spectral data of the hydrogenolyzed product were exactly matched with those of authentic L-proline methyl ester (7), unambigueously prepared from L-proline and methanol. Optical rotation of the hydrogenolized product $\left([\alpha]_{D}^{24}=-32.6^{\circ}\right)$ was also in full agreement with that of L-proline methyl ester (7) ( $[\alpha]_{D}^{24}=-32.9^{\circ}$, methanol, lit ${ }^{8}$., $[\alpha]_{D}^{24}=-34^{\circ}$ ).

From the above results, it was confirmed that diborane reduction of N -benzyloxycarbonyl-L-glutamic acid $\alpha$-methyl ester (4) undoubtedly afforded N -benzyloxycarbonyl-L-proline methyl ester (6), although its mechanism could not be clearly understood.
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# n-n Orbital Interaction Involving anti-Hückel $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$-Aromaticity 
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Interactions between two nonbonding orbitals, $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$, are normally dissected into two varieties, i.e., through-space (TSI) and through-bond (TBI) interactions.

We wish here to report the third kind of interaction which becomes important only in cases where the two orbitals can overlap significantly. In this type of interaction both TSI and TBI are involved and the two overlapping nonbonding orbitals are considered to constitute terminal hybrid AOs within a cyclic form of $o$ conjugative chain. In the frontier orbital approach, ${ }^{2}$ the energy change, $\delta E_{i}$, involved in an interaction between the two terminal hybrid $\mathrm{AOs}, n_{1}$, and $n_{2}$, is due mainly to the corresponding perturbation of the HOMO, $\psi$, of the chain. ${ }^{3}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta E_{i}=\nu_{i} \int \psi_{i} P \phi_{i} \mathrm{~d}^{2}=\nu_{i} \mathcal{c}_{u} c_{i 2} \beta_{12} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v_{i}$ is the number of electrons occupying the HOMO, $c_{i 1}$ and $c_{i 2}$ the AO coefficients of $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$ in the HOMO, and $\beta_{12}$ is the resonance integral between the two orbitals. In the simple theory of $\sigma$ conjugation ( $C$-approximation), ${ }^{1 \epsilon .5}$ the AO coefficients are determined by an HMO calculation, while the sign of $\beta_{12}$ depends on the symmetry adapted orbitals; $\beta_{12}$ will be negative (overlap integral $S_{12}$ will be positive) for $n .\left(=n_{1}+n_{2}\right)$ and $\beta_{12}$ will be positive $\left(S_{12}<0\right)$ for $n\left(=n_{1}-n_{2}\right)$ level.

In the diradicals or diamines with even number of intervening sigma bonds between $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}(N=e v e n),{ }^{\text {tc }}$ the product of terminal AO coefficients of the HOMO has a negative sign, ${ }^{6.8}$ $c_{r_{1}} \cdot c_{c_{2}}<0$. Thus for an $N=$ even system having a crowded structure with significant overlap between two terminal nonbonding lobes, $\delta E_{i}$ will be negative, i.e., stabilizing, if $\beta_{12}$ is positive corresponding to a negative overlap, $S_{12}<0$. Therefore the antisymmetric combination of orbitals, $n$., having positive $\beta_{12}$ will be stabilizing and symınetric combination, $n_{+}$, will be destabiliz-
ing. The level order will thus become $n_{-}$below $n_{+}$level which is the reverse of the normal level order for $N=$ even cases. ${ }^{1 c, 9}$ Since the reversal of the sign of one $\beta$ to positive ( $S<0$ ) brings stabilization, the system has an anti-Hückel or Möbius type $\sigma$ aromaticity ${ }^{3.10}$; an $N=$ even system with a crowded a structure having significantly overlapping terminal nonbonding lobes forms an anti-Hückel or Möbius system ${ }^{6}$ so than $n$ - level has

$\sigma$-aromatic whereas $n_{+}$level has $o$-antiaromatic ${ }^{3}$ structure.
Various levels of MO calculations ${ }^{1.7}$ gave in fact the level order of $n$. below $n$. for outward pyramidalized trimethylene diradicals, (I). ${ }^{7}$
This reversal of level order has been a puzzle' and no ready explanation has yet been found. It is clear that this level order reversal in (1) is due to the third type of $n-n$ orbital interaction, in which both the direct overlap between the two nonbonding orbitals (TSI) and the $\sigma$-conjugative and hyperconjugative interactions of the nonbonding orbitals with the CC bonds forming framework $\sigma$ orbitals (TBI) ${ }^{1 c}$ are involved. This type of coupling term involving both contributions has been known to exist, ${ }^{i c, z}$ but the nature of the interaction was not explicitly


Figure 1. STO-3G results for level order reversal of outward pyramidalized trimethylene diradical

## understood.

That the reversal of level order in (I) is due to the $a$ conjugation of nonbonding orbitals with the framework $\sigma$ orbital was confirmed by the change of level order to normal, i. e., $n_{+}$below $n_{+}$below $n_{\text {., }}$ when we changed the pyramidalized lobes to $\mathbf{s p}^{2}$ (or $\mathbf{p - A O}$ ) type, (II), as shown is Figure 1; the overlap integral and hence the resonance integral between the $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ lobe and the hybrid AO used to form the adjacent CC 0 bond vanishes ${ }^{4}$ and the nonbonding lobe no longer forms a part of the $\sigma$ conjugative chain. In the inward pyramidalized structure (III), the overlap between the two nonbonding lobes will be neglibgible so that $\sigma$ aromatic stabilization will no longer be possible and the level order will become normal to $n_{+}$below $n_{-}$.

Analogus argument leads us to the $\sigma$ aromaticity of the Hückel type for an $N=$ odd system with a crowded structure having significant overlap between two terminal nonbonding lobes ${ }^{6}$; the $n_{\text {}}$ level will be $a$ aromatic whereas $n$. will be $a$ antiaromatic giving level order of $n_{+}$below $n$.. In this type ( $N=$ odd) of system, however, TSI is in line with the $\sigma$-conjugative (the third kind) orbital interaction, both placing $n_{+}$below $n .{ }^{\text {rc. }} 11$ Hence the change of nonbonding lobes to $s \mathrm{p}^{2}$ type will cause no level order reversal but will orily resuli in decrease in the overall interaction. Our STO-3G calculations on tetramethylene diradical system (IV) in fact showed no level order reversal but only showed a decrease in energy splitting, $\Delta E=e_{-}-e_{\text {. }}$, when we changed the lobes to $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ type (V). ${ }^{12}$ Full details will be reported elsewhere.
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