

MINIMAL P-SPACES

By S. P. Arya and M. P. Bhamini

Abstract: Minimal s -Urysohn and minimal s -regular spaces are studied. An s -Urysohn (respectively, s -regular) space (X, \mathcal{T}) is said to be minimal s -Urysohn (respectively, minimal s -regular) if for no topology \mathcal{T}' on X which is strictly weaker than \mathcal{T} , (X, \mathcal{T}') is s -Urysohn (respectively s -regular). Several characterizations and other related properties of these classes of spaces have been obtained.

The present paper is a study of minimal P -spaces where P refers to the property of being an s -Urysohn space or an s -regular space. A P -space (X, \mathcal{T}) is said to be minimal P if for no topology \mathcal{T}' on X such that \mathcal{T}' is strictly weaker than \mathcal{T} , (X, \mathcal{T}') has the property P . A space X is said to be s -Urysohn [2] if for any two distinct points x and y of X there exist semi-open sets U and V containing x and y respectively such that $\text{cl}U \cap \text{cl}V = \emptyset$, where $\text{cl}U$ denotes the closure of U . A space X is said to be s -regular [6] if for any point x and a closed set F not containing x there exist disjoint semi-open sets U and V such that $x \in U$ and $F \subseteq V$. Throughout the paper the spaces are assumed to be Hausdorff.

1. Minimal s -Urysohn spaces

DEFINITIONS 1.1. A set $A \subseteq X$ is said to be *semi-open* [5] if there exists an open set $U \subseteq X$ such that $U \subseteq A \subseteq \text{cl}U$. The complement of a semi-open set is said to be *semi-closed* [3]. The *semi-closure* [3] of a set A is the intersection of all semi-closed sets containing A .

DEFINITION 1.2. An s -Urysohn space (X, \mathcal{T}) is said to be *minimal s -Urysohn* if for no topology \mathcal{T}' on X such that \mathcal{T}' is strictly weaker than \mathcal{T} , (X, \mathcal{T}') is s -Urysohn.

DEFINITION 1.3. A filter base \mathcal{F} is said to be an *s -Urysohn filter base* if whenever x is not an adherent point of \mathcal{F} , there exists a semi-open set U containing x such that $\text{cl}U \cap \text{cl}F = \emptyset$ for some $F \in \mathcal{F}$.

THEOREM 1.4. *An s -Urysohn space (X, \mathcal{F}) is minimal s -Urysohn if and only if every open s -Urysohn filter base with unique adherent point converges.*

PROOF. Let (X, \mathcal{F}) be minimal s -Urysohn. Let \mathcal{B} be an open s -Urysohn filter base with unique adherent point p to which it does not converge. Let $\mathcal{U}(x)$ be the family of all open sets containing x . Let $\mathcal{U}'(x)$ be the family defined as:

$$\mathcal{U}'(x) = \begin{cases} U & \text{where } U \in \mathcal{U}(x) \text{ and } x \neq p \\ U \cup B & \text{where } U \in \mathcal{U}(x), B \in \mathcal{B} \text{ and } x = p \end{cases}$$

Let \mathcal{F}' be the topology generated by the neighbourhood base $\mathcal{U}'(x)$. Since \mathcal{B} does not converge to p , \mathcal{F}' is strictly weaker than \mathcal{F} . We shall prove that (X, \mathcal{F}') is s -Urysohn. For two distinct points x and y other than p , there exist disjoint semi-open sets U and V containing x and y respectively such that $\mathcal{F}'\text{-cl}U \cap \mathcal{F}'\text{-cl}V = \emptyset$. Now suppose that one of the points coincides with p . Let $y = p$. Since x is not an adherent point of the filter base \mathcal{B} , There exists an open set V containing x such that $V \cap B = \emptyset$ for some $B \in \mathcal{B}$. Since B is open, $\mathcal{F}'\text{-cl}V \cap B = \emptyset$. Also, (X, \mathcal{F}) being Hausdorff, there exist open sets V_1 and U containing x and y respectively such that $V_1 \cap U = \emptyset$. Again, $\mathcal{F}'\text{-cl}V_1 \cap U = \emptyset$. Now $V \cap V_1$ is an open set containing x and $\mathcal{F}'\text{-cl}(V \cap V_1) \cap (B \cup U) = \emptyset$. $B \cup U$ being a \mathcal{F}' -open set, $\mathcal{F}'\text{-cl}(V \cap V_1) \cap (B \cup U) = \emptyset$. But $\mathcal{F}'\text{-cl}(V \cap V_1)$ is \mathcal{F}' -open. Therefore $\mathcal{F}'\text{-cl}(V \cap V_1) \cap \mathcal{F}'\text{-cl}(B \cup U) = \emptyset$ and $y \in B \cup U$. Thus (X, \mathcal{F}') is s -Urysohn. In other words, (X, \mathcal{F}) is not minimal s -Urysohn. This is a contradiction. Therefore the open s -Urysohn filter base \mathcal{B} converges to its unique adherent point p .

Conversely, let (X, \mathcal{F}) be an s -Urysohn space satisfying the condition that every open s -Urysohn filter base with unique adherent point converges. If possible let \mathcal{F}' be an s -Urysohn topology on X which is weaker than \mathcal{F} . Let $\mathcal{U}'(x)$ be the family of open sets containing x in (X, \mathcal{F}') . \mathcal{F}' being Hausdorff, $\mathcal{U}'(x)$ is an s -Urysohn filter base on (X, \mathcal{F}') with unique adherent point x . \mathcal{F}' being weaker than \mathcal{F} , $\mathcal{U}'(x)$ is an open s -Urysohn filter base on (X, \mathcal{F}) with unique adherent point and hence in view of the assumption, $\mathcal{U}'(x)$ converges to x in (X, \mathcal{F}) . Therefore each \mathcal{F} -neighbourhood of x is a \mathcal{F}' neighbourhood. Thus $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}'$ and so (X, \mathcal{F}) is minimal s -Urysohn.

THEOREM 1.5. *Let (X, \mathcal{F}) be an s -Urysohn space such that every open s -Urysohn filter base with unique adherent point converges. Then every open s -*

Urysohn filter base has non-empty adherence.

PROOF. Let (X, \mathcal{F}) be an s -Urysohn space such that every open s -Urysohn filter base with unique adherent point converges. If possible, let \mathcal{B} be an open s -Urysohn filter base without any adherent point. Let $p \in X$. Let \mathcal{U} be the family of all open sets containing p . Let $\mathcal{C} = \{B \cup U \text{ where } B \in \mathcal{B} \text{ and } U \in \mathcal{U}\}$. Since X is Hausdorff and \mathcal{B} does not have an adherent point, \mathcal{C} is an s -Urysohn filter base with unique adherent point p . But it does not converge to p . This is a contradiction. Hence the proof.

DEFINITION 1.6 [1]. An s -Urysohn space is said to be *s -Urysohn-closed* if it is closed in every s -Urysohn space in which it can be embedded.

COROLLARY 1.7. *A minimal s -Urysohn space is s -Urysohn-closed.*

PROOF. Immediate, in view of Theorems 1.4 and 1.5 above and Theorem 1.4 of [1].

THEOREM 1.8. *Every clopen subset of a minimal s -Urysohn space is minimal s -Urysohn.*

PROOF. Let X be minimal s -Urysohn and Y be a clopen subset of X . Y being open, is s -Urysohn [2]. Let \mathcal{B} be an open s -Urysohn filter base on Y . If possible let \mathcal{B} have a unique adherent point $p \in Y$ to which it does not converge. Now \mathcal{B} is an s -Urysohn filter base on X . For, suppose x is not an adherent point of \mathcal{B} in X . Suppose that every semi-open subset V of X containing x is such that $\text{cl}V \cap \text{cl}B \neq \emptyset$ for every $B \in \mathcal{B}$. $V \cap Y$ is a semi-open subset of X [3] and hence of Y [5]. Since Y is a semi-closed subset of X , $x \in Y$. Thus $Y \cap V$ is a semi-open subset of Y containing x and $\mathcal{F}_Y\text{-cl}(V \cap Y) \cap \mathcal{F}_Y\text{-cl}B \neq \emptyset$ for every $B \in \mathcal{B}$. Also Y being open, every semi-open subset of Y is of the form $V \cap Y$ where V is a semi-open subset of X [4]. Hence every semi-open subset U of Y containing x is such that $\mathcal{F}_Y\text{-cl}U \cap \mathcal{F}_Y\text{-cl}B \neq \emptyset$ for every $B \in \mathcal{B}$. This is a contradiction to the fact that \mathcal{B} is an s -Urysohn filter base on Y . Also Y being clopen, p is the unique adherent point of \mathcal{B} in X . So in view of the given condition, \mathcal{B} converges to p in X and hence in Y . Thus Y is a minimal s -Urysohn.

THEOREM 1.9. *If $X = \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_\lambda$ and if there does not exist an s -Urysohn filter base on X with unique adherent point, then for at least one λ , X_λ does not have an s -Urysohn filter base with unique adherent point.*

PROOF. Suppose for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ there exists an s -Urysohn filter base \mathcal{F}_λ on X_λ with unique adherent point $x_\lambda \in X_\lambda$. We now claim that $\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{F}_\lambda$ is an s -Urysohn filter base on X with unique adherent point $(x_\lambda) \in X$. To prove that $\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{F}_\lambda$ is an s -Urysohn filter base, suppose $y = (y_\lambda)$ is not an adherent point of $\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{F}_\lambda$. Then y_λ is not an adherent point of \mathcal{F}_λ for some $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Hence there exists a semi-open set U_λ containing y_λ such that $\text{cl}U_\lambda \cap \text{cl}F_\lambda = \phi$ for some $F_\lambda \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda$. This implies that $P_\lambda^{-1}(\text{cl}U_\lambda) \cap P_\lambda^{-1}(\text{cl}F_\lambda) = \phi$. Since P_λ is continuous, $\text{cl}P_\lambda^{-1}(U_\lambda) \cap \text{cl}P_\lambda^{-1}(F_\lambda) = \phi$. This proves that $\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{F}_\lambda$ is an s -Urysohn filter base on X since every semi-open subset U of X is of the form $\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} V_\lambda$ where $V_\lambda = X_\lambda$ for all but finitely many λ 's and V_λ is a semi-open subset of X_λ for finitely many λ 's [7]. It is easy to verify that $x = (x_\lambda)$ is the unique adherent point of $\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{F}_\lambda$.

COROLLARY 1.10. *If $X = \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_\lambda$ is minimal s -Urysohn vacuously, then for at least one λ , X_λ is minimal s -Urysohn vacuously.*

THEOREM 1.11. *Let every open s -Urysohn filter base on $X \times Y$ with unique adherent point converge and let Y be such that every open s -Urysohn filter base on Y has a unique adherent point. Then every open s -Urysohn filter base on X with unique adherent point converges.*

PROOF. Let \mathcal{F} be an open s -Urysohn filter base on X with unique adherent point x . Let \mathcal{P} be an open s -Urysohn filter base on Y with unique adherent point y . Then $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{P}$ is an open s -Urysohn filter base on $X \times Y$ with unique adherent point (x, y) in $X \times Y$. In view of the given condition, $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{P}$ converges to (x, y) . Hence \mathcal{F} converges to x .

COROLLARY 1.12. *If $X \times Y$ is minimal s -Urysohn and Y is a space such that every open s -Urysohn filter base on y has unique adherent point, then X is minimal s -Urysohn, provided X is s -Urysohn.*

2. Minimal s -regular spaces

DEFINITION 2.1. An s -regular space (X, \mathcal{T}) is said to be *minimal s -regular* if for no topology \mathcal{T}' on X such that \mathcal{T}' is strictly weaker than \mathcal{T} , (X, \mathcal{T}') is s -regular.

DEFINITION 2.2. A *filter base* is said to be an *s -regular filter base* if it is equivalent to a semi-closed filter base.

LEMMA 2.3 [6]. A space X is s -regular if and only if for every point x and every open set U containing x , there exists a semi-open set V containing x such that $x \in V \subseteq s\text{-cl}V \subseteq U$.

THEOREM 2.4. An s -regular space is minimal s -regular if and only if every s -regular filter base with unique adherent point converges.

PROOF. Let (X, \mathcal{F}) be an s -regular space which is minimal s -regular and \mathcal{B} be an s -regular filter base with unique adherent point p to which it does not converge. For each $x \in X$, Let $\mathcal{U}(x)$ be the family of open sets containing x . Let us define $\mathcal{U}'(x)$ as:

$$\mathcal{U}'(x) = \begin{cases} U & \text{where } U \in \mathcal{U}(x) \text{ if } x \neq p \\ U \cup \text{cl}B & \text{where } U \in \mathcal{U}(x) \text{ and } B \in \mathcal{B} \text{ if } x = p. \end{cases}$$

Let \mathcal{F}' be the topology generated by the neighbourhood base $\mathcal{U}'(x)$. Since \mathcal{B} does not converge to p , \mathcal{F}' is strictly weaker than \mathcal{F} . We shall prove that (X, \mathcal{F}') is s -regular. Let $x \in X$ and A be a \mathcal{F}' -open set containing x .

Case I Suppose $x \neq p$. Since (X, \mathcal{F}') is Hausdorff, there exists a \mathcal{F}' -open set U_1 containing x and a \mathcal{F}' -open set U_2 containing p such that $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$ and $U_1 \subseteq A$. Also since (X, \mathcal{F}) is s -regular, there exists a \mathcal{F} -semi-open set V containing x (and hence a \mathcal{F}' -semi-open set V containing x) such that $x \in V \subseteq \mathcal{F}\text{-}s\text{-cl}V \subseteq U_1$. Therefore $\mathcal{F}\text{-}s\text{-cl}V \cap U_2 = \emptyset$. In other words, there exists a \mathcal{F}' -open set containing p having empty intersection with $\mathcal{F}\text{-}s\text{-cl}V$. Thus $\mathcal{F}\text{-}s\text{-cl}V$ is \mathcal{F}' -semi-closed. Hence there exists a \mathcal{F}' -semi-open set V such that $x \in V \subseteq \mathcal{F}'\text{-}s\text{-cl}V \subseteq U_1 \subseteq A$.

Case II Let $x = p$. Hence there exists a $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and a $U \in \mathcal{U}(p)$ such that $p \in U \cup \text{cl}B \subseteq A$. Since U is a \mathcal{F} -open set containing p there exists a \mathcal{F} -semi-open set V such that $p \in V \subseteq \mathcal{F}\text{-}s\text{-cl}V \subseteq U$. Now there exists a \mathcal{F} -open set G such that $G \subseteq V \subseteq \mathcal{F}\text{-cl}G \subseteq \mathcal{F}'\text{-cl}G$, since V is \mathcal{F} -semi-open. If $p \notin G$, this proves that V is a \mathcal{F}' -semi-open set. If $p \in G$, then $G \cup \text{cl}B \subseteq V \cup \text{cl}B \subseteq \text{cl}G \cup \text{cl}B \subseteq \mathcal{F}\text{-cl}(G \cup \text{cl}B) \subseteq \mathcal{F}'\text{-cl}(G \cup \text{cl}B)$. Since $G \cup \text{cl}B$ is a \mathcal{F}' -open set, this implies that $V \cup \text{cl}B$ is \mathcal{F}' -semi-open. Thus $p \in V \cup \text{cl}B \subseteq s\text{-cl}V \cup \text{cl}B \subseteq U \cup \text{cl}B \subseteq A$. We shall prove that $s\text{-cl}V \cup \text{cl}B$ is \mathcal{F}' -semi-closed. Suppose that $x \notin (s\text{-cl}V \cup \text{cl}B)$. Then $x \neq p$. Therefore there exists a \mathcal{F} -semi-open set (and hence a \mathcal{F}' -semi-open set) H containing x such that $H \cap (s\text{-cl}V \cup \text{cl}B) = \emptyset$ since $(s\text{-cl}V \cup \text{cl}B)$ is \mathcal{F} -semi-closed. Hence $(s\text{-cl}V \cup \text{cl}B)$ is \mathcal{F}' -semi-closed. Thus $p \in V \cup \text{cl}B \subseteq \mathcal{F}'\text{-}s\text{-cl}(V \cup \text{cl}B) \subseteq A$. Hence (X, \mathcal{F}') is s -regular. This contradicts the fact that (X, \mathcal{F}) is minimal s -regular. Therefore \mathcal{B} converges to p .

The converse can be proved as in the proof of Theorem 1.4.

THEOREM 2.5. *Let (X, \mathcal{F}) be an s -regular space such that every s -regular filter base with unique adherent point converges. Then every s -regular filter base on X has non-empty adherence.*

PROOF. Similar to the proof of Theorem 1.5

DEFINITION 2.6 [1]. An s -regular space (X, \mathcal{F}) is said to be s -regular-closed if it is closed in every s -regular space in which it can be embedded.

COROLLARY 2.7. *A minimal s -regular space is s -regular-closed.*

PROOF. Immediate, in view of Theorems 2.4 and 2.5 above and Theorem 2.4 of [1].

THEOREM 2.8. *If a subset Y of s -regular space X has the property that every s -regular filter base on Y has non-empty adherence, then Y is a closed subset of X .*

PROOF. Suppose Y is not a closed subset of X . Let $p \in \text{cly-}y$. Let \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} be filter bases consisting of open subsets of X containing p and semi-closures of semi-open subsets of X containing p respectively. Let $\mathcal{B} = \{Y \cap U : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ and $\mathcal{C} = \{y \cap V : V \in \mathcal{V}\}$. \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} are filter bases on Y where \mathcal{C} is a semi-closed filter base. To see that \mathcal{C} is a family of semi-closed subsets of Y , let $V \in \mathcal{V}$. Then there exists a closed subset W of X such that $\text{int}W \subseteq V \subseteq W$ [3]. Hence $Y \cap \text{Int}W \subseteq Y \cap V \subseteq y \cap W$. Thus $\text{int}(y \cap W) \subseteq y \cap V \subseteq y \cap W$ where $y \cap W$ is a closed subset of y . This proves that $y \cap V$ is a semi-closed subset of Y . Since X is s -regular, \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} are equivalent in X and so \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} are equivalent in Y . In other words \mathcal{B} is an s -regular filter base on y with unique adherent point p and $p \notin Y$. This is a contradiction. Hence y is a closed subset of X .

THEOREM 2.9. *Every clopen subset of a minimal s -regular space is minimal s -regular.*

PROOF. Let X be minimal s -regular and let Y be a clopen subset of X . Let \mathcal{B} be an s -regular filter base on Y . If possible let \mathcal{B} have a unique adherent point p in Y to which it does not converge. Since Y is clopen, \mathcal{B} is an s -regular filter base on X with unique adherent point p . Hence \mathcal{B} converges to p . But $p \in Y$. So converges in Y . Hence (Y, \mathcal{F}_Y) is minimal s -regular.

THEOREM 2.10. *If $X = \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_\lambda$ is minimal s -regular then each X_λ is minimal s -regular provided each X_λ is s -regular.*

PROOF. Let $X = \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_\lambda$, with the product topology \mathcal{T} , be minimal s -regular. Suppose $(X_{\lambda_0}, \mathcal{T}_{\lambda_0})$ is not minimal s -regular for some $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$. Then there exists an s -regular topology \mathcal{F}_{λ_0}' on X_{λ_0} strictly weaker than \mathcal{F}_{λ_0} . Consider now the collection $\{(X_\beta, \mathcal{T}_\beta) : (X_\beta, \mathcal{T}_\beta) = (X_\lambda, \mathcal{T}_\lambda) \text{ for } \lambda \neq \lambda_0 \text{ and } (X_\beta, \mathcal{T}_\beta) = (X_\lambda, \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_0}') \text{ if } \lambda = \lambda_0\}$. Then $X = \prod_{\beta \in \Lambda} X_\beta$ has the product topology \mathcal{T}' which is strictly weaker than \mathcal{T} . Also (X, \mathcal{T}') is s -regular since each X_λ is s -regular [8]. Thus each $(X_\lambda, \mathcal{T}_\lambda)$ is minimal s -regular.

REFERENCES

- [1] S.P. Arya and M.P. Bhamini, *P-closed spaces*, Ind. J. Pure Appl. Math. (to appear).
- [2] S.P. Arya and M.P. Bhamini, *Some generalizations of Urysohn spaces*, Communicated.
- [3] S.G. Crossley and S.K. Hildebrand, *Semi-closure*, Texas J. Sci. 22(1971), 99—112.
- [4] S.G. Crossley and S.K. Hildebrand, *Semi-topological properties*, Fund. Math. 74 (1972), 233—254.
- [5] N. Levine, *Semi-open sets and semi-continuity on topological spaces*, Amer. Math. Monthly 70(1963), 36—41.
- [6] S.N. Maheshwary and R. Prasad, *On s -regular spaces*, Glas. Math. 10(1975), 347—350.
- [7] T. Noiri, *On semi-continuous mappings*, Atti. Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. (8) 54(1973), 210—214.
- [8] T. Noiri, *A note on s -regular spaces*, Glas. Math. 13(33) (1978), 107—110.

Maitreyi College,
(University of Delhi)
Netaji Nagar,
New Delhi-110023,
India.

Department of Mathematics
University of Delhi,
Delhi-110007,
India.