

REMARKS ON THE FUNCTORS TOR AND EXT

DALL-SUN YUN

In the homology theory, to make new functors and find some relations between functors are very important ([4], [7]). The general functors in the homological algebras are functors Tor and Ext which are completely related to each other ([2], [3]).

If we can prove that

$$\text{Ext}_A^{n-q}(K, A) = \text{Tor}_q^A(K, A) \quad (0 \leq q \leq n) \quad (*)$$

under some conditions, where $n (\geq 0)$ is a fixed integer and A is a commutative algebra, then there may exist many relations among algebras ([5], [6]).

In this paper, we shall prove above(*) when A is a polynomial ring in Lemma 2.

The purpose of this paper is to apply Lemma 2 to Theorem 6 which is in [1]. This was suggested by referee. I would like to thank him.

Let K be a field, and let $A = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be the polynomial ring with n -letters x_1, \dots, x_n and coefficient ring K . Let I_k be the ideal of A generated by $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$, where $0 \leq k \leq n$. If $k=0$ then $I_k = I_0 = 0$. We put $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ which is the polynomial ring over the integers \mathbb{Z} . Then A is regarded as a Γ -module. We shall denote J_k the ideal of Γ generated by $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ ($0 \leq k \leq n$). Then it is obvious that $I_k = AJ_k$.

Suppose a Γ -module M . We want to make a complex over the module $M/J_n M$. In order to do this we consider the exterior algebra $E(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} as follows:

$E(x_1, \dots, x_n) = E_0(x_1, \dots, x_n) \oplus \dots \oplus E_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ where for q ($0 \leq q \leq n$) $E_q(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a \mathbb{Z} -free module with basis $\{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_q} \mid 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_q \leq n\}$ (where x_i and x_j ($1 \leq i, j \leq n$) are commutative). In particular $E_0(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \mathbb{Z}$.

Note that if we regard x_i ($1 \leq i \leq n$) as an element with degree 1 then
 ① A is a graded K -module, ② Γ is a graded \mathbb{Z} -module, ③ $E(x_1, \dots, x_n)$

is a graded Z -module.

Since

$$M \otimes_Z E_0(x_1, \dots, x_n) = M$$

we have the natural epimorphism

$$\varepsilon : M = M \otimes_Z E_0(x_1, \dots, x_n) \longrightarrow M/MJ_n.$$

We consider the complex X over $M/J_n M$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} X : 0 & \longrightarrow & M \otimes E_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) & \xrightarrow{d_n} & \cdots & \longrightarrow & M \otimes E_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ & & & & & & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \\ & & & \xrightarrow{d_1} & M \otimes E_0(x_1, \dots, x_n) & \longrightarrow & M/MJ_n \end{array}$$

where

$$d_i : M \otimes E_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) \longrightarrow M \otimes E_{i-1}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \quad (1 \leq i \leq n)$$

in defined by

$$d_i(m \otimes x_{p_1}, \dots, x_{p_i}) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq i} (-1)^{j+1} (m x_{p_j}) \otimes x_{p_1} \cdots \hat{x}_{p_j} \cdots x_{p_i}$$

and $\otimes = \otimes_Z$. Of course, $1 \leq p_1 < \cdots < p_i \leq n$ and \hat{x}_{p_j} indicates that x_{p_j} is to be omitted. Then it is easy to prove that ① $\varepsilon d_1 = 0$ and ② $d_{i-1} d_i = 0$ ($2 \leq i \leq n$). Therefore, X is a complex over a Γ -module M/MJ_n . In our situation, the following holds ([1]).

1° If M satisfies

$$(m \in M, m x_k \in MJ_{k-1}) \iff (m \in MJ_{k-1}) \quad (1 \leq k \leq n)$$

then X is acyclic.

We shall return to discuss $A = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Since A is a Γ -module by the same way as above

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} X : 0 & \longrightarrow & A \otimes E_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & A \otimes E_0(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ & & & & & & \longrightarrow A/\Lambda J_n = Q_\Lambda \end{array}$$

is a complex over $Q_\Lambda = K$, where $\otimes = \otimes_Z$. (Note that since $\Lambda J_n = I_n =$ the ideal of A generated by $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ we have $A/\Lambda J_n = A/I_n = K$.) It follows that the Γ -module A satisfies the hypothesis of 1°. For each $0 \leq i \leq n$

$$A \otimes E_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) \quad (\otimes = \otimes_Z)$$

is a A -free module with a basis

$$\{1 \times x_{p_1} \cdots x_{p_i} \mid 1 \leq p_1 < \cdots < p_i \leq n\},$$

and thus it is a A -projective module. Hence, by 1° the complex

$$X : 0 \rightarrow A \otimes E_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow A \otimes E_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} A \rightarrow K$$

is a A -projective resolution over Q_A .

LEMMA 2. *In the above situation*

$$\text{Tor}_q^A(K, A) \cong \text{Ext}_A^{n-q}(K, A), \quad (0 \leq q \leq n)$$

where A is an arbitrary A -module.

Proof. As before

$$X_q = A \otimes E_q(x_1, \dots, x_n) \cong A \oplus \cdots \oplus A \quad ({}_n C_q \text{-times}).$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_A(X_{n-q}, A) &= \text{Hom}_A(A \otimes E_{n-q}(x_1, \dots, x_n), A) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_A(A \oplus \cdots \oplus A ({}_n C_{n-q} \text{ times}), A) \\ &\cong A \oplus \cdots \oplus A ({}_n C_{n-q} \text{ times}). \end{aligned}$$

Since ${}_n C_q = {}_n C_{n-q}$ we have the isomorphism

$$\phi_q : X_q \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}_A(X_{n-q}, A).$$

In consequence, we have got a A -projective resolution over K such that

$$\text{Hom}_A(X, A) : 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(X_0, A) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(X_n, A) \rightarrow A/I_n = K.$$

Furthermore, we can prove that

$$\text{Hom}_A(X, A) \otimes_A A \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(X, A)$$

is isomorphic as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_A(X_{n-q}, A) &\cong \text{Hom}_A(A \oplus \cdots \oplus A ({}_n C_{n-q} \text{ times}), A) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_A(A, A) \oplus \cdots \oplus \text{Hom}_A(A, A) ({}_n C_{n-q} \text{ times}) \\ &\cong A \oplus \cdots \oplus A ({}_n C_{n-q} \text{ times}). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_\Lambda(X_q, \Lambda) \otimes_\Lambda A &\cong \text{Hom}_\Lambda(\Lambda \oplus \cdots \oplus \Lambda (\text{{}_n C_q\text{-times}}), \Lambda) \otimes_\Lambda A \\ &\cong \Lambda \otimes_\Lambda A \oplus \cdots \oplus \Lambda \otimes_\Lambda A \quad (\text{{}_n C_q\text{-times}}) \\ &\cong A \oplus \cdots \oplus A \quad (\text{{}_n C_q\text{-times}}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by using the homology functor H we have

$$H_q(\text{Hom}(X, \Lambda) \otimes_\Lambda A) \cong H^{n-q}(\text{Hom}_\Lambda(X, A)).$$

That is,

$$\text{Tor}_q^\wedge(K, A) \cong \text{Ext}_\Lambda^{n-q}(K, A)$$

for all $q=0, 1, \dots, n$. ///

Let Σ be a ring with unity and let I be a proper two-side ideal of Σ . Then there is the augmentation epimorphism

$$\varepsilon : \Sigma \longrightarrow \Sigma/I = Q_\Sigma.$$

Let A be a right Σ -module. Then it follows from the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow \Sigma \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} Q_\Sigma \longrightarrow 0$$

that

$$A \otimes_\Sigma Q_\Sigma \cong A/AI.$$

DEFINITION 3. We assume the above situation.

(1) If $A=0$ or $A \otimes_\Sigma Q_\Sigma \neq 0$ then A is said to be *proper*. Thus, every free Σ -module is proper.

(2) For a subset $N \subset A$ let F_N be the free Σ -module generated by N . Then there exists the natural homomorphism $\phi_N : F_N \rightarrow A$. Put $\text{Ker } \phi_N = R_N$ and $\text{Coker } \phi_N = L_N$. Then we have the exact sequence of right Σ -modules:

$$0 \longrightarrow R_N \longrightarrow F_N \xrightarrow{\phi_N} A \longrightarrow L_N \longrightarrow 0.$$

Take a subset $M \subset A$. If for every subset N of M the modules R_N and L_N are proper then M is said to be *faithful*.

We have the following fact ([1]):

4° For a graded ring Σ , every graded Σ -module is proper and every set of homogeneous elements is faithful.

Again, we suppose the ring $\Lambda = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. As before, Λ is graded

ring. Thus, by 4° when we regard A as a right A -module, A is a faithful right A -module. Moreover K is a faithful subset of K and $KA=A$, i.e., K is a faithful subset generating A . In particular, $\{1\}$ (1 is the identity of K) is a K -base of K and it is a A -base of A . Of course, $\{1\}$ is a faithful subset of A ($L_{(1)}=R_{(1)}=0$) and $\text{Tor}_1^A(A, K)=0$. The inverse of these holds as follows.

LEMMA 5. For a A -module A and its faithful subset M , if the image of M in $A \otimes_A K \cong A/AI_k$ ($K=A/I_k$) generates $A \otimes_A K$ as a K -module, then M generates A . Furthermore, if $\text{Ext}_A^{n-1}(K, A)=0$ and the images of M in $A \otimes_A K$ form a K -base for $A \otimes_A K$ then M is a A -base for A .

Proof. Note that K is a A -module with A -actions

$$x_i K = K x_i = 0, \quad i=1, \dots, n.$$

From the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow R_M \longrightarrow F_M \xrightarrow{\phi_M} A \longrightarrow L_M \longrightarrow 0,$$

we have the exact sequence

$$R_M \otimes_A K \longrightarrow F_M \otimes_A K \xrightarrow{\phi_M \otimes 1_K} A \otimes_A K \longrightarrow L_M \otimes_A K \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since the images of M in $A \otimes_A K$ generates $A \otimes_A K$ as a right K -module, $\phi_M \otimes 1_K$ is an epimorphism. Therefore $L_M \otimes_A K = 0$. By our assumption, since L_M is a proper A -module we have $L_M = 0$ (see (2) of Definition 3). Therefore $\phi_M : F_M \rightarrow A$ is an epimorphism. That is, M generates A .

By Lemma 2

$$\text{Tor}_1^A(A, K) \cong \text{Tor}_1^A(K, A) \cong \text{Ext}_A^{n-1}(K, A) = 0.$$

From the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow R_M \longrightarrow F_M \xrightarrow{\phi_M} A \longrightarrow 0 \tag{**}$$

we have the exact sequence

$$\dots \longrightarrow \text{Tor}_1^A(K, A) \longrightarrow R_M \otimes_A K \longrightarrow F_M \otimes_A K \xrightarrow{\phi_M \otimes 1_K} A \otimes_A K,$$

i.e.,

$$\dots \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow R_M \otimes_{\Lambda} K \longrightarrow F_M \otimes_{\Lambda} K \xrightarrow{\phi_M \otimes 1_K} A \otimes_{\Lambda} K.$$

By the assumption, since the image of M in $A \otimes_{\Lambda} K$ is a K -base for $A \otimes_{\Lambda} K$, $\phi_M \otimes 1_K$ is an isomorphism, and thus $R_M \otimes_{\Lambda} K = 0$. Also, since R_M is proper we have $R_M = 0$. Hence, by the exact sequence (**)

$$\phi_M : F_M \longrightarrow A$$

is an isomorphism. Therefore, M is a Λ -base for A . ///

THEOREM 6. *Let A be a Λ -module. If $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^{n-1}(K, A) = 0$ then every faithful subset Λ -generating of A contains a Λ -base for A . Thus, if A is generated by a finite set then A is a Λ -free module.*

Proof. Note that Λ is a local ring with the maximal ideal I_{Λ} . Moreover, $A = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is a Noetherian ring since K is a field. Therefore, every finite subset of A is a faithful subset of A ([1]). Thus, if the first part of our theorem is proved then it is obvious that the second part of our theorem holds.

Let M be a faithful Λ -generating subset of A . Then the image of M in $A \otimes_{\Lambda} K$ generates $A \otimes_{\Lambda} K$ as a K -module, i.e., as a K -vector space. If $\{m_i | i \in B, B \text{ is an indexing set}\}$ then we can choose a K -base for $A \otimes_{\Lambda} K$ in the set $\{m_i \otimes 1 | i \in B\}$ i.e., if $\{m_{i_j} \otimes 1 | i_j \in B\}$ M is a K -base for $A \otimes_{\Lambda} K$ then elements $m_{i_j} \otimes 1$ is K -independent. Thus, by Lemma 5 $\{m_{i_j} \otimes 1 | i_j \in B\} \subset M$ is a K -base for A . Since a finite subset of A is faithful, in this case, A is a free Λ -module. ///

References

1. H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg: *Homological Algebra*, Princeton Univ. Press (1956).
2. P.J. Hilton and U. Stammbach: *A course in Homological Algebra*, Springer-Verlag, New York (1970).
3. Sze-Tsen Hu: *Introduction to Homological Algebra*, Holden-Day Inc. (1968).
4. Kee-An Lee: *On Functors Text over commutative rings*, J. Korean Math. Soc. Vol. 6, 1-22 (1969).
5. S. MacLane: *Homology*, Springer-Verlag (1975).
6. S. MacLane: *Categories for the working Mathematician*, Springer-Verlag, New York, (1971).

7. Dall-Sun Yun: *Spectral Sequences in abelian Categories*, Bull. Honam Math. Soc. Vol. 1 (1984), 33-38.

Department of Mathematics
Hanyang University
Seoul, 133 Korea