

Maximal Ideal Spaces and B^* -Algebras

by

Eun-Hwi Lee

1. Introduction

Interest in the topic of normed space in Functional analysis has escalated in the 1950s. Therefore, during the nearly two decades there has been a remarkable developments in Functional analysis, stretching its study to Banach space, Banach algebra, and C^* -algebra ([2], [8], [9]).

The main core of Banach algebra can largely be divided into Maximal ideal space theory and Spectral theory ([3], [5]).

It is, therefore, linear operator theory that is vital part of Functional analysis ([6], [7]).

In this view of Functional analysis, this paper deals with maximal ideal space and B^* -algebra. It can, in more details, be described as follows.

§ 2 contains the proof of proposition 2.2 which is a little properties of Banach algebra's element, explaining the required terminology to grasp § 3 and § 4.

§ 3 gives proofs of theorem 3.1 and corollary 3.2 which is a part of the main theory in this paper. That is, if X is a compact and Hausdorff space, then $C(X)$ becomes commutative Banach algebra.

Then, Theorem 3.1, it is argued;

- (i) $C(X)$ is semisimple
- (ii) The maximal ideal space of $C(X)$, Δ is homeomorphic to X .

In that case, corollary 3.2 shows the relation of one-to-one correspondence under a condition, which is

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{The set of all closed} \\ \text{subsets of } X \end{array} \right\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{The set of all} \\ \text{closed ideals of } C(X) \end{array} \right\} .$$

In § 4, we prove Theorem 4.1 which consists of one of the main theorem in this

paper. Theorem 4.1 offers three properties of B^* -algebra, proving that disc algebra is a commutative B^* -algebra, in proposition 4.2. In addition, it is verified, by an example of $*$ -algebra in Example 4.3, that if $xy \neq yx$, then $\sigma(x+y) \subset \sigma(x) + \sigma(y)$ and $\sigma(xy) \subset \sigma(x) \cdot \sigma(y)$ don't hold.

§ 2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, by a Banach algebra we mean a Banach algebra which contains the identity e .

Definition 2.1 Let A be a Banach algebra. For each $x \in A$, the *spectrum* $\sigma(x)$ of x is the set of all complex numbers λ such that $\lambda e - x$ is not invertible. The *spectral radius* $\rho(x)$ of x is the number $\rho(x) = \sup\{|\lambda| \mid \lambda \in \sigma(x)\}$.

It is well-known that for each $x \in A$

1.° $\sigma(x)$ is compact and non-empty,

2.° $\rho(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x^n\|^{1/n} \leq \|x\|$.

Proposition 2.2 In a Banach algebra A the following hold.

(i) $\forall x, y \in A$ if $e - xy$ is invertible, then so is $e - yx$.

(ii) $\forall x, y \in A, \lambda \in \sigma(xy) \implies \lambda \in \sigma(yx)$.

Proof. (i) Suppose that there is an element $z \in A$ such that $(e - xy)z = e$, i.e., $z - xyz = e$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} (e - yx)(e + yzx) &= e + yzx - yx - yxyzx \\ &= e + yzx - yx - y(z - e)x \\ &= e. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $e + yzx$ is the inverse element of $e - yx$, and thus $e - yx$ is invertible.

(iii) When $\lambda = 0 \in \sigma(xy)$, we assume that yx is invertible.

Then there exists an element $z \in A$ such that

$$z(yx) = (zy)x = e = (yx)z = y(xz).$$

This implies that x and y are invertible in A , and thus xy is invertible. Hence, in this case, yx is not invertible, and $0 \in \sigma(yx)$.

Next, we assume that $\lambda (\neq 0) \in \sigma(xy)$ and that $\lambda e - yx$ is invertible. Then, there exists an element $z \in A$ such that $(\lambda e - yx)z = e$. Therefore, since $yxz = \lambda z - e$, we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda e - xy)(\lambda^{-1}e + \lambda^{-1}xzy) &= e + xzy - \lambda^{-1}xy - \lambda^{-1}xyxzy \\ &= e + xzy - \lambda^{-1}xy - \lambda^{-1}x(\lambda z - e)y \\ &= e. \end{aligned}$$

That is, $\lambda e - xy$ is invertible. This is a contradiction to our hypothesis $\lambda \in \sigma(xy)$. //

Let A be a Banach algebra, and let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{C} . Put

$$H(\Omega) = \{f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f \text{ is holomorphic}\}$$

and

$$A_\Omega = \{x \in A \mid \sigma(x) \subset \Omega\}.$$

For each $f \in H(\Omega)$ we define the mapping $\tilde{f}: A_\Omega \rightarrow A$ by

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma f(\lambda)(\lambda e - x)^{-1} d\lambda,$$

where $x \in A_\Omega$ and Γ is any contour that surrounds $\sigma(x)$ in Ω . Put

$$\tilde{H}(\Omega) = \{\tilde{f} \mid f \in H(\Omega)\}.$$

Then it is obvious that $H(\Omega)$ and $\tilde{H}(\Omega)$ are algebras under the usual operations.

Lemma 2.3 Under the above notations the map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Phi: H(\Omega) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{H}(\Omega) \\ \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\ f & \longmapsto & \tilde{f} \end{array}$$

is an algebra isomorphism.

Proof. It is clear that Φ is one-to-one and linear. So, it suffices to prove that Φ is multiplicative. That is, we shall prove that if $h(\lambda) = f(\lambda) \cdot g(\lambda)$ ($f, g \in H(\Omega)$ and $\lambda \in \Omega$) then $\tilde{h}(x) = \tilde{f}(x) \cdot \tilde{g}(x)$ for all $x \in A_\Omega$ (note that $h \in H(\Omega)$).

Consider a rational function

$$R(\lambda) = P(\lambda) + \sum_{n, k} C_{n, k} (\lambda - \alpha_n)^{-k}$$

with poles at the points α_n ($\alpha_n, C_{n, k} \in \mathbb{C}$), where $P(\lambda)$ is a polynomial in λ with coefficients in \mathbb{C} . If we put

$$R(x) = P(x) + \sum_{n, k} C_{n, k} (x - \alpha_n e)^{-k},$$

then we see that

$$\tilde{R}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} R(\lambda) (\lambda e - x)^{-1} d\lambda, \dots\dots\dots(2-1)$$

where $R(\lambda) \in H(\Omega)$, $x \in A_0$ and Γ surrounds $\sigma(x)$ in Ω ([1], [12]). In particular, if $\alpha \notin \Omega$ ($\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$), then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} (\alpha - \lambda)^n (\lambda e - x)^{-1} d\lambda = (\alpha e - x)^n \dots\dots\dots(2-2)$$

for $n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\dots$ ([12]). Hence, if f and g are rational functions by (2-1) and (2-2) we immediately have

$$\tilde{h}(x) = \tilde{f}(x) \cdot \tilde{g}(x).$$

By the Runge's theorem, for each holomorphic function f there exists rational functions f_n such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on compact subset of Ω . Therefore,

$$\tilde{h}_n(x) = \tilde{f}_n(x) \cdot \tilde{g}_n(x) \rightarrow \tilde{f}(x) \cdot \tilde{g}(x),$$

where g_n is a rational function such that $g_n \rightarrow g$.

Since $h_n(x) \rightarrow h(x)$, we have $\tilde{h}(x) = \tilde{f}(x) \cdot \tilde{g}(x)$. ///

Under the above notations there is the *spectral mapping theorem* such as:

3.° for each $x \in A_0$ and $f \in H(\Omega)$, $\sigma(\tilde{f}(x)) = f(\sigma(x))$ ([1], [4]).

Definition 2.4 Let A be a Banach algebra. A linear functional $\phi: A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called a *complex homomorphism* of A if for any $x, y \in A$

$$\phi(xy) = \phi(x) \phi(y).$$

The set Δ of all complex homomorphisms of A is called the *maximal ideal space* of A .

For each $x \in A$ we define

$$\hat{x}: \Delta \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

by $\hat{x}(h) = h(x)$ for each $h \in \Delta$. We put

$$\hat{A} = \{\hat{x} | x \in A\}.$$

Then, the maximal ideal space Δ of A with the weak topology induced from \hat{A} (i.e., the Gelfand topology) is a compact Hausdorff space ([12]). (note that \hat{x} is a bounded linear map).

We put

$$Rd(A) = \{x \in A \mid \forall h \in \Delta, h(x) = 0\},$$

and call it the *radical* of A (since each maximal ideal of A is the kernel of an element $h \in \Delta$).

$$Rd(A) = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{M}} \mathfrak{M}, \quad (\mathfrak{M} \text{ is a maximal ideal of } A).$$

In particular, if $Rd(A) = \{0\}$, then A is said to be *semisimple*.

Lemma 2.5 For commutative Banach algebra A and B , let $\phi: B \rightarrow A$ be an algebra homomorphism. If A is semi-simple, then ϕ is continuous.

Proof. By the closed graph theorem ([1], [14], [15]), it suffices to prove that $\{(b, \phi(b)) \mid b \in B\}$ is a closed subset of $B \times A$. Thus, we shall prove that if $(b_n, \phi(b_n)) \rightarrow (b, a) \in B \times A$ then $(b, a) \in \{(b, \phi(b)) \mid b \in B\}$ which is equivalent to $\phi(b) = a$.

Let Δ_B and Δ_A be maximal ideal spaces of B and A , respectively.

For a fixed $h \in \Delta_A$, define $\phi: B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $\phi = h \circ \phi$.

It follows that ϕ is a complex homomorphism.

Thus, for each $b \in B$ with $\|b\| = 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\left| \phi\left(\frac{b}{1+\varepsilon}\right) \right| < 1$$

([12]). That is,

$$|\phi(b)| < 1 + \varepsilon \implies \forall b \text{ with } \|b\| = 1, |\phi(b)| \leq 1.$$

Hence ϕ is a bounded linear functional, that is, ϕ is continuous.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} h(a) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(\phi(b_n)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(b_n) = \phi(b) \\ &= h(\phi(b)), \end{aligned}$$

where $h \in \Delta_A$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} h(a - \phi(b)) &= 0 \implies a - \phi(b) \in Rd(A) = \{0\} \\ &\implies a = \phi(b). \end{aligned}$$

///

Let A be a commutative Banach algebra. Then,

4.° for every $x \in A$, $\{\hat{x}(h) \mid h \in \Delta\} = \sigma(x)$ and $\|\hat{x}\|_\infty = \rho(x)$,

where Δ is the maximal ideal space of A and $\|\hat{x}\|_\infty = \sup_{h \in \Delta} |\hat{x}(h)|$ ([1]).

Lemma 2.6 Let A be a commutative Banach algebra.

Then, for any $x, y \in A$,

$$\sigma(x+y) \subseteq \sigma(x) + \sigma(y), \quad \sigma(xy) \subseteq \sigma(x) \cdot \sigma(y).$$

Proof. By 4°, we have

$$\sigma(x+y) = (x+y)^\wedge(\Delta), \quad \sigma(xy) = (xy)^\wedge(\Delta),$$

where Δ is the maximal ideal space of A . Since

$$\begin{aligned} (x+y)^\wedge(\Delta) &\subseteq \hat{x}(\Delta) + \hat{y}(\Delta), \\ (xy)^\wedge(\Delta) &\subseteq \hat{x}(\Delta) \cdot \hat{y}(\Delta), \end{aligned}$$

Our assertion is clear. ///

Definition 2.7 For a Banach algebra A , a mapping $*$: $A \rightarrow A$ defined by $x \mapsto x^*$ is called an *involution* on A if it satisfies the following properties:

- (1) $(x+y)^* = x^* + y^*$ ($x, y \in A$)
- (2) $(\lambda x)^* = \bar{\lambda} x^*$ ($\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, x \in A$)
- (3) $(xy)^* = y^* x^*$ ($x, y \in A$)
- (4) $x^{**} = x$ ($x \in A$).

Furthermore, for each $x \in A$ if $\|xx^*\| = \|x\|^2$ holds, then A is called a *B*-algebra*.

Let A be a Banach algebra with an involution $*$.

An element $x \in A$ is said to be *Hermitian* if $x = x^*$, and if $xx^* = x^*x$, then x is said to be *normal*.

A Hermitian element $x \in A$ is said to be *positive*, written $x \geq 0$, if $\sigma(x) \subseteq [0, \infty)$.

A linear functional

$$F: A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

is said to be *positive* if for every $x \in A$ $F(xx^*) \geq 0$.

Let A be a commutative B*-algebra with its maximal ideal space Δ . Then, it is well-known that

$$5^\circ \quad \forall h \in \Delta \text{ and } \forall x \in A, \quad h(x^*) = \overline{h(x)}.$$

Accordingly, in our case

$$x \text{ is hermitian} \iff \{\hat{x}(h) \mid h \in \Delta\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}.$$

3. Maximal Ideal Spaces

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space, and let $C(X)$ be the set of all continuous functions from X to \mathbb{C} . Then, with the norm

$$\|f\| = \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)| \quad (\forall f \in C(X))$$

$C(X)$ is a commutative Banach algebra.

Theorem 3.1 Let Δ be the maximal ideal space of $C(X)$. Then Δ is homeomorphic to X and $C(X)$ is semisimple.

Proof. Define

$$\phi: X \longrightarrow \Delta \text{ by } \phi(x) = h_x \in \Delta$$

and $h_x: C(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined by $h_x(f) = f(x)$ for all $f \in C(X)$. It is easy to prove that for all $x \in X$ h_x is a complex homomorphism of $C(X)$.

For $x \neq y$ in X , assume that $h_x = h_y$. Then

$$\forall f \in C(X), h_x(f) = h_y(f) \implies f(x) = f(y).$$

But, we can make a continuous function $g \in C(X)$ such that $g(x) \neq g(y)$ as follows. Since X is compact and Hausdorff, it is a normal space. Thus, the Urysohn's theorem holds on X . That is, there is a continuous function $g: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $g(x) = 0$ and $g(y) = 1$. In consequence, ϕ is an injective map.

In fact, ϕ is surjective. That is, for each $h \in \Delta$ there exists a unique element $x \in X$ such that $h = h_x$.

To prove this we assume that our assertion is fail.

Then, there is an element $h \in \Delta$ such that $h \neq h_x$ for all $x \in X$.

In this case, there is at least one element f in the kernel of h ($\text{Ker } h$) such that $f(x) \neq 0$ for a given point $x \in X$. For we assume that

$$\forall f \in \text{Ker } h, f(x) = 0,$$

then $\text{Ker } h \subseteq \text{Ker } h_x$. Since $\text{Ker } h$ and $\text{Ker } h_x$ are maximal ideals of $C(X)$, it follows that $\text{Ker } h = \text{Ker } h_x$. Since the Banach algebra (every maximal ideal is closed)

$$C(X)/\text{Ker } h = C(X)/\text{Ker } h_x$$

is a field, it is isomorphic to \mathbb{C} , by the Gelfand-Mazur theorem. Since a complex homomorphism maps the identity to 1 and it is \mathbb{C} -linear, it is obvious that

$$\bar{h} = \bar{h}_x: C(X)/\text{Ker } h \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{C},$$

where \bar{h} and \bar{h}_x are induced from h and h_x , respectively.

Consequently, we have $h = h_x$. Therefore, there is at least one element $f \in \text{Ker } h$ such that $f(x) \neq 0$ for each point $x \in X$.

Take $f_x \in \text{Ker } h$ such that $f_x(x) \neq 0$. Since f_x is continuous, there exists an open neighborhood U_x of x such that $f_x|_{U_x} \neq 0$. Then

$$X = \bigcup_{x \in X} U_x,$$

and there is a finite set $\{U_{x_1}, \dots, U_{x_n}\} \subset \{U_x | x \in X\}$, because X is compact.

Then

$$f_{x_1} + \dots + f_{x_n} \in C(X),$$

and for each point $x \in X$ there exists an integer j ($1 \leq j \leq n$) such that $f_{x_j}(x) \neq 0$. Put

$$g = f_{x_1} \cdot f_{x_1} + \dots + f_{x_n} \cdot f_{x_n}$$

then $g \in \text{Ker } h$ and $g(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in X$. Hence the maximal ideal $\text{Ker } h$ contains an invertible element g . This is a contradiction. Thus there exists an element $x \in X$ such that $h = h_x$. That is, we have proved that

$$\psi: X \longrightarrow \Delta \quad (x \longmapsto h_x)$$

is bijective.

Let γ be the weak topology of X induced by $C(X)$. In the original topology τ of X , since each element of $C(X)$ is continuous, it follows that $\gamma \subset \tau$. Since γ is a Hausdorff topology and τ is a compact topology, we have

$$\gamma = \tau \quad (\{1\}, \{12\}).$$

In the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \Delta & \xrightarrow{\hat{f}} & \mathbb{C} \\ \cup & & \cup & & \cup \\ x \longmapsto & & h_x \longmapsto & & f(x) \end{array}$$

($f \in C(X)$), take an open neighborhood U_x of $f(x)$ in C , then it is clear that

$$\psi^{-1}(\hat{f}^{-1}(U_x)) = f^{-1}(U_x),$$

which is an open neighborhood of x in X (note that \hat{f} is continuous). It is evident that ψ is a continuous open mapping, and thus

$$\psi: X \approx \Delta \text{ (homeomorphic).}$$

(note that the Gelfand topology of Δ is equivalent to γ and γ is equivalent to τ .) We can put $X = \Delta$.

In view of this point we can regard as

$$f = \hat{f}: \begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & C \\ \cup & & \cup \\ x & \longmapsto & f(x) \end{array}$$

for each $f \in C(X)$ ($\hat{f}(h_x) = f(x)$). That is, we have the one-to-one corresponding:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C(X) & \longleftarrow & C(\Delta) \\ \cup & & \cup \\ f & \longleftarrow & \hat{f} \end{array},$$

and hence the commutative Banach algebra $C(X)$ is a semisimple algebra. ///

Corollary 3.2 Under the circumstance of Theorem 3.1, there is an one-to-one correspondence between the set of all closed subsets of X and the set of all closed ideals of $C(X)$ if each closed ideal of $C(X)$ is an intersection of maximal ideals.

Proof. Take a closed subset K of X and put

$$I_K = \bigcap_{x \in K} \text{Ker } h_x,$$

where $h_x \in \Delta$ is defined by the same way as in the proof of theorem 3.1. Since each $\text{Ker } h_x$ is a closed maximal ideal of $C(X)$, it follows that I_K is a closed ideal of $C(X)$.

Now let $C_c(X)$ be the set of all closed subsets of X and $C_I(C(X))$ a collection of all closed ideals of $C(X)$ which are an intersection of maximal ideals.

Define

$$\psi: \begin{array}{ccc} C_c(X) & \longrightarrow & C_I(C(X)) \\ \cup & & \cup \\ K & \longmapsto & I_K \end{array}.$$

If K and K' are closed subsets of X which is $K \neq K'$, then there is a point y such that

either $y \in K'$ and $y \notin K$ or $y \notin K'$ and $y \in K$.

Therefore, since X is normal, there is a continuous map $f: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $f(K) = 0, f(y) = 1$. Hence, since $I_K \neq I_{K'}$, ϕ is one-to-one.

The inverse Φ of ϕ is defined as follows. Let I be a closed ideal of $C(X)$. Put

$$K_I = \bigcap_{f \in I} f^{-1}(0). \quad \dots\dots\dots(3-1)$$

Then K_I is a closed subset of X , because f is continuous, i.e., $f^{-1}(0)$ is closed in X . Define

$$\Phi(I) = K_I$$

for each closed ideal I of $C(X)$. In this case, for each $x \in K_I$ it follows that

$$\forall f \in I, f(x) = 0,$$

which implies that $I \subset \text{Ker } h_x$. Therefore we have

$$I \subset \bigcap_{x \in K_I} \text{Ker } h_x.$$

By our hypothesis there are maximal ideals $M_\alpha (\alpha \in A, A \text{ is an indexing set})$ of $C(X)$ such that

$$I = \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} M_\alpha.$$

Hence

$$\bigcap_{\alpha \in A} M_\alpha \subset \bigcap_{x \in K_I} \text{Ker } h_x.$$

Assume that there is an element $\alpha \in A$ such that

$$M_\alpha \notin \{\text{Ker } h_x | x \in K_I\}.$$

Then there exists an element $y \in X$ with $\text{Ker } h_y = M_\alpha$ by theorem 3.1.

Since $y \notin K_I$, we have

$$\bigcap_{f \in I} f^{-1}(0) \supset K_I \cup \{y\},$$

which is a contradiction to (3-1). Therefore it follows that

$$I = \bigcap_{x \in K_I} \text{Ker } h_x.$$

(note that each maximal ideal is a kernel of an element of Δ and the inverse is also true.) That is, $\phi\phi$ is the identity map of $C_I(C(X))$ onto itself. ϕ is onto and thus ϕ is bijective. ///

Example 3.3 When $X = \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$ has a discrete topology, it follows that $C(X) = C^3$ which is a commutative Banach algebra with norm $\|x\| = |x|$ for each $x \in C^3$. Then, by theorem 3.1, the maximal ideal space Δ of $C(X)$ is homeomorphic to $X = \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$, i.e., $\Delta = \{h_{a_1}, h_{a_2}, h_{a_3}\}$, where for each $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in C^3$

$$h_{a_i}(x) = x(a_i) = x_i \quad (i=1, 2, 3).$$

Note that the addition, multiplication and scalar product are defined by

$$x + y = (x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2, x_3 + y_3),$$

$$xy = (x_1y_1, x_2y_2, x_3y_3),$$

$$\lambda x = (\lambda x_1, \lambda x_2, \lambda x_3),$$

where $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$, $y = (y_1, y_2, y_3) \in C^3$ and $\lambda \in C$. In this case:

the set of closed subsets of $X = \{\phi, \{a_1\}, \{a_2\}, \{a_3\}, \{a_1, a_2\}, \{a_1, a_3\}, \{a_2, a_3\}, \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}\}$ on the other hand,

$$\text{the set of maximal ideals} = \begin{cases} \text{Ker } h_{a_1} = M_1 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in C^3 \mid x_1 = 0\} \\ \text{Ker } h_{a_2} = M_2 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in C^3 \mid x_2 = 0\} \\ \text{Ker } h_{a_3} = M_3 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in C^3 \mid x_3 = 0\} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{the set of closed ideals (not maximal)} = \begin{cases} A_0 = \bigcap_{a \in \phi} M_a = C^3 \\ A_1 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in C^3 \mid x_2 = x_3 = 0\} = M_2 \cap M_3 \\ A_2 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in C^3 \mid x_1 = x_3 = 0\} = M_1 \cap M_3 \\ A_3 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in C^3 \mid x_1 = x_2 = 0\} = M_1 \cap M_2 \\ A_4 = \{(0, 0, 0) \in C^3\} = M_1 \cap M_2 \cap M_3 \end{cases}$$

Thus, each closed ideal is an intersection of maximal ideals. Our example satisfies the hypothesis of corollary 3.2, and we have the one-to-one corresponding as follows:

The set of closed subsets	← →	The set of closed ideals
ϕ	← →	C^3
$\{a_1\}$	← →	$\text{Ker } h_{a_1} = M_1$
$\{a_2\}$	← →	$\text{Ker } h_{a_2} = M_2$
$\{a_3\}$	← →	$\text{Ker } h_{a_3} = M_3$
$\{a_1, a_2\}$	← →	$M_1 \cap M_2 = A_3$
$\{a_1, a_3\}$	← →	$M_1 \cap M_3 = A_2$
$\{a_2, a_3\}$	← →	$M_2 \cap M_3 = A_1$
$\{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$	← →	$M_1 \cap M_2 \cap M_3 = A_4$

Proposition 3.4 Let A and B be commutative Banach algebras and let B be semisimple. For a homomorphism $\psi: A \rightarrow B$ such that $\psi(A)$ is dense in B , assume that $\alpha: \Delta_B \rightarrow \Delta_A$ is defined by

$$(\alpha h)(x) = h(\psi(x)) \quad (x \in A, h \in \Delta_B),$$

where Δ_A and Δ_B are maximal ideal spaces of A and B , respectively. Then $\alpha(\Delta_B)$ is a compact subset of Δ_A and $\alpha: \Delta_B \rightarrow \alpha(\Delta_B) \subset \Delta_A$ is injective.

Proof. By Lemma 2.5, ψ is a continuous map. In the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Delta_B & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \Delta_A & \xrightarrow{\hat{x}} & C \\ \Downarrow & & \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\ h & \longmapsto & \alpha h & \longmapsto & h(\psi(x)) \quad (x \in A) \end{array}$$

we see that

(a) $h \circ \psi: A \xrightarrow{\psi} B \xrightarrow{h} C$ is a complex homomorphism (continuous), and thus $\alpha: \Delta_B \rightarrow \Delta_A$ is well-defined,

(b) for an open neighborhood U of $h(\psi(x))$ in C , $\hat{x}^{-1}(U)$ is an open neighborhood of αh in Δ_A , and furthermore

$$\alpha^{-1}(\hat{x}^{-1}(U)) = \hat{\psi(x)}^{-1}(U).$$

Noting that the topology of B is completely determined by $\psi(A)$ because $\psi(A)$ is dense in B , it is clear that α is continuous, and thus $\alpha(\Delta_B)$ is a compact subset of Δ_A (Δ_B is compact).

For $h_1 \neq h_2$ in Δ_B , there exists at least one point $y \in B$ such that $h_1(y) \neq h_2(y)$. Since $\psi(A)$ is dense in B , there is a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset A$ such that $\psi(x_n) \rightarrow y$. By the continuities of h_1 and h_2 , we have

$$h_1(\psi(x_n)) \rightarrow h_1(y), \quad h_2(\psi(x_n)) \rightarrow h_2(y).$$

The fact that $h_1(y) \neq h_2(y)$ implies that there exists $x_n \in A$ such that $h_1(\psi(x_n)) \neq h_2(\psi(x_n))$.

Therefore

$$\alpha h_1 \neq \alpha h_2,$$

that is, α is injective. ///

4. B^* -Algebras

We put

$$D = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| \leq 1\}$$

and denote the set of all holomorphic functions from D to \mathbb{C} by $H(D)$. Then, by the usual operations $H(D)$ is an algebra over \mathbb{C} . Define a norm and an involution on $H(D)$ by setting

- (i) $\|f\| = \sup_{z \in D} |f(z)|, f \in H(D)$
- (ii) $f^*(z) = \overline{f(\bar{z})}, z \in D, f \in H(D)$.

Then, $H(D)$ is a commutative Banach algebra with an involution $*$ (see Definition 2.4), which is called the *disc algebra*.

In this section, we shall prove some properties on B^* -algebras and $H(D)$, and illustrate a counterexample about Lemma 2.6.

Theorem 4.1 For a commutative B^* -algebra A , the following hold.

- (i) A is semisimple.
- (ii) If $x \in A$ is hermitian such that $\|x\| = \alpha$ and $x \geq 0$, then $\sigma(ax - x) \subset [0, \alpha]$ and $\sigma(x) \subset [0, \alpha]$.
- (iii) If $x \in A$ is hermitian, then $x^2 \geq 0$.

Proof. (i) For each $x \in A$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|^2 = \|xx^*\| &\leq \|x\| \|x^*\| \implies \|x\| \leq \|x^*\| \\ \implies \|x^*\| &\leq \|x^{**}\| = \|x\| \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\|x\| = \|x^*\|, \|x\|^2 = \|x\| \|x^*\| \dots\dots\dots(4-1)$$

Put $y = xx^*$. Then y is hermitian. Thus

$$\|y\|^2 = \|yy^*\| = \|y^2\|.$$

By induction on n , it follows that for $m = 2^n$, $\|y^m\| = \|y\|^m$
 ($n = 2 \rightarrow \|y^4\| = \|(y^2)^2\| = \|y^2\|^2 = (\|y\|^2)^2 = \|y\|^4$). By 2° and 4° in § 2,

$$\|\hat{y}\|_\infty = \rho(y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y^m\|^{1/m} = \|y\|.$$

For each $h \in \Delta$,

$$\hat{y}(h) = \hat{x}(h)\hat{x}^*(h) = |\hat{x}(h)|^2 \text{ (by 5° in § 2),}$$

i. e., $\hat{y} = |\hat{x}|^2$, and thus

$$\|\hat{x}\|_\infty^2 = \|\hat{y}\|_\infty = \|y\| = \|xx^*\| = \|x\|^2$$

(by (4-1)). In consequence, for each $x \in A$ we have

$$\|\hat{x}\|_\infty = \|x\|.$$

Take $x \in \text{Rd}(A)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \forall h \in \Delta, \hat{x}(h) = h(x) = 0 &\implies \|\hat{x}\|_\infty = 0 \\ &\implies \|x\| = 0 \\ &\implies x = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where Δ is the maximal ideal space of A , and hence $\text{Rd}(A) = \{0\}$.

(ii) Let $x \in A$ be hermitian such that $\sigma(x) \subset (0, \infty)$ and $\|x\| = \alpha$. If $|\beta| > \alpha$ ($\beta \in \mathcal{R}$), then

$$(\beta e - x) = \beta(e - x/\beta)$$

is invertible because that $\|x/\beta\| < 1$ ([13], [14], [15]).

Therefore, $\sigma(x) \subset (0, \alpha]$ is clear. Noting that $ae - x$ is also hermitian it follows from 4° and 5° in §2 that $\sigma(ae - x) \subset \mathcal{R}$.

$\lambda > \alpha \implies \lambda e - (ae - x) = -((\alpha - \lambda)e - x)$ is invertible because

$$\alpha - \lambda < 0 \text{ and } \sigma(x) \subset (0, \infty),$$

$\lambda < 0 \implies \lambda e - (ae - x) = -((\alpha - \lambda)e - x)$ is invertible because

$$\alpha - \lambda > \alpha \text{ and } \sigma(x) \subset (0, \alpha].$$

Therefore $\sigma(ae - x) \subset (0, \alpha]$.

(iii) It suffices to prove that $\sigma(x^2) \subset (0, \infty)$ because

$$(x^2)^* = (xx)^* = x^*x^* = x \cdot x = x^2$$

for each hermitian element $x \in A$. Put

$$\Omega = \text{an open neighborhood of } \mathcal{R} \text{ in } \mathcal{C}$$

and define

$$f: \Omega \longrightarrow \mathcal{C} \quad (\lambda \mapsto f(\lambda) = \lambda, \lambda \in \Omega)$$

(note that since x is hermitian $\sigma(x) \subset \mathcal{R}$ by 5° in §2). Since f is a holomorphic function,

by Lemma 2.3

$$\tilde{f}(x) = x = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} f(\lambda) (\lambda e - x)^{-1} d\lambda,$$

where Γ is any contour that surrounds $\sigma(x)$ in Ω (since \hat{x} is continuous, $\{\hat{x}(h) \mid h \in \Delta\} = \sigma(x)$ is bounded in \mathbb{R} . That is, the existence of Γ is obvious). Moreover, since

$$x^2 = \tilde{f}(x) \cdot \tilde{f}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} f^2(\lambda) (\lambda e - x)^{-1} d\lambda$$

(see Lemma 2.3), by the spectral mapping theorem (3° in § 2)

$$\sigma(x^2) = f^2(\sigma(x)) \subset (0, \infty). \quad ///$$

Proposition 4.2 Let $H(D)$ be the disc algebra.

- (i) $H(D)$ is a commutative B^* -algebra.
- (ii) For every $f \in H(D)$, $ff^* \geq 0$.
- (iii) The mapping

$$F: H(D) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

defined by

$$F(f) = \int_{-1}^1 f(t) dt \quad (f \in H(D))$$

is a positive functional.

Proof. (i) By the Runge's theorem, there are rational functions $\{f_n\}$ which approximate a holomorphic function $f \in H(D)$. Therefore we have

$$\overline{f_n(\bar{z})} \longrightarrow f^*(z) = \overline{f(\bar{z})}.$$

Since $f_n(z)$ is a rational function $f_n(\bar{z}) = \overline{f_n(z)}$, and thus $\overline{f_n(\bar{z})} = f_n(z)$. In the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} |\overline{f_n(\bar{z})}| & \longrightarrow & |f^*(z)| = |\overline{f(\bar{z})}| \\ \parallel & & \\ |f_n(z)| & \longrightarrow & |f(z)|, \end{array}$$

we get $|\overline{f(\bar{z})}| = |f^*(z)| = |f(z)|$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \|ff^*\| &= \sup_{z \in D} |(ff^*)(z)| = \sup_{z \in D} |f(z) \cdot f^*(z)| \\ &= \sup_{z \in D} |f(z)| |f^*(z)| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sup_{z \in D} |f(z)|^2 \\ &= \|f\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

That is, $H(D)$ is a B^* -algebra.

(ii) By (i) of Theorem 4.1, $H(D)$ is semisimple, and thus $H(D) = \widehat{H(D)} = C(\Delta)$ ([5], [6], [12]), where Δ is the maximal ideal space of $H(D)$ and

$$C(\Delta) = \{f: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f \text{ is continuous}\}.$$

Put $g = ff^*$. Then g is hermitian. By 4° and 5° in § 2,

$$\sigma(g) = \widehat{g}(\Delta) \subset \mathbb{R}.$$

and thus we shall prove that $\widehat{g}(\Delta) \subset [0, \infty)$. Since $\widehat{H(D)} = C(\Delta)$ and $|\widehat{g}| - \widehat{g} \in C(\Delta)$ ($\forall h \in \Delta, |\widehat{g}|(h) = |\widehat{g}(h)|$), there exists an element $z \in H(D)$ such that

$$\widehat{z} = |\widehat{g}| - \widehat{g} \quad (\text{on } \Delta). \quad \dots\dots\dots(4-2)$$

Then $\widehat{z}(\Delta) = |\widehat{g}(\Delta)| - \widehat{g}(\Delta) \subset \mathbb{R}$, which implies that $z \in H(D)$ is hermitian (see 5° in § 2).

Put

$$zf = w = u + iv,$$

where u and v are hermitian elements of $H(D)$ (for the existence of u and v see [1] or [12]). Then

$$\begin{aligned} ww^* &= zf(zf)^* = z^2 \cdot g, \\ w^*w + ww^* &= 2u^2 + 2v^2 \\ w^*w &= 2u^2 + 2v^2 - ww^* = 2u^2 + 2v^2 - z^2g \quad \dots\dots\dots(4-3) \end{aligned}$$

By (iii) of Theorem 4.1, $u^2 \geq 0$ and $v^2 \geq 0$. It is easy to see that

$$(\widehat{z^2} \cdot \widehat{g})(h) = ((|\widehat{g}| - \widehat{g}) \cdot (|\widehat{g}| - \widehat{g}) \cdot \widehat{g})(h) \leq 0$$

for all $h \in \Delta$. Therefore, $-\widehat{z^2} \cdot \widehat{g}(\Delta) \subset [0, \infty)$ and $-\widehat{z^2} \cdot g \geq 0$ ($z^2 \cdot g$ is hermitian).

By Lemma 2.6 and (4-3), $w^*w \geq 0$.

Since $H(D)$ is commutative and $w^*w \geq 0$, $ww^* \geq 0$,

$$ww^* = z^2g \geq 0, \text{ and therefore in (4-2),}$$

we have to have $|\widehat{g}| = \widehat{g}$. This implies that $g = ff^* \geq 0$.

(iii) For each $f \in H(D)$,

$$\begin{aligned} F(ff^*) &= \int_{-1}^1 (ff^*)(t) dt = \int_{-1}^1 f(t) \overline{f(t)} dt \\ &= \int_{-1}^1 |f(t)|^2 dt \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and F is linear. ///

We have to note that Lemma 2.6 insists that if $xy = yx$ then $\sigma(x+y) \subset \sigma(x) + \sigma(y)$ and $\sigma(xy) \subset \sigma(x) \cdot \sigma(y)$. When $xy \neq yx$, we have a counterexample about this Lemma as follows.

Example 4.3 We define a norm on C^2 by

$$\|w\| = |w_1| + t|w_2|$$

for a large positive number t , where $w = (w_1, w_2) \in C^2$.

Let A be the algebra of all complex 2-by-2 matrices with the usual operations. Define a norm on A by

$$\|y\| = \max\{\|y(w)\| \mid w \in C^2, \|w\| = 1\}$$

for $y \in A$. Then A is a Banach algebra (non-commutative).

Proof. Suppose

$$\left\{ y_n = \begin{pmatrix} y_1(n) & y_2(n) \\ y_3(n) & y_4(n) \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

is a Cauchy sequence in A . Then, for each positive number ϵ , there exists a positive integer N such that

$$\forall n, m \geq N \implies \|y_n - y_m\| < \epsilon.$$

Since

$$y_n - y_m = \begin{pmatrix} y_1(n) - y_1(m) & y_2(n) - y_2(m) \\ y_3(n) - y_3(m) & y_4(n) - y_4(m) \end{pmatrix},$$

$\|y_n - y_m\| < \epsilon$ implies that $|y_i(n) - y_i(m)|$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) is sufficiently small. That is,

$\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence $\implies \{y_i(n)\}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) is a Cauchy sequence.

Thus, $y_i(n) \rightarrow x_i (\in C)$ and thus

$$y_n = \begin{pmatrix} y_1(n) & y_2(n) \\ y_3(n) & y_4(n) \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ x_3 & x_4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

That is, A is a Banach space.

Consider $w = (w_1, w_2)$ with $\|w\| = 1$ and

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ x_3 & x_4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & y_2 \\ y_3 & y_4 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $w \in \mathbb{C}^2$, $x, y \in A$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} xyw &= \begin{pmatrix} x_1y_1 + x_2y_3 & x_1y_2 + x_2y_4 \\ x_3y_1 + x_4y_3 & x_3y_2 + x_4y_4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} (x_1y_1 + x_2y_3)w_1 + (x_1y_2 + x_2y_4)w_2 \\ (x_3y_1 + x_4y_3)w_1 + (x_3y_2 + x_4y_4)w_2 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|xyw\| &= |(x_1y_1 + x_2y_3)w_1 + (x_1y_2 + x_2y_4)w_2| + |(x_3y_1 + x_4y_3)w_1 + (x_3y_2 + x_4y_4)w_2| \\ &= |x_1(y_1w_1 + y_2w_2) + x_2(y_3w_1 + y_4w_2)| + |x_3(y_1w_1 + y_2w_2) + x_4(y_3w_1 + y_4w_2)| \\ &\leq |y_1w_1 + y_2w_2|(|x_1| + |x_2|) + |y_3w_1 + y_4w_2|(|x_3| + |x_4|). \quad \dots\dots\dots(4-4) \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(w_1, w_2)\| = 1 &\implies |y_1w_1 + y_2w_2| + |y_3w_1 + y_4w_2| \leq \|y\| \\ \|(1, 0)\| = 1 = \|(0, \frac{1}{t})\| &\implies |x_1| + t|x_3| \leq \|x\|, \quad \frac{|x_2|}{t} + |x_4| \leq \|x\| \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (4-4)

$$\|xyw\| \leq \|x\| \cdot \|y\| \implies \|xy\| \leq \|x\| \cdot \|y\|.$$

For the identity $e = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ of A and $w \in \mathbb{C}^2$ with $\|w\| = 1$,

$$1 = \|w\| = \|ew\|,$$

and thus $\|e\| = 1$. Therefore A is a Banach algebra. ///

We define $*$: $A \rightarrow A$ by

$$x^* = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ x_3 & x_4 \end{pmatrix}^* = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{x}_1 & \bar{x}_3 \\ \bar{x}_2 & \bar{x}_4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then it is easy to prove that $*$ is an involution. Therefore A is a Banach algebra with involution $*$.

Consider a fixed element $x \in A$ such that

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t^2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the following hold.

- (i) $\|x(w)\| = t\|w\|$ for each $w \in \mathbb{C}^2$, i.e., $\|x\| = t$
- (ii) $\sigma(x) = \{t, -t\} = \sigma(x^*)$
- (iii) $\sigma(xx^*) = \{1, t^2\} = \sigma(x^*x)$, $\sigma(x+x^*) = \{1+t^2, -1-t^2\}$.

Proof (i) For each $w = (w_1, w_2)$,

$$x(w) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t^2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} t^2 \cdot w_2 \\ w_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and hence $\|x(w)\| = t^2|w_2| + t|w_1| = t(|w_1| + t|w_2|) = t\|w\|$.

(ii) Consider

$$\lambda e - x = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & -t^2 \\ -1 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$

$\lambda e - x$ is not invertible $\iff \lambda^2 - t^2 = 0 \iff \lambda = \pm t$. Hence

$$\sigma(x) = \{+t, -t\}.$$

Since

$$x^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ t^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

we have also $\sigma(x^*) = \{+t, -t\}$.

(iii) Since

$$x+x^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1+t^2 \\ 1+t^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad xx^* = \begin{pmatrix} t^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

It follows that

$$\sigma(x+x^*) = \{\pm(1+t^2)\}, \quad \sigma(xx^*) = \{1, t^2\} = \sigma(x^*x). \quad ///$$

In this case, $xx^* \neq x^*x$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(x+x^*) &= \{\pm(1+t^2)\} \not\subset \sigma(x) + \sigma(x^*) = \{-2t, 0, 2t\}, \\ \sigma(xx^*) &= \{1, t^2\} \not\subset \sigma(x) \cdot \sigma(x^*) = \{-t^2, t^2\}. \end{aligned}$$

That is, Lemma 2.6 does not hold.

References

1. S. K. Berberian: Lectures in Functional Analysis and Operator Theory, Springer-Verlag New York Inc. (1974).
2. F. F. Bonsall and J. Duncan: Numerical ranges of operators on normed spaces and of elements of normed algebras, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series No. 2 Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press (1971).
3. N. Bourbaki: Théories spectrales. Chs. I. II. Fasc. XXXII of *Éléments de mathématique*. Paris, Hermann (1967).
4. J. Y. Choi: Integrals and differentiations on Banach algebras and their applications (to appear).
5. R. G. Douglas and C. Pearcy: On the Spectral theorem for normal Operators, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **68**, pp. 393—400 (1970).
6. P. R. Halmos: A Hilbert space problem book, Princeton, N. J., Van Nostrand (1967).
7. P. R. Halmos: Continuous functions of Hermitian operators. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **31**, pp. 130—132 (1972).
8. S. Kantorovitz: Classification of operators by means of their operational calculus; *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **115**, pp. 194—224 (1965).
9. M. Krein: On Positive and additive functionals in linear normed spaces, *Comm. Inst. Sci. Math. Méc. Univ. Kharkaff* (4)14, pp. 227—237 (1937).
10. M. Krein and D. Milman: On extreme points of regularly convex sets, *Studia Math.* **9**, pp. 133—138 (1940).
11. C. E. Rickart: General theory of Banach algebras, Princeton, N. J., Van Nostrand (1960).
12. W. Rudin: *Functional Analysis*, McGraw-Hill, Inc. (1973).
13. S. Sakai: *C*-algebras and W*-algebras*, Springer-Verlag (1971).
14. J. Wermer: Banach algebras and analytic functionals, *Adv. Math.* **1**, pp. 51—102 (1961).
15. K. Yosida: *Functional Analysis*, Springer-Verlag (1965).

Department of Mathematics,
 Chŏnju University,
 Chŏnju (520) Korea.