

A NOTE ON CONTINUATION AND BOUNDEDNESS OF SOLUTIONS OF NONLINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

By H. El-Owaidy & A.S. Zagrouat*

This note is concerned with some properties of the solutions of the perturbed nonlinear second order differential equation

$$x'' + f(x(t))g(x'(t)) = h(t, x(t), x'(t))', \quad (1)$$

where $f: R \rightarrow R$, $g: R \rightarrow R_+$, $h: I \times R^2 \rightarrow R$ are continuous functions and $R = (-\infty, \infty)$, $R_+ = (0, \infty)$, $I = [0, \infty)$.

We shall give sufficient conditions for all solutions of (1) to be continuable to the right of their initial value $t_0 \in I$, and for all solutions $x(t)$ of (1) together with derivative $x'(t)$ to be bounded on I .

DEFINITIONS. (i) By continuable we mean a solution which is defined on a half-line $[t_0, \infty)$.

(ii) A solution $x(t)$ of (1) is said to be oscillatory if it has no last zero, otherwise it is nonoscillatory.

Let:

$$F(x) = \int_0^x f(s) ds \geq 0 \text{ for all } x \in R, \quad (2)$$

$$G(y) = \int_0^y [s/g(s)] ds, \quad g(0) \neq 0, \quad \lim_{|y| \rightarrow \infty} G(y) = \infty, \quad (3)$$

where $y(t) = x'(t)$

Our main assumptions are:

- (i) There exists a continuous function $u: I \rightarrow R$ such that $|h(t, x, x')| \leq u(t)$,
- (ii) There exists nonnegative constant M such that

$$|y|/g(y) \leq MG(y) \text{ for } |y| \geq 1. \quad (4)$$

THEOREM 1. Under the conditions stated above, any solution $x(t)$ of equation (1) is continuable to the right of its initial t -value t_0 .

PROOF. Let $x(t)$ be a solution of equation (1) with initial t -value $t_0 \in I$. Suppose, on the contrary, that $x(t)$ can not be continued past the finite point $T > t_0$, $T \in I$. It suffices to show that $x(t)$ remains bounded as t approaches T

from the left.

Let: $V(t, x, x') = G(y) + F(x) + C$ where C is a nonnegative constant. Then

$$V'(t, x, x') = \frac{y\dot{h}}{g(y)} \leq u[N + MG(y)]$$

Integrating both sides from t_0 to t and noting that $u(t)$ is bounded on $[t_0, T]$ we have

$$v(t) \leq C_1 + M \int_{t_0}^t u(s) G(y(s)) ds$$

Thus

$$G(y(t)) \leq V < C_1 + \int_{t_0}^t u(s) MG(y(s)) ds.$$

Using Gronwall-Bellman inequality [3] there is a constant C_2 depending on $u(t)$ but not on $G(y)$ such that for all $t \in [t_0, T]$

$$G(y(t)) \leq C_2 < \infty$$

Thus $G(y(t))$ remains bounded as $t \rightarrow T$ from the left and so $y(t) = x'(t)$ remains bounded as $t \rightarrow T$ from the left. Consequently $x(t)$ is also bounded as $t \rightarrow T$. Thus we have a contradiction to our assumption that $x(t)$ is not continued past (finite) point T . This completes the proof.

COUNTEREXAMPLE TO THEOREM 1. Let $f \equiv 0$, $h(t, x, y) = 2\phi(x)^3$ with $\phi(x) = \min(0, x)$ and $t_0 = 0$. Then $x = -1/(1-t)$ satisfies (1) on $[0, 1)$. One may take $u \equiv 0$.

In this section we will prove a boundedness theorem for solution $x(t)$ of equation (1) and its derivative $x'(t)$ by using a modification of the technique of the previous section. In addition to the given conditions we suppose that:

$$(i) \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} F(x) = \infty, \quad (5)$$

$$(ii) \quad y^2/g(y) \leq MG(y) + N_1, \quad (6)$$

$$|y|/g(y) \leq MG(y) + N_2, \quad \text{for all } y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

These follow from condition (3).

(iii) There are continuous functions $r_i: I \rightarrow I$, $i=1, 2$ such that:

$$|h(t, x, y)| \leq r_1(t) + r_2(t)|y| \quad (7)$$

$$\text{for all } (t, x, y) \in I \times \mathbb{R}^2.$$

THEOREM 2. Let assumptions (2), (3), (6), (7) be hold. Let r_1 & r_2 are integrable on I . Then for each solution $x(t)$ of equation (1), with initial t -value $t_0 \in I$, $x'(t)$ is bounded on $[t_0, \infty)$.

If in addition condition (5) holds then $x(t)$ is bounded also on $[t_0, \infty)$.

PROOF. Let $x(t)$ be a solution of equation (1) with initial t -value t_0 , $t_0 \in I$. Multiplying (1) by $x'(t)/g(x(t))$ and integrating on $[t_0, t] \subset [t_0, T)$, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} G(y(t)) - G(y(t_0)) + F(x(t)) - F(x(t_0)) \\ \leq \int_{t_0}^t h(s, x(s), y(s)) y(s)/g(y(s)) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Using (6) & (7), inequality (8) can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} |G(y(t)) - G(y(t_0)) + F(x(t)) - F(x(t_0))| &\leq \int_{t_0}^t [r_1(s) + r_2(s)y(s)] \frac{y(s)}{g(s)} ds, \\ &\leq \int_{t_0}^t r_1(MG(y) + N_1) + r_2(Mg(y) + N_2) ds, \\ &\leq M \int_{t_0}^t [r_1(s) + r_2(s)] G(y) ds + \int_{t_0}^t (r_1(s)N_1 + r_2(s)N_2) ds, \\ &\leq M \int_{t_0}^t (r_1(s) + r_2(s)) G(y) ds + m(t), \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where

$$m(t) = \int_{t_0}^t [N_1 r_1(s) + N_2 r_2(s)] ds.$$

Furthermore

$$m(t) \leq \int_{t_0}^{\infty} (N_1 r_1(s) + N_2 r_2(s)) ds = m_0 < \infty. \quad (10)$$

Since $F(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, $F(x)$ is bounded from below, say $F(x) \geq -K$.

Let

$$V(t) = G(y(t)) + F(x(t)) + K. \quad (11)$$

Using (11), inequality (9) takes the form

$$V(t) \leq V(t_0) + m(t) + M \int_{t_0}^t [r_1(s) + r_2(s)] G(y(s)) ds. \quad (12)$$

Hence

$$V(t) \leq V(t_0) + m_0 + M \int_{t_0}^t [r_1(s) + r_2(s)] V(s) ds,$$

by using (11) again.

By using Gronwall-Bellman inequality there is, then, a constant C , depending on $r_1(t)$ & $r_2(t)$ but not on $V(t)$ such that:

$$V(t) \leq (V(t_0) + m_0) C.$$

i.e. $G(y(t)) + F(x) \leq [G(y(t_0)) + F(x(t_0)) + m_0] C$.

It follows that $F(x(t))$ is bounded for $t \geq t_0$.

The conclusion of the theorem follows from (5)

COROLLARY. *If in addition to the hypotheses of theorem 2 and $G(y) \rightarrow \infty$ as $|y| \rightarrow \infty$, then all solutions $x(t)$ and the derivatives $x'(t)$ are bounded.*

PROOF. From the proof of theorem 2 we obtain

$$V(t) \leq (V(t_0) + m_0) C < \infty.$$

The boundedness of $y(t)$ then follows from the boundedness of $G(y(t))$ on $[t_0, T)$. An integration shows that $x(t)$ is also bounded on $[t_0, T)$. This completes the proof of the corollary.

THEOREM 3. *If $xf(x) > 0$, for $x \neq 0$, $f'(x) \geq 0$,*

$$|h(t, x, x')| \leq u(t), \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t) = 0, \quad u \in L^1(t_0, \infty), \quad (14)$$

$$g(y) \geq C > 0, \quad (15)$$

and $x(t)$ is a bounded nonoscillatory solution of (1), then $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} |x(t)| = 0$.

PROOF. It will be convenient to consider the equivalent system

$$\begin{aligned} x' &= y + \int_{t_0}^t h(s, x(s), y(s)) ds, \\ y' &= -f(x)g(y). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Let $x(t)$ be a nonoscillatory solution of (1). Without loss of generality, we can assume that $x(t) \neq 0$ on $[t_0, \infty)$. Let $x(t) > 0$ for $t \geq t_1 \geq t_0$. A similar argument holds if $x(t) < 0$ for $t \geq t_1 \geq t_0$. On the contrary, suppose that $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) \neq 0$.

Then there exist positive numbers m and $t_2 \geq t_1$ such that:

$$m < x(t) \quad \text{for } t \geq t_2. \quad (17)$$

This condition together with (14) implies that

$$f(x(t)) \geq A > 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq t_2.$$

Thus from (16), by integration, we have

$$y(t) - y(t_2) = - \int_{t_2}^t f(x(s))g(y(s)) ds \leq -AC(t - t_2). \quad (18)$$

Letting $t \rightarrow \infty$ in (18) we obtain

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = -\infty.$$

Thus, for $t \geq t_3 \geq t_2$ for some t_3 sufficiently large

$$x'(t) < 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq t_3.$$

Then from (16), by integration, it follows that

$$x(t) \rightarrow -\infty \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

This, however, is a contradiction and hence

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

REMARKS. (1) It should be noted that x' is not necessarily bounded on $[t_0, \infty)$; this follows from the fact that the equation

$$x'' + e^{2t}x = x'$$

has the bounded solution $x(t) = \sin(e^t)$ with an unbounded derivative.

(2) If, instead of the assumption (iii) before theorem 2, one assumes $|h(t, x, y)| \leq r_1(t) + r_2(t)|x|$ with r_1, r_2 integrable on I , the conclusion of theorem 2 is false, as example

$$x'' + \frac{x}{1+x^2} = \frac{1}{1+t^2} x$$

which has $x=t$ as a solution.

Faculty of Science
Al-Azhar University,
Nasr-City, Cairo, Egypt.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. A. Antosiewicz, *Stable systems of differential equations with integrable perturbation term*, J. London Math. Soc. 31(1956), 208-212.
 [2] H. El-Owaidy, *On oscillations of second order differential equation*, Kyungpook Math. J. Korea, V.21(1981), 117-121.
 [3] W. Schmaedeke and G. Sell, *The Gronwall inequality for modified stieltjes integrals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 19(1968), 1217-1222.