such that $(m, n) \leq^*(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{T})$ for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $G \in \mathcal{G}$. It follows that $dF \in m$, $iF \in n$, $dG \in \mathcal{J}$ and $iG \in \mathcal{T}$, and $\mathcal{J} \subseteq m$, $n \subseteq \mathcal{T}$. Thus $dG \in \mathcal{J} \subseteq m$ and $iF \in n$. This means that $iF \cap dG \neq \emptyset$ for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $G \in \mathcal{G}$. Therefore $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G}$. Since X is T_2 -ordered, $x \leq y$ and so $(d(x), i(x)) \leq^*(d(y), i(y))$.

Suppose that $\mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{*} (d(x), i(x))$, $\mathcal{K} \xrightarrow{*} (\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{T})$, $(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{T}) \in X'$ and $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}$. Then there exists $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow x$ with $(d\mathcal{F})^d \vee (i\mathcal{F})^i \subseteq \mathcal{H}$, and $\mathcal{J}^d \vee \mathcal{T}^i \subseteq \mathcal{K}$. For each $F \in \mathcal{F}$, $S \in \mathcal{J}$ and $T \in \mathcal{T}$, $\{(dF)^d \cap (iF)^i\} \times \{S^d \cap T^i\} \cap \mathcal{A}^* \neq \emptyset$ and so there exist $(m, n) \in (dF)^d \cap (iF)^i$ and $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}) \in S^d \cap T^i$ such that $(m, n) \leq^*(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$. Since $iF \in n \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ and $S \in \mathcal{U} \subseteq m$, $i\mathcal{F} \vee S \neq \emptyset$ by definition of a bifilter $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$. Hence $(d\mathcal{F}, i\mathcal{F}) \otimes (\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{T})$ and $(d\mathcal{F}, i\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow x$. It follows that $(d(x), i(x))$

$\leq^* (\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{T})$.

Suppose that $\mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{*} (\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$, $\mathcal{K} \xrightarrow{*} (\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{T})$ and $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}), (\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{T}) \in X'$ and $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{K}$. Then $\mathcal{F} \vee \mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{J} \vee \mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{K}$. We have $(m, n) \in F \cap G$ and $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}) \in S \cap T$ with $(m, n) \leq^* (\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$, $G \in \mathcal{G}$, $S \in \mathcal{J}$ and $T \in \mathcal{T}$. If $S \in \mathcal{J}$ then $S \in \mathcal{U} \subseteq m$. Thus $S \cap F \cap G \neq \phi$ for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $G \in \mathcal{G}$, and so $S \in \mathcal{F}$. If $G \in \mathcal{G}$ then $G \in n \subseteq \mathcal{V}$. Thus $G \cap S \cap T \neq \phi$ for each $S \in \mathcal{J}$ and $T \in \mathcal{T}$. Hence $G \in \mathcal{T}$. Therefore $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \leq^* (\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{T})$.

Suppose that $\mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{*} (\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{T})$, $(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{T}) \in X'$, $\mathcal{K} \xrightarrow{*} (d(x), i(x))$ and $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{K}$. Then there exists $\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{*} x$ with $(d\mathcal{F}) \vee (i\mathcal{F}) \subseteq \mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{J} \vee \mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$. For each $F \in \mathcal{F}$, $S \in \mathcal{J}$, and $T \in \mathcal{T}$, there exist $(m, n) \in S \cap T$ and $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}) \in (dF) \cap (iF)$ with $(m, n) \leq^* (\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$. It follows that $S \in m$, $T \in n$, $dF \in \mathcal{U}$, $iF \in \mathcal{V}$, $\mathcal{U} \subseteq m$, and $n \subseteq \mathcal{V}$. Thus $dF \vee T \neq \phi$, and so $(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{T}) \otimes (d\mathcal{F}, i\mathcal{F})$. Hence $(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{T}) \leq^* (d(x), i(x))$. We conclude that X^* is T_2 -ordered.

References

1. Gazić, R.J., B.H. Park and G.D. Richardson, A Wallman-type compactification for convergence Space, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 92 (1984), 301-304.
2. D.C. Kent, Convergence functions and their related topologies, Fund. Math. 54 (1964), 125-133.
3. C.C. Kent, and G.D. Richardson, T-regular-closed convergence spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 51 (1975), 461-468.
4. _____, Compactifications of convergence spaces, Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci. 2 (1979), 345-368.
5. _____, A compactification for convergence ordered

- spaces, *Canad. Math. Bull.* 27 (1984), 505-513.
6. B.H. Park, On Wallman-type extension, *Kyungpook Math. J.* 19 (1979), 183-191.
 7. Y.S. Park, Ordered topological spaces and topological semilattices, Ph. D. Thesis 1977, McMaster.
 8. Vinod-Kumar, On the largest Hausdorff compactification of a Hausdorff convergence space, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 16 (1979), 189-197.
 9. _____, Compactification of a convergence space, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 81 (1979), 256-262.
 10. S. Willard, *General Topology*, Addison-Wesely Pub., 1970.

Gyeongsang National University
Jinju 660-300
Korea

Kyungnam University
Masan 630-701
Korea

and

Korea National University of Education
Cheongju 360
Korea

Received August 25, 1987