Dipole Moment Derivatives and Polar Tensors in NH₃

Kwan Kim

Department of Chemistry, College of Natural Sciences, Seoul National University, Seoul 151, Korea (Received January 30, 1985)

The dipole moment derivatives of NH₃ are reexamined based on our recent intensity data. We have resolved the previous contradiction in the proper signs for the dipole moment derivatives of this molecule.

Introduction

Determination of the preferred set of signs for the dipole moment derivatives with respect to the normal coordinates, $\partial P/\partial Q_i$, is the most essential step in the interpretation of vibrational intensity data¹. Accordingly, preferred sets of signs for those derivatives have been determined for almost all of molecules for which complete experimental intensity data are available. However, it is of considerable concern to us that controversal results have been reported for the NH₃ molecule²⁻⁴.

Although integrated IR intensities of amonia have been reported by several authors⁶, all of those measurements were not in satisfactory agreement. In this respect we have remeasured recently the band intensities of this molecule⁶. In this paper we analyze those results.

From the observed intensities a set of dipole moment derivatives has been derived. The magnitudes and signs of the derivatives are compared with the CNDO/2 and ab initio calculated results. In addition the dipole moment derivatives have been transformed into the atomic polar tensors⁷ in order to gain some insight into the chemical bonds of this molecule. Further, the selection of preferred signs for the dipole moment derivatives, besides allowing us to perform a comparative study of the derivatives of ammonia to other molecules, permits us to test the hypothesis of Prasad *et al.*³ that the correct signs correspond to values of the atomic effective charges⁷ which show a maximum difference for the central and terminal atoms.

Method of Calculation

Starting with the experimental measurement, we note that the integrated molar infrared absorption coefficients, A_i , for the *i* th fundamental band is expressed as follows in the rigid rotor-harmonic oscillator approximation⁸,

$$A_{i} = (N_{\pi}d_{i}/3c^{2}) |\partial P/\partial Q_{i}|^{2}.$$
(1)

Here, N is Avogadro's number, d_i is the degeneracy of the *i* th fundamental vibrational mode, *c* is the velocity of light, and $\partial P/\partial Q_i$ is the vector dipole moment derivative with respect to the *i* th normal coordinate. Thus, the absolute values of $\partial P/\partial Q_i$ are obtained from the measured integrated intensities. For molecules with any reasonable symmetry, the direction of $\partial P/\partial Q_i$ is parallel to only one coordinate axis (X, Y, or Z), with either plus or minus sign, designated by the symbol δ_i . Thus

we can write

$$\partial P/\partial Q_{i} = \delta_{i} \left(\partial P_{i} / \partial Q_{j} \right)^{T}.$$
⁽²⁾

Here t is X, Y, or Z in the molecule-fixed Cartesian coordinate system parallel to the principal axes of inertia, and t is the unit vector for that coordinate, with $\delta_t = \pm 1$. In terms of these coordinates, we can write the $3 \times (3N-6)$ matrix of dipole derivatives with respect to the normal coordinates obtained from the experimental intensities. We call this matrix the P_0 matrix.

The normal coordinates are related to the 3N-6 internal symmetry coordinates S_i by⁶

$$S = LQ \text{ or } Q = L^{-1}S. \tag{3}$$

Here S and Q are the column vectors of S, and Q, coordinates, respectively, and L is the $(3N-6) \times (3N-6)$ normal coordinate transformation matrix. Thus, we can write the $3 \times (3N-6)$ matrix of dipole derivatives with respect to symmetry coordinates (P_{4}) as⁹

$$P_s = P_Q L^{-1} \quad \text{or} \quad P_Q = P_s L. \tag{4}$$

We may transform from internal symmetry coordinates S_j to the 3N-6 ordinary internal coordinates R_k by

$$S = UR \text{ or } R = U'S . \tag{5}$$

Here U' is the transpose of U, since this transformation matrix is orthogonal⁹. Thus,

$$P_{\mathbf{A}} = P_{\mathbf{S}} U \text{ or } P_{\mathbf{S}} = P_{\mathbf{A}} U' \tag{6}$$

All of the coordinate transformations above have been between various molecule-fixed coordinate systems. Now let us consider the transformation to a space-fixed Cartesian coordinate system. In considering this transformation, it is necessary now to write explicitly the six "Eckart conditions" (see ref. 8) defining the translations and rotations of the whole molecule. The transformation from the internal coordinates to the 3N ordinary space fixed Cartesian coordinates is given by⁸

$$\left(\frac{R}{\rho}\right) = \left(\frac{B}{\beta}\right)(X). \tag{7}$$

Here R is the $(3N-6) \times 1$ column matrix of the internal coordinates; ρ is the (6×1) column matrix of the Eckart conditions so that $\frac{R}{\rho}$ is the "augmented" $3N \times 1$ column vector; B is a $(3N-6) \times 3N$ transformation matrix whose elements are defined by $B_{ij} = \partial R_i / \partial X_j$; and β is the corresponding $(6 \times 3N)$ matrix relating the ρ matrix to X. Thus the $3 \times 3N$ polar tensor P_x is

$$P_{\mathbf{x}} = P_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{B} + P_{\mathbf{p}} \boldsymbol{\beta} \,, \tag{8}$$

Here we have defined a new tensor P_r , with elements $\partial P_t / \partial \rho_t$. Since a translation of the whole molecule does not change the dipole moment of the molecule, $\partial P_t / \partial \rho_t$ is zero for ρ_1 , ρ_2 , and ρ_3 , the translations along X, Y, or Z; for the three rotations (ρ_4 , ρ_5 , ρ_6) about the principal axes of inertia (X, Y, and Z) we may use the relationship given by Biarge *et al.*¹⁰

In this way we may obtain the so-called "atomic polar tensor", P_x , from the experimental data by transforming from P_Q to P_x using Eqs. (4), (6) and (8) to obtain¹¹

$$P_{\mathbf{X}} \neq P_{\boldsymbol{\rho}} \mathcal{L}^{*} U \mathcal{B} + P_{\boldsymbol{\rho}} \boldsymbol{\beta}, \tag{9}$$

where the explicit implication of P_x for atom α in a molecule is given as the conjugate gradient of the molecular dipole moment vector, P; that is¹¹,

$$P_{X}^{o} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial P_{X}}{\partial X_{a}} & \frac{\partial P_{X}}{\partial Y_{a}} & \frac{\partial P_{X}}{\partial Z_{a}} \\ \frac{\partial P_{y}}{\partial X_{a}} & \frac{\partial P_{y}}{\partial Y_{a}} & \frac{\partial P_{y}}{\partial Z_{a}} \\ \frac{\partial P_{x}}{\partial X_{a}} & \frac{\partial P_{z}}{\partial Y_{a}} & \frac{\partial P_{z}}{\partial Z_{a}} \end{bmatrix}$$
(10)

We note that we may also transform from P_x to P_Q by using the inverse relations. That is the usual way by which infrared intensities can be predicted from the quantum mechanical calculation.

The polar tensor values for NH₃ were calculated by means of Eq. (9). The cartesian coordinate axes, numbering of atoms, and orientation of the NH₃ molecule are shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Coordinate axes and molecular orientation for NH₃ used in normal coordinate calculation.

TABLE 1: Structural Data, and Definition of Internal and Symmetry Coordinates of NH₄

Masses(u)* : $m(^{14}N) =$	= 14.003074	$m(\mathrm{H}) = 1.00782$:5			
Structure [*] : $R_{NH} = 0$.	.10116 nm	α _{HNH} ≠ 1	06.67°			
Dipole Moment ⁴ : 4.9	923 × 10-30 C	m				
Internal Coordinates ⁴	:					
$\mathbf{R}_{1} =$	όr12	$\mathbf{R}_4 = \delta \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{314}$ $\mathbf{R}_5 = \delta \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{314}$				
$R_1 =$	óг ₁₃					
R ₃ =	ór,,,	$\mathbf{R}_6 = \delta \alpha_{113}$				
Symmetry Coordinate	:					
4	$S_1 = (1//$	3) (R ₁ + R ₂ + R ₃)				
A 2	$S_2 = (1/4)$	3) (R4 + R5 + R4)				
c	$S_3 = (1/l)$	$\overline{6}$ (2R ₁ - R ₂ - R ₃)				
E,	$S_{\star} = (1/\sqrt{2})$	$(2R_4 - R_5 - R_6)$				
F	S₅ = (1/√	$(R_2 - R_3)$				
Ε,	$S_{s} = (1/4)$	$(R_s - R_s)$				

* Ref. (12). * Ref. (13). * Ref. (14). * The subscripts refer to the atoms shown in Figure 1; r_{ii} is a $N_i H_i$ bond and a_{ijk} is a $H_i N_j H_k$ angle. The equilibrium structural data, and the definition of the internal and symmetry coordinates are listed in Table 1. The Lmatrix and intensity data for NH₃ are given in Table 2.

Results and Discussion

The experimental infrared intensities are proportional to the square of the dipole moment derivatives with respect to the normal coordinates of the molecule, $\partial P/\partial Q_t$ (see eq. (1)). A principal value of MO calculations in the interpretation of infrared intensity data is the resolution of the sign ambiguity in those derivatives. Prasad *et al.*³ and Bassi *et al.*² reported independently the preferred signs of those derivatives in NH₃. However, since their preferred signs are not in agreement, recalculations are necessary in order to ensure that the sign conventions are consistent throughout the treatment.

The dipole moment derivatives with respect to the symmetry coordinates, $\partial P/\partial S_i$, in NH₃ calculated from the experimental data taken from the previous work⁶ are given in Table 3 as a function of sign choice for the $\partial P/\partial Q_i$. For the species of E symmetry rotational corrections of 0.014 and -0.048 e were applied to the experimental values of $\partial P_x/\partial S_3$ and $\partial P_x/\partial S_4$, respectively. Table 3 contains, in addition, the calculated values from the quantum mechanical calculations, in which rotational correction is not necessary because of rotation-free distortions in a space-fixed coordinated system. A comparison of the signs of the experimental and theoretical derivatives is indeed interesting.

For the A species where two sign combinations need to be considered, Bassi *et al.*² selected the (++) signs, but Prasad *et al.*³ the (-+) signs (we assumed that the definition of Zaxis in Prasad *et al.*'s work is opposite to ours). However, our results in Table 3 clearly suggest that only the (++) choice of

 TABLE 2: Harmonic Force Field, Normal Coordinates, and Integrated

 Intensities in NH₃

Harmonic F	requenc	cies (cm ⁻ ') ° :				
<i>A</i> ,	¥,	3506	Ε	ν_3	3577	
	ν_2	1022		V4	1691	
Harmonic F	orce Co	onstants (Nm ⁻¹)	a, a ;			
Α,	Kn	707.50	E:	K 333	703.80	
	K 12	78.90		K 34	- 17.60	
	K 22	54.44		K.,	68.05	
Normal Coo	rdinate	s (u-1/3) d :				
		1 2.			Q_{2}	
Α,	S,	1.002051			- 0.136272	
•	\$ 2	0.078362			1.169510	
		Q,			Q.	
E:	<u> </u>	1.040823			0.028666	
	S.	0.073823			1.576657	
Intensities (l	Km∙mo	le^') * :				
<i>A</i> ,	νı	6.92	E	V3	2.92	
	ν2	127.2		V.4	24.77	

• Ref. (15). • The angle bending force constants have been weighted by $|\hat{A}^2$ and the stretch-bend interaction force constant by $|\hat{A}$. • The K and L elements for the *E*, block are identical with the *E*, block. • The indices labelling the normal coordinates correspond to the labels identifying the normal frequencies above. • Ref. (6).

TABLE 3: Comparison of Experimental and Quantum Mechanical Calculated Dipole Moment Derivatives with Respect to the Symmetry Coordinates in NH₃(e)*

	-		
	A, symmetry species	.	
	∂P,/∂S₁	<u>ð</u> P ₁ /ðS1	
+ + ^b	0.059	0.316	
	-0.059	- 0.316	
- +	-0.107	0.296	
+ -	0.107	-0.296	
10s6p1d/5s1p/1s*	0.004	0.379	
13s8p2d/8s2p4	0.016	0.379	
6-31G [.]	0.004	0.438	
CND0/2'	0.011	0.303	
	E symmetry species		
	∂P _x /∂S₃	∂P _x /∂S¹	
+ +*	0.018	0.119	
	- 0.046	-0.023	
+ -	0.028	- 0.024	
- +	- 0.056	0.120	
10s6pld/5slp/1se	0.044	0.091	
13s8p2d/8s2p4	0.043	0.100	
6-31G	- 0.022	0.095	
CNDO/2/	- 0.180	0.109	

• Units of electrons, e. • The different sign possibilities for $\partial P/\partial Q_i$. For example, (+-) in the A₁ species means that $\partial P_s/\partial Q_1$, is positive and $\partial P_s/\partial Q_2$ is negative. Correspondingly in the E species, those signs indicate that $\partial P_s/\partial Q_2$ is negative. Correspondingly in the E species, those signs indicate that $\partial P_s/\partial Q_3$ and $\partial P_s/\partial Q_4$ are positive and negative, respectively. \cdot Ref. (16). \cdot Ref. (17). \cdot Calculated in this work by using the GAUSSIAN 70 PROGRAM (see ref. 18). / Ref. (19).

signs is preferable.

Bassi et al.² selected their preferred signs based on the CNDO/2 calculation. Considering the notorious difficulty in quantitative prediction on dipole moment derivatives from approximate semi-empirical quantum mechanical treatment, their sign may be suspect because the small calculated magnitudes in $\partial P_r / \partial S_1$ results from a cancellation of much larger contributions to the dipole moment derivatives arising from equilibrium charge movement and intramolecular charge transfer. Indeed, Prasad et al.³ selected the - sign for $\partial P_t / \partial S_1$ based on their CNDO/2 calculation. However, as shown in Table 3, all of the near Hartree-Fock ab initio calculations predict the + sign so that we choose the + sign for $\partial P_t / \partial S_t$, implying a flow of electronic charge toward the hydrogen atom for an increase in the NH bond length from its equilibrium value. This conclusion is consistent with our general experience that a bond stretching motion, interpreted in terms of the bond moment hypothesis, results in a transfer of negative charge to the terminal atoms, appearing to be independent of types of atoms involved in the bond. Fortunately, the calculated value of $\partial P_t / \partial S_2$, which is in excellent agreement with the possible experimental values, do not suffer from these uncertainties. Therefore, we assign unequivocally the (+ +) set of signs for the A species of NH₃.

For the E symmetry species, the calculated values for the $\partial P_x/\partial S_3$ and $\partial P_z/\partial S_4$ are in good agreement with the experimental values of the (+ +) and (- +) sign choices. Of these

two alternatives the (-+) choice leads to a negative sign for $\partial P_x / \partial S_3$ in agreement with the CNDO/2 and *ab initio* (6-31G) calculated signs. However, the (++) choice is in good agreement with the sign set from the more reliable near Hartree-Fock ab initio calculations. Based on the CNDO/2 estimates, Bassi *et al.*² selected the (-+) sign set. On the other hand Prasad *et al.* chose the (++) set of signs based on their unjustifiable criterion. It appeare from Table 3 that the derivatives with respect to the bending modes are only slightly sensitive to considerable changes in the basis set whereas the stretching derivatives are strongly dependent on the size and structure of the basis set. However, it seems to be that the basis set dependency of the dipole moment derivatives strongly decreases as the basis set gets close to near Hartree-Fock wave functions.

Although the (+ + + +) set of signs seems to be more preferable based on the extended ab initio calculations, we have calculated the atomic polar tensors of NH₃ for both possible sets of signs, (+ + + +) and (+ + - +). Here, (+ + + +)means that all of the $\partial P/\partial Q_i$'s are positive. Correspondingly, (+ + - +) indicates that $\partial P/\partial Q_3$ is negative and that other $\partial P/\partial Q_i$'s are positive. The results are represented in Table 4, which also includes the theoretical estimates.

The *ab* initio results in Table 4 show a reasonable correspondence with the experimental values obtained from the (+ + + +) set of signs, whereas the CNDO/2 results lead to quite different values from both sets of signs, as might be expected from the derivative values of Table 3. This clearly illustrates the usefulness of large basis set ab initio calculations to support the interpretation of IR intensity data.

The preferance of the (+ + + +) set of signs may be confirmed by the comparison of the predicted intensities of ND₃ with the experimental values. By using the atomic polar tensors of NH₃ we have calculated the expected intensities of ND₃ for both sets of signs in Table 4. For the (+ + + +) set of signs, the predicted intensities are 2.1, 74, 3.8, and 15 km/mole for the v_1 , v_2 , v_3 , and v_4 modes of ND₃, respectively. On the other hand, the (+ + - +) set of signs results in the values of 2.1, 74, 0.34, and 16 km/mole for the v_1 , v_2 , v_3 , and v_4 modes, respectively. Koops *et al.*⁴ reported the intensities of ND₃. Their values are 2.1, 76, 5.0 and 16.6 km/mole for the v_1 , v_2 , v_3 , v_4 modes of ND₃, respectively. Comparing these experimental results with above estimates, the (+ + + +) set of signs is believed to be the appropriate choice in NH₃ for the dipole moment derivatives with respect to the normal coordinates.

The polar tensors for the hydrogen atom in the XZ plane of Figure 1 show off-diagonal elements as large or larger than the diagonal elements. This observation indicates that in NH₃ the charge flux contribution resulting from the rehybridization during vibration is as significant as the effect from the point charge displacement. This may occur from the nitrogen nonbonded electron pair. Such an influence of the lone pair of ammonia on the infrared intensity values has long been recognized^{21.22}.

It has been well known that the hydrogen atom polar tensors are transferable among various hydrocarbons¹. In this respect it may be interesting to compare the polar tensor elements of hydrogen atom in NH₃ with those in typical hydrocarbons like CH₄. Let's define the molecular geometry of CH₄ similarly to that of NH₃ in Figure 1 except that the fourth

		P ,*			P, H(2)			Px ^{H(3)}	
+ + + + *	(-0.316 0	0 -0.316 0	0 0 	(0.070 0 0 106	0 0.140 0	0.133	0.123	0.031 0.088 0.092	~ 0.066 0.115 0.170
+ + - +*	(-0.233 0 0	0 -0.233 0	0 0 -0.509	0.013	0 0.142 0	0.155	0.110	0.056 0.046 0.092	-0.078 0.135 0.170
10s6p1d/5s1p/1s*	0 0	0 -0.359 0	0 0 -0.655	0.076	0 0.164 0	0.121 0 0.218	0.142	0.038 0.098 0.079	- 0.061 0.105 0.218
13s8p2d/8s2p ^e	(-0.370 0 0	0 -0.370 0	0 0 -0.646	(0.072 0 0.098	0 0.175 0	0.118 0 0.215	0.149 0.045 -0.049	0.045 0.098 0.085	-0.059 0.102 0.215
6-31G•	(-0.389 0 0	0 - 0.389 0	0 0 -0.755	. { 0.058 0 0.105	0 0.201 0	0.237 0 0.252	0.165 0.062 - 0.053	0.062 0.094 0.091	-0.119 0.206 0.252
CNDO/2 ^e	$ \left(\begin{array}{c} -0.133\\ 0\\ 0 \end{array}\right) $	0 -0.133 0	0 0 - 518	(-0.097 0 0.077	0 0.185 0	0.183 0 0.173	0.115 0.122 -0.039	0.122 - 0.026 0.067	-0.091 0.158 0.173
CH₄				(-0.115 0 0.063	0 0.064 0	0.063 0 0.041			

TABLE 4: Comparison of Experimental and Theoretical Atomic Polar Tensors of NH, in Units of e.*

* See eq. (10) and fig. 1; * See text and note b in Table 3; * Calculated from the data in Table 3; * Taken from ref. (20).

hydrogen atom in CH4 is located on the positive Z-axis. Then, the polar tensor for the hydrogen atom in CH4, corresponding to the H(2) of NH_3 in Figure 1, can be represented as shown in Table 4. It can be noticed from Table 4 that the elements of hydrogen atom polar tensors in NH3 and CH4 are much different from each other in their absolute values. Noticeably, the signs of the XX elements are opposite. The environments of both hydrogen atoms are almost the same except that N atom in NH₃, which is directly (simply in single bond) bonded to the terminal hydrogen atoms, has one more electron (also one more proton) than C in CH₄ so that there are more flexible electrons (i.e, non-bonded electron pair) around N atom. It seems to be remarkable that small change of intra-molecular environment results in such a large effect in the electronic charge redistribution during vibration. Such an observation may be correlated with the previous findings that HCN behaves exceptionally to other hydrocarbons23. The NH bond in NH3 is, however, more covalent than the CH bond in HCN. This can be confirmed from the value of atom anisotropy relative to effective charge (see ref. (1) for the definitions of effective charge and atom anisotropy). The relative value for NH₃ obtained from Table 4 is 0.64, which is close to that of $CH_4(\sim 1)$ rather than that of HCN(~ 0).²⁴ More ionic hydrogen should have value near to 0. Once again, the lone pair of N in NH₃ may reflect the difference in the above relative values between NH₃ and CH₄.

In this work, Prasad *et al.*'s criterion³ for the selection of preferred signs for the dipole moment derivatives was found not to be valid for NH₃. According to them the difference in the effective charges for the central atom and the sum of these values for the terminal atoms is maximum for the preferred sign combination. However, it has been noticed in the course of this work that several sign combinations other than the our preferred (+ + + +) choice of NH₃ yielded larger values in those dif-

ferences. Since we do not believe there is any physical reason to prefer Prasad *et al.*'s criterion, we suggest once again that such a criterion be abandoned²³.

In conclusion, we have resolved the previous contradiction in the proper signs for the dipole moment derivatives of NH_3 . When the sign conventions are consistent, the vlaues of $\partial P/\partial S_j$ or of polar tensor elements calculated by the ab initio method are in good agreement with experiment. It has been shown, in addition, that the proper signs of a molecule should result in the reliable prediction of vibrational intensities of its isotopically substituted ones. We believe that results in this work support the precise measurement of IR intensities of NH₃ in our recent work⁶. Finally, it may be prudent to mention that we need to study more nitrogen compounds in order to understand further the nature of lone pair electrons in the interpretation of vibrational intensity data.

Acknowledgement. Financial support from the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- W. B. Person and G. Zerbi, eds., "Vibrational Intensities in Infrared and Raman Spectroscopy" (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1982).
- (2) A. B. M. S. Bassi and R. E. Bruns, J. Phys. Chem., 80, 2768 (1976).
- (3) P. L. Prasad and S. Singh, J. Chem. Phys. 66, 1621 (1977).
- (4) Th. Koops, T. Visser, and W. M. A. Smit, J. Mol. Struct., 96, 203 (1983).
- (5) See the references cited in ref. 6.
- (6) K. Kim, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radia. Transfer, in press
- (7) For more detailed properties of these parameters, see ref. 1, chaps. 4 and 6.
- (8) E. B. Wilson, Jr., J. C. Decius, and P. C. Cross, "Molecular

162 Bulletin of Korean Chemical Society, Vol. 6, No. 3, 1985

Sung Bin Han, Dae Dong Sung and Ikchoon Lee

Vibrations" (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1955).

(9) This Eq. (4) is just the matrix expression of the chain rule for partial differentiation:

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial S_i} = \sum_{i} \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial Q_i} \right) \left(\frac{\partial Q_i}{\partial S_j} \right)$$

- J. F. Biarge, J. Herranz, and J. Morcillo, Ann. R. Soc. Espan.
 Fis. Quim. (Madrid) A57, 81 (1961).
- (11) W. B. Person and J. H. Newton, J. Chem. Phys. 61, 1040 (1974).
- (12) E. U. Condon and H. Odishaw, eds., "Handbook of Physics" (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1967).
- (13) L. E. Sutton, Ed., "Interatomic Distances" (The Chemical Society, London, 1958).
- (14) E. A. Cohen and R. L. Poynter, J. Mol. Spectrosc., 53, 131 (1974).
- (15) J. L. Duncan and I. M. Mills, Spectrochim. Acta, 20, 523 (1964).

- (16) P. Pulay and W. Meyer, J. Chem. Phys., 57, 3337 (1972).
 (17) W. M. A. Smit and T. van Dam, J. Chem. Phys., 72, 3658
- (1980).
- (18) W. J. Hehre, W. A. Latham, R. Ditchfield, M. D. Newton, and J. A. Pople, *Quantum Chem. Program Exchange*, *QCPE*, **10**, 236 (1974).
- (19) R. E. Bruns, A. B. M. S. Bassi, and P. M. Kuznesof, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 98, 3432 (1976).
- (20) J. H. Newton and W. B. Person, J. Phys. Chem., 82, 226 (1978).
- (21) D. F. Hornig and D. C. Mckean, J. Phys. Chem., 59, 1133 (1955).
- (22) D. C. Mckean and P. N. Schatz, J. Chem. Phys., 24, 316 (1956).
- (23) K. Kim and W. T. King, J. Chem. Phys., 71, 1967 (1979).
- (24) K. Kim and W. T. King, J. Chem. Phys., 80, 983 (1984).
- (25) K. Kim, J. Phys. Chem., 88, 2394 (1984).

Nucleophilic Substitution Reaction of Dansyl Chloride with Substituted Anilines¹

Sung Bin Han

Department of Science Education, Cheju University, Cheju 590, Korea

Dae Dong Sung

Department of Chemistry, Dong-A University, Pusan 600-02, Korea

Ikchoon Lee

Department of Chemistry, Inha University, Inchon 160 (Received January 30, 1985)

Nucleophilic substitution reaction of dansyl chloride with anilines in various solvents have been investigated. The Brønsted β and Hammett ρ_N values indicated that the bond formation is advanced more than the bond breaking at the transition state. Solvatochromic correlations also predicted the importance of bond formation at the TS, showing a greater contribution of polarity (π^*) compared to hydrogen bond donor acidity (α). The effect of solvent on rate was found to violate the reactivity-selectivity principle.

The nucleophilic substitution at a sulfur atom has been a subject of long-standing interest for organic chemists. Studies on sulfonyl halides in particular have led to propose two types of mechanisms, S_n2^2 and S_AN ,³ for this reaction, the former being preferred lateyl. 5-Dimethylaminonaphthalene-1-sulfonyl chloride (dansyl chloride) (I) is an important compound in determination of amino-terminal amino acid, since it can be advantageously used when only a small quantity of a polypeptide is available for analysis.⁴ In this type of reaction, NH₂ groups in a polypeptide displace chloride at the sulfur atom of dansyl chloride.

As an extension of our work on the nucleophilic substitution reaction at sulfur center,¹ we carried out kinetic studies on the reaction of dansyl chloride with substituted anilines (1) in various solvents.



Experimental

Materials. Dansyl chloride and para-substituted anilines were obtained from Tokyo Kasei and used after purification by the