

## A NOTE ON WITT RINGS OF 2-FOLD FULL RINGS

IN-HO CHO AND JAE-GYEOM KIM

### 1. Introduction

D.K. Harrison [5] has shown that if  $R$  and  $S$  are fields of characteristic different from 2, then two Witt rings  $W(R)$  and  $W(S)$  are isomorphic if and only if  $W(R)/I(R)^3$  and  $W(S)/I(S)^3$  are isomorphic where  $I(R)$  and  $I(S)$  denote the fundamental ideals of  $W(R)$  and  $W(S)$  respectively. In [1], J.K. Arason and A. Pfister proved a corresponding result when the characteristics of  $R$  and  $S$  are 2, and, in [9], K.I. Mandelberg proved the result when  $R$  and  $S$  are commutative semi-local rings having 2 a unit. In this paper, we prove the result when  $R$  and  $S$  are 2-fold full rings.

Throughout this paper, unless otherwise specified, we assume that  $R$  is a commutative ring having 2 a unit. A quadratic space  $(V, B, \phi)$  over  $R$  is a finitely generated projective  $R$ -module  $V$  with a symmetric bilinear mapping  $B: V \times V \rightarrow R$  which is nondegenerate (i.e., the natural mapping  $V \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(V, R)$  induced by  $B$  is an isomorphism), and with a quadratic mapping  $\phi: V \rightarrow R$  such that  $B(x, y) = (\phi(x+y) - \phi(x) - \phi(y))/2$  and  $\phi(rx) = r^2\phi(x)$  for all  $x, y$  in  $V$  and  $r$  in  $R$ . We denote the group of multiplicative units of  $R$  by  $U(R)$ . If  $(V, B, \phi)$  is a free rank  $n$  quadratic space over  $R$  with an orthogonal basis  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ , we will write  $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$  for  $(V, B, \phi)$  where the  $a_i = \phi(x_i)$  are in  $U(R)$ , and denote the space by the table  $[a_{ij}]$  where  $a_{ij} = B(x_i, x_j)$ . In the case  $n=2$  and  $B(x_1, x_2) = 1/2$ , we reserve the notation  $[a_{11}, a_{22}]$  for the space.

### 2. The quotients $I(R)/I(R)^2$ and $U(R)/U(R)^2$

Let  $G$  be a multiplicative abelian group of exponent 2 with identity  $e$ . We will write  $\mathbf{Z}[G]$  for its group ring and  $\{g\}$  for the image in  $\mathbf{Z}[G]$  of an element  $g$  of  $G$ . Let  $M$  be the kernel of the ring homomorphism  $\mathbf{Z}[G] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$  defined by sending each group element to 1 and reducing mod  $2\mathbf{Z}$ . Then  $M$  consists of elements of the form  $\sum n_i \{g_i\}$  with  $\sum n_i$  even, and is generated additively by the elements  $\{e\} + \{g\}$ . We define  $d_g: M \rightarrow G$  by

$$\sum n_i \{a_i\} \mapsto (\prod a_i^{n_i}) \cdot g^{\sum n_i/2}$$

where  $g \in G$ . It is clear that  $d_g$  is a group homomorphism. If  $K$  is an ideal of  $\mathbf{Z}[G]$  contained in  $M$  we will write  $\overline{M}$  for the ideals  $M/K$  in  $\mathbf{Z}[G]/K$ .

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $K$  be an ideal of  $\mathbf{Z}[G]$  contained in  $M$  with  $\{e\} + \{g\}$  in  $K$  and*

$d_g(K) = e$ . Then  $d_g$  induces an isomorphism  $\overline{M}/\overline{M}^2 \rightarrow G$  of groups with the inverse isomorphism is given by  $b \rightarrow \text{cl}(\{e\} + \{bg\})$  where  $\text{cl}$  denotes the canonical map  $M \rightarrow \overline{M} \rightarrow \overline{M}/\overline{M}^2$ .

*Proof.* It is just [9, Lemma 3.1, p.524].

A commutative ring  $R$  having 2 a unit is called  $n$ -fold full [7, p.149] if for every  $n \times 3$  matrix  $A = [a_{ij}]$  with unimodular rows there is an element  $w$  in  $R$  such that

$$A \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ w \\ w^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ v_n \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  are units. Thus an  $n$ -fold full ring is  $k$ -fold full for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ .

We now specialize to the case where  $G$  is the group  $U(R)/U(R)^2$  for an 1-fold full ring  $R$ . A cap will be used to indicate reduction mod  $U(R)^2$

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $R$  be an 1-fold full ring. Then there is an abelian group isomorphism from  $I(R)/I(R)^2$  onto  $U(R)/U(R)^2$  which is given by  $[\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle] + I(R)^2 \rightarrow (\prod \hat{a}_i) \cdot (-\hat{1})^n$  with inverse  $\hat{a} \rightarrow [\langle 1, -a \rangle] + I(R)^2$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G = U(R)/U(R)^2$ , then the ring homomorphism which takes  $\{\hat{a}\} \rightarrow [\langle a \rangle]$  is a surjection of  $\mathbf{Z}[G]$  onto  $W(R)$  [7, p.151] whose kernel we denote by  $K$ . The ideal  $K$  is generated by  $\{\hat{1}\} + \{-\hat{1}\}$  and the elements of the form  $\sum_{i=1}^m (\{\hat{a}_i\} - \{\hat{b}_i\})$  with  $\langle a_1, \dots, a_m \rangle \simeq \langle b_1, \dots, b_m \rangle$  [7, Proposition III.2, p.152]. We now wish to apply Lemma 2.1 to  $W(R) \simeq \mathbf{Z}[G]/K$ , with  $g = -\hat{1}$ . Certainly  $\{\hat{1}\} + \{g\} = \{\hat{1}\} + \{-\hat{1}\}$  is in  $M$ . Furthermore,

$$d_{\hat{1}}(\sum_{i=1}^m (\{\hat{a}_i\} - \{\hat{b}_i\})) = (\prod_{i=1}^m \hat{a}_i) \cdot (\prod_{i=1}^m \hat{b}_i)^{-1} = \hat{1}.$$

Thus  $K$  is contained in  $M$ . Hence Lemma 2.1 applies and  $\overline{M}/\overline{M}^2$  is isomorphic to  $G$ . The result now follows by composing the explicit isomorphism of Lemma 2.1 with the induced isomorphism of  $\overline{M}/\overline{M}^2 \rightarrow I(R)/I(R)^2$  given by

$$\text{cl}(\sum n_i \{g_i\}) \mapsto (\sum n_i [\langle g_i \rangle]) + I(R)^2.$$

### 3. The Clifford algebra

Let  $Q_2(R)$  be the set of isomorphism classes of  $(\mathbf{Z}_2-)$  graded separable  $R$ -algebras which are projective  $R$ -modules of rank two. Let  $L$  be an abelian group homomorphism from Brauer-Wall group  $BW(R)$  of  $R$  onto  $Q_2(R)$  given by  $L(A) = \text{class } A^A$  [11, Theorem 7.10, p.490]. When  $A$  is a graded algebra we will write  $|A|$  for the algebra considered as an ungraded algebra.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let  $A$  be a free rank 4 central separable algebra over a commutative ring  $R$  and  $S$  a commutative  $R$ -algebra. If  $f$  is an  $S$ -algebra isomorphism of  $A \otimes S$  to  $M_2(S)$  we will write  $N(f, S)$  for the map  $A \rightarrow S$  defined by  $N(f, S)(a) = \text{determinant}(f(a \otimes 1))$ .*

Then:

(1) *The image of  $N(f, S)$  lies in  $R$  and does not depend on the choice of  $f$  and  $S$ .*

(2)  *$N(f, S)$  defines a quadratic form on  $A$ .*

*Proof.* (1) is just [4, Proposition 3.1, p.237], and (2) follows by a routine calculation with  $2 \times 2$  matrices.

Under the hypotheses of the Lemma, we will write  $N: A \rightarrow R$  for the quadratic form  $N(f, S)$ , and refer to it as the reduced norm.

COROLLARY 3.2. *Let  $[a, b]$  be a non-degenerate quadratic space over  $R$ . Then the reduced norm makes  $|\text{Cliff}([a, b])|$  into a quadratic space isometric to  $[-a, -b] \perp [1, ab]$ . If  $R$  is 1-fold full and  $\text{Cliff}([a, b]) = \text{Cliff}([c, d])$  in  $\text{BW}(R)$ , it follows that  $[-a, -b] \perp [1, ab] \cong [-c, -d] \perp [1, cd]$ .*

*Proof.* By [6, Lemma 2.1 (iii)],  $\text{Cliff}([a, b])$  is the rank 4  $R$ -algebra  $C = R \oplus Rx \oplus Ry \oplus Rxy$  with  $C_0 = R \oplus Rxy$ ,  $C_1 = Rx \oplus Ry$ ,  $x^2 = a$ ,  $y^2 = b$ ,  $xy + yx = 1$ , and  $C^{C_0}$  is the rank 2 subalgebra  $R \oplus Rxy$ . But then,  $(xy)^2 = x(yx)y = x(1 - xy)y = xy - ab$ , hence the free rank 2  $R$ -algebra  $S = R \oplus Rz$  with  $z^2 = z - ab$  is Galois [11, Corollary 7.4, p.487].

We now define an  $R$ -module homomorphism  $f: C \rightarrow M_2(S)$  by letting

$$f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad f(y) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & z \\ (1-z)/a & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad f(1) = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad f(xy) = \begin{bmatrix} 1-z & 0 \\ 0 & z \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then  $f$  is an  $R$ -algebra homomorphism just by checking the identities

$$f(xy) = f(x)f(y), \quad f(x)^2 = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{bmatrix}, \quad f(y)^2 = \begin{bmatrix} b & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$f(x)f(y) + f(y)f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now let  $f^*: C \otimes S \rightarrow M_2(S)$  be the  $S$ -algebra homomorphism induced by  $f$ . Since  $C \otimes S$  and  $M_2(S)$  are free central separable  $S$ -algebras of the same rank by [2, Corollary 3.4, p.376],  $f^*$  is an isomorphism. Now by directly computing the norm of Lemma 3.1 (1) on the  $R$ -basis  $\{x, y, 1, xy\}$  we get the quadratic space  $[-a, -b] \perp [1, ab]$ .

The final conclusion now follows from [9, Lemma 2.3, p.518] and [6, Lemma 1.1] together with the uniqueness in Lemma 3.1.

THEOREM 3.3. *Let  $(M, B, \phi)$  and  $(N, B', \phi')$  be free quadratic spaces of rank 2 over an 1-fold full ring  $R$ . Then  $M$  is isometric to  $N$  if and only if  $\text{Cliff}(M) = \text{Cliff}(N)$  in  $\text{BW}(R)$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly, only the sufficiency need be proved. By [6, Lemma 1.1], we may assume  $(M, B, \phi) = [a, b]$  and  $(N, B', \phi') = [c, d]$ .

As noted in the proof of Corollary 3.2 above,  $L(\text{Cliff}M)$  is represented by the free rank 2  $R$ -algebra  $S = R \oplus Rz$  with  $z^2 = z - ab$ , which is a Galois extension of  $R$  with a 2 element Galois group by [11, Corollary 7.4, p.487]. Then, since  $(1-z)^2 = (1-z) - ab$ ,  $S$  has a unique non-trivial automorphism  $j$  with  $j(z) = 1-z$ . Then defining  $\lambda(w) = wj(w)$  for any  $w$  in  $S$  we define an  $S^j = R$  valued quadratic form  $\lambda$  on  $S$ . Computing  $\lambda$  on the basis  $\{1, z\}$  we see this form is isometric to  $[1, ab]$ . Therefore, since  $L(\text{Cliff}M) = L(\text{Cliff}N)$ , we have  $[1, ab] \simeq [1, cd]$ . Then, by Corollary 3.2 and [10, Theorem 4.3, p.545],  $[-a, -b] \simeq [-c, -d]$ . It then follows that  $M \simeq N$ .

**COROLLARY 3.4.** *Let  $R$  be an 1-fold full ring. Then  $\text{Cliff}(I(R)^3) = 1$  in  $\text{BW}(R)$ . If  $(M, B, \phi)$  and  $(N, B', \phi')$  are free quadratic spaces of rank 2 over  $R$  with  $M = N$  in  $W(R)/I(R)^3$ , then  $M \simeq N$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $I(R)$  is generated additively by the forms  $\langle 1, a \rangle$ ,  $I(R)^3$  must be generated additively by the forms  $\langle 1, a \rangle \langle 1, b \rangle \langle 1, c \rangle$ . But

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cliff}(\langle 1, a \rangle \langle 1, b \rangle \langle 1, c \rangle) &= \text{Cliff}(\langle 1, a, b, ab \rangle) \cdot \text{Cliff}(\langle c, ac, bc, abc \rangle) \\ &= \text{Cliff}(\langle 1, a, b, ab \rangle) \cdot \text{Cliff}(\langle 2 \cdot c/2, \\ &\quad 2 \cdot ac/2, 2 \cdot bc/2, 2 \cdot abc/2 \rangle) \\ &= \left[ \left( \frac{-a, -b}{R} \right) \right]^2 \\ &= 1 \text{ in } \text{BW}(R), \end{aligned}$$

by [3, Lemma 3.1] and [9, Lemma 2.9, p.521]. Thus  $\text{Cliff}(I(R)^3) = 1$  in  $\text{BW}(R)$ . The last assertion now follows from Theorem 3.3.

**4. Main theorem**

From now on we will write  $d$  for  $d_{\triangleleft}$  and any of its induced maps.

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Let  $R$  and  $S$  be 2-fold full rings. Then  $W(R)/I(R)^3$  is isomorphic to  $W(S)/I(S)^3$  if and only if  $W(R)$  is isomorphic to  $W(S)$ .*

*Proof.* The sufficiency is obvious, since  $I(R)$  and  $I(S)$  are the unique prime ideals of  $W(R)$  and  $W(S)$  containing 2 [7, p.156].

Now let  $f$  be a ring isomorphism of  $W(R)/I(R)^3$  onto  $W(S)/I(S)^3$ . By the characterization of  $I(R)$  and  $I(S)$  mentioned above,  $f$  induces an isomorphism of  $I(R)/I(R)^3$  onto  $I(S)/I(S)^3$  and consequently an isomorphism of  $I(R)/I(R)^2$  onto  $I(S)/I(S)^2$ . Then by Theorem 2.2 we get an isomorphism  $f' : U(R)/U(R)^2 \rightarrow I(R)/I(R)^2 \rightarrow I(S)/I(S)^2 \rightarrow U(S)/U(S)^2$ , with

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{a} &\mapsto [\langle 1, -a \rangle] + I(R)^2 \mapsto f([\langle 1, -a \rangle] + I(R)^3) + I(S)^2 \\ &\mapsto d(f([\langle 1, -a \rangle] + I(R)^3)). \end{aligned}$$

Here if we write  $G_1$  for  $U(R)/U(R)^2$  and  $G_2$  for  $U(S)/U(S)^2$ , then  $f'$  induces a ring isomorphism of  $\mathbf{Z}[G_1] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[G_2]$ . Now, by [7, p.156] this induces a

ring homomorphism  $f^*: W(R) \rightarrow W(S)$ , if we can show  $\langle f'(\hat{1}), f'(\widehat{-1}) \rangle \simeq \langle \hat{1}, \widehat{-1} \rangle$  and  $\langle f'(\hat{a}), f'(\hat{b}) \rangle \simeq \langle f'(\hat{c}), f'(\hat{d}) \rangle$  when  $\langle a, b \rangle \simeq \langle c, d \rangle$ . In fact, this actually proves  $f^*$  is an isomorphism, since the same argument applied to  $(f^*)^{-1}$  produces  $(f^*)^{-1}$ .

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} f'(\widehat{-1}) &= d(f([\langle 1, 1 \rangle] + I(R)^3)) \\ &= d(f([\langle 1 \rangle] + I(R)^3) + f'([\langle 1 \rangle] + I(R)^3)) \\ &= d([\langle 1 \rangle] + I(S)^3 + [\langle 1 \rangle] + I(S)^3) \\ &= d([\langle 1, 1 \rangle] + I(S)^3) \\ &= \widehat{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the first assertion is proved.

Let  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  be in  $I(R)$ . Then we may write  $f(x_i + I(R)^3) = y_i + I(S)^3$ , where each  $y_i$ ,  $i=1, 2$ , is in  $I(S)$ . If we write  $\hat{c}_i = d(y_i)$ , then  $\langle 1, -c_i \rangle + I(S)^2 = y_i + I(S)^2$  since both sides have the same image under  $d: I(S)/I(S)^2 \rightarrow U(S)/U(S)^2$ . Therefore we can write  $y_i = [\langle 1, -c_i \rangle] + z_i$  for some  $z_i$  in  $I(S)^2$ . Now,

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1 \cdot x_2 + I(R)^3) &= f(x_1 + I(R)^3) \cdot f(x_2 + I(R)^3) \\ &= (y_1 + I(S)^3) \cdot (y_2 + I(S)^3) \\ &= ([\langle 1, -c_1 \rangle] + z_1 + I(S)^3) \cdot ([\langle 1, -c_2 \rangle] + z_2 + I(S)^3) \\ &= [\langle 1, -c_1 \rangle] \cdot [\langle 1, -c_2 \rangle] + I(S)^3. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we substitute  $x_1 = [\langle 1, -a \rangle]$  and  $x_2 = [\langle 1, -b \rangle]$  into this last formular. Then  $f([\langle 1, -a \rangle] \cdot [\langle 1, -b \rangle] + I(R)^3) = [\langle 1, -f'(\hat{a}) \rangle] \cdot [\langle 1, -f'(\hat{b}) \rangle] + I(S)^3$ , since  $\hat{c}_1 = f'(\hat{a})$  and  $\hat{c}_2 = f'(\hat{b})$  by the definition of  $f'$ . But  $\langle a, b \rangle \simeq \langle c, d \rangle$  implies

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 1, -a \rangle \langle 1, -b \rangle &= \langle 1, ab \rangle \perp \langle -a, -b \rangle \\ &= \langle 1, cd \rangle \perp \langle -c, -d \rangle \\ &= \langle 1, -c \rangle \perp \langle 1, -d \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &[\langle 1, f'(\hat{a})f'(\hat{b}) \rangle] + [\langle -f'(\hat{a}), -f'(\hat{b}) \rangle] \\ &\equiv [\langle 1, -f'(\hat{a}) \rangle] \cdot [\langle 1, -f'(\hat{b}) \rangle] \quad \text{mod } I(S)^3 \\ &\equiv [\langle 1, -f'(\hat{c}) \rangle] \cdot [\langle 1, -f'(\hat{d}) \rangle] \quad \text{mod } I(S)^3 \\ &\equiv [\langle 1, f'(\hat{c})f'(\hat{d}) \rangle] + [\langle -f'(\hat{c}), -f'(\hat{d}) \rangle] \quad \text{mod } I(S)^3. \end{aligned}$$

And this congruence becomes  $[\langle f'(\hat{a}), f'(\hat{b}) \rangle] \equiv [\langle f'(\hat{c}), f'(\hat{d}) \rangle] \pmod{I(S)^3}$ . Then, by Corollary 3.4,  $\langle f'(a), f'(b) \rangle \simeq \langle f'(c), f'(d) \rangle$  which is the required conclusion.

### References

1. J.K. Arason and A. Pfister, *Beweis des Krullischen Durchschnittssatzes für den Witttring*, Invent. Math. **12** (1971), 173-176.
2. M. Auslander and O. Goldman, *The Brauer group of a commutative ring*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **97** (1960), 367-409.

3. H. Bass, *Lectures on topics in algebraic K-theory*, Tata Institute, 1967.
4. S. Endo and Y. Watanabe, *On separable algebras over a commutative ring*, Osaka J. Math. **4** (1969), 233-242.
5. D.K. Harrison, *Witt rings*, Lecture Notes, University of Kentucky, Lexington, 1970.
6. J.G. Kim, *Classification of Clifford algebras of free quadratic spaces over full rings*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **22**, No.1 (1985), 11-15.
7. B. Kirkwood and B.R. McDonald, *The Witt ring of a full ring*, J. Algebra **64** (1980), 148-166.
8. M. Knebusch, A. Rosenberg, and R. Ware, *Structure of Witt rings and quotients of abelian group rings*, Amer. J. Math. **94** (1972), 119-155.
9. K.I. Mandelberg, *A note on quadratic forms over arbitrary semi-local rings*, Can. J. Math. **27** (1975), 513-527.
10. B.R. McDonald and B. Hershberger (Kirkwood), *The orthogonal group over a full ring*, J. Algebra **51** (1978), 536-549.
11. C. Small, *The Brauer-Wall group of a commutative ring*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **156** (1971), 435-491.

Korea University  
Seoul 132, Korea