# A NOTE ON A ONE-PARAMETER ADDITIVE FAMILY OF OPERATORS DEFINED ON ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

### SHIGEYOSHI OWA

## 1. Introduction

Let 3 denote the class of functions of the form

$$(1.1) f(z) = z + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

which are analytic in the unit disk  $\mathcal{U} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$  and  $\sigma$  be a probability measure supported by the closed interval [0, 1]. Further let L denote the linear integral transformation

(1.2) 
$$Lf(z) = \int_0^1 \frac{f(zt)}{t} d\sigma(t).$$

Since it is evident that  $f(z) \in \mathcal{F}$  implies  $Lf(z) \in \mathcal{F}$ , we can apply the operator L successively for obtaining

(1,3) 
$$L^{n}f(z) = LL^{(n-1)}f(z)$$
  $(n \in \mathcal{H} = \{1, 2, 3...\})$ 

with  $L^0f(z) = f(z)$ .

Recently Komatu [2] interpolated the sequence  $\{L^n\}$  into a family  $\{L^n\}$  depending on a continuous parameter  $\lambda \ge 0$  such that the condition of additivity

$$(1.4) L^{\lambda}L^{\mu}=L^{\lambda+\mu}$$

is satisfied and showed the series expansion of  $L^{\lambda}f(z)$  applied to  $f(z) \in \mathcal{F}$  is obtained in the form

(1.5) 
$$L^{\lambda}f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^{\lambda}} z^n$$

in case of  $\sigma(t) = t$ .

Let  $\circlearrowleft$  denote the class of functions defined by (1,1) which are analytic and univalent in the unit disk  $\mathscr{U}$ . A function  $f(z) \in \circlearrowleft$  is said to be starlike with respect to the origin in the unit disk  $\mathscr{U}$  if, and only if,

Received December 29, 1983.

(1.6) 
$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right\} > 0 \qquad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

The concept of order for a starlike function has been introduced by Holland and Thomas [1], Libera [3], Padmanabhan [6] and Robertson [7]. According to Padmanabhan [6], a function  $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}$  is said to be starlike of order  $\alpha$  ( $0 < \alpha \le 1$ ) in the unit disk  $\mathcal{U}$  if

$$\left| \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right) / \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + 1 \right) \right| < \alpha \qquad (z \in \mathcal{U})$$

for some  $\alpha$  (0< $\alpha \le 1$ ). We denote the class of such functions by  $\Im(\alpha)$ . For the class  $\Im(\alpha)$ , Padmanabhan [6] has showed representation formula, distortion theorems and the radius of convexity, Mogra [4] has obtained a coefficient theorem and a sufficient condition and Owa [5] have showed some distortion theorems for  $F_p(z)$  defined by Hadamard product of functions in  $\Im(\alpha)$ .

## 2. Komatu's conjectures

Let  $\mathcal{S}^*$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  denote the classes of functions f(z) defined by (1.1) analytic and starlike with respect to the origin in the unit disk  $\mathcal{U}$  and analytic and convex in the unit disk  $\mathcal{U}$ , respectively.

Recently Komatu [2] gave the following conjectures for these classes.

CONJECTURE 1. If f(z) is in the class d, then  $L^{\lambda}f(z) \in d$  at least for  $\lambda \ge 1$ .

CONJECTURE 2. If f(z) is in the class  $\mathcal{K}$  (or, more generally,  $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*$ ), then  $L^{\lambda}f(z) \in \mathcal{K}$  at least for  $\lambda \geq 1$ .

In this section, we consider the Komatu's conjectures for the class  $\Im(\alpha)$ . We need the following lemmas by Mogra [4].

LEMMA 1. If the function f(z) defined by (1,1) is in the class  $\Im(\alpha)$  for some  $\alpha$   $(0 \le \alpha \le 1)$ , then for  $\alpha = 1$ 

$$|a_n| \leq n \qquad (n \geq 2)$$

while, for  $0 < \alpha < 1$ .

$$(2.2) |a_n| \le n\alpha^{n-1} (n=2,3,4,...,N)$$

and

(2.3) 
$$|a_n| \leq \frac{1}{(n-1)} \alpha^N N(N+1)$$
  $(n>N),$ 

A note on a one-parameter additive family of operators defined on analytic functions 179 where

$$(2.4) N = \left[\frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right]$$

and [ ] means the Gauss' symbol. The estimates in (2.1) and (2.2) are sharp.

LEMMA 2. Let the function f(z) defined by (1.1) be analytic in the unit disk  $\mathcal{U}$ . If, for some  $\alpha$   $(0 < \alpha \le 1)$ ,

(2.5) 
$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left\{ \left( \frac{1+\alpha}{2\alpha} \right) n + \frac{\alpha-1}{2\alpha} \right\} |a_n| \leq 1,$$

then f(z) belongs to the class  $\Im(\alpha)$ .

THEOREM 1. Let the function f(z) defined by (1,1) be in the class  $\Im(1/3)$ . Then  $L^{\lambda}f(z)$  belongs to the same class  $\Im(1/3)$  at least for  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$  where  $\lambda_0$  is a certain number less than 23/8.

*Proof.* Putting  $\alpha=1/3$  in (2.5) and using (1.5), we can see that

(2.6) 
$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (2n-1) \frac{|a_{n}|}{n^{\lambda}} = \frac{3|a_{2}|}{2^{\lambda}} + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} (2n-1) \frac{|a_{n}|}{n^{\lambda}}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2^{\lambda-1}} + \frac{2}{3} \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{2n-1}{n^{\lambda}(n-1)}$$

$$< \frac{1}{2^{\lambda-1}} + \frac{2}{3} \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\lambda-1}}$$

$$< \frac{1}{2^{\lambda-1}} + \frac{2}{3} \left\{ \frac{2^{\lambda-2}}{2^{\lambda-2} - 1} - \left(1 + \frac{1}{2^{\lambda-1}}\right) \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{3 \cdot 2^{\lambda-1}} + \frac{2}{3(2^{\lambda-2} - 1)}$$

for any real  $\lambda \ge 23/8$  with the aid of Lemma 1, because

$$(2.7) 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\lambda-1}} < \frac{2^{\lambda-2}}{2^{\lambda-2}-1}$$

for  $\lambda > 2$ . This shows that  $L^{\lambda}f(z) \in \mathcal{O}(1/3)$  by means of Lemma 2.

THEOREM 2. Let the function f(z) defined by (1,1) be in the class  $\Im(1/2)$ . Then  $L^{\lambda}f(z)$  belongs to the same class  $\Im(1/2)$  at least for  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$  where  $\lambda_0$  is a certain number less than 3.

*Proof.* Putting  $\alpha=1/2$  in (2.5) and using (1.5), with the aid of Lemma 1 and (2.7), we obtain

(2.8) 
$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{3n-1}{2}\right) \frac{|a_{n}|}{n^{\lambda}}$$

$$= \frac{5|a_{2}|}{2^{\lambda+1}} + \frac{4|a_{3}|}{3^{\lambda}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=4}^{\infty} (3n-1) \frac{|a_{n}|}{n^{\lambda}}$$

$$\leq \frac{5}{2^{\lambda+1}} + \frac{1}{3^{\lambda-1}} + \frac{3}{4} \sum_{n=4}^{\infty} \frac{3n-1}{n^{\lambda}(n-1)}$$

$$< \frac{5}{2^{\lambda+1}} + \frac{1}{3^{\lambda-1}} + \frac{3}{4} \sum_{n=4}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\lambda-1}}$$

$$< \frac{5}{2^{\lambda+1}} + \frac{1}{3^{\lambda-1}} + \frac{3}{4} \left\{ \frac{2^{\lambda-2}}{2^{\lambda-2}-1} - \left(1 + \frac{1}{2^{\lambda-1}} + \frac{1}{3^{\lambda-1}}\right) \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^{\lambda}} + \frac{1}{4 \cdot 3^{\lambda-1}} + \frac{3}{4(2^{\lambda-2}-1)}$$

for any real  $\lambda \ge 3$ . Thus we can show that  $L^{\lambda}f(z) \in \mathcal{O}(1/2)$  by using Lemma 2.

Finally we can give the following problem.

PROBLEM. Let the function f(z) defined by (1.1) be in the class  $\Im(\alpha)$  with  $0 < \alpha \le 1$ . Then does  $L^{\lambda}f(z)$  belong to the same class  $\Im(\alpha)$  at least for  $\lambda \ge \lambda_0$  where  $\lambda_0$  is a certain number less than  $\Im$ ?

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author thankfully acknowledges the kind and helpfull guidance of Professor H. M. Srivastava, in preparation of this paper.

#### References

- F. Holland and D. K. Thomas, On the order of a starlike functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 158 (1971), 189-201.
- Y. Komatu, On a one-parameter additive family of operators defined on analytic functions regular in the unit disk, Bull. Fac. Sci. Engrg. Chuo Univ. 22 (1979), 1-22.
- R. J. Libera, Some radius of convexity problems, Duke Math. J. 31 (1961), 143-158.
- 4. M.L. Mogra, On a class of starlike functions in the unit disc-I, J. Indian Math. Soc. 40 (1976), 159-161.
- 5. S. Owa, A note on a class of starlike functions, J. Korean Math. Soc. (to appear).
- 6. K.S. Padmanabhan, On certain classes of starlike functions in the unit disc,

A note on a one-parameter additive family of operators defined on analytic functions 181

- J. Indian Math. Soc. 32 (1968), 89-103.
- 7. M.S. Robertson, On the theory of univalent functions, Ann. Math. 37 (19 36), 374-408.

Department of Mathematics Kinki University Osaka, Japan