

Estimation of $P_r(X > Y)$ in the case of Exponential X and Normal Y

Jae-Joo Kim*
Hwan-Joong Kim*

ABSTRACT

In life testing problem, many authors obtained the minimum variance unbiased estimator of $P_r[X > Y]$ for the exponential family generally and conceptually. In this paper, we study the maximum likelihood estimator and minimum variance unbiased estimator of $P_r[X > Y]$ in exponential X and normal Y .

1. Introduction.

Let X and Y be independent random variables with cumulative distribution functions $F(x)$ and $G(y)$, respectively. We assume that the distributions of X and Y are known.

To estimate $\theta = P_r[X > Y]$ has been studied by many authors. This problem is important in Life Testing and some physical situation. Suppose that X is the strength of a component which is subjected to a stress Y . Then, the component fails when $X < Y$ and there is no failure when $X > Y$.

Mazumdar and Downtown (1970) obtained minimum variance unbiased estimator (M.V.U.E.) and maximum likelihood estimator (M.L.E.) of θ for a normal model. Enis and Geisser (1971) considered Bayesian estimators of θ for normal and exponential models. Recently, Tong (1977) and Beg (1980) obtained the M.V.U.E. of θ for the exponential family.

However Tong and Beg studied this problem generally and conceptually for the exponential family. In this paper, we study the M.L.E. and the M.V.U.E. of θ in the exponential X and normal Y with the following p.d.f.'s, respectively,

$$f(x) = \alpha \exp(-\alpha x), \quad x > 0, \quad \alpha > 0. \quad (1.1)$$

* Department of Computer Science and Statistics, Seoul National University, Seoul 151, Korea.

and

$$g(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(y-\mu)^2\right),$$

$$-\infty < y < \infty, \quad -\infty < \mu < \infty, \quad \sigma^2 > 0, \quad (1.2)$$

Where α, μ and σ^2 are unknown.

2. Evaluation of θ and Maximum Likelihood Estimator of θ .

2.1. Evaluation of $\theta = P_r[X > Y]$

Let X and Y be independent random variables. Then the θ is reexpressed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta &= P_r[X > Y] \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^x dG(y) dF(x) \\ &= 1 - \int_0^\infty F(x) dG(x) \\ &= \Phi\left(-\frac{\mu}{\sigma}\right) + \left[1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\alpha\sigma^2 - \mu}{\sigma}\right)\right] \exp\left(\frac{\alpha^2\sigma^2}{2} - \alpha\mu\right) \end{aligned}$$

Where Φ is the c.d.f. of the standard normal distribution.

2.2. The Maximum Likelihood Estimator of θ

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n denote the strengths of the n items and Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_m denote the stresses. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be independently and identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables from the p.d.f. (1.1) and Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_m be i.i.d. random variables from the p.d.f. (1.2) and let (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) and (Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_m) be independent. Then the joint p.d.f.'s of X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n and Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_m are

$$L(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n | \alpha) = \alpha^n \exp\left(-\alpha \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right) \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$L(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m | \mu, \sigma) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma}\right)^m \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{j=1}^m (y_j - \mu)^2\right) \quad (2.2)$$

respectively.

From (2.1) and (2.2), we can easily find that the M.L.E.'s of α, μ and σ^2 are

$$\hat{\alpha} = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}$$

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m Y_j$$

and

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m (Y_j - \bar{Y})^2$$

respectively.

Hence, by invariance property of M.L.E., the M.L.E. of θ is given by

$$\hat{\theta} = \Phi\left(-\frac{\hat{\mu}}{\hat{\sigma}}\right) + \left[1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\hat{\sigma}^2 - \hat{\mu}}{\hat{\sigma}}\right)\right] \exp\left(\frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{2} - \hat{\sigma}\hat{\mu}\right)$$

3. The Minimum Variance Unbiased Estimator of θ

Let us denote $\hat{\alpha}^* = \bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$, $\bar{Y} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m Y_j$ and $S^2 = \sum_{j=1}^m (Y_j - \bar{Y})^2$

Then the $\hat{\alpha}^*$ and (\bar{Y}, S^2) are known to be complete sufficient statistics for α and (μ, σ^2) , respectively. [see Lehmann]

Let us define $I(X_1, Y_1) = 1$, for $X_1 > Y_1$
 $= 0$, otherwise.

Then we know that $I(X_1, Y_1)$ is clearly unbiased for θ . Since X and Y are independent, we have that $\hat{\alpha}^*$ and (\bar{Y}, S^2) are independent. According to the Blackwell-Rao and Lehmann-Scheffe theorems, the unique M.V.U.E. of θ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \theta &= E[I(X_1, Y_1) | \hat{\alpha}^*, \bar{y}, s^2] \\ &= \iint_R I(x_1, y_1) h(x_1, y_1 | \hat{\alpha}^*, \bar{y}, s^2) dx, dy, \\ &= \iint_R I(x_1, y_1) f(x_1 | \hat{\alpha}^*) g(y_1, \bar{y}, s^2) dx, dy, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where R is the sample space.

Let $U_1 = \sum_{i=2}^n X_i$. Then the p.d.f. of U_1 is given by

$$f_{U_1}(u_1 | \alpha) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-1)} \alpha^n u_1^{n-2} \exp(-\alpha u_1), \quad u_1 > 0, \alpha > 0.$$

Since U_1 and X_1 are independent, the joint p.d.f. of U_1 and X_1 is given by

$$f_{x_1, u_1}(x_1, u_1 | \alpha) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-1)} \alpha^n u_1^{n-2} \exp(-\alpha(u_1 + x_1)), \quad x_1 > 0, u_1 > 0, \alpha > 0.$$

Let $U = U_1 + X_1$. By simple transformation, the joint p.d.f. of U and X_1 is given by

$$f_{x_1, u}(x_1, u | \alpha) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-1)} \alpha^n (u - x_1)^{n-2} \exp(-\alpha u), \quad 0 < x_1 \leq u, \alpha > 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Hence the joint p.d.f. of X_1 and $\hat{\alpha}^*$ is given by

$$f_{x_1, \hat{\alpha}^*}(x_1, \hat{\alpha}^* | \alpha) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-1)} n\alpha^n (n\hat{\alpha}^* - x_1)^{n-2} \exp(-n\alpha\hat{\alpha}^*), \quad (3.3)$$

$$0 < x_1 \leq n\hat{\alpha}^*, \quad \alpha > 0.$$

Also the p.d.f. of $\hat{\alpha}^*$ is given by

$$f_{\hat{\alpha}^*}(\hat{\alpha}^* | \alpha) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} (n\alpha)^n (\hat{\alpha}^*)^{n-1} \exp(-n\alpha\hat{\alpha}^*), \quad (3.4)$$

$$0 < \hat{\alpha}^*, \quad \alpha > 0.$$

Therefore we have, by (3.3) and (3.4),

$$f(x_1, \hat{\alpha}^*) = \frac{f_{x_1, \hat{\alpha}^*}(x_1, \hat{\alpha}^* | \alpha)}{f_{\hat{\alpha}^*}(\hat{\alpha}^* | \alpha)}$$

$$= \frac{n-1}{n\hat{\alpha}^*} \left(1 - \frac{x_1}{n\hat{\alpha}^*}\right)^{n-2}, \quad 0 < x_1 < n\hat{\alpha}^*. \quad (3.5)$$

Also we have

$$g(y_1 | \bar{y}, s^2) = \frac{1}{s} h\left(\frac{y_1 - \bar{y}}{s}\right),$$

$$\text{where } s = \sqrt{s^2}$$

and

$$h(z) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{m-1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{m-2}{2})} \sqrt{\frac{m}{m-1}} \left(1 - \frac{m}{m-1} z^2\right)^{\frac{m}{2}-2} \quad \text{If } 0 < |z| < \sqrt{\frac{m-1}{m}}$$

$$= 0, \quad \text{otherwise.}$$

[see Lehmann]

Therefore

$$g(y_1 | \bar{y}, s) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{m-1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{m-2}{2})} \sqrt{\frac{m}{m-1}} \frac{1}{s} \left(1 - \frac{m}{m-1} \cdot \frac{(y_1 - \bar{y})^2}{s^2}\right)^{\frac{m}{2}-2}$$

$$, \quad \text{If } 0 < \left|\frac{y_1 - \bar{y}}{s}\right| < \sqrt{\frac{m-1}{m}}$$

$$= 0, \quad \text{otherwise.} \quad (3.6)$$

By (3.1), (3.5) and (3.6), we obtain the following results: the M.V.U.E. of $\tilde{\theta}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\theta} = & \iint f_R(x_1, y_1) \left(1 - \frac{x_1}{n\hat{\alpha}^*}\right)^{n-2} \left(1 - \frac{m}{m-1} \frac{(y_1 - \bar{y})^2}{s^2}\right)^{\frac{m}{2}-2} dx_1 dy_1 \\ & \cdot \frac{n-1}{n\hat{\alpha}^*} \cdot \frac{1}{s} \cdot \frac{m}{m-1} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\frac{m-1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{m-1}{2})} \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Then we have the followings:

(1) for the case of $\bar{y} - \sqrt{\frac{m-1}{m}} s > 0$ and $\bar{y} + \sqrt{\frac{m-1}{m}} s \leq n\bar{x}$

(:) when n is odd.

$$\tilde{\theta} = \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \binom{n-1}{2k} \left(1 - \frac{\bar{y}}{n\hat{\alpha}^*}\right)^{2k} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\frac{m-1}{m}} s}{n\hat{\alpha}^*}\right)^{n-2k-1} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2}-K)\Gamma(\frac{m-1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n+m}{2}-K-1)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}$$

(: :) when n is even

$$\tilde{\theta} = \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n}{2}} \binom{n-1}{2k} \left(1 - \frac{\bar{y}}{n\hat{\alpha}^*}\right)^{2k-1} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\frac{m-1}{m}} s}{n\hat{\alpha}^*}\right)^{n-2k} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2}-K)\Gamma(\frac{m-1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n+m-1}{2}-K)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}$$

(2) For the cases of

$$\bar{y} - \sqrt{\frac{m-1}{m}} s < 0, \quad 0 < \bar{y} + \sqrt{\frac{m-1}{m}} s \leq n\bar{x} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{y} > 0.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\theta} = & \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \binom{n-1}{k} \left(1 - \frac{\bar{y}}{n\hat{\alpha}^*}\right)^k \left(\frac{\sqrt{\frac{m-1}{m}} s}{n\hat{\alpha}^*}\right)^{n-k-1} \right. \\ & \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(n-K))\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(m-1))}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(n+m-K)-1)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \\ & \cdot \{(-1)^{n-k-1} + B(\frac{n-K}{2}, \frac{m}{2}-1; \frac{m}{m-1} \cdot \frac{y^2}{s^2})\} \\ & \left. + 1 - B(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{m}{2}-1; \frac{m}{m-1} \cdot \frac{y^2}{s^2}) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

(3) For the case of $\bar{y} - \sqrt{\frac{m-1}{m}} s < 0, \quad 0 < \bar{y} + \sqrt{\frac{m-1}{m}} s \leq n\bar{x}$ and $\bar{y} < 0.$

$$\tilde{\theta} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \binom{n-1}{k} \left(1 - \frac{\bar{y}}{n\hat{\alpha}^*}\right)^k \left(\frac{\sqrt{\frac{m-1}{m}} s}{n\hat{\alpha}^*}\right)^{n-k-1} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n-K}{2})\Gamma(\frac{m-1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n+m-K}{2}-1)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \right.$$

$$\cdot \{1 - B(\frac{n-K}{2}, \frac{m}{2}-1; \frac{m}{m-1} \cdot \frac{\bar{y}^2}{s^2})\}$$

$$+ 1 + B(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{m}{2}-1; \frac{m}{m-1} \cdot \frac{\bar{y}^2}{s^2}) \}$$

(4) For the case of $\bar{y} + \sqrt{\frac{m-1}{m}} s < 0$.

$$\tilde{\theta} = 1.$$

(5) For the case of $0 < n\bar{x} \leq \bar{y} - \sqrt{\frac{m-1}{m}} s$.

$$\tilde{\theta} = 0.$$

(6) For the case of $0 < \bar{y} - \sqrt{\frac{m-1}{m}} s$ and $\bar{y} < n\bar{x} < \bar{y} + \sqrt{\frac{m-1}{m}} s$.

$$\tilde{\theta} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} \left(1 - \frac{\bar{y}}{n\hat{\alpha}^*}\right)^k \left(\frac{\sqrt{\frac{m-1}{m}} s}{n\hat{\alpha}^*}\right)^{n-k-1} \right.$$

$$\cdot \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n-K}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{m-1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n+m-K}{2}-1) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}$$

$$\left. \cdot \{(-1)^{n-k-1} \cdot B(\frac{n-K}{2}, \frac{m}{2}-1; \frac{m}{m-1} \cdot \frac{(n\bar{x}-\bar{y})^2}{s^2}) + 1\} \right]$$

(7) For the case of and $0 < \bar{y} - \sqrt{\frac{m-1}{m}} s$ and $0 < \bar{y} < n\bar{x} < \bar{y} + \sqrt{\frac{m-1}{m}} s$.

$$\tilde{\theta} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} \left(1 - \frac{\bar{y}}{n\hat{\alpha}^*}\right)^k \left(\frac{\sqrt{\frac{m-1}{m}} s}{n\hat{\alpha}^*}\right)^{n-k-1} \right.$$

$$\cdot \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n-K}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{m-1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n+m-K}{2}-1) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \cdot \{1 - B(\frac{n-K}{2}, \frac{m}{2}-1; \frac{m}{m-1} \cdot \frac{(n\bar{x}-\bar{y})^2}{s^2})\} \left. \right]$$

(8) For the case of $\bar{y} - \sqrt{\frac{m-1}{m}} s > 0$ and $0 < \bar{y} < n\bar{x} < \bar{y} + \sqrt{\frac{m-1}{m}} s$.

$$\tilde{\theta} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} \left(1 - \frac{\bar{y}}{n\hat{\alpha}^*}\right)^k \left(\frac{\sqrt{\frac{m-1}{m}} s}{n\hat{\alpha}^*}\right)^{n-k-1} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(n-K)) \Gamma(\frac{m-1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(n-m-K)-1) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \cdot \{(-1)^{n-k-1} \cdot B(\frac{n-K}{2}, \frac{m}{2}-1; \frac{m}{m-1} \cdot \frac{(n\bar{x}-\bar{y})^2}{s^2}) \\ & + B(\frac{n-K}{2}, \frac{m}{2}-1; \frac{m}{m-1} \cdot \frac{\bar{y}^2}{s^2})\} \\ & + 1 - B(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{m}{2}-1; \frac{m}{m-1} \cdot \frac{\bar{y}^2}{s^2})] \end{aligned}$$

(9) For the case of $\bar{y} - \sqrt{\frac{m-1}{m}}s < 0$, $\bar{y} < n\bar{x} < \bar{y} + \sqrt{\frac{m-1}{m}}s$ and $\bar{y} < 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\theta} = & \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} \left(1 - \frac{\bar{y}}{n\hat{\alpha}^*}\right)^k \left(\frac{\sqrt{\frac{m-1}{m}}s}{n\hat{\alpha}^*}\right)^{n-k-1} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n-K}{2})\Gamma(\frac{m-1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n+m-K}{2}-1)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \right. \\ & \cdot \{B(\frac{n-K}{2}, \frac{m}{2}-1; \frac{m}{m-1} \cdot \frac{\bar{y}^2}{s^2}) \\ & - B(\frac{n-K}{2}, \frac{m}{2}-1; \frac{m}{m-1} \cdot \frac{(n\bar{x}-\bar{y})^2}{s^2})\} \\ & \left. + 1 - B(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{m}{2}-1; \frac{m}{m-1} \cdot \frac{\bar{y}^2}{s^2}) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

(10) For the case of $\bar{y} - \sqrt{\frac{m-1}{m}}s < 0$ and $0 < n\bar{x} < \bar{y}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\theta} = & \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} \left(1 - \frac{\bar{y}}{n\hat{\alpha}^*}\right)^k \left(\frac{\sqrt{\frac{m-1}{m}}s}{n\hat{\alpha}^*}\right)^{n-k-1} \right. \\ & \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n-K}{2})\Gamma(\frac{m-1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n+m-K}{2}-1)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \\ & \cdot \{B(\frac{n-K}{2}, \frac{m}{2}-1; \frac{m}{m-1} \cdot \frac{\bar{y}^2}{s^2}) \\ & - B(\frac{n-K}{2}, \frac{m}{2}-1; \frac{m}{m-1} \cdot \frac{(n\bar{x}-\bar{y})^2}{s^2})\} \\ & \left. + 1 - B(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{n}{2}-1; \frac{m}{m-1} \cdot \frac{\bar{y}^2}{s^2}) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

In this notation,

$$B(p, q; x) = \frac{\Gamma(p)\Gamma(q)}{\Gamma(p+q)} \int_0^x t^{p-1}(1-t)^{q-1} dt, \quad 0 < t, \quad x < 0.$$

4. Empirical Result for small samples.

In section 2 and 3, we derived the estimators of θ . In this section, we investigate their relative performance for a small sized samples through simulation. For fixed $n = 10, 12, 15, 18$ and 20 , estimates of the mean squared error (M.S.E.) and bias are obtained.

The estimated M.S.E.'s and biases of M.L.E. and M.V.U.E. appear in the Table. Although $\tilde{\theta}$ is known to be unbiased, its estimated bias is recorded for a check on the computations.

From the Table, we know the followings:

- (1) The estimated biases of M.V.U.E. are found to be much smaller in magnitude than those of M.L.E.
- (2) In all cases included in the study, the magnitude of (Bias)² is relatively negligible in contrast with that of the M.S.E.
- (3) When $E[X] > E[Y]$, the estimated M.S.E.'s of M.V.U.E. are smaller than those of M.L.E.
- (4) When $E[X] < E[Y]$, the estimated M.S.E.'s of M.V.U.E. are larger than those of M.L.E.

TABLES

$\mu=0 \quad \sigma=1 \quad \alpha=1 \quad \theta=.76158$

$\mu=5 \quad \sigma=2 \quad \alpha=.2 \quad \theta=.39761$

(:) $n = m = 10$

Bias		M.V.U.E.	
M.L.E.	M.V.U.E.	M.L.E.	M.V.U.E.
-.00186	-.00058	.01080	.01064

(:) $n = m = 10$

Bias		M.V.U.E.	
M.L.E.	M.V.U.E.	M.L.E.	M.V.U.E.
-.01603	-.00020	.01340	.01448

(:) $n = m = 12$

Bias		M.V.U.E.	
M.L.E.	M.V.U.E.	M.L.E.	M.V.U.E.
-.00136	-.00022	.00876	.00857

(:) $n = m = 12$

Bias		M.V.U.E.	
M.L.E.	M.V.U.E.	M.L.E.	M.V.U.E.
-.01348	-.00009	.01125	.01198

(:) $n = m = 15$

Bias		M.V.U.E.	
M.L.E.	M.V.U.E.	M.L.E.	M.V.U.E.
-.00124	-.00028	.00708	.00690

(:) $n = m = 15$

Bias		M.V.U.E.	
M.L.E.	M.V.U.E.	M.L.E.	M.V.U.E.
-.01142	-.00050	.00925	.00972

(:) $n = m = 18$

Bias		M.V.U.E.	
M.L.E.	M.V.U.E.	M.L.E.	M.V.U.E.
-.00224	-.00153	.00676	.00665

(:) $n = m = 18$

Bias		M.V.U.E.	
M.L.E.	M.V.U.E.	M.L.E.	M.V.U.E.
-.00962	-.00050	.00760	.00810

(:) $n = m = 20$

Bias		M.V.U.E.	
M.L.E.	M.V.U.E.	M.L.E.	M.V.U.E.
-.00387	-.00325	.00748	.00739

(:) $n = m = 20$

Bias		M.V.U.E.	
M.L.E.	M.V.U.E.	M.L.E.	M.V.U.E.
-.00925	-.00102	.00725	.00750

$\mu=5 \quad \sigma=5 \quad \alpha=.2 \quad \theta=.46192$

(:) $n = m = 10$

Bias		M.V.U.E.	
M.L.E.	M.V.U.E.	M.L.E.	M.V.U.E.
-.01302	-.00021	.01582	.01582

(:) $n = m = 12$

Bias		M.V.U.E.	
M.L.E.	M.V.U.E.	M.L.E.	M.V.U.E.
-.01090	-.00008	.01311	.01317

(:) $n = m = 15$

Bias		M.V.U.E.	
M.L.E.	M.V.U.E.	M.L.E.	M.V.U.E.
-.00894	.00026	.01054	.01048

(:) $n = m = 18$

Bias		M.V.U.E.	
M.L.E.	M.V.U.E.	M.L.E.	M.V.U.E.
-.00820	-.00090	.00917	.00914

(:) $n = m = 20$

Bias		M.V.U.E.	
M.L.E.	M.V.U.E.	M.L.E.	M.V.U.E.
-.00846	-.00183	.00883	.00881

$\mu=5 \quad \sigma=10 \quad \alpha=.2 \quad \theta=.49014$

(:) $n = m = 10$

Bias		M.V.U.E.	
M.L.E.	M.V.U.E.	M.L.E.	M.V.U.E.
-.01144	-.00433	.00863	.01803

(:) $n = m = 12$

Bias		M.V.U.E.	
M.L.E.	M.V.U.E.	M.L.E.	M.V.U.E.
-.00423	-.00183	.00775	.01428

(:) $n = m = 15$

Bias		M.V.U.E.	
M.L.E.	M.V.U.E.	M.L.E.	M.V.U.E.
-.00415	-.00029	.01149	.01107

(:) $n = m = 18$

Bias		M.V.U.E.	
M.L.E.	M.V.U.E.	M.L.E.	M.V.U.E.
-.00415	-.00100	.00995	.00959

(:) $n = m = 20$

Bias		M.V.U.E.	
M.L.E.	M.V.U.E.	M.L.E.	M.V.U.E.
-.00486	-.00199	.00959	.00928

REFERENCE

1. Bartholomew, D. J., (1957), "A problem in Life Testing" J. Amer. Stat. Assoc., 350-355.
2. Bartholomew, D. J., (1963), "The Sampling Distribution of an Estimate Arising in Life Testing", Technometrics 5, 361-374.
3. Beg, M. A., (1980), "Estimation of $P_r[X > Y]$ for Exponential Family", I.E.E.E. Tran. Reliability, 158-159.
4. Bhattacharyya, G. K., and Johnson, R. A., (1974), "Estimation of Reliability in a Multicomponent Stress Strength Model", J. Amer. Stat. Assoc., 62, 966-970.
5. Bhattacharyya, G. K., (1967), "Bayesian Approach to Life Testing and Reliability Estimation", J. Amer. Stat. Assoc., 62, 48-62.
6. Church, J. D., and Harris, B., (1970), "The Estimation of Reliability from Stress-Strength Relationship", Technometrics 12, 49-54.
7. Downtown, F., (1973), "The Estimation of $P_r[Y < X]$ in the Normal Case", Technometrics 15, 551-558.
8. Enis, P. and Geisser, S., (1971). "Estimation of the Reliability that $Y < X$ ", J. Amer. Stat. Assoc., 66.
9. Epstein, B. and Sobel, M., (1953), "Life Testing", J. Amer. Stat. Assoc., 48, 486-502.
10. Epstein, B. and Sobel, M., (1954), "Some Theorems Relavant to Life Testing from an Exponential Distribution", Ann. Math. Stat., 25, 373-381.
11. Lehmann, E. L., (1983), Theory of Point Estimation, John Wiley and Sons, Inc.
12. Marth, H. F. and Waller, R. A., (1982), Bayesian Reliability Estimation, John Wiley and Sons, Inc.
13. Sinha, S. K. and Kale, B. K., (1980), Life Testing and Reliability Estimation, John Wiley and Sons, Inc.
14. Tong, H., (1974), "A Note on the Estimation of $P_r[Y < X]$ in the Exponential Case", Technometrics 16, 625.
15. Tong, H., (1977), "On the Estimation of $P_r[Y < X]$ for Exponential Families", I.E.E.E. Trans. Reliability, 54-56.
16. Yeum, J. K., (1985), On the Estimation of $P_r[Y < X]$ for Exponential Distribution in the Censored Case.