

## ON A CLASS OF MINIMAL HP-SPACES

By T. G. Raghavan and I. L. Reilly

### 1. Introduction

A  $P$ -space is a topological space in which every  $G_\delta$ -set is open. A  $HP$ -space is a Hausdorff topological space which is also a  $P$ -space. A  $HP$ -space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be *minimal HP* if and only if  $\tau' \leq \tau$  and  $(X, \tau')$  is a  $HP$ -space implies  $\tau = \tau'$ . Our attention was drawn to  $P$ -spaces when we noticed that a Lindelöf  $HP$ -space is maximal Lindelöf and minimal  $HP$ . This result is given by Cameron [1, Theorem 7.6] and Misra [3, Proposition 4.2 (f)]. A closely related class of spaces is the class of  $HP$ -closed spaces. A  $HP$ -space is called *HP-closed* if and only if it is closed in every  $HP$ -space into which it can be embedded. In an earlier paper [4] we made extensive investigations of minimal  $HP$  and  $HP$ -closed spaces. Cameron has shown that a maximal Lindelöf space need not be Hausdorff [1, Example 7.3] but is a  $P$ -space [1, Theorem 7.4] that a minimal  $HP$ -space need not be Lindelöf [1, Example 9.1] and that a Lindelöf  $HP$ -space need not be minimal Hausdorff nor minimal  $P$ . We have given an example [4, Example 2.2] to show that the classes of  $HP$ -closed and  $H$ -closed spaces are distinct.

If  $\mathcal{C}$  is a family of subsets of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , then  $\mathcal{C}$  is called an *almost cover* of  $X$  if the collection of closures of members of  $\mathcal{C}$  covers  $X$ .  $\mathcal{C}$  is said to have an *almost subcover*  $\mathcal{C}'$  of  $X$  if  $\mathcal{C}' \subset \mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{C}'$  is an almost cover in its own right. We say that a filter (base) is a  $\sigma$ -filter (base) if the filter (generated by the filter base) is closed under countable intersections. A  $HP$ -space  $(X, \tau)$  is *HP-closed* if and only if every open  $\sigma$ -filter base on  $(X, \tau)$  has an adherent point (or equivalently, every open cover has a countable almost subcover). A subset  $U$  of a topological space  $X$  is called *regular-open* if and only if  $\text{int cl } U = U$ ; a subset is *regular-closed* if and only if its complement is regular-open. A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called *semi-regular* if and only if the family of all regular-open subsets of  $X$  forms a base for the topology  $\tau$ . Indeed a  $HP$ -space

---

The first author acknowledges the receipt of a research grant from the University of Calabar, Calabar, Nigeria, and the second author a grant from the University of Auckland Research Fund.

$(X, \tau)$  is minimal HP if and only if every open  $\sigma$ -filter base with unique point of adherence converges to it (or equivalently,  $(X, \tau)$  is HP-closed and semi-regular).

In this paper a class of minimal HP-spaces is introduced. Such spaces are called  $\alpha$ -minimal HP-spaces. As the name suggests, these spaces are minimal HP; furthermore any Lindelöf HP-space is  $\alpha$ -minimal HP. But there are minimal HP-spaces which are not  $\alpha$ -minimal HP and  $\alpha$ -minimal HP-spaces which are not Lindelöf. These  $\alpha$ -minimal HP-spaces are characterized by the elegant property that each of their  $T_1$   $p$ -quotients is  $\mu$ -Lindelöf (see Definition 2.2 (a) below). Furthermore we show that  $X$  is  $\alpha$ -minimal HP if and only if  $X$  is HP-closed and rim- $\alpha$  (see Definition 2.2 (b) below).

## 2. $\alpha$ -Minimal HP spaces

DEFINITION 2.1 Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a HP-space.  $(X, \tau)$  is called  $\alpha$ -minimal HP-space if and only if given a closed subset  $A$  of  $X$  and an open cover  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $A$ ,  $\mathcal{C}$  has a countable almost subcover  $\mathcal{C}'$  of  $A$  (i.e. there exists a countable subfamily  $\mathcal{C}' \subset \mathcal{C}$  such that  $A \subset \bigcup \{\text{cl } U \mid U \in \mathcal{C}'\}$ ).

DEFINITIONS 2.2. (a) Let us call a topological space  $\mu$ -Lindelöf if it is a  $P$ -space and every open  $\sigma$ -filter base with unique adherent point converges to its adherence. (It is to be noted that  $\mu$ -Lindelöf spaces need not be Hausdorff; but  $\mu$ -Lindelöf Hausdorff spaces are precisely minimal HP-spaces).

(b) A HP-space is defined to be rim- $\alpha$  if and only if there exists a nbd system  $\{V\}$  of open sets for each point of  $X$  with the property that given a closed subset  $Q \subset \text{cl } V - V$  and an open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  (open in  $X$ ) of  $Q$  there exists a countable subfamily  $\mathcal{U}' (\subset \mathcal{U})$  such that  $Q \subset \bigcup \{\text{cl } U \mid U \in \mathcal{U}'\}$ . (nbd stands for neighbourhood).

The notion of semi-normal spaces was introduced by Viglino [6]. A topological space  $X$  is said to be semi-normal if and only if given a closed subset  $C \subset X$  and an open set  $G$  containing  $C$  there exists a regular-open set  $U$  with  $C \subset U \subset G$  (or equivalently, every closed set has a base consisting of regular-open sets). A semi-normal space need neither be normal nor regular; they are not even closed hereditary; they are not productive.

The concept of  $r$ -accumulation points of filters was introduced by Herrington and Long [2]. With particular reference to  $\sigma$ -filter bases we say that a  $\sigma$ -filter base  $\mathcal{F}$  in  $A (\subset X$ , where  $X$  is a topological space)  $r$ -accumulates to  $a \in A$  if and only if for each open nbd  $U$  of  $a$  (open in  $X$ ) and for each  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $F \cap \text{cl}$

$U \ni \phi$ . (It should be noted that " $\mathcal{F}$  in  $A$ " means that the elements of  $\mathcal{F}$  are nonempty subsets of  $A$ ). If we denote the adherence of a  $\sigma$ -filter base  $\mathcal{F}$  by  $\text{adh}(\mathcal{F})$  and  $x \in \text{adh}(\mathcal{F})$ , then  $x$  is an  $r$ -accumulation point of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Clearly if  $\text{adh}(\mathcal{F}) \neq \phi$ , then the  $r$ -accumulant of  $\mathcal{F}$  (=the set of all  $r$ -accumulation points of  $\mathcal{F}$ ) is nonempty. Let us denote the  $r$ -accumulant of  $\mathcal{F}$  by  $r\text{-acc}(\mathcal{F})$ . A  $\sigma$ -filter base  $\mathcal{F}$  is said to be *adherent convergent* if and only if  $U$  is open and  $U \supset \text{adh}(\mathcal{F})$  implies  $U \supset F \supset \text{adh}(\mathcal{F})$  for some  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ . Similarly, a  $\sigma$ -filter base  $\mathcal{F}$  is said to be  *$r$ -accumulant convergent* if and only if  $U$  is open and  $U \supset r\text{-acc}(\mathcal{F})$  implies  $U \supset F \supset r\text{-acc}(\mathcal{F})$  for some  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ .

It is clear from the definition of  $\alpha$ -minimal HP-spaces that if  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\alpha$ -minimal HP, then  $(X, \tau)$  is HP-closed. Let us now prove the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 2.3. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a HP-space. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $X$  is HP-closed
- (ii) Every  $\sigma$ -filter base  $\mathcal{F}$  in  $X$  has a  $r$ -accumulation point (i.e.  $r\text{-acc}(\mathcal{F}) \ni \phi$ ).

PROOF. (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a  $\sigma$ -filter base such that  $r\text{-acc}(\mathcal{F}) = \phi$ . Then if  $x \in X$ , there exists an open nbd  $U_x$  of  $x$  and  $F_x \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $(\text{cl } U_x) \cap F_x = \phi$ . Thus  $\mathcal{C} = \{U_x | x \in X\}$  is an open cover of  $X$ . Consider  $\{F_x | x \in X\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ . Let  $B$  be an arbitrary countable subset of  $X$ . Then there exists  $F_B \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $F_B \subset \bigcap \{F_x | x \in B\}$ . Clearly,  $F_B \cap (\bigcup \{\text{cl } U_x | x \in B\}) = \phi$  for any countable subset  $B$  of  $X$ . Thus  $\mathcal{C}$  does not admit a countable almost subcover of  $X$ . Hence  $X$  is not HP-closed.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). If  $X$  is not HP-closed, then there exists an open cover  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $X$  such that for every countable subset  $\mathcal{C}' (\subset \mathcal{C})$ ,  $P(\mathcal{C}') = X - \bigcup \{\text{cl } U | U \in \mathcal{C}'\} \ni \phi$ . Thus  $\beta = \{P(\mathcal{C}') | \mathcal{C}' \text{ is a countable subset of } \mathcal{C}\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -filter base. Further  $\{X - \text{cl } U | U \in \mathcal{C}\} \subset \beta$ . Let  $x \in X$ . There exists  $U_x \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $x \in U_x$ . Thus, since  $(X - \text{cl } U_x) \cap \text{cl } U_x = \phi$ ,  $x$  is not an  $r$ -accumulation point of  $\beta$ . Since the choice of  $x \in X$  is arbitrary,  $r\text{-acc}(\beta) = \phi$ .

THEOREM 2.4. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a HP-space. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $X$  is  $\alpha$ -minimal HP.
- (ii) Every  $\sigma$ -filter base consisting of open or regular-open sets converges to its adherence.
- (iii) If  $A$  is a closed subset of  $X$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $\sigma$ -filter base consisting of open or

regular-open subsets of  $X$  with nonempty traces on  $A$ , then  $\mathcal{S}$  has a point of adherence in  $A$  (i.e.  $\text{adh}(\mathcal{S}) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ ).

(iv) Given any open cover  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $X$  and  $U \in \mathcal{C}$  there exists a countable subfamily  $\mathcal{C}' \subset \mathcal{C} - \{U\}$  with  $X = U \cup (\cup \{cl V \mid V \in \mathcal{C}'\})$ .

PROOF. (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a  $\sigma$ -filter base consisting of open or regular-open subsets of  $X$ . Let  $\text{adh}(\mathcal{F}) = \bigcap \{cl U \mid U \in \mathcal{F}\} = C$ . Let  $V$  be an open subset of  $X$  such that  $V \supset C$ . Then  $\{X - cl U \mid U \in \mathcal{F}\}$  forms an open cover of  $X - C$  and hence of  $X - V$ . Then there exists a countable subset  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{F}$  such that  $\cup \{cl(X - cl U) \mid U \in \mathcal{B}\} \supset X - V$ . Thus  $V \supset \bigcap \{int cl U \mid U \in \mathcal{B}\} \supset \bigcap \{U \mid U \in \mathcal{B}\} \supset W$  for some  $W \in \mathcal{F}$ , since  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -filter base.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). If  $\text{adh}(\mathcal{S}) \cap A = \emptyset$ , then  $X - A$  is an open set containing  $\text{adh}(\mathcal{S})$ . Hence by (ii) there exists  $U \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $X - A \supset U$  so that  $U \cap A = \emptyset$ , a contradiction.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Let  $A$  be a closed subset of  $X$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  an open cover of  $A$  such that there exists no countable subset  $\mathcal{C}' \subset \mathcal{C}$  such that  $A \subset \cup \{cl U \mid U \in \mathcal{C}'\}$ . Thus if  $X - \cup \{cl U \mid U \in \mathcal{C}'\} = F(\mathcal{C}')$ ,  $\{F(\mathcal{C}') \mid \mathcal{C}' \text{ is a countable subset of } \mathcal{C}\}$  is an open  $\sigma$ -filter base  $\mathcal{S}$  such that each member of  $\mathcal{S}$  has nonempty traces with  $A$ . By hypothesis,  $\text{adh}(\mathcal{S}) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ , so that  $(\bigcap \{cl(X - cl U) \mid U \in \mathcal{C}'\}) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ . Hence  $[X - \cup \{int cl U \mid U \in \mathcal{C}'\}] \cap A \neq \emptyset$ . That is  $A \not\subset \cup \{int cl U \mid U \in \mathcal{C}'\}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{C}$  is not an open cover of  $A$ , a contradiction.

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv). Let  $X$  be  $\alpha$ -minimal HP. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an open cover of  $X$  and  $U \in \mathcal{C}$ . Clearly  $X - U$  is closed and  $\mathcal{C} - \{U\}$  is an open cover of  $X - U$ . Hence there exists a countable subfamily  $\mathcal{C}' \subset \mathcal{C} - \{U\}$  so that  $X - U \subset \cup \{cl V \mid V \in \mathcal{C}'\}$ . Thus  $X = U \cup (\cup \{cl V \mid V \in \mathcal{C}'\})$ .

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Let  $C$  be a closed subset of  $X$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an open cover of  $C$ . Then  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{C} \cup \{X - C\}$  is an open cover of  $X$ . By hypothesis, there exists a countable subset  $\mathcal{C}' \subset \mathcal{C}$  such that  $X = (X - C) \cup (\cup \{cl V \mid V \in \mathcal{C}'\})$ . Thus  $C \subset \cup \{cl V \mid V \in \mathcal{C}'\}$ .

**THEOREM 2.5.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a HP-space. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $X$  is  $\alpha$ -minimal HP.
- (ii) Given a closed subset  $C$  of  $X$ , a cover  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $X - C$  consisting of open or regular-open subsets of  $X$  and an open nbd  $V$  of  $C$ , there exists a countable subfamily  $\mathcal{C}' \subset \mathcal{C}$  such that  $X = V \cup (\cup \{cl U \mid U \in \mathcal{C}'\})$ .
- (iii) Given a closed subset  $C$  of  $X$  and a cover  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $C$  consisting of open or

regular-open subsets of  $X$ , there exist a countable subfamily  $\mathcal{C}' \subset \mathcal{C}$  such that  $\mathcal{C} \subset \cup \{cl U | U \in \mathcal{C}'\}$ .

(iv) For each closed subset  $A \subset X$  and each collection  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_\alpha | \alpha \in \Delta\}$  of nonempty closed or regular-closed subsets of  $X$  such that  $(\cap \{F | F \in \mathcal{F}\}) \cap A = \phi$ , there exists a countable subset  $\mathcal{F}' \subset \mathcal{F}$  such that  $(\cap \{int F | F \in \mathcal{F}'\}) \cap A = \phi$ .

(v) For each closed subset  $A \subset X$  and each collection  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_\alpha | \alpha \in \Delta\}$  of nonempty closed or regular-closed subsets of  $X$ , if each countable subset  $\mathcal{F}' \subset \mathcal{F}$  has the property that  $(\cap \{int F | F \in \mathcal{F}'\}) \cap A \neq \phi$ , then  $(\cap \{F | F \in \mathcal{F}\}) \cap A \neq \phi$ .

(vi) For each closed subset  $A \subset X$  and each  $\sigma$ -filter base  $\mathcal{F} = \{A_\alpha | \alpha \in \Delta\}$  in  $A$ , there exists  $a \in A$  such that  $\mathcal{F}$   $r$ -accumulates to  $a$ .

(vii) Each  $\sigma$ -filter base in  $X$  is  $r$ -accumulant convergent.

PROOF. (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii).  $C \subset V$  and  $X - V$  is a closed subset of  $X$  such that  $X - C \supset X - V$  so that  $\mathcal{C}$  is an open cover of  $X - V$ . Hence there exists a countable subset  $\mathcal{C}' \subset \mathcal{C}$  such that  $X - V \subset \cup \{cl U | U \in \mathcal{C}'\}$ . Thus  $X = V \cup (\cup \{cl U | U \in \mathcal{C}'\})$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). Given a closed subset  $C$  of  $X$  and a cover  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $C$  consisting of open or regular-open subsets of  $X$ ,  $X - C$  is an open nbd of the closed set  $X - \cup \{U | U \in \mathcal{C}\}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  is a cover of  $\cup \{U | U \in \mathcal{C}\}$  consisting of open or regular-open subsets of  $X$ . Then, by (ii),  $X = (X - C) \cup (\cup \{cl U | U \in \mathcal{C}'\})$  where  $\mathcal{C}'$  is a countable subset of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Hence  $C \subset \cup \{cl U | U \in \mathcal{C}'\}$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv). If  $(\cap \mathcal{F}) \cap A = \phi$ , then  $A \subset \cup \{X - F | F \in \mathcal{F}\}$  and  $\{X - F | F \in \mathcal{F}\}$  is a family of open or regular-open subsets of  $X$ . By (iii), there exists a countable subset  $\mathcal{F}' \subset \mathcal{F}$  such that  $A \subset \cup \{cl(X - F) | F \in \mathcal{F}'\} = \cup \{X - int F | F \in \mathcal{F}'\}$ . Thus  $(\cap \{int F | F \in \mathcal{F}'\}) \cap A = \phi$ .

(iv)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (v) is clear.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) is clear from the definition of  $\alpha$ -minimal HP-spaces.

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a cover of  $A$  consisting of either open or regular-open subsets of  $X$ . Then  $A \cap (\cap \{X - U | U \in \mathcal{C}\}) = \phi$ . By hypothesis, there exists a countable subset  $\mathcal{C}' \subset \mathcal{C}$  such that  $A \cap (\cap \{int(X - U) | U \in \mathcal{C}'\}) = \phi$  so that  $A \cap (\cap \{(X - cl U) | U \in \mathcal{C}'\}) = \phi$ . Hence  $A \subset \cup \{cl U | U \in \mathcal{C}'\}$ .

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (vi). Suppose there exists a  $\sigma$ -filter base  $\mathcal{F}$  in  $A$  such that  $\mathcal{F}$  does not  $r$ -accumulate to  $a$  for all  $a \in A$ . (Notice that the members of  $\mathcal{F}$  are contained in  $A$ ). Then for each  $a \in A$ , there exists an open set  $U_a$  and some  $F_a \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $F_a \cap cl U_a = \phi$ . The collection  $\{U_a | a \in A\}$  is an open cover of  $A$ ; so that, by (i), there exists a countable subset  $B \subset A$  such that  $A \subset \cup \{cl U_a | a \in B\}$ . Let  $F_b \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $F_b \subset \cap \{F_a | a \in B\}$ . Since  $F_b \neq \phi$ , there exists an element  $c \in B$

such that  $F_b \cap \text{cl } U_c \neq \phi$ . Since  $F_b \subset F_c$ ,  $F_c \cap \text{cl } U_c \neq \phi$  which is a contradiction.

(vi)  $\Rightarrow$  (v). Suppose that there exist a closed set  $A \subset X$  and a collection  $\mathcal{F}$  of closed or regular-closed subsets of  $X$  such that  $(\bigcap \{\text{int } F \mid F \in \mathcal{F}'\}) \cap A \neq \phi$  for every countable subset  $\mathcal{F}' (\subset \mathcal{F})$  but  $(\bigcap \{F \mid F \in \mathcal{F}\}) \cap A = \phi$ . Clearly sets of the form  $(\text{int } F) \cap A$  together with  $(\bigcap \{\text{int } F \mid F \in \mathcal{F}'\}) \cap A$  for all possible countable subsets  $\mathcal{F}' \subset \mathcal{F}$  form a  $\sigma$ -filter base  $\mathcal{G}$  in  $A$ . By hypothesis (vi),  $\mathcal{G}$   $r$ -accumulates to some point  $a \in A$ . Thus for each open nbd  $U_a$  of  $a$  and each set  $\text{int } F$  with  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $((\text{int } F) \cap A) \cap \text{cl } U_a \neq \phi$ . Since  $(\text{int } F) \cap A \neq \phi$ ,  $F \cap A \neq \phi$  for each  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ . Since  $(\bigcap \{F \mid F \in \mathcal{F}\}) \cap A = \phi$ , there exists  $F_a \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $a \notin F_a$ . Hence  $a \in X - F_a \subset X - \text{int } F_a = \text{cl}(X - F_a)$ .  $X - F_a$  is an open nbd of  $a$  such that  $\text{cl}(X - F_a) \cap \text{int } F_a = \phi$ , a contradiction. Thus  $(\bigcap \{F \mid F \in \mathcal{F}\}) \cap A \neq \phi$ .

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (vii). Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a  $\sigma$ -filter base. Let the set of all  $r$ -accumulation points of  $\mathcal{F}$  be  $C$ . Let  $V$  be an open subset of  $X$  such that  $V \supset C$ . If  $a \in X - V$ , then there exists an open nbd  $U_a$  of  $a$  and  $F_a \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $(\text{cl } U_a) \cap F_a = \phi$ . Now  $\{U_a \mid a \in X - V\}$  is an open cover of  $X - V$  which is closed. Hence there exists a countable subset  $B \subset X - V$  such that  $X - V \subset \bigcup \{\text{cl } U_a \mid a \in B\}$ . Clearly there exists  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $F \subset \bigcap \{F_a \mid a \in B\}$ . Further  $F \cap (\bigcup \{\text{cl } U_a \mid a \in B\}) = \phi$  so that  $F \cap (X - V) = \phi$  and  $F \subset V$ . Thus  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $r$ -accumulant convergent.

(vii)  $\Rightarrow$  (vi). Let  $A$  be a closed subset of  $X$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a  $\sigma$ -filter in  $A$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{F}$   $r$ -accumulates to  $a$  for no  $a \in A$ ; then the  $r$ -accumulant of  $\mathcal{F} \subset X - A$  which is open. Thus by hypothesis in (vii),  $X - A \supset F$  for some  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ , a contradiction.

**THEOREM 2.6.** *The following are equivalent for a HP-space  $X$ :*

- (i)  $X$  is  $\alpha$ -minimal HP.
- (ii)  $X$  is HP-closed and rim- $\alpha$ .

**PROOF.** That (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) is obvious.

To prove (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) we use the criterion (iv) given in Theorem 2.4. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an open cover of  $X$  and  $U \in \mathcal{C}$ . For each  $x \in U$  there exists a rim- $\alpha$  open nbd  $V_x$  such that  $x \in V_x \subset U$ . Now  $(\mathcal{C} - \{U\}) \cup \{\{V_x \mid x \in U\}\}$  is an open cover of  $X$ . Since  $X$  is HP-closed there exist countable subsets  $\mathcal{C}' \subset \mathcal{C} - \{U\}$  and  $B \subset U$  such that  $X = (\bigcup \{\text{cl } V \mid V \in \mathcal{C}'\}) \cup (\bigcup \{\text{cl } V_x \mid x \in B\})$ . Since  $\text{cl } V_x - U$  is closed,  $\text{cl } V_x - U \subset \text{cl } V_x - V_x$  and  $\mathcal{C} - \{U\}$  is an open cover of  $\text{cl } V_x - U$ , there exists a countable subset  $\mathcal{C}^x \subset \mathcal{C} - \{U\}$  such that  $\text{cl } V_x - U \subset \bigcup \{\text{cl } V^x \mid V^x \in \mathcal{C}^x\}$ . Clearly  $\bigcup \{\text{cl } V_x \mid x \in B\} \subset \bigcup \{\text{cl } V^x \mid V^x \in \mathcal{C}^x\}$  and  $x \in B\} \cup U$ . Thus  $X = U \cup (\bigcup \{\text{cl } V^x \mid V^x \in \mathcal{C}^x\}$

and  $x \in B$ )  $\cup (\cup \{cl V \mid V \in \mathcal{C}'\})$ . Notice that  $\mathcal{C}^x$  and  $B$  are countable and hence  $X$  is  $\alpha$ -minimal HP.

**THEOREM 2.7.** *If  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\alpha$ -minimal HP-space, then every continuous function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  into a Hausdorff  $P$ -space is closed.*

**PROOF.** Let  $B$  be closed in  $X$  and  $p \notin f(B)$ . Then for each  $y \in f(B)$ , there exists an open set  $U_y$  in  $Y$  such that  $y \in U_y$  and  $p \notin cl U_y$ . Now  $\{f^{-1}(U_y) \mid y \in f(B)\}$  is an open cover of  $B$  in  $X$ . This collection has a countable almost subcover  $\{f^{-1}(U_i) \mid 1 \leq i < \infty\}$  so that  $f(B) \subset \cup \{cl U_i \mid 1 \leq i < \infty\}$ ,  $p \in X - \cup \{cl U_i \mid 1 \leq i < \infty\} \subset X - f(B)$  and hence  $f(B)$  is closed.

Now we prove mapping characterizations of  $\alpha$ -minimal HP-spaces.

**THEOREM 2.8.** *Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a HP-space. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $X$  is  $\alpha$ -minimal HP.
- (ii) Every continuous  $T_1 P$ -image of  $X$  is  $\mu$ -Lindelöf.
- (iii) Every  $T_1 P$ -quotient of  $X$  is  $\mu$ -Lindelöf.
- (iv) Every closed continuous  $T_1 P$ -image of  $X$  is  $\mu$ -Lindelöf.

**PROOF.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Every continuous image of  $\alpha$ -minimal HP-space is  $\alpha$ -minimal HP and hence by virtue of Theorem 2.4 (ii) above, it is  $\mu$ -Lindelöf. If we relax the Hausdorff property to  $T_1$  property, the image space will continue to be  $\mu$ -Lindelöf without being Hausdorff.

Clearly (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv).

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). If  $X$  is not  $\alpha$ -minimal HP, there exists an open  $\sigma$ -filter base  $\mathcal{F}$  in  $X$  with  $A = \cap \{cl U \mid U \in \mathcal{F}\}$  while  $\mathcal{F}$  does not converge to  $A$  (Refer Theorem 2.4 (ii) above). We can assume without loss of generality that the interior of  $A$  is empty and  $U \cap A = \emptyset$  for each  $U \in \mathcal{F}$ . If we identify the points of  $A$ , what results is a  $T_1 P$ -quotient of  $X$  viz.,  $Z$  with the quotient map  $h$  which is a closed continuous map of  $X$  onto  $Z$ , while  $Z$  is not  $\mu$ -Lindelöf.

Finally let us give a result relating to seminormal HP-closed spaces. Indeed we have

**THEOREM 2.9.** *In the class of seminormal HP-spaces, a space is HP-closed if and only if it is  $\alpha$ -minimal HP.*

### 3. Examples

It is clear from the definition that every Lindelöf *HP*-space is  $\alpha$ -minimal *HP*. Further every  $\alpha$ -minimal *HP*-space is minimal *HP*. This is so, because every open  $\sigma$ -filter base converges to its adherence (Theorem 2.4 (ii)); thus every open  $\sigma$ -filter base with unique point of adherence converges to its adherence; hence the space is minimal *HP* [4, Theorem 2.6 (iii)]. But there are  $\alpha$ -minimal *HP*-spaces which are not Lindelöf and minimal *HP*-spaces which are not  $\alpha$ -minimal *HP*.

EXAMPLE 3.1. Let  $A=[0, \mathcal{Q}]$  and  $B=A \cup \{\mathcal{Q}\}=[0, \mathcal{Q}]$ . Let  $X=(A \times B) \cup \{\pi\}$ . Let us define a topology  $\tau$  on  $X$  as follows. Let each point in  $A \times A$  be open. Partition  $A$  into uncountably many uncountable equivalence classes  $\{A_\alpha | \alpha \in A\}$ .  $A_\alpha \cap A_\beta = \phi$  if  $\alpha \neq \beta$  and  $\cup \{A_\alpha | \alpha \in A\} = A$ . Let us write  $F_\alpha = [\alpha, \mathcal{Q}[$  where  $\alpha$  is an element of  $[0, \mathcal{Q}[$  and  $F_\alpha \cup [0, \alpha) = A$ . Let a nbd system for the point  $(\alpha, \mathcal{Q}) \in A \times \{\mathcal{Q}\} (\subset A \times B)$  be sets of the form

$$V((\alpha, \mathcal{Q}); \beta) = \{(\alpha, \mathcal{Q})\} \cup (\{\alpha\} \times F_\beta) \cup (F_\beta \times A_\alpha)$$

for some  $\beta \in A$ . Let  $K(\alpha) = (\{\alpha\} \times A) \cup (A \times A_\alpha)$  and  $L(\beta) = (\cup \{K(\alpha) | 0 \leq \alpha < \beta\}) \cup (A \times \{\mathcal{Q}\})$ . Let a nbd system for the point  $\pi$  be sets of the form

$$V(\pi; \beta) = (X - L(\beta)) \cup \{\pi\}$$

for some  $\beta \in A$ .

Thus we get a space  $(X, \tau)$  which, by construction, is a *HP*-space. Further this space is not Lindelöf because the closed subset  $\{(\alpha, \mathcal{Q}) | \alpha \in A\}$  is discrete and uncountable and hence not Lindelöf.

Now let us prove that  $(X, \tau)$  is *HP*-closed and rim- $\alpha$ . Then by Theorem 2.6,  $(X, \tau)$  will be  $\alpha$ -minimal *HP*.

First let us show that  $(X, \tau)$  is rim- $\alpha$ . If  $p \in A \times A \subset X$  a basic  $\tau$ -open nbd system at  $p$  is  $\{\{p\}\}$ .  $\text{cl}(\{p\}) - \{p\} = \phi$ . Thus the condition for rim- $\alpha$  is trivially satisfied at  $p$ . Consider  $(\xi, \mathcal{Q}) \in X$  where  $\xi \in A$ . A typical basic  $\tau$ -open nbd of  $(\xi, \mathcal{Q})$  is of the form  $V((\xi, \mathcal{Q}); \beta) = \{(\xi, \mathcal{Q})\} \cup (\{\xi\} \times F_\beta) \cup (F_\beta \times A_\xi)$  for some  $\beta \in A$ .  $\text{cl}(V((\xi, \mathcal{Q}); \beta)) = V((\xi, \mathcal{Q}); \beta) \cup (\cup \{(\alpha, \mathcal{Q}) | \alpha \in F_\beta\}) \cup \{\pi\}$ . Let  $Y$  be any subset of  $(\cup \{(\alpha, \mathcal{Q}) | \alpha \in F_\beta\}) \cup \{\pi\}$ . Let  $(\xi_1, \mathcal{Q}) \in Y$ . A typical open nbd of  $(\xi_1, \mathcal{Q})$  is of the form  $V((\xi_1, \mathcal{Q}); \gamma) = \{(\xi_1, \mathcal{Q})\} \cup (\{\xi_1\} \times F_\gamma) \cup (F_\gamma \times A_{\xi_1})$  for some  $\gamma \in A$ .  $\text{cl}(V((\xi_1, \mathcal{Q}); \gamma)) \supset (F_\gamma \times \{\mathcal{Q}\}) \cup \{\pi\}$  which covers all but countably many members of  $Y$ . Thus the condition for rim- $\alpha$  is satisfied at  $(\xi, \mathcal{Q})$  also. A typical basic open nbd  $V(\pi; \delta)$  is of the form  $(X - L(\delta)) \cup \{\pi\}$  for some  $\delta \in A$ .  $\text{cl} V(\pi; \delta) -$



$V(\pi; \delta) = F_\delta \times \{Q\}$ . As we have already seen, every open cover (open in  $X$ ) of every subset of  $F_\delta \times \{Q\}$  admits a countable almost subcover. Thus the condition for rim- $\alpha$  is satisfied at  $\pi$  also.

Now let us prove that the space is *HP*-closed. Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an open cover of  $X$ . Let  $V(\pi; \beta) (\in \mathcal{U})$  be an open basic nbd of  $\pi$ . Then  $X - \text{cl } V(\pi; \beta) \subset \cup \{(\alpha, Q)\} \cup (\{a\} \times A) \cup (A \times A_\alpha) | \alpha \in [0, \beta)\}$ . Let  $\gamma$  be an ordinal such that  $0 \leq \gamma < \beta$ . Then there exists  $\delta(\gamma)$  such that  $(\gamma) \times B$  is contained in  $V((\gamma, Q); \delta(\gamma)) \in \mathcal{U}$  except for countably many points. Moreover  $F_{\delta(\gamma)} \times A_\gamma$  is contained in  $V((\gamma, Q); \delta(\gamma))$ . Let  $\xi$  be an ordinal such that  $\xi \neq \gamma$  and  $0 \leq \xi < \delta(\gamma)$ . Then there exist open nbds of  $(\xi, Q)$ , namely,  $V((\xi, Q); \delta(\xi)) \in \mathcal{U}$  such that if we write

$$V(\gamma) = V((\gamma, Q); \delta(\gamma)) \cup (\cup \{V((\xi, Q); \delta(\xi)) | 0 \leq \xi < \delta(\gamma) \text{ and } \xi \neq \gamma\})$$

then  $V(\gamma)$  covers  $(\{\gamma\} \times B) \cup (A \times A_\gamma)$ , all but countably many elements in it. Note that the collection of sets in  $V(\gamma)$  is countable and then we allow  $\gamma$  to vary in  $[0, \beta)$ . Thus we see that  $(X, \tau)$  is *HP*-closed.

EXAMPLE 3.2. This example is given in [4, Example 2.8]. We have proved that this space is minimal *HP*. We claim that the space is not  $\alpha$ -minimal *HP*. Indeed we take a typical basic open nbd of 0, say  $V(P, 0)$ , then  $\text{cl } V(P, 0) - V(P, 0) = M = \{x_\beta | x \in B_1 - P\}$ . Indeed  $M$  is an uncountable closed discrete subspace such that it fails to satisfy the rim- $\alpha$  condition (at 0). Thus  $X$  is not  $\alpha$ -minimal *HP*.

EXAMPLE 3.3. In [5] Viglino introduced the concept of *C*-compact spaces. The definition of such spaces runs parallel to our definition of  $\alpha$ -minimal *HP*-spaces. Now let us give a relatively simple example to show that the classes of *C*-compact and  $\alpha$ -minimal *HP* spaces are distinct. Let  $X = [1, \omega)$  be a discrete space. Let  $X^* = [1, \omega]$  be its one-point compactification. Thus  $X^*$  is *C*-compact. But it is not even a *P*-space and hence not  $\alpha$ -minimal *HP*. Again if  $[1, \omega]$  is given the discrete topology, the resulting space is a countable *HP*-space so that it is  $\alpha$ -minimal *HP* (indeed, Lindelöf). But this space is not minimal Hausdorff and hence not *C*-compact.

REFERENCES

[1] D.E. Cameron, *Maximal and minimal topologies*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 160(1971), 229-248.

- [2] L.L. Herrington and Paul E. Long, *Characterizations of H-closed spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 48(1975), 469—475.
- [3] A.K. Misra, *A topological view of P-spaces*, Gen. Top. Appl., 2(1972), 349—362.
- [4] T.G. Raghavan and I.L. Reilly, *HP-minimal and HP-closed spaces*, Kyungpook Math. J., 25(1985) (to appear).
- [5] G. Viglino, *C-compact spaces*, Duke Math. J., 36(1969), 761—764.
- [6] G. Viglino, *Seminormal and C-compact spaces*, Duke Math. J., 38(1971), 57—61.

University of Auckland  
Auckland, New Zealand