

OPERATORS HAVING ANALYTIC SPECTRAL RESOLVENTS

JAE CHUL RHO and TAE GEUN CHO

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, X is an abstract Banach space over the field of complex numbers \mathbf{C} , T is an element of $B(X)$, T^* denotes the dual operator of T on the dual space X^* . For a set $S \subset X$, S^\perp is the annihilator of S , \bar{S} for the closure of S in an appropriate topology and ∂S for the boundary of S . If T is endowed with the single valued extension property (SVEP), then $\sigma(x, T)$ denotes the local spectrum for $x \in X$, and $X_T(S) = \{x \in X : \sigma(x, T) \subset S\}$. If M is a T -invariant subspace, we write $T|M$ for the restriction and T/M for the operator induced by T on the quotient space X/M . We use $\sigma(T)$ for the spectrum of T and $\rho(T)$ for its resolvent set, the symbol $\text{Cov}[\sigma(T)]$ stands for the class of all finite open coverings of $\sigma(T)$. We write $\text{AI}(T)$, $\text{Inv}(T)$ for the Analytic invariant subspaces, invariant subspaces of X for T respectively. And the symbol $\text{SM}(T)$ denotes the spectral maximal subspaces of X for T .

A decomposable operator, analytic invariant subspaces, and analytic spectral resolvent appear in this paper frequently, we begin with their definitions.

DEFINITION 1.1. An operator $T \in B(X)$ said to be decomposable if, for every finite system $\{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n\}$ of open subsets of \mathbf{C} that cover $\sigma(T)$, there exist spectral maximal subspaces Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n such that

$$(1) \quad X = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i,$$
$$(2) \quad \sigma(T|Y_i) \subset G_i \quad (i=1, 2, \dots, n).$$

DEFINITION 1.2. A T -invariant subspace Y of X is said to be analytic invariant if, for every X -valued analytic function defined on a region

Received October 29, 1986.

This research is supported by MOE grant 1986.

$D \subset \mathcal{C}$ such that

$$(\lambda - T)f(\lambda) \in Y \text{ for } \lambda \in D, \text{ then } f(\lambda) \in Y \text{ for } \lambda \in D.$$

DEFINITION 1.3. E is said to be an analytic spectral resolvent (ASR) for T if

- (i) $E : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \text{AI}(T)$, where \mathcal{U} is the usual topology of \mathcal{C} ,
- (ii) $E(\phi) = \{0\}$,
- (iii) for $\{G_i\}_{i=1}^n \in \text{Cov}[\sigma(T)]$, $X = \sum_{i=1}^n E(G_i)$, and
- (iv) $\sigma(T|E(G)) \subset \bar{G}$ for any $G \in \mathcal{U}$.

In the definition 1.3, if one replace $\text{AI}(T)$ by $\text{Inv}(T)$ then E is called the spectral resolvent [13].

It is shown through lengthy computations that if $T \in B(X)$ has a spectral resolvent then T is a decomposable operator ([13], p. 77, Theorem 11). Thus it is true that if T has an ASR then T is decomposable. But the later case the decomposability follows from the following results:

THEOREM 1.4. [10]. *For an operator T , the following are equivalent.*

- (i) T is decomposable.
- (ii) For every open set G in \mathcal{C} , there is a T -invariant subspace M such that $\sigma(T/M) \subset \bar{G}$ and $\sigma(T/M) \subset \mathcal{C} \setminus G$.

THEOREM 15. [15]. *Let $E : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \text{Inv}(T)$ be a spectral resolvent for T , then $\sigma(T/E(G)) \subset \mathcal{C} \setminus G$ if and only if $E(G)$ is analytic invariant under T .*

2. Invariance of an analytic spectral resolvent

In the first part of this section, we will give an answer to the following question:

If E is an ASR of $T_1 \in B(X)$, when does it also be an ASR for another operator $T_2 \in B(X)$?

Before stating the result, we need a Lemma and a definition.

DEFINITION 2.1. [3]. We say that T_1 and T_2 are quasi-nilpotent equivalent ($T_1 \overset{q}{\sim} T_2$) if,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(T_1 - T_2)^{[n]}\|^{\frac{1}{n}} = 0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(T_2 - T_1)^{[n]}\|^{\frac{1}{n}},$$

where

$$(T_1 - T_2)^{[n]} = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} T_1^k T_2^{n-k}.$$

LEMMA 2.2. [3]. (i) If $T_1 \overset{q}{\sim} T_2$ then $\sigma(T_1) = \sigma(T_2)$.

(ii) If T_1 has the SVEP and if $T_1 \overset{q}{\sim} T_2$, then T_2 has the SVEP.

(iii) If T_1 is decomposable and $T_1 \overset{q}{\sim} T_2$, then T_2 is also decomposable and $X_{T_1}(F) = X_{T_2}(F)$ for every closed $F \subset \mathbb{C}$.

(iv) If T has the SVEP and if $T_1 \overset{q}{\sim} T_2$, then $\sigma(x, T_1) = \sigma(x, T_2)$ for every $x \in X$.

THEOREM 2.3. For $T_1, T_2 \in B(X)$, let E be an ASR for T_1 . If $T_1 \overset{q}{\sim} T_2$ and if $E(G) \in AI(T_1) \cap AI(T_2)$ for each $G \in \mathcal{U}$, then E is also an ASR for T_2 .

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, (i), we have $\sigma(T_1) = \sigma(T_2)$. For any $\{G_i\}_{i=1}^n \in \text{Cov}[\sigma(T_1)]$, $\sum_{i=1}^n E(G_i) = X$ and $\sigma(T_1|E(G)) \subset \bar{G}$ for any $G \in \mathcal{U}$.

It remains to prove that $\sigma(T_1|E(G)) = \sigma(T_2|E(G))$ for any $G \in \mathcal{U}$. For any $x \in E(G) \in AI(T_1) \cap AI(T_2)$, we have

$$\sigma(x, T_1) = \sigma(x, T_1|E(G)), \quad \sigma(x, T_2) = \sigma(x, T_2|E(G)).$$

Since T_1 is decomposable so it has the SVEP, thus $\sigma(x, T_1) = \sigma(x, T_2)$ by Lemma 2.2, (iv). Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcup_{x \in E(G)} \sigma(x, T_1) &= \bigcup_{x \in E(G)} \sigma(x, T_1|E(G)) = \sigma(T_1|E(G)), \text{ and} \\ \bigcup_{x \in E(G)} \sigma(x, T_2) &= \sigma(T_2|E(G)). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\sigma(T_1|E(G)) = \sigma(T_2|E(G))$, $G \in \mathcal{U}$.

The condition $E(G) \in AI(T_1) \cap AI(T_2)$ for every $G \in \mathcal{U}$ in Theorem 2.3. seems to be crucial, but the following example shows it is not so unreasonable.

EXAMPLE. Let T be a spectral operator with the spectral measure μ in the sense of N. Dunford. Thus it can be represented by $T = S + Q$, where S and Q are the scalar and radical part respectively, and $\sigma(T) = \sigma(S)$. Putting $T_1 = T$, $T_2 = S$, we have

$$\|(T_1 - T_2)^{[n]}\|^{1/n} = \|Q_n\|^{1/n} = \|(T_2 - T_1)^{[n]}\|^{1/n},$$

whence $T_1 \overset{q}{\sim} T_2$.

We define $E(G) = \mu(\bar{G})X$ for $G \in \mathcal{U}$, then we have $\mu(\bar{G})X = X_{T_1}(\bar{G})$, $X_{T_1}(\bar{G}) = X_{T_2}(\bar{G})$ ([3], p. 40, Theorem 2.1). Moreover, $X_{T_1}(\bar{G})$ is a spectral maximal space for T_1 since T_1 is decomposable, thus $E(G) = X_{T_1}(\bar{G})$ is analytically invariant under T_1 . i. e. $E(G) \in \text{SM}(T_1) \subset \text{AI}(T_1)$, $\text{AI}(T_1) \cap \text{AI}(T_2) \neq \emptyset$. Furthermore, T_1 and T_2 are decomposable, thus $E : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \text{AI}(T_1) \cap \text{AI}(T_2)$ is an ASR for T_1 and T_2 .

A decomposable operator is not a strongly decomposable operator, thus if T is decomposable, the restriction operator $T|E(G)$ and the quotient operator $T/E(G)$ are not decomposable in general even if $E(G)$ is a spectral maximal space for T . We will give the conditions under which these operators are decomposable for some fixed $G \in \mathcal{U}$. To do this we need a Lemma.

LEMMA 2.4. [14]. *Let T be decomposable on a reflexive Banach space X . If Y reduces T , then $T|Y$ is decomposable.*

For an operator T with the disconnected spectrum, $G \in \mathcal{U}$ is said to be disconnect the spectrum $\sigma(T)$ if.

$$G \cap \sigma(T) \neq \emptyset, \quad \sigma(T) \not\subset G \text{ and } \partial G \subset \sigma(T).$$

PROPOSITION 2.5. *Let X be a reflexive Banach space, let E be an ASR for T . If $G \in \mathcal{U}$ disconnects the spectrum $\sigma(T)$, then both $T|E(G)$ and $T/E(G)$ are decomposable.*

Proof. By the assumption on G , $X = E(G) \oplus E(\bar{G}^c)$ ([5], p. 62, Lemma 12). Thus $E(G)$ reduces T so by Lemma 2.4, $T|E(G)$ is decomposable. T^* is decomposable since T is, X^* is also reflexive and

$$\begin{aligned} X^* &= E(G)^* \oplus E(\bar{G}^c)^* \equiv (X/E(\bar{G}^c))^* \oplus (X/E(G))^* \\ &\equiv E(\bar{G}^c)^\perp \oplus E(G)^\perp. \end{aligned}$$

A simple computation shows that both $E(G)^\perp$ and $E(\bar{G}^c)^\perp$ are invariant under T^* . Using again the Lemma 2.4, $T^*|E(G)^\perp$ is decomposable.

Now, by the identification $T^*|E(G)^\perp \equiv (T/E(G))^*$, $T/E(G)$ is decomposable. The last conclusion follows from the fact that T is decomposable if and only if T^* is decomposable. ([10], p. 95, Corollary 1).

3. Constructions of new ASR from given ASR.

In this section, we will formulate an ASR for the functional calculus, the direct sum of two ASR and a transformation of an ASR by an invertible operator. In what follows, we use the symbol $\mathcal{F}(T)$ for the set of all non-constant complex valued analytic functions on some open neighborhood of the spectrum $\sigma(T)$, and $f(T)$ for the functional calculus for T if $f \in \mathcal{F}(T)$.

A T -invariant subspace Y of X is said to be a ν -space if $\sigma(T|Y) \subset \sigma(T)$.

LEMMA 3.1. [9]. *Let $T \in B(X)$, let g be analytic on some open neighborhood of $\sigma(T)$. If Y is analytically invariant under T , then Y is analytically invariant under $g(T)$.*

LEMMA 3.2. [6]. (1) *Given $T \in B(X)$, let f be an analytic injective function on some neighborhood of $\sigma(T)$. Then Y is a ν -space for $f(T)$ then Y is a ν -space for T .*

(2) *Given $T \in B(X)$, let f be an analytic function on an open neighborhood of $\sigma(T)$. If Y is ν -space for T then Y is ν -space for $f(T)$. Furthermore, we have*

$$f(T)|Y = f(T|Y), \quad f(T)/Y = f(T/Y).$$

(3) *Given $T \in B(X)$, let $f: D \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be analytic on an open neighborhood D of $\sigma(T)$ and nonconstant on every component of D .*

If $Y \in AI[f(T)]$ and $Y \in Inv(T)$, then $Y \in AI(T)$.

THEOREM 3.3. *Let E be an ASR for T , let $f \in \mathcal{F}(T)$ and if f is continuous on \mathbf{C} then the map \mathcal{E} defined by $\mathcal{E} = E \circ f^{-1}$ is an ASR for $f(T)$.*

Proof. For any $\{H_i\}_{i=1}^n \in \text{Cov}[\sigma(f(T))]$, $f(\sigma(T)) = \sigma(f(T)) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n H_i$,
whence

$$\sigma(T) \subset f^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n H_i\right) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n f^{-1}(H_i)$$

We put $f^{-1}(H_i) = G_i$ ($i=1, 2, \dots, n$), then $\{G_i\}_i \in \text{Cov}[\sigma(T)]$. Since E is an ASR for T , we have

$$\mathcal{E}(\phi) = \{0\}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{E}(H_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n E(G_i) = X, \quad \text{and}$$

For each $H \in \mathcal{U}$, putting $f^{-1}(H) = G$, $\mathcal{E}(H) = E(G)$ is an analytically invariant subspace under $f(T)$ by Lemma 3.1. Thus $\mathcal{E}(H)$ is a ν -space for $f(T)$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.2, (2), we have

$$\sigma(f(T)|\mathcal{E}(H)) = \sigma(f(T)|\mathcal{E}(H)) = f(\sigma(T|\mathcal{E}(H))) = f(\sigma(T|E(G))).$$

And since $\sigma(T|E(G)) \subset \overline{G} \cap \sigma(T)$,

$$\sigma(f(T)|\mathcal{E}(H)) \subset f(\overline{G}) \cap f(\sigma(T)) \subset \overline{H} \cap \sigma(f(T))$$

hold by the continuity of f and the spectral mapping theorem. Therefore, $\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \text{AI}[f(T)]$ is an ASR for $f(T)$.

The converse of the Theorem 3.3 is following.

THEOREM 3.4. *Let $f \in \mathcal{F}(T)$, let f be an injective open function on \mathbf{C} . If \mathcal{E} is an ASR for $f(T)$, then the map E defined by $E = \mathcal{E} \circ f$ is an ASR for T .*

Proof. Let $\{G_i\}_{i=1}^n \in \text{Cov}[\sigma(T)]$. Then $\{f(G_i)\}_{i=1}^n \in \text{Cov}[\sigma(f(T))]$ by the spectral mapping theorem, $\mathcal{E}(f(G_i)) \in \text{AI}(f(T))$ for each i . We put $f(G_i) = H_i$. Since $E(G_i) = \mathcal{E}(H_i)$, we have

$$E(\phi) = \mathcal{E}(\phi) = \{0\}, \quad \sum_i E(G_i) = \sum_i \mathcal{E}(H_i) = X.$$

For an admissible contour C which surrounds $\sigma(T)$ and is contained in $D \cap \rho(T)$, where D is a some open neighborhood of $\sigma(T)$. Applying Dunford's theorem on composite operator-valued function to the composite $f^{-1} \circ f$, we have

$$f^{-1}[f(T)] = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C f^{-1}[f(\lambda)] R(\lambda, T) d\lambda = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \lambda R(\lambda, T) d\lambda = T.$$

By the same arguments as the proof of Theorem 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(T|E(G)) &= \sigma(f^{-1}[f(T)]|E(G)) = \sigma(f^{-1}[f(T)]|\mathcal{E}(H)) \\ &= \sigma(f^{-1}[f(T)|\mathcal{E}(H)]) = f^{-1}[\sigma(f(T)|\mathcal{E}(H))] \\ &\subset f^{-1}[\overline{H} \cap \sigma(f(T))] = f^{-1}(\overline{H}) \cap \sigma(T). \end{aligned}$$

And since f is an injective open function on \mathbf{C} ,

$$f^{-1}(\overline{H}) = f^{-1}(\overline{f(G)}) \subset \overline{f^{-1}(f(G))} = \overline{G}.$$

Thus we have $\sigma(T|E(G)) \subset \overline{G} \cap \sigma(T)$ for $G \in \mathcal{U}$, therefore $E : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \text{Inv}(T)$ is a spectral resolvent for T .

It remains to show that $E(G) = \mathcal{E}(H)$ is analytically invariant under T for each $G \in \mathcal{U}$, where $H = f(G)$.

Since $E(G) = \mathcal{E}(H)$ is analytically invariant under $f(T)$,

$$(3. a) \quad \sigma(f(T)/\mathcal{E}(H)) \subset H^c \cap \sigma(f(T)) = f(G)^c \cap f(\sigma(T)) \\ = f(G^c \cap \sigma(T)),$$

the last equality holds since f is injective.

Now, by Lemma 3.2, $\mathcal{E}(H) = E(G)$ is a ν -space for $f(T)$ if and only if it is a ν -space for T . Thus

$$(3. b) \quad [f(T)/\mathcal{E}(H)] = \sigma[f(T/E(G))] = f(\sigma(T/E(G))).$$

It follows from (3. a) and (3. b) that

$$\sigma(T/E(G)) \subset G^c \cap \sigma(T) \subset C \setminus G.$$

Therefore, by Theorem 1.5, $E(G)$ is analytically invariant under T for each $G \in \mathcal{U}$. This completes the proof.

From Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.4, we have the following corollary.

COROLLARY 3.5. *Let $f \in \mathcal{F}(T)$, if $f : C \rightarrow C$ is a homeomorphism then E is an ASR for T if and only if $\mathcal{E} = E \circ f^{-1}$ is an ASR for $f(T)$.*

THEOREM 3.6. *Let X_k ($k=1, 2$) be Banach spaces, let $T_k \in B(X_k)$ ($k=1, 2$). If E_k is an ASR for T_k for $k=1, 2$. Then the map E defined by $E(G) = E_1(G) \oplus E_2(G)$ ($G \in \mathcal{U}$) is an ASR for $T = T_1 \oplus T_2$.*

Proof. For $\{G_i\}_{i=1}^n \in \text{Cov}[\sigma(T)]$, $\{G_i\}_{i=1}^n \in \text{Cov}[\sigma(T_k)]$ ($k=1, 2$) since $\sigma(T) = \sigma(T_1) \cup \sigma(T_2)$. Thus $\sum_i E_k(G_i) = X_k$ ($k=1, 2$) and $\sum_i E(G_i) = X$. Clearly $E(\phi) = \{0\}$.

For any $G \in \mathcal{U}$, $E(G) \in \text{AI}(T)$ ([6], p.20, proposition 2.18). Furthermore,

$$\sigma(T|E(G)) = \sigma(T_1 \oplus T_2 | E_1(G) \oplus E_2(G)) \\ = \sigma(T_1 | E_1(G)) \cup \sigma(T_2 | E_2(G)) \subset \bar{G}$$

Therefore, $E : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \text{AI}(T)$ is an ASR for T .

For the converse of the Theorem 3.6, we need some basic results for analytically invariant subspaces.

LEMMA 3.7. [9]. (1) *Let $T_i \in B(X_i)$ ($i=1, 2$), let Y_i be an T_i -invariant subspace ($i=1, 2$). Then $Y_1 \oplus Y_2$ is analytically invariant under $T_1 \oplus T_2$ if and only if Y_i is analytically invariant under T_i .*

(2) *Let Y, Z be T -invariant subspaces with $Y \subset Z$. Then the following hold.*

(i) *If $Y \in \text{AI}(T)$, then $Y \in \text{AI}(T|Z)$.*

- (ii) If $Y \in AI(T|Z)$ and $Z \in AI(T)$, then $Y \in AI(T)$.
- (iii) $Z \in AI(T)$ if and only if $Z/Y \in AI(T/Y)$.
- (iv) If T have the SVEP and let P be a bounded projection operator on X commuting with T , then $PX \in AI(T)$.

LEMMA 3.8. [6]. Let T have the SVEP and let $Y \in \text{Inv}(T)$. Then $T|Y$ has the SVEP and $\sigma(y, T) \subset \sigma(y, T|Y)$ for every $y \in Y$.

THEOREM 3.9. Let $T \in B(X)$, $X = X_1 \oplus X_2$ and let P_1 be a bounded projection operator of X onto X_1 commuting with T .

If E is an ASR for T , then the map $E_k = P_k \circ E$ ($k=1, 2$) are ASR for T_k ($k=1, 2$), where $P_2 = I - P_1$, $T_k = T|X_k$ for $k=1, 2$.

Proof. We note that the condition $TP_1 = P_1T$ is equivalent to $TX_1 \subset X_1$, $TX_2 \subset X_2$. Obviously, $E_k(G) = P_k E(G)$ is a closed subspace for $k=1, 2$.

Since $TE(G) \subset E(G)$ ($G \in \mathcal{U}$), $P_k TE(G) \subset P_k E(G) = E_k(G)$, we have $TE_k(G) \subset E_k(G)$. Hence $T_k E_k(G) = (T|X_k) E_k(G) = TE_k(G) \subset E_k(G)$.

Now we will show that $E_k(G) \in AI(T|E(G))$, $k=1, 2$. Putting $A = T|E(G)$, $A : E(G) \rightarrow E(G)$ have the SVEP by Lemma 3.8. $P_k T = TP_k$ implies that $P_k A = AP_k$. Therefore $P_k E(G) = E_k(G)$ ($k=1, 2$) are considered as subspaces of $E(G)$ invariant under A , and $E_k(G) \in AI(A) = AI(T|E(G))$ by Lemma 3.7, (2), (ii) and (iv).

It follows that

$$\sigma(T_1|E_1(G)) = \sigma(T|E_1(G)) = \sigma([T|E(G)]|E_1(G)) \subset \sigma(T|E(G)),$$

the last inclusion relation follows from Lemma 3.7, (i) and every analytically invariant subspace is a ν -space. Thus we have

$$\sigma(T_1|E_1(G)) \subset \bar{G}, \text{ and similiary } \sigma(T_2|E_2(G)) \subset \bar{G}.$$

Obviously $E_k(\phi) = \{0\}$, $k=1, 2$. It remains to prove that $\sum_{i=1}^n E_k(G_i) = X_k$ for every $\{G_i\}_{i=1}^n \in \text{Cov}[\sigma(T_k)]$ ($k=1, 2$).

We choose $G \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $\sigma(T_1) \cap \bar{G} = \phi$ and satisfying $(\bigcup_{i=1}^n G_i) \cup G \supset \sigma(T)$.

By Lemma 3.7, (1), $E_1(G) \oplus E_2(G) = E(G) \in AI(T)$ if and only if $E_k(G) \in AI(T_k)$ for $k=1, 2$. Thus $\sigma(T_1|E_1(G)) \subset \bar{G} \cap \sigma(T_1) = \phi$. Therefore,

$$\sigma(T_1) \cap \bar{G} = \phi \text{ implies that } E_1(G) = \{0\}.$$

Since $\{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n, G\} \in \text{Cov}[\sigma(T)]$, $\sum_{i=1}^n E(G_i) + E(G) = X$. So we

have $\sum_{i=1}^n E_1(G_i) = X_1$. Similarly $\sum_{i=1}^n E_2(G_i) = X_2$ for any $\{G_i\}_{i=1}^n \in \text{Cov}[\sigma(T_2)]$. We have proved the Theorem.

THEOREM 3.10. *Let E be an ASR for $T \in B(X)$, let Y be another Banach space. If T is similar to $S \in B(Y)$, then the map $\mathcal{E} = VE$ defined by $\mathcal{E}(G) = VE(G)$ ($G \in \mathcal{U}$) is an ASR for S , where $V \in B(X, Y)$ is an invertible operator such that $VT = SV$.*

Proof. We propose to show that $\mathcal{E}(G) = VE(G) \in AI(S)$. Clearly, $VE(G)$ is a closed subspace of X for each $G \in \mathcal{U}$, and invariant under S .

Let $f : D \rightarrow X$ be analytic and satisfy

$$(\lambda I - S)f(\lambda) \in VE(G) \text{ on } D.$$

Then

$$V^{-1}(\lambda I - S)f(\lambda) \in E(G) \text{ and } (\lambda I - T)V^{-1}f(\lambda) \in E(G) \text{ on } D.$$

And since $V^{-1}f(\lambda)$ is analytic on D and $E(G) \in AI(T)$, we have

$$V^{-1}f(\lambda) \in E(G), \text{ thus } f(\lambda) \in VE(G), \lambda \in D.$$

Now, for any $\{G_i\}_{i=1}^n \in \text{Cov}[\sigma(T)] = \text{Cov}[\sigma(S)]$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n VE(G_i) = V \sum_{i=1}^n E(G_i) = VX = Y, \text{ i. e. } \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{E}(G_i) = Y.$$

Furthermore, $S|VE(G)$ is similar to $T|E(G)$; this follows from the facts that

$$[V|E(G)][T|E(G)] = [S|VE(G)][V|E(G)], \text{ and}$$

$V|E(G)$ is invertible. Therefore,

$$\sigma(S|VE(G)) = \sigma(T|E(G)) \subset \bar{G} \cap \sigma(T) \text{ i. e. } \sigma(S|\mathcal{E}(G)) \subset \bar{G} \cap \sigma(S).$$

Hence $\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow AI(S)$ is an ASR for S .

In definition of the ASR E for T if $n=2$ then E is said to be two-ASR for T . Auther previously proved that if T has a two-ASR E , then the dual operator T^* has also a two-ASR E^* , where $E^* : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow AI(T^*)$ is defined by $E^*(G) = E(C\bar{G})^\perp$ ([12], p. 77, Theorem 6).

References

1. C. Apostol, *Some properties of spectral maximal spaces and decomposable operators*, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures et Appl. (1967), Tome XII, No. 5, 607-610.

2. C. Apostol, *Spectral decompositions and functional calculus*, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures et Appl., Tome **XIII**, 10(1968), 1481-1528.
3. I. Colojoara and C. Foias, *Theory of Generalized spectral operators*, Gordon and Breach, NY, 1968.
4. N. Dunford, J.T. Schwartz, *Linear operators III*, Wiley interscience, NY (1971).
5. I. Erdelyi, *Spectral resolvents*, Research notes in Mathematics, No. 38, Pitman advanced pub. program, San Francisco, London (1979), 51-70.
6. I. Erdelyi, R. Lange, *Spectral decompositions on Banach spaces*, Lecture notes in Mathematics, #623, Sprinreg-Verlag, NY, 1977.
7. I. Erdelyi and Wang Shengwang, *On the strongly decomposable operators*, Pacific J. Math., **110**(1984), 287-296.
8. S. Frunza, *A duality theorem for decomposable operators*, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures et Appl., Tome **XVI**, 7(1971), 1055-1058.
9. R. Lange, *Analytic decomposable operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **244** (1978), 225-240.
10. R. Lange, *Duality and asymptotic spectral decompositions*, Pacific J. Math. Vol. **121**, No.1(1986), 93-108.
11. M. Radjabalipour, *Equivalence of decomposable and 2-decomposable operators*, Pacific J. Math. Vol. **77** (1978), 243-247.
12. J.C. Rho, T.G. Cho, H.K. Cha, *Analytic spectral resolvents*, J. Korean Math. Soc. Vol. **22**, No.1 (1985), 75-85.
13. G. Shulberg *Spectral resolvents and decomposable operators*, Research notes in Mathematics, No. 38, Pitman Advanced pub. program, San Francisco, London (1979), 71-80.
14. J.C. Snader, *Strongly analytic subspaces and strongly decomposable operators*, Pacific J. Math., Vol. **115**, No.1(1984), 193-202,

Sogang University
Seoul 121, Korea