IRREDUCIBILITY OF FAMILIES OF COMPLEX PROJECTIVE CURVES

CHANGHO KEEM AND SEONJA KIM

1. Introduction

Throught this paper, base field is the field of complex numbers. We mean a curve by an algebraic curve in P^r , which may be smooth or singular. The main object of our study is a parameter space of complex projective curves $I'_{d,g,r}$; the union of the irreducible components of the Hilbert scheme $\mathcal{X}_{d,g,r}$ whose general points correspond to smooth irreducible and nondegenerate curves.

In case $\rho(d,g,r) = g - (r+1)(g-d+r) \ge 0$, for a general curve C the dimenson of $W_d^r(C)$ is equal to $\rho(d,g,r)$ [8] and its general member is very ample [6]. Moreover it is irreducible for $\rho(d,g,r) > 0$ [7]. Hence there may be a component of $I'_{d,g,r}$ whose general curve is the image curve of the morphism associated with the above series for $\rho(d,g,r) \ge 0$. In fact, the existence of such a component is stated in [9]. And it will be shown concretely in section 2.

J. Harris proposed the following conjecture in 1981, which seems to be the most prominent one concerning $I'_{d,g,r}$.

Conjecture. $I'_{d,g,r}$ is irreducible for $\rho(d,g,r) \ge 0$.

Recently in case $\rho(d, g, 2) > 0$, Arbarello and Zariski independently solved Severi's problem which is very similar to the above conjecture, that is, the variety of irreducible plane curves of degree d with δ nodes is irreducible. This in turn implies that the union of the components of $\mathcal{H}_{d,\varepsilon,2}$ whose general points correspond reduced, irreducible, nondegenerate curves is irreducible for $\rho(d,g,2)>0$. On the one hand, we found out that $I'_{d,\varepsilon,r}$ is reducible for r=g-8, d=2g-8. But such a counter

Received May 26, 1987.

This work was partially supported by Ministry of Education, Korea.

example occurs only in higher dimensional space, as we will see in section 4. On the other hand, any abstract curve can be embedded in P^3 . Thus in these points of view, it is quite meaningful to investigate the irreducibility of $I'_{d,g,3}$.

It was shown in [9] that $I'_{d,\mathfrak{e},3}$ is irreducible for $d>\frac{5}{4}g+1(\rho(d,g,3)>2g-8)$, while $\rho(d,g,3)\geq 0$ implies $d\geq \frac{3}{4}g+3$. Our main result states that $I'_{d,\mathfrak{e},3}$ is irreducible for $d>g(\rho(d,g,3)>g-12)$. In fact we expect that $I'_{d,\mathfrak{e},3}$ is irreducible in much wider range in the course of the proof of the result.

2. The irreducibility of $I_{d,x,r}$

In order to look at our main object effectively, that is, a family of curves of genus g and degree d in P^r in some sense, we need to consider the following objects. Let $\mathfrak{D}=g_d^r$ be a general subseries of a base point free simple series |D| on an abstract curve \widetilde{C} , F a frame in $V \subset H^0(C, \mathcal{O}(D))$ associated with \mathfrak{D} . Then $\phi_{\mathfrak{D}}$, which is defined by $\phi_{\mathfrak{D}}(P) = (f_0(P), ..., f_r(P))$, $P \subset \widetilde{C}$, gives the birational morphism, where $F = (f_0, ..., f_r)$. Conversely upon fixing a projective coordinate in P^r , we can find such a triple $(\widetilde{C}, \mathfrak{D}, F)$ by the normalization of C and the hyperplane section of C. Consequently Hilbert scheme $\mathcal{X}_{d,g,r}$ can be considered as the family of the triple $(\widetilde{C}, \mathfrak{D}, F)$. Therefore we have to consider the pair $(\widetilde{C}, \mathfrak{D})$ over the general curves.

We denote by M_g the moduli space of the smooth curves of genus g and by M_g^0 the complement of the subvariety of M_g corresponding to curves with nontrivial automorphisms. As is well-known, there is a universal curve C over M_g^0 , and the universal Jacobian $J_d \xrightarrow{p} M_g^0$ parameterizing the line bundles of degree d over M_g^0 . It may be considered as parameterizing the complete linear serieses $(\mathfrak{D}, \widetilde{C})$ of degree d over M_g^0 . We denote by W_d^r , G_d^r , respectively, the union of the line bundles L of J_d with $H^0(C, L) \ge r+1$ and the scheme parameterizing pairs (g_d^r, \widetilde{C}) over M_g^0 . Then W_d^r is in fact a closed subvariety of J_d . And we set

$$W_d^r(C) W_d^r \cap p^{-1}(C), G_d^r(C) = G_d^r \cap p^{-1}(C)$$

where $p:G_d \to M_g^0$; since there will be no danger of ambiguity, we use

the same letter p as above.

Then the object of our study $I'_{d,g,\tau}$ is really a PGL_{r+1} - bundle over the components of G_d whose general series (g_d, \widetilde{C}) is very ample. Let G be the union of such a components of G_d . Then the irreducibility of G implies the irreducibility of $I'_{d,g,\tau}$. We will, in fact, show that G is irreducible in case r=3, d>g.

There is a natural morphism m of $I'_{d,g,\tau}$

$$m: I'_{d,g,r} \longrightarrow M_g$$

defined by $m((\tilde{C}, g_d^r, F)) = \tilde{C}$. A component S of $I'_{d,g,r}$ (or R of G_d^r) is said to be dominating M_g if $\overline{m(S)} = M_g(\overline{p(R)} = M_g)$.

In case $d \ge 2g-1$, for any curve C every divisor D of degree d has dimension d-g, the dimension of $W_d{}^r(C)$ is equal to g, a genral member of $W_d{}^r(C)$ is very ample for $r \le d-g$. Consequently in this case $I'_{d,g,r}$ is empty if r > d-g, and irreducible of dimension $\lambda(d,g,r) = 4g-3+(r+1)(d-g+1)-1$ (this is the dominating component) if $r \le d-g$.

On the other hand, we have the following useful theorem concerning G_d^r .

THEOREM 2.1. Every component of G_{d}^{r} has dimension at least $3g-3+\rho(d,g,r)$. (See [2])

This implies that the dimension of any component of $I'_{d,\epsilon,r}$ is no less than $3g-3+\rho(d,g,r)+(r+1)^2-1=\lambda(d,g,r)$. These facts are available for the study of our problem.

Accordingly we have the following result which is stated in [9].

THEOREM 2.2. In case $\rho(d, g, r) \ge 0$, there is a dominating component of $I'_{d,g,r}$. Moreover such a component is unique if $\rho(d,g,r) > 0$.

Proof. If $\rho(d, g, r) \ge 0$, then dim $G_d^r(C) = \rho(d, g, r)$ and its general series is very ample on a general curve C [6]. Let S_0 be the closure in $\mathcal{X}_{d,g,r}$ of the locus of curves given by the general series over general curves. Then the closure of any other family of curves which does not dominate M_g , cannot properly contain S_0 . Now a general series g_d^r of $G_d^r(C)$ over the general curves C generating S_0 , is a complete series for $r \ge d - g$ and a subseries of the complete series $|D| = g_d^{d-g}$ for r < d - g. Let us consider the closure S in $\mathcal{X}_{d,g,r}$ of the locus of the curves given by a general subseries of a general $|D| = g_d^{\alpha}$ with $\alpha > r$ or d - g

respectively, in case $\rho(d, g, \alpha) \ge 0$. We need not investigate for α with $\rho(d, g, \alpha) < 0$, since in that case $W_d^{\alpha}(C)$ is empty on a general curve and we consider the dominating components. At first, we compute the dimension of S in case r > d-g. Then we put

$$\alpha = r + k, \ k > 0.$$
dim $S = 3g - 3 + g - (\alpha + 1)(g - d + \alpha) + (\alpha + 1)(r + 1) - 1$

$$= 3g - 3 + g + (r + 1)(d - g + 1) + k(d - g + 1) - k(r + 1) - k^2 - 1$$

$$< 3g - 3 + g + (r + 1)(d - g + 1) - 1 = \lambda(d, g, r).$$

And in case that $r \leq d-g$, we set

$$\begin{array}{c} \alpha = d - g + k, \ k > 0 \\ \dim S = 3g - 3 + g - (\alpha + 1) \left(d - g + \alpha \right) + (\alpha + 1) \left(r + 1 \right) - 1 \\ = 3g - 3 + g + (r + 1) \left(d - g + 1 \right) + k (r + 1) - k (d - g + 1) - k^2 - 1 \\ < \lambda(d, g, r). \end{array}$$

Hence S cannot be a component of $I'_{d,g,r}$. In particular it does not contain S_0 . As a result, S_0 is the union of the dominating components of $I'_{d,g,r}$. In particular, in case $\rho(d,g,r)>0$ $G_d^r(C)$ is irreducible on a general curve C [7] and so is S_0 .

REMARK (1) Let G_0 be the union of the dominating components of the above G. Then G_0 is nonempty for $\rho(d, g, r) \ge 0$ and G_0 is irreducible for $\rho(d, g, r) > 0$. Note that G_0 is the closure in G_d of the locus of the pairs $(g_d{}^r, C)$, which is a general very ample series on a general curve C.

(2) J. Harris' conjecture consequently implies that there is no component of $I'_{d,g,r}$ other than the unique dominating component S_0 in case $\rho(d,g,r)>0$.

3. Irreducibility of $I'_{d,r,3}$

From now on, we only consider the case $\rho(d, g, 3) > 0$, that is, $d > \frac{3}{4}g + 3$. Then a general member of G_0 in G_d is a complete series g_d for $d - g \le 3$ and a general subseries g_d of a complete series g_d^{d-g} for d-g > 3 on a general curve.

For d>g+3, assume that G' is a component of G whose general member is a subseries g_d of a complete g_d^{d-r} . Then the dimension of

 $G' \cap p^{-1}(C)$ is equal to g+4(d-g-3) for $C \in p(G')$, which is the dimension of $G_0 \cap p^{-1}(C)$ for $C \in p(G_0)$ $(m(G_0) = M_g)$. Since G' is a component of G_d^3 , dim $G' \ge 3g-3+\rho(d,g,3)$ and hence dim p(G') = 3g-3. Accordingly $G' = G_0$.

Consequently, for any $d>\frac{3}{4}g+3$ any other possible component of G other than G_0 arises from the family of the subseries g_d of a special series |D| only. Beause we consider only the case $\frac{3}{4}g+3< d \le 2g-2$, $d \ge 7$ and if d=7, then g=5 and hence $r(D) \le 3$ for any divisor of degree 7. As a result there is no component of $I'_{d,g,r}$ other than S_0 in case d=7. Therefore we may just assume that d>7. Here g_d is said to be special if $l(K\backslash D) > 0$ for $D \in g_d$.

By the fact mentioned before, we will look for a component of G whose general series is a special series. For brevity, we call base point free simple series a birationally very ample series.

LEMMA 3.1. If an irreducible component W of G_d^2 contains a subvariety X with dim X > g-8, whose general member is birationally very ample, then the dimension of W is equal to the expected dimension $3g-3+\rho(d,g,2)=3d+g-9$.

Proof. Let \widetilde{X} be the variety whose points are the pairs (\mathfrak{D}, F) , where \mathfrak{D} is a member of X, F is a frame for the corresponding \mathfrak{D} . Then the tangent space $T_{(\mathfrak{D},F)}(\widetilde{X})$ to \widetilde{X} at (\mathfrak{D},F) is a vector subspace of $H^0(C,N_{\phi})$ of dimension at least $(g-7)+3^2-1=g+1$, since $H^0(C,N_{\phi})$ is the tangent space to \widetilde{W} at (\mathfrak{D},F) where \widetilde{W} is the variety associated with W.

Let Z be the ramification divisor of $\phi_{\mathfrak{D}}$, for a general $\mathfrak{D} \subset X$. Then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K_{\phi} \rightarrow N_{\phi} \rightarrow N_{\phi}' \rightarrow 0$$

where $K_{\phi}=\theta_{C}(Z)/\theta_{C}$, $N_{\phi}'=\phi^{*}\theta_{P^{2}}/\theta_{C}(Z)$. Then N_{ϕ}' becomes a line bundle, K_{ϕ} is concentrated on Z (See [2]). Accordingly $h^{1}(C, K_{\phi})=0$ and so $h^{1}(C, N_{\phi})=h^{1}(C, N_{\phi}')$. But by Lemma (1.4) in [4],

$$T_{(\mathfrak{D},F)}(\widetilde{X}) \cap H^0(C,K_{\phi}) = \{0\}.$$

Thus dim $T_{(\mathfrak{D},F)}(\widetilde{X}) \leq h^0(C,N_{\phi'})$ and hence $r(N_{\phi'}) \geq g$. If $N_{\phi'}$ is special,

then by Clifford's theorem

$$h^{1}(C, N_{\bullet}') = r(N_{\bullet}') + g - \deg(N_{\bullet}')$$

 $\leq g - r(N_{\bullet}') \leq 0,$

Consequently N_{ϕ}' must be nonspecial, $h^1(C, N_{\phi}) = h^1(C, N_{\phi}') = 0$. Therefore W was the expected dimension $3g - 3 + \rho(d, g, 2) = 3d + g - 9$, for dim $T_{\mathcal{B}}(W) = 3g - 3 + \rho(d, g, 2) + h^1(C, N_{\phi})$ (See [2]).

THEOREM 3. 2. Let \mathcal{W} be an irreducible closed subvariety of G_d^r whose general series is a complete birationally very ample series for $r \ge 2$. Then

$$\dim \mathcal{W} < 3d + g - 4r - 1$$

Proof. The above inequality holds for r=2. We may assume $r\geq 3$. Let C_{r-2} be the (r-2)-folds symmetric product over M_{ε} of universal curve C. And let X be the set of the pairs $(\Sigma P_i, |D|)$ of birationally very ample $|D| \in \mathscr{W}$ and $\Sigma P_i \in C_{r-2}$ such that $|D| \setminus \Sigma P_i$ is birationally very ample g^2_{d-r+2} . Then X is open in $C_{r-2} \times_{M_{\varepsilon}} \mathscr{W}$.

We define a morphism

$$\phi: X \rightarrow G^2_{d-r+2}$$

by $\psi(\Sigma P_i, |D|) = |D| \setminus \Sigma P_i$. Let us consider the fiber of a fixed $(\Sigma P_i \mid D|)$. We suppose $\psi(\Sigma P_i, \mid D|) = \psi(\Sigma Q_i, \mid D'|)$, or equivalently, $|D| \setminus \Sigma P_i = |D'| \setminus \Sigma Q_i$. Then $|D| \setminus \Sigma P_i + \Sigma Q_i = |D'|$ and hence $r(K \setminus D + \Sigma P_i \setminus \Sigma Q_i) = r(K \setminus D + \Sigma P_i)$. Thus ΣQ_i is a base locus of $|K \setminus D + \Sigma P_i|$ since $l(K \setminus D + \Sigma P_i) = l(K \setminus D) \neq 0$. There exists only the finite number of such ΣQ_i 's, accordingly such |D'|'s are finite, for $|D| \setminus \Sigma P_i + \Sigma Q_i = |D'|$. Therefore the dimension of the fiber of ψ is equal to zero. On the other hand, by Lemma 3.1.

$$\dim \psi(X) \leq 3(d-r+2)+g-9.$$

Thus

$$\dim X \le 3d + g - 3r - 3 \dim \mathcal{W} \le 3d + g - 3r - 3 - r + 2 = 3d + g - 4r - 1. \square$$

THEOREM 3.3. Let \mathscr{B} be the union of the components of G_d^3 whose general series is a birationally very ample series. Then \mathscr{B} is irreducible

and hence $\mathcal{B}=G=G_0$ for $d\geq g+3$. In particular G and $I'_{d,g,3}$ is irreducible.

Proof. As mentioned before, any other component can come into being from only the family of the special series. Precisely suppose that $\mathscr U$ is another component of $\mathscr B$. Then a general series g_d of $\mathscr U$ is a general subseries of a birationally very ample series |D|, where |D| is a general member of some irreducible component $\mathscr W$ of G_d whose general member is birationally very ample complets series for some $r>d-g\geq 3$. Thus $\mathscr U$ is the family of the Grassmannians of projective 3-planes in the projective r-space |D|, $|D| \in \mathscr W$. Consequently

$$\dim \mathcal{U} \leq 3d - 4r + g - 1 + 4(r - 3)$$

$$= 3d + g - 15$$

$$< 4d - 15$$

$$= 3g - 3 + \rho(d, g, 3)$$

for $d \ge g+3$. This is a contradiction since every component of G_d ³ has dimension at least 4d-15.

In order to deal with the case $d \le g+2$, it is useful to have an upper bound of the dimension of $G_{d'}(C)$ in a neighborhood of the birationally very ample series $g_{d'}$ on a fixed curve C. Fortunately we have the following effect in the proof of Proposition 2.12 in [3].

THEOREM 3.4. Let C be a curve of genus g, D special divisor on C with |D| a birationally very ample g_d . Then in a neighborhood of |D| on the Jacobi variety J(C) of C

$$\dim W_d^r(C) \leq 2d-g-3r+l(K\backslash 2D)+1.$$

This bound is also given by J. Harris, P. Griffiths, R. Accola. (See [9])

REMARK. In case $d \le g+2$, any component of $I'_{d,g,3}$ other than S_0 can be given by some component \mathscr{W} of Theorem 3.2 for r>3 or by a component V of G_d^3 with $p(V) \subseteq M_g$ whose general member is a complete g_d^3 . Then for a general curve $C \subseteq p(V)$, $V \cap p^{-1}(C) = G_d^3(C)$ has dimension greater than $\rho(d,g,3)$ by Theorem 2.1. In other words, Ker $\mu_0 \neq \{0\}$ for a general $g_d^3(=|D|) \subseteq G_d^3(C)$ on a general curve $C \subseteq p(V)$, since the dimension of the tangent space to $G_d^3(C)$ at $g_d^3(=|D|)$ is equal to $\rho(d,g,3)$ +dim Ker μ_0 , where

$$\mu_0: H^0(C, \mathscr{O}(D)) \otimes H^0(C, K(\backslash D)) \rightarrow H^0(C, K)$$

is the cup-product map. Therefore in case d=g+2, there is not such a V, for $l(K\backslash D)=h^0(C,K(\backslash D))=1$ implies $Ker\ \mu_0=\{0\}$.

LEMMA 3.5. There is not a component of G_{g+1}^3 which does not dominate M_g and whose general member g_{g+1}^3 is complete.

Proof. Assume that V is such a component of G_{g+1}^3 . Let f be the degree of the base locus of $|K \setminus D| = |E|$ where |D| is a general member of V. We denote by X the family of such a series |D| of V.

If f>0, we have the following morphism

$$\phi: X {\rightarrow} G^1_{g-3-f}$$

defined by $\psi(g^3_{g+1}=|D|)=|K\backslash D|\backslash F$, where F is the base locus of $|K\backslash E|$. Thus

dim
$$V \le \dim G_{g-3-f}^1 + f$$

= $3g - 3 + \rho(g - 3 - f, g, 1) + f$
= $4g - 11 - f$
 $\le 4(g+1) - 15$.

This contradicts the fact that V is a component of G^3_{g+1} . Consequently f=0 and hence $\operatorname{Ker} \mu_0 = H^0(K \backslash 2E)$ where $|E| = |K \backslash D|$. A general curve C of p(V) is non-hyperelliptic, for a hyperelliptic curve cannot have a special very ample series. Therefore dim $\operatorname{Ker} \mu_0 \leq 2$ by Clifford's theorem.

In dim Ker $\mu_0 = h^0(C, K \setminus 2E) = 2$, then we have the following morphism which is finite morphism onto its image

$$\psi: \rightarrow G_4^{-1}$$

defined by $\phi(|D|) = |K \setminus 2E|$ where $E \in |K \setminus D|$. Thus

dim
$$V \le \dim G_4^1 = 2g + 3$$

 $\le 4(g+1) - 15$

for $\rho(g+1,g,3) \ge 0$ implies $g \ge 8$. Accordingly this case cannot occur. If dim Ker $\mu_0=1$, then $|K\setminus 2E|=P_1+P_2+P_3+P_4$. Thus for any divisor $E \in |K\setminus D|$ not containing any P_i , $i=1,\cdots,4$

$$\overline{\phi_4(E)} \supset PT_{1E1}W_{g-3}^3(C)
= PT_{1K\setminus 2E1}W_4^0(C)
= \overline{\phi_K(P_1 + P_2 + P_3 + P_4)}.$$

Then $r(E+P_1+P_2+P_3+P_4)=r(E)+4$ by geometric Riemann-Roch theorem. This is impossible since |D| is base point free. (In fact, our |D| is very ample). Hence such a component V cannot exist in G_{g+1}^3 . \square

Now we will show that there is no component of G_d whose general member g_d is a subseries g_d of a very ample ple series $g_d = |D|$ for some r > 3. Then this implies the irreducibility of $I'_{d,q,3}$, as mentioned in the above Remark.

In the first place, it will be shown that there is no component of $I'_{g+2,g,3}$ which is given by the family of the series $(|D|, \tilde{C})$ with $l(K \setminus D)$ = 2. Recall that we denote by \mathscr{E} the union of the components of G_d^3 whose general series is a birationally very ample series. We can give more general result as follows.

LEMMA 3.6. In case d=g+2, there is no component in \mathcal{B} whose general series is a subseries of some birationally very ample series $|D| = g_{g+2}^4$. In particular there is no component in G whose general member is a subseries of some very ample $|D| = g_{g+2}^4$.

Proof. Assume that there is such a component \mathcal{T} in \mathcal{S} . Then we can associate with \mathcal{T} an irreducible component \mathcal{W} of G_{g+2}^4 such that g_{g+2}^3 is a general member of \mathcal{T} if and only if it is a general subseries g_d^3 of a general |D| of \mathcal{W} . Let f be the degree of the base locus of $|K\backslash D| = |E|$, where |D| is a general member of W. Then

$$|E| = |E \backslash F| + F(=g_{g-4-f}^1 + F)$$

where F is the base locus of |E|. Let W' be the closure of the following set:

$$\{|E| \in G^1_{g-4}| |E| = |K \setminus D|, |D| \text{ a general member of } \mathcal{W}\}$$

$$\dim \mathcal{W} = \dim \mathcal{W}'$$

$$\leq \dim G^1_{g-4-f} + f$$

$$= 3g - 3 + \rho(g - 4 - f, g, 1) + f$$

$$= 4g - 13 - f.$$

Thus

dim
$$\mathcal{I}$$
=dim \mathcal{W} +4(4-3)
 $\leq 4g-13-f+4$
 $\leq 4(g+2)-15$.

By Theorem 2.1 we obtain the desired result.

Therefore we consider only the case of $l(K \setminus D) \ge 3$ for $d \ge g + 2$.

THEOREM 3.7. \mathcal{B} is irreducible whenever $d \ge g+1$ and hence $\mathcal{B} = G = G_0$. In particular $I'_{d,g,3}$ is irreducible.

Proof. By Therem 3.3, it is sufficient to consider the case d=g+1, g+2. Assume $\mathscr U$ is a component of $\mathscr B$ other than G_0 . Then $\mathscr U$ comes from an irreducible closed subvariety $\mathscr W$ of G_d such that g_d is a general member of $\mathscr U$ if and only if it is a general subseries of a general |D| of $\mathscr W$ for $r \ge d-g+3$ by Lemmas 3.5, 3.6. Let f be the degree of the base locus F of $|E| = |K \setminus D|$ where (|D|, C) is a general member of $\mathscr W$.

We denote by W' the same object in the proof of Lemma 3.6. Then the following cases may occur.

Case 1) $|E \setminus F| = g_{2g-2-d-f}^{g-d+r-1}$ is birationally very ample. Then by Theorem 3. 2

$$\dim \mathcal{W} = \dim \mathcal{W}'$$

$$\leq 3(2g-2-d-f)-4(g-d+r-1)+g-1+f$$

$$= 3g+d-2f-4r-3.$$

As a result

$$\dim \mathcal{U} \leq 3g + d - 2f - 4r - 3 + 4(r - 3)$$

$$\leq 4d - 15$$

for d>g. Thus this case cannot be occur.

Case 2) |E| is not birationally very ample and the image curve C' of ϕ_{1E1} is not a rational curve. Let γ , n be the genus and the degree of C' respectively. Then the moduli dimension of curves, that is, dimension of $p(\mathcal{U})$ is no more than $2g + (2n-3)(1-\gamma) - 2$ by Riemann's moduli count. Hence

$$\dim \mathscr{W} \leq (2g-2) + \dim W_{d'}(C)$$

since $\gamma \ge 1$

$$\leq (2g-2)+2d-g-3r+1$$

by Theorem 3.4 for $d \ge g$. Thus

$$\dim_{\mathscr{U}} = \dim \mathscr{W} + 4(r-3)$$

$$= 2d + g + r - 13$$

$$\leq 4d - 15$$

since $r \le \frac{2d-g+1}{3}$ by Castelnouvo's genus bound and d > 7 as mentioned before. Consequently this case cannot occur either.

Case 3) |E| is not birationally very ample and the genus of the image curve of ϕ_{1E1} is equal to zero.

Let n be the degree of the morphism ϕ_{1E1} . Then $|E| = (i-1)g_n^{-1} + F$ and f = (2g-2-d) - (i-1)n, where $i=l(K \setminus D) = l(E) = g-d+r$. Therefore

$$\dim \mathcal{W} \leq \dim G_n^1 + f$$

$$\leq 2g - 5 + 2n + 2g - 2 - d - n(i - 1)$$

$$= 4g - d - n(i - 3) - 7$$

$$\dim \mathcal{W} = \dim \mathcal{W} + 4(r - 3)$$

$$\leq 4g - d + n(i - 3) - 7 + 4(r - 3)$$

$$= 3d - (n - 4)(i - 3) - 7$$

$$\leq 3d + (g - d + r - 3) - 7$$

since hyperelliptic curve has no special birationally very ample series and hence $n \ge 3$. Thus

$$\dim \mathcal{U} \leq 2d + g + r - 10$$

$$\leq 4d - 15$$

since
$$r \le \frac{2d-g+1}{3}$$
, $d > 8$.

As a result, in any case the existence of another component $\mathcal U$ leads to a contradiction to Theorem 2.1.

4. Some examples

Let $M_{\varepsilon,n}^{-1}$ be the locus in M_{ε} of curves having a base point free g_n^{-1} , $n \leq \frac{g}{2} + 1$. Then this family covers M_{ε} . Then one may expect that the dimension of the component of $G_d^{-r}(C)$ whose general member is birationally very ample with birationally very ample residual become decreasing with respect to n, where $C \subseteq M_{\varepsilon,n}^{-1}$.

EXAMPLE 1. Let C be a curve which belongs to $M_{r,3}$. Then there is no birationally very ample series |D| on C whose residual series $|K \setminus D|$ is also birationally very ample.

Proof. Suppose that both |D| and $|K\backslash D|$ are birationally very ample series for some divisor D on C. Then two conditions are imposed on |D| and $|K\backslash D|$ by any pair of two points except only the finite pairs.

Thus for general divisor P+Q+R of g_3^1 ,

$$r(|D| \backslash P \backslash Q) = r(|D| \backslash P \backslash R) = r(D) - 2$$
$$r(|K \backslash D| \backslash Q \backslash P) = r(|K \backslash D| \backslash Q \backslash R) = r(K \backslash D) - 2.$$

Hence these are codimension one subspaces of $|D| \ P$ and $|K \setminus D| \setminus Q$ respectively. We choose D_0 , E_0 in $|D| \setminus P$ and $|K \setminus D| \setminus Q$ such that D_0 , E_0 does not belong to $\{|D| \setminus P \setminus Q\} \cup \{|D| \setminus P \setminus R\}$ and $\{|K \setminus D| \setminus Q \setminus P\} \cup \{|K \setminus D| \setminus Q \setminus R\}$ respectively. Then

$$(D_0, P+Q+R)=P$$
, $(E_0, P+Q+R)=Q$.

Consequently

$$(D_0+E_0, P+Q+R)=P+Q, D_0+E_0\in |K|.$$

This implies that $|P+Q+R|=g_3^1$ imposes 3 conditions on |K|, and hence contradicts Riemann-Roch Theorem.

On the other hand, it is useful to our problem to know a sharper upper bound of the dimension of $W_{d}^{r}(C)$ whose general |D| is birationally very ample. But the following example satisfies the equality of the bound in Theorem 3.3.

EXAMPLE 2. Let C be a curve of genus ≥ 11 with base point free g_3^1 . Then for any $P \in C$, the residual series of $|g_3^1 + P| = |F|$ becomes a birationally very ample series g_{2g-6}^{g-4} . In particular, in a neighborhood of the series

dim
$$W_{2g-6}^{g-4}(C)=1$$
.

Proof. Severi gave the beautiful fact that if a curve of genus g is d_1 , d_2 coverings of the genus g_1, g_2 curves respectively, then $g \le (d_1 - 1)$ $(d_2 - 1) + d_1g_1 + d_2g_2$. By this C has a unique g_3 and can be neither hyperelliptic nor elliptic-hyperelliptic. And C cannot have a base point

free g_5^2 nor a birationally very ample g_6^2 , since base point free g_5^2 become birationally very ample and the genus of C is no less than 11. Conesequently $r(g_3^1+P)=r(F)$ is equal to one for any $P \in C$. And any g_6^2 is base point free, the degree of the morphism ϕ associated the series g_6^2 is equal to 3, for C is not elliptic-hyperelliptic. Then

$$|\phi^{-1}(P')| = g_3^1$$
, $|\phi^{-1}(2P')| = |2g_3^1| = g_6^2$, $P' \in \phi(C)$.

Accordingly any g_6^2 on C is equal to $|2g_3^1|$ and hence $r(g_3^1+P+Q+R)=r(F+Q+R)=1$ for any pair (Q,R) but one pair (Q_0,R_0) such that $P+Q_0+R_0=g_3^1$. Consequently the residual series $|K\setminus F|$ is birationally very ample because $r(K\setminus F\setminus Q\setminus R)=r(K\setminus F)-2$ except the pair (Q_0,R_0) by Riemann-Roch theorem. Therefore the dimension of the family of birationally very ample g_{2g-6}^{g-4} at least one and exactly one by Theorem 3.4.

REMARK. Any g_6^2 on a trigonal curve C of genus ≥ 11 , is equal to $|2g_3^1|$. Here we call curve $C \subset M_{g,3}^1$ a trigonal curve.

We now give an interesting example such that $I'_{d,g,r}$ is reducible. Moreover this has other component whose dimension violates $\lambda(d,g,r)$ even in case $\rho(d,g,r)=g$.

LEMMA 4. Let C be a trigonal curve of genus ≥ 11 . $|K\backslash 2F|$ is very ample where $|F|=g_3^1$.

Proof. Consider the dimension of |2F+P+Q| for $P,Q \in C$. Then $r(2F+P+Q) \leq 3$ since any trigonal curve of genus ≥ 3 cannot be hyperelliptic. If r(2F+P+Q)=3, that is, $r(2F+P+Q)=g_8^3$, then this series cannot be birationally very ample by Castenouvo's genus bound. But if we assume that the degree of $\phi_{12F+P+Q}=2$, then the genus of the image curve is no more than one. This is impossible, for C cannot be hyperelliptic nor elliptic-hyperelliptic. Thus r(2F+P+Q)=2, equivalently, $|K\setminus 2F|$ is very ample since $r(K\setminus 2F\setminus P\setminus Q)=r(K\setminus 2F)-2$ for any (P,Q) by Riemann-Roch theorem.

EXAMPLE 5. For d=2g-8, r=g-8, $g\geq 18$, the only component of $I_{d',g,r}$ which is not the (unique) dominating component is the component given by the series whose residual is $g_6^2 = |2g_3^1|$ on the locus of trigonal curves. Moreover its dimension violates the expected dimension $\lambda(2g-1)$

8, g, g-8).

Proof. Let S be the component of $I'_{2g-8,g,g-8}$ which is not the dominating component. Then S is given by some component W of G_{2g-8}^{κ} whose general member is complete very ample for $\alpha \geq g-7$. Then only the following cases may occur by Clifford's Theorem.

Case 1. $\alpha = g - 7$

$$\dim S = \dim W + (g-6)(g-7) - 1$$

by Theorem 3.2

$$\leq 3(2g-8)+g-4(g-7)+(g-6)(g-7)-2$$

 $\leq \lambda(2g-8, g, g-8).$

Thus this case cannot occur.

Case 2.
$$\alpha = g - 6$$
.

Let W' be the closure of the locus of the residual series $|K\backslash D|$ of a general series |D| of W. Then general $|K\backslash D|=g_6^1$ in W' has the base locus of degree f, for some $f\geq 0$. Then

dim
$$W' \le \dim G_{6-f}^1 + f$$

= $2g - 5 + 2(6-f) + f$
= $2g + 7 - f$.

Hence

dim
$$S$$
=dim $W+(g-5)(g-7)-1$
=dim $W'+(g-5)(g-7)-1$
 $\leq 2g+7+(g-5)(g-7)-1$
 $\leq \lambda(2g-8, g, g-8).$

Consequently case 2 cannot occur.

Case 3.
$$\alpha = g - 5$$
.

We denote by W' same one in case 2. Then a general $\ell = g_6^2$ of W' is base point free and not birationally very ample, since $g(C) \ge 11$. If deg ϕ_{ℓ}

=2, then the genus of the image curve C' of ϕ_{ϵ} is no more than one, and hence the general curve C of S is elliptic-hyperelliptic. But in fact our curve C cannot be hyperelliptic, since hyperelliptic curve has no special very ample series. On the one hand, if C is elliptic-hyperelliptic, $g_6^2 = \phi^*(g_3^2)$, where the linear series g_3^2 is given by the hyperplane sections of the genus 1 curve C'. Thus $|g_6^2 + P + Q| = \phi^*(g_3^2 + P') = \phi^*(g_4^3) = g_8^3$ for each pair (P, Q) such that $\phi(P) = \phi(Q) = P'$ for some $P' \in C'$. This is impossible since a general member of W is very ample. As a consequence, deg $\phi_{\epsilon} = 3$. Therefore the image curve C' is a conic and hence C is a trigonal curve, g_6^2 is equal to $|2g_3^1|$ by the previous Remark. Thus a general series of W is residual to $|2g_3^1|$ on a trigonal curve. (Consequently there is no contradiction in the last case by Lemma 4.) As a result, there exists the component S whose general member is in fact a fiber of a trigonal curve. Thus

dim
$$S$$
=dim $M_{g,3}^1+0+(g-4)(g-7)-1$
=5 g -20+(g -7)(g -7)-1
 $\geq \lambda(2g-8,g,g-8)$

for g > 18. Consequently, we obtain the desired result.

References

- Accola, R.D.M., On Castelnouvo's inequality for algebraic curves. I, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 251 (1979), 357-363.
- 2. Arbarello, E., Cornalba, M., Su una congettra di Petri, Comment. Math. Helvetici 56 (1981), 1-38.
- Arbarello, E., Cornalba, M., A few remarks, Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Supper (4) 16 (1983), 467-488.
- 4. Arbarello, E., Cornalba, M., Footnotes to a paper of Beniamino Segre, Math. Ann. 256 (1981), 341-362.
- 5. Arbarello, E., Cornalba, M., Griffiths, P., Harris, J., Geometry of algebraic curves, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York-Tokyo, Springer 1984.
- 6. Eisenbud, D., Harris, J., Divisors on a general curves and cuspidal rational curves, Invent. Math. 74 (1983), 371-418.
- 7. Fulton, W., Lazarzfeld, R., On the connectedness of degeneracy loci and special divisors, Acta Math. 146 (1981), 271-283.
- 8. Griffiths, P., Harris, J., On the variety of special linear systems on a general algebraic curves, Duke Math. J. 47 (1980), 233-272.

9. Harris, J., Eisenbud, D., Curves in projective space, Les Presses de l'Université de Montréal, Montréal, 1982.

Seoul National University Seoul 151, Korea