

ON SOME PROPERTIES OF BOUNDED HOMOMOR-  
PHISMS AND DERIVATIONS OF A C\*-ALGEBRA

MASARU NAGISA AND YOUNGMAN NAM

We consider some properties of the completely bounded representations of C\*-algebras. We discuss the relation between the  $k$ -similarity and the property  $D_k$  and get the result every  $k$ -similar C\*-algebra has property  $D_k$ . Moreover we determine the similarity problem for the algebra  $C \oplus C$  precisely and constructively.

**1. Introduction**

Let  $\phi$  be a bounded non-degenerate representation of a C\*-algebra  $A$  on a Hilbert space  $H$ . The following fact is well known:

$\phi$  is similar to a \*-representation if and only if  $\phi$  is completely bounded.

Haagerup ([5]) has shown that there exists a bounded invertible operator  $T$  on  $H$  such that  $T\phi T^{-1}$  is a \*-representation and  $\|T\| \|T^{-1}\| = \|\phi\|_{cb}$ . Recently Christensen ([4]) has shown that any representation  $\phi$  of a  $\Pi_1$ -factor

with property  $F$  is completely bounded and  $\|\phi\|_{cb} \leq \|\phi\|^{44}$ .

Therefore to determine a similarness of a bounded representation will be assigned to determine its complete boundedness. So it is interesting to estimate a complete bounded norm of a bounded representation and to study the connection between this norm and its original norm.

According to these motivations we will define the following notations.

DEFINITION 1. Let  $k$  be a positive real and  $\phi$  be a bounded linear map from a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  into a  $C^*$ -algebra  $B$ . Then  $\phi$  is said to be  $k$ -completely bounded, if  $\phi$  is completely bounded and  $\|\phi\|_{cb} \leq \|\phi\|^k$ .

For example, each completely positive map  $\phi$  is completely bounded and  $\|\phi\|_{cb} = \|\phi\|$ , and every cyclic bounded representation is 3-completely bounded. (cf. [5].)

DEFINITION 2. A  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is said to be  $k$ -similar, if every bounded non-degenerate representation of  $A$  on a Hilbert space  $H$  is  $k$ -completely bounded.

As mentioned above we will see that for any  $k$ -similar  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , every bounded representation of  $A$  is similar to a  $*$ -representation. The following fact is known:

A nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra is 2-similar (cf. [1], [2]).

A  $C^*$ -algebra which has no tracial states is 3-similar.

A properly infinite von Neumann algebra is 3-similar ([5]), and a type  $\Pi_1$ -factor with property  $F$  is 44-similar.

But these estimations are not necessarily best possible. In

fact we can show that a 2-dimensional  $C^*$ -algebra  $C \oplus C$  is 1-similar.

## 2. $k$ -similarity

In this section, we will discuss some properties of  $k$ -similar  $C^*$ -algebras. At first we note the following fact.

LEMMA 3. Let  $x$  and  $1$  (the identity operator) be in  $B(H)$  and  $\lambda$  be a positive real. Then we have a norm of an operator  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$  in  $B(H \oplus H)$ ,

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \right\| = \frac{1}{2} [ \{ \|x\|^2 + (\lambda+1)^2 \}^{1/2} + \{ \|x\|^2 + (\lambda-1)^2 \}^{1/2} ].$$

PROOF. Let  $x = u|x|$  be a polar decomposition of  $x$ . We compute the norm of an operator  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$ , so we may assume that this partial isometry  $u$  is a unitary operator from  $H$  to  $H$ . Using this unitary operator  $u$ , we can identify the algebra  $B(H \oplus H)$  with  $B(H) \otimes M_2$ . That is, this identification is as follows;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B(H \oplus H) & & B(H) \otimes M_2 \\ \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\ uau^* + ub + cu^* + d & \longrightarrow & \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \end{array}$$

Therefore, we can calculate the norm of  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & |x| \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$  in  $B(H) \otimes M_2$ .

Let  $\|x\| = \int_0^{\|x\|} \mu \, d\epsilon(\mu)$  be the spectral decomposition of  $|x|$ .

Since we get  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & |x| \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} = \int \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mu \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} dE(\mu)$ , where  $dE(\mu) = \begin{pmatrix} d\epsilon(\mu) & 0 \\ 0 & d\epsilon(\mu) \end{pmatrix}$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \|x\| \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \right\| &= \sup \left\{ \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mu \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \right\| : 0 \leq \mu \leq \|x\| \right\} \\
&= \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \|x\| \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \right\| \\
&= \frac{1}{2} [ \{ \|x\|^2 + (\lambda+1)^2 \}^{1/2} + \{ \|x\|^2 + (\lambda-1)^2 \}^{1/2} ].
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete.

Let  $e$  be an idempotent operator on  $H$  and  $p$  be the range projection of  $e$ . Then  $(1-p)e=0$ . Therefore we can represent  $e$  as following form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in B(pH \oplus (1-p)H),$$

where  $x$  is an operator from  $(1-p)H$  to  $pH$ .

**COROLLARY 4.** If  $\{\phi, H\}$  is a bounded representation of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , then the following two conditions are equivalent:

- (1)  $\|\phi\|=1$ .
- (2)  $\phi$  is  $*$ -representation.

**PROOF.** (2) implies (1): It is obvious. (1) implies (2): By considering the transposed map of the restriction of  $\phi$  to  $B(H)^*$ , we may assume the  $\phi$  is a normal representation of a von Neumann algebra  $A^{**}$  on  $H$ . Hence we have only to show that  $\phi(p)$  is a projection for any projection  $p$  in  $A^{**}$ .

We assume that  $\phi(p)$  is not projection. Then  $\phi(p)$  is an idempotent, so  $\phi(p)$  has the form  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  as mentioned above, where  $x \neq 0$ . However, we have  $\|\phi(p)\| > 1$  by Lemma 3, which contradict to  $\|\phi\|=1$ .

From now on we will consider the  $k$ -similarity of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $C \oplus C$ . Let  $\{\phi, H\}$  be a representation of the 2-dimensional algebra  $C \oplus C$ . If we denote by  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  the range space of the idempotent  $\phi(1, 0)$  and its orthogonal complement respectively, then we can represent the operator  $\phi(1, 0)$  by the form  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in B(H \oplus H) = B(H)$ , and  $\phi(0, 1)$  has the form  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . We define a bounded invertible operator  $S$  and a  $*$ -representation  $\pi$  of  $C \oplus C$  on  $H$  as follows

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in B(H_1 \oplus H_2)$$

and

$$\pi(\alpha, \beta) = \alpha p_1 \oplus \beta p_2,$$

where  $p_i$  is a projection from  $H$  to  $H_i$ . Then we can see that  $\phi = S^{-1} \pi S$ , that is,  $\phi$  is similar to this  $*$ -representation  $\pi$ . Moreover we consider the bounded invertible operator

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & (\|x\|^2 + 1)^{1/2} \end{pmatrix} S.$$

Then we get the following result.

**THEOREM 5.** For any bounded non-degenerate representation  $\phi$  of  $C \oplus C$  on a Hilbert space  $H$ , we use the above notations. Then

- (1)  $\|\phi\| = \|\phi(1, -1)\|$ . (the norm of a difference of two idempotents.)
- (2)  $\phi = T^{-1} \pi T$ .
- (3)  $\|T\| \|T^{-1}\| = \|\phi\|$ .

In particular,  $C \oplus C$  is 1-similar.

**PROOF.** (1) For any  $\alpha, \beta \in C$ , we can represent  $\phi(\alpha, \beta)$  by

the following from

$$\phi(\alpha, \beta) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & (\alpha - \beta)x \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix}.$$

We compute this norm. We may assume  $|\alpha| = |\beta| = 1$ , so

$$\|\phi\| \leq \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2\|x\| \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\| = \|x\| + (\|x\|^2 + 1)^{1/2}.$$

Conversely,

$$\|\phi(1, -1)\| = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\| = \|x\| + (\|x\|^2 + 1)^{1/2}.$$

Thus we get

$$\|\phi\| = \|\phi(1, -1)\|.$$

(2) It is clear that we note the fact  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & (\|x\|^2 + 1)^{1/2} \end{pmatrix}$  belongs to the center of the range of  $\pi$ .

(3) Let  $\lambda = (\|x\|^2 + 1)^{1/2}$ . We note that  $T$  and  $T^{-1}$  have the following form,

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix},$$

$$T^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\lambda \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -x/\lambda \\ 0 & 1/\lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using Lemma 3, we can compute norms of  $\|T\|$ ,  $\|T^{-1}\|$  and get  $\|T\| \|T^{-1}\| = \|x\| + (\|x\|^2 + 1)^{1/2}$ . The proof is complete.

**PROPOSITION 6.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be  $C^*$ -algebras and  $k$  be a positive real. Then the following holds:

- (1) If  $A \oplus B$  is  $k$ -similar, then  $A$  and  $B$  are  $k$ -similar.
- (2) If both  $A$  and  $B$  are  $k$ -similar, then  $A \oplus B$  is  $(k+1)$ -similar.
- (3) Suppose that  $\{A_n\}$  is an increasing sequence of  $k_n$ -

similar  $C^*$ -subalgebras of  $A$ ,  $A = \bigcup \bar{A}_n$  and  $N = \sup k < \infty$ , then the  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is  $N$ -similar.

PROOF. (1) It easily follows by identifying  $A$  and  $B$  with  $A+0$  and  $0+B$  in  $A+B$  respectively.

(2) Let  $\phi$  be a bounded non-degenerate representation of  $A+B$  on a Hilbert space  $H$ . Put  $\bar{\phi} = \phi|_{C \otimes C}$ . By Theorem 5 there exists a bounded invertible operator  $T$  on  $H$  such that  $T\phi T^{-1}$  is a  $*$ -representation of  $C \oplus C$  on  $H$  and  $\|\bar{\phi}\| = \|\bar{T\phi}\|_{cb}$ . Hence we denote by  $p_1, p_2$ , orthogonal projections  $T\phi(1,0)T^{-1}, T\phi(0,1)T^{-1}$  with sum 1 respectively. Putting  $H_i = p_i H, \phi_i = T\phi T^{-1}|_{H_i} (i=1,2)$ , it follows that  $\phi_i$  and  $\phi_2$  are bounded non-degenerate representations of  $A$  and  $B$  on  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  respectively, and  $T\phi T^{-1} = \phi_1 \oplus \phi_2$ . Since  $T$  is of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$  by Theorem 5 for each  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(a, b) &= T^{-1}(\phi_1(a) \oplus \phi_2(b))T \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -x/\lambda \\ 0 & 1/\lambda \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1(a) & 0 \\ 0 & \phi_2(b) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1(a) & \phi_1(a)x - x\phi_2(b) \\ 0 & \phi_2(b) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\|\phi\| \geq \max \{\|\phi_1\|, \|\phi_2\|\} = \|\phi_1 \oplus \phi_2\|$ . Noting that  $\phi_1 \oplus \phi_2$  is  $k$ -completely bounded because  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  are  $k$ -completely bounded by assumption, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi\|_{cb} &= \|T^{-1}(\phi_1 \oplus \phi_2)T\|_{cb} \\ &\leq \|T\| \|T^{-1}\| \|\phi_1 \oplus \phi_2\|_{cb} \\ &\leq \|\phi\| \|\phi_1 \oplus \phi_2\|^{k'} \\ &\leq \|\phi\| \|\phi\|^k = \|\phi\|^{k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\phi$  is  $(k+1)$ -completely bounded.

(3) Suppose that  $\phi$  is a bounded non-degenerate representation of  $\bigcup \bar{A}_n$  on a Hilbert space  $H$ . We put  $\phi_n = \phi|_{A_n}$  for each  $n \in N$ , then  $\phi_n$  is a bounded representation of  $A_n$ . Then we can easily show that  $\|\phi\| = \sup \|\phi_n\|$ , therefore the assertion follows.

**COROLLARY 7.** Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and  $I$  be a closed ideal of  $A$ . If  $A$  is  $k$ -similar, then  $I$  and  $A/I$  (the quotient algebra) are  $k$ -similar. Conversely, if  $I$  and  $A/I$  are  $k$ -similar, then  $A$  is  $(k+1)$ -similar.

**PROOF.** Suppose that  $\phi$  is a bounded non-degenerate representation of  $I$  on a Hilbert space  $H$ . Then it is extended to a normal representation  $\tilde{\phi}$  of  $I^{**}$  on  $H$  with  $\|\phi\| = \|\tilde{\phi}\|$ . Since  $I^{**}$  is a  $\sigma$ -weakly closed ideal of a von Neumann algebra  $A^{**}$ ,  $I^{**}$  is of form  $A^{**}z$  with a central projection  $z$  of  $A^{**}$ . Put

$$\psi(x) = \tilde{\phi}(xz), \quad x \in A^{**}.$$

Then  $\psi$  is a normal representation of  $A^{**}$  on  $H$  such that  $\tilde{\phi} = \psi|_{I^{**}}$  and  $\|\psi\| = \|\tilde{\phi}\| (= \|\phi\|)$ . We note that if  $A$  is  $k$ -similar, then every normal representation of  $A^{**}$  is  $k$ -completely bounded. Thus we have

$$\|\phi\|_{cb} = \|\tilde{\phi}\|_{cb} = \|\psi\|_{cb} \leq \|\psi\|^k = \|\tilde{\phi}\|^k = \|\phi\|^k.$$

Next, suppose that  $\phi$  is a bounded non-degenerate representation of  $A/I$  on  $H$  and  $q$  is the quotient map from  $A$  onto  $A/I$ . Put  $\tilde{\phi} = \phi \circ q$ . Then  $\tilde{\phi}$  is a bounded non-degenerate representation of  $A$  on  $H$ . By assumption there exists a bounded invertible operator  $S$  on  $H$  such that  $\rho = S\tilde{\phi}S^{-1}$  is a  $*$ -representation of  $A$  on  $H$  and  $\|S\| \|S^{-1}\| = \|\tilde{\phi}\|_{cb}$ .



We define a  $*$ -representation of  $A/I$  on  $H$  by

$$\pi(q(a)) = \rho(a)$$

for each  $a \in A$ . Then it follows that  $\pi = S\phi S^{-1}$  and

$$\|\phi\|_{cb} \leq \|S\| \|S^{-1}\| = \|\tilde{\phi}\|_{cb} \leq \|\tilde{\phi}\|^k \leq \|\phi\|^k.$$

The converse assertion follows from the fact that the algebra  $A^{**}$  can be identified a direct sum of  $I^{**}$  and  $(A/I)^{**}$  and Proposition 6.

### 3. The relation to property $D_k$

As stated in introduction, the similarity problems concerned with the derivation problem. Specially, we consider here the relation between  $k$ -similarity and property  $D_k$ . We recall the following definition.

DEFINITION 8. A  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is said to have property  $D_k$  for some positive real  $k$ , if for each non-degenerate  $*$ -representation  $\phi$  of  $A$  on a Hilbert space  $H$  we have

$$d(x, \phi(A)') \leq k \|ad(x)|_{\phi(A)}\|$$

for all  $x \in B(H)$ , where the left side is the distance of  $x$  and the commutant  $\phi(A)'$  of  $\phi(A)$  and  $ad(x)(a) = xa - ax$ .

The reader is referred to Christensen ([4], [5]) for several results on property  $D_k$ .

THEOREM 9. Every  $k$ -similar  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  has property  $D_{k/A}$ . (in particular,  $D_k$ .)

PROOF. Let  $\phi$  be a non-degenerate  $*$ -representation of  $A$

on a Hilbert space  $H$  and let  $x$  be in  $B(H)$ . We consider for each positive real  $t$  the representation  $\phi_t$  of  $A$  into  $B(H \oplus H)$  given by

$$\phi_t(a) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi(a) & t\delta(a) \\ 0 & \phi(a) \end{pmatrix}, \quad a \in A$$

where  $\delta = ad(x) \circ \phi$ . Using Lemma 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_t(a)\| &= \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \phi(a) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t\delta(a) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi(a) & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \phi(a) \end{pmatrix} \right\| \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t\delta(a) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\| \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \phi(a) & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\| \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [\|t\delta(a)\| + \{\|t\delta(a)\|^2 + 4\}^{1/2}] (\max\{1, \|\phi(a)\|\})^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \{t\|\delta\| + (t^2\|\delta\|^2 + 4)^{1/2}\} (\max\{1, \|a\|\})^2, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\|\delta\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \{t\|\delta\| + (t^2\|\delta\|^2 + 4)^{1/2}\}.$$

By the  $k$ -similarity of  $A$ ,  $\phi_t$  is completely bounded and  $\|\phi_t\|_{cb} \leq \|\phi_t\|^k$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} t\|\delta\|_{cb} &\leq \|\phi_t\|_{cb} \leq \|\phi_t\|^k \\ &\leq \left[ \frac{1}{2} \{t\|\delta\| + (t^2\|\delta\|^2 + 4)^{1/2}\} \right]^k, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\|\delta\|_{cb} \leq \inf_{t \in K_+} t^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \{t\|\delta\| + (t^2\|\delta\|^2 + 4)^{1/2}\} \right]^k.$$

The right side of above inequality attains a minimum value at  $t = 2/(k^2 - 1)^{1/2} \|\delta\|$ . So  $\|\delta\|_{cb}$  is dominated by the value  $\frac{1}{2} (k+1) \{1 + 2/(k-1)\}^{(k-1)/2} \|\delta\|$ . Then the function  $\frac{1}{k} (k+1) \{1 + 2/(k-1)\}^{(k-1)/2}$  is monotone increasing for  $k > 1$ , and it takes a limit value  $e$  at infinity. Therefore

$$\|\delta\|_{cb} \leq \frac{e}{2} k \|\delta\|.$$

By [5, Proposition 2.1] we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, \phi(A)') &= \frac{1}{2} \|\delta\|_{cb} \\ &\leq \frac{e}{4} k \|\delta\| (\leq k \|\delta\|). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the proof is complete.

REMARK. The above proof is based on [4, Theorem 3.2]. By this theorem we can get better estimation than [4], that is, any type  $\Pi_1$ -factor with property  $F$  has property  $D_{36}$ .

Now we show that  $k$ -similarity implies property  $D_k$ . But we don't know whether property  $D_k$  implies  $k$ -similarity or not. Though we shall show the following fact like proposition 6 for  $C^*$ -algebras with property  $D_k$ .

PROPOSITION 10. Let  $A$  and  $B$  be  $C^*$ -algebras.

- (1) If  $A \oplus B$  has property  $D_k$ , then so  $A$  and  $B$  have.
- (2) If  $A$  and  $B$  have property  $D_k$ , then  $A \oplus B$  has property  $D_{k+1}$ .

PROOF. (1) It is obvious.

(2) Let  $\phi$  be a  $*$ -representation of  $A \oplus B$  on a Hilbert space  $H$  and  $x$  be in  $B(H)$ . Then  $p = \phi(1, 0)$  and  $q = \phi(0, 1)$  are the orthogonal projections with  $p + q = 1$ . We denote by  $p$  and  $q$ , the projections of orthogonal subspaces  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  respectively. We define  $*$ -representations by  $\phi_1 = \phi|_A$  on  $H_1$  and  $\phi_2 = \phi|_B$  on  $H_2$  and we denote  $\phi = \phi_1 \oplus \phi_2$ .

For any  $x = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in B(H_1 \oplus H_2)$  and  $y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & 0 \\ 0 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \phi(A \oplus B)'$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & 0 \\ 0 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\| &= \left\| \begin{pmatrix} x_{11}-y_1 & 0 \\ 0 & x_{22}-y_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_{12} \\ x_{21} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \begin{pmatrix} x_{11}-y_1 & 0 \\ 0 & x_{22}-y_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\| + \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_{12} \\ x_{21} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\| \\ &= \max\{\|x_{11}-y_1\|, \|x_{22}-y_2\|\} + \left\| ad(x) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

So we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, \phi(A \oplus B)') &\leq \max\{d(x_{11}, \phi_1(A)'), d(x_{22}, \phi_2(B)')\} \\ &\quad + \|ad(x)|_{\phi'(A \oplus B)}\|. \end{aligned}$$

By the assumption of property  $D_k$  for  $A$  and  $B$ ,

$$d(x, \phi(A \oplus B)') \leq (k+1) \|ad(x)|_{\phi'(A \oplus B)}\|.$$

Thus we conclude  $A \oplus B$  has property  $D_{k+1}$ .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The authors would like to thank R. Ichihara for his helpful suggestion and Professor O. Takenouchi for his constant encouragement.

### References

1. J.W. Bunce, The similarity problem for representations of  $C^*$ -algebras, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 81(1981), 409-414.
2. E. Christensen, On non self-adjoint representation of  $C^*$ -algebras, Amer. J. Math. 103(1981), 817-833.
3. \_\_\_\_\_, Extensions of derivation II, Math. Scand. 50 (1982), 111-122.
4. \_\_\_\_\_, Similarities of II<sub>1</sub> factors with property  $P$ , Kopenhavns Universitet Math. Ins. Preprint series 1984, No.16.
5. U. Haagerup, Solution of the similarity problem for cyclic representations of  $C^*$ -algebras, Ann. of Math. 118(1983), 215-240.
6. \_\_\_\_\_, Injectivity and decomposition of completely

- bounded maps, Lecture Notes in Math. 1132, 170-222, Springer-Verlag.
7. V.I. Paulsen, Completely bounded maps and dilations, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series 146.
  8. M. Takesaki, Theory of Operator Algebras I, Springer-Verlag.

Faculty of Engineering Science  
Osaka University  
Osaka 565,  
Japan

and

Kyungnam University  
Masan 630-701  
Korea

Received March 10, 1988