### ON THE WALSH FOURIER COEFFICIENTS

Kyung-Hwa Kim and Young-Talk Chung

#### 1. Introduction

Let  $\{\phi_n\}$  be a system of functions orthonormal and uniformly bounded over an interval (a, b). Suppose  $|\phi_n(x)| \leq M$  for all  $x \in (a, b)$  and all n.

We have the following Paley's theorems on Fourier Coefficients. (For the proofs, see [7])

Given a sequence of numbers  $c_1, c_2, \cdots$  we write

$$\mathcal{B}_{\tau}[c] = \{ \sum_{k} |c_{k}|^{\tau} k^{\tau-2} \}^{1/\tau}.$$

THEOREM 1.1. (i) If  $f \in L^p$ ,  $1 , and if <math>c_1, c_2, \cdots$  are the Fourier coefficients of f with respect to  $\phi_1, \phi_2, \cdots$ , then  $\mathcal{B}_p[c]$  is finite and  $\mathcal{B}_p[c] \le A_p M^{(2-p)/p} ||f||_p$ , where  $A_p$  is a constant depending on p.

(ii) If given numbers  $c_1, c_2, \cdots$  satisfy the condition  $\mathfrak{G}_q[c] < \infty$  for some  $q \ge 2$ , then there is an  $f \in L^q$  having the  $c_n$  as its Fourier coefficients with respect to  $\{\phi_n\}$ , and such that  $||f||_q \le A_q' M^{(q-2)/q} \mathfrak{G}_q[c]$ .

The function f is the limit, in  $L^q$ , of  $s_n = c_1\phi_1 + \cdots + c_n\phi_n$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

(iii) Moreover, we may take  $A_{q'} = A_{q'}$ , where q' is the conjugate exponent of q.

Given a sequence of complex numbers  $c_k \to 0$ , we denote by  $\{c_k^*\}$  the sequence  $|c_1|$ ,  $|c_2|$ ,  $\cdots$  rearranged in decreasing order. Write  $\mathcal{B}_r^*[c] = \mathcal{B}_r[c^*]$ .

THEOREM 1.2. (i) Under the hypothesis of theorem 1.1 we have  $\mathcal{B}_b^*[c] \leq A_b M^{(2-p)/p} ||f||_b$ .

(ii) If  $c_1, c_2, \cdots$  are complex numbers tending to 0 such that  $\mathcal{B}_q^*[c]$  is finite, then the  $c_n$  are the Fourier coefficients, with respect to the  $\{\phi_n\}$ , of an  $f \in L^q$  satisfying  $||f||_q \leq A_q' M^{(q-2)/q} \mathcal{B}_q^*[c]$ .

Received June 19, 1987.

In this paper we apply the above theorems to Walsh Fourier coefficients and give a necessary and sufficient condition that a certain sequence of numbers should be the Walsh Fourier coefficients of a function in some  $L^p$ .

### 2. Main results

We first give the Walsh versions of the theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

Consider the Walsh functions  $\{w_n\}$ ,  $n=1, 2, \dots$ , of orthonormal and uniformly bounded,  $|w_n(x)|=1$ , over an interval [0, 1).

Given a sequence of numbers  $c_1, c_2, \cdots$  we write

$$\mathcal{B}_{r,w}[c] = \{ \sum_{k} |c_k|^r (2^{k_1+1})^{r-2} \}^{1/r},$$

where  $k_1$  is the natural number which satisfies  $2^{k_1} \le k < 2^{k_1+1}$  for each k and  $\mathcal{B}_{r,w}^*[c] = \mathcal{B}_{r,w}[c^*]$ .

THEOREM 2.1. (i) If  $f \in L^p(0, 1)$ ,  $1 , and if <math>c_1, c_2, \cdots$  are the Walsh Fourier coefficients of f with respect to  $w_1, w_2, \cdots$ , then  $\mathcal{B}_{p,w}[c]$  is finite and  $\mathcal{B}_{p,w}[c] \le A_p ||f||_p$ .

- (ii) If given numbers  $c_1, c_2, \cdots$  satisfy the condition  $\mathcal{B}_{q,w}[c] < \infty$  for some  $q \ge 2$ , then there is an  $f \in L^q$  having the  $c_n$  as its Walsh Fourier coefficients with respect to  $\{w_n\}$ , and such that  $||f||_q \le A_q' \mathcal{B}_{q,w}[c]$ . The function f is the limit, in  $L^q$ , of  $s_n = c_1 w_1 + \cdots + c_n w_n$  as  $n \to \infty$ .
  - (iii) Moreover, we may take  $A_q' = A_{q'}$ .

*Proof.* (i) Since  $1 , <math>\mathcal{B}_{p,w}[c] \le \mathcal{B}_{p}[c]$ .

By theorem 1.1 (i), with M=1, (i) holds.

(ii) Since  $q \ge 2$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_q[c] \le \mathcal{B}_{q,w}[c]$ .

By theorem 1.1 (ii), with M=1, (ii) holds.

THEOREM 2.2. (i) Under the hypothesis of theorem 2.1 (i), we have  $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{p},w}^*[c] \leq A_{\mathfrak{p}} ||f||_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

(ii) If  $c_1, c_2, \cdots$  are complex numbers tending to 0 such that  $\mathcal{B}_{q,w}^*[c]$  is finite, then the  $c_n$  are the Walsh Fourier coefficients, with respect to the  $\{w_n\}$ , of an  $f \in L^q$  satisfying  $||f||_q \leq A_q' \mathcal{B}_{q,w}^*[c]$ .

*Proof.* (i) since  $1 , <math>\mathcal{B}_{p,w}^*[c] \le \mathcal{B}_p^*[c]$ .

By theorem 1.2 (i), with M=1, (i) holds.

(ii) Since  $q \ge 2$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_q^*[c] \le \mathcal{B}_{q,w}^*[c]$ .

By theorem 1.2 (ii), with M=1, (ii) holds.

We now give a necessary and sufficient condition that a certain sequence of numbers should be the Walsh Fourier coefficients of a function in some  $L^p$ .

Given a sequence  $c_0, c_1, c_2 \cdots$  tending to 0, let  $c_0^* \ge c_1^* \ge c_2^* \ge \cdots$  be the sequence  $|c_0|, |c_1|, |c_2|, \cdots$  rearranged in descending order of magnitude.

THEOREM 2.3. (i) A necessary and sufficient condition that numbers  $c_n \rightarrow 0$  should be, for every variation of their arrangement, the Walsh Fourier coefficients of a function  $f \in L^q$ ,  $q \ge 2$ , is that  $\mathcal{B}_{q,w} * [c] < \infty$ . If the condition is satisfied, then for every such f,

$$||f||_{a} \leq A_{a}' \mathcal{B}_{a,w}^{*}[c] \cdots (*)$$

(ii) A necessary and sufficient condition that the  $c_n$  should be, for some variation of their arrangement, the Walsh Fourier coefficients of an  $f \in L^p$ ,  $1 , is that <math>\mathcal{B}_{p,w}^*[c] < \infty$ . Moreover, we have, for every such f,

$$\mathcal{B}_{b,w}^*[c] \leq A_b ||f||_b \cdots (**)$$

The proof is based on the following lemmas.

LEMMA 2.4. ([7]) Suppose f is a non-negative function defined for  $x \ge 0$ . Let r > 1 and s < r - 1. If  $f^r(x)x^s$  is integrable over  $(0, \infty)$ , so is  $\{x^{-1}F(x)\}^r x^s$ , where  $F(x) = \int_0^x f dt$ .

LEMMA 2.5. If  $a_0 \ge a_1 \ge a_2 \ge \cdots \to 0$ , a necessary and sufficient condition that the function  $g(x) = \sum a_n w_n(x)$  should belong to  $L^r$ , r > 1, is that the sum  $S_r = \sum a_n^r (2^{n_1+1})^{r-2}$ , where  $2^{n_1} \le n < 2^{n_1+1}$ , should be finite.

*Proof.* Let G(x) and H(x) denote, respectively, the integrals of g and |g| over the interval (0, x). Let  $A_n = a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n$ . By B we shall mean a constant depending at most on r, but not necessarily always the same.

If  $g \in L$ , in particular if  $g \in L'$ , the series defining g is S[g]. So

$$G(1/2^{n}) = \int_{0}^{1/2^{n}} g(t) dt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1/2^{n}} \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} w_{k}(t) \right] dt$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} \int_{0}^{1/2^{n}} w_{k}(t) dt$$

$$= (\sum_{k=0}^{2^{n}} a_{k}) \cdot 1/2^{n}$$

$$\geq 2^{n} \cdot a_{2^{n}-1} \cdot 1/2^{n}$$

$$= a_{2^{n}-1}$$

$$\geq a_{2^{n}},$$

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n}^{r} (2^{n_{1}+1})^{r-2} = \left[a_{2}^{r} + a_{3}^{r}\right] (2^{2})^{r-2} + \left[a_{4}^{r} + a_{5}^{r} + a_{6}^{r} + a_{7}^{r}\right] (2^{3})^{r-2} + \cdots$$

$$\leq 2 \cdot a_{2}^{r} (2^{2})^{r-2} + 2^{2} \cdot a_{2^{n}}^{r} (2^{3})^{r-2} + \cdots$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{2^{n}}^{r} 2^{n} (2^{n+1})^{r-2}$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} G^{r} (1/2^{n}) (2^{n})^{r-1} \cdot 2^{r-2}$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\int_{0}^{1/2^{n}} |g(t)| dt\right)^{r} (2^{n})^{r-1} \cdot 2^{r-2}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} H^{r} (1/2^{n}) \left(\frac{1}{1/2^{n}}\right)^{r-1} 2^{r-2}$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{1/2^{n}}^{1/2^{n-1}} \left(\frac{H(x)}{x}\right)^{r} (2^{n})^{-1} 2^{r-2}$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{1/2^{n}}^{1/2^{n-1}} \left(\frac{H(x)}{x}\right)^{r} 2^{r-2}$$

$$= B \int_{0}^{1} \left(\frac{H(x)}{x}\right)^{r}$$

$$\leq B \left(\frac{r}{r-1}\right)^{r} \int_{0}^{1} |g|^{r} dx$$

$$= B \int_{0}^{1} |g|^{r} dx,$$

# by lemma 2.4.

This establishes the necessity of the condition in 2.5.

To show that the condition is sufficient we observe that

$$|g(x)| = |\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} a_{\nu} w_{\nu}(x)|$$

$$\leq |\sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{n}-1} a_{\nu} w_{\nu}(x)| + |\sum_{\nu=2^{n}}^{\infty} a_{\nu} w_{\nu}(x)|$$

$$\leq \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{n}-1} a_{\nu} + \left| \sum_{\nu=2^{n}}^{\infty} (a_{\nu} - a_{\nu+1}) D_{\nu+1}(x) - a_{2^{n}} D_{2^{n}} \right| 
\leq \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{n}-1} a_{\nu} + \left| \sum_{\nu=2^{n}}^{\infty} (a_{\nu} - a_{\nu+1}) D_{\nu+1}(x) + a_{2^{n}} D_{2^{n}} \right| 
\leq \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{n}-1} a_{\nu} + \sum_{\nu=2^{n}}^{\infty} (a_{\nu} - a_{\nu+1}) \left| D_{\nu+1}(x) \right| + a_{2^{n}} \left| D_{2^{n}} \right| 
\leq \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{n}-1} a_{\nu} + a_{2^{n}} \frac{2^{2}}{x}.$$

If follows that  $|g(x)| \le BA_{s^n}$  for  $\frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \le x \le \frac{1}{2^n}$ .

Hence  $\int_0^1 |g|^r dx = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{1/2^{n+1}}^{1/2^n} |g|^r dx < B\Sigma A_2^r - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} < B\Sigma A_2^r - \frac{1}{n^2}$ , and it remains to show that the last series converges if  $S_r < \infty$ .  $S_r < \infty$  implies  $\Sigma a_n^r n^{r-2} < \infty$ .

Let a(x) denote the function equal to  $a_n$  for  $n-1 \le x < n$   $(n=1, 2, \cdots)$ , and A(x) the integral of a(t) over (0, x). The inequality  $\sum a_n^r n^{r-2} < \infty$  implies that  $a^r(x)x^{r-2}$  is integrable over  $(0, \infty)$ . So (by lemma 2.4 with s=r-2) is the function  $\{x^{-1}A(x)\}^r x^{r-2} = A^r(x)x^{-2}$ .

The integrability of the latter function is equivalent to the convergence of  $\Sigma A_n r^{-2}$ . Hence  $\Sigma A_2 r^{-2}$  converges and lemma 2.5 follows.

We are now in a position to prove theorem 2.3.

(i) That the condition of (i) is sufficient follows from (ii) of theorem 2.2, whence also we can deduce the inequality (\*).

To prove the necessity of the condition, consider the series  $\Sigma c_n * w_n(x)$ . Since  $\Sigma c_n * w_n(x)$  belongs to  $L^q$ , by lemma 2.5 we see that

$$\mathcal{B}_{a,w}^*[c] = \{ \Sigma (c_n^*)^r (2^{n_1+1})^{r-2} \}^{1/r} < \infty, \text{ where } 2^{n_1} \le n < 2^{n_1+1}.$$

- (ii) By (i) of theorem 2.2, we see that the condition of theorem 2.3
- (ii) is necessary. Moreover, we can deduce the inequality (\*\*).

To prove the sufficiency of the condition, consider the series  $\Sigma c_n * w_n(x)$ . Since  $\mathscr{B}_{p,w}*[c] < \infty$ , by lemma 2.5  $\Sigma c_n * w_n(x)$  belongs to  $L^p$ .

# References

- 1. S.V. Bočkarev, On the Fourier-Walsh coefficients, Math. USSR. Vol. 4. No. 1-3. (1970), pp. 209-214.
- 2. J.E. Coury, Walsh series with coefficients tending monotonically to zero, Pacific J. Math. 54 (1974).
- 3. N.J. Fine, On the Walsh functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 65 (1949), pp. 372-414.
- 4. R.A. Hunt, Orthogonal expansions and their continuous analogues, Proceedings of the conference held at Southern Illinois University Edwardsville, April 27-29, 1967.
- 5. R.A. Hunt, Developments related to the a.e. convergence of Fourier series, (Preprint).
- 6. G.W. Morgenthaler, On Walsh Fourier series, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 84 (1957), pp. 472-507.
- A. Zygmund, Trigonometic series, 2nd, ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 1959.

Ewha Womans University Seoul 120, Korea