ON A SPECIAL CLASS OF UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS IN THE UNIT DISK

SHIGEYOSHI OWA

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, let

$$f(z) = a_1 z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \qquad (a_1 > 0, a_n \ge 0),$$

$$f_i(z) = a_1, z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n, z^n \qquad (a_1, 0, a_n, z \ge 0)$$

$$f_i(z) = a_{1,i}z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n,i}z^n$$
 $(a_{1,i} > 0, a_{n,i} \ge 0)$

and

$$g(z) = b_1 z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n$$
 $(b_1 > 0, b_n \ge 0)$

and let $\mathcal{D}^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ denote a class of functions f(z) analytic and univalent in the unit disk $\mathcal{U} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ for which

$$\left| \frac{f'(z)-1}{2\gamma \{f'(z)-\alpha\}-\{f'(z)-1\}} \right| < \beta,$$

where $0 \le \alpha < 1, 0 < \beta \le 1$ and $0 < \gamma \le 1$. The above condition on f'(z)implies the univalence of f(z).

For this class $\mathcal{D}^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, V. P. Gupta and I. Ahmad [1] showed the following lemma.

LEMMA 1. A function f(z) is in the class $\mathcal{P}^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ if, and only if,

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_n \leq \frac{2\beta \gamma (1-\alpha) a_1}{1+2\beta \gamma - \beta}.$$

REMARK 1. V.P. Gupta and P.K. Jain [2] have studied a class \mathcal{P}^* (α, β) of functions

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \qquad (a_n \ge 0)$$

analytic and univalent in the unit disk # for which

$$\left| \frac{f'(z)-1}{f'(z)+(1-2\alpha)} \right| < \beta,$$

where $0 \le \alpha < 1$ and $0 < \beta \le 1$. If we put $\gamma = 1$ and $a_1 = 1$, then $\mathcal{P}^*(\alpha, \beta, 1) = \mathcal{P}^*(\alpha, \beta)$.

REMARK 2. Let $0 \le \alpha_1 \le \alpha_2 < 1$. Then we can see that

$$\mathcal{D}^*(\alpha_2,\beta,\gamma) \subset \mathcal{D}^*(\alpha_1,\beta,\gamma)$$

with the aid of Lemma 1.

REMARK 3. Let $0 \le \alpha_1 \le \alpha_2 < 1$, $0 < \beta_1 \le \beta_2 \le 1$ and $0 < \gamma \le 1/2$. Then we can see that

$$\mathscr{D}^*(\alpha_2,\beta_1,\gamma)\subset \mathscr{D}^*(\alpha_1,\beta_2,\gamma)$$

with Lemma 1 and the definition of $\mathcal{D}^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$.

2. The Hadamard products of the functions in $\mathcal{D}^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$

Let f*g(z) denote the Hadamard product of two functions f(z) and g(z), that is,

$$f*g(z) = a_1b_1z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_nb_nz^n$$
.

THEOREM 1. Let the functions $f_i(z)$ be in the classes $\mathcal{D}^*(\alpha_i, \beta, \gamma)$ for each i=1,2,...,m, respectively. Then, the Hadamard product $f_1*f_2*...*f_m(z)$ is in the class $\mathcal{D}^*(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$, where

$$\alpha = 1 - 2^{1-m} \prod_{i=1}^{m} (1-\alpha_i).$$

Proof. Since $f_i(z) \in \mathcal{D}^*(\alpha_i, \beta, \gamma)$ for each i=1, 2, ..., m, respectively, by using Lemma 1,

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(1+2\beta\gamma-\beta) a_n, i \leq 2\beta\gamma(1-\alpha_i) a_1, i$$

and

$$a_n, i \leq \frac{\beta \gamma (1-\alpha_i) a_1, i}{1+2\beta \gamma - \beta}$$

for any $n \ge 2$. Hence, we have

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n \left(1 + 2\beta \gamma - \beta\right) \prod_{i=1}^{m} a_{n,i} \\ &\leq \frac{\beta^{m-1} \gamma^{m-1}}{(1 + 2\beta \gamma - \beta)^{m-1}} \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} (1 - \alpha_i) a_{1,i} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n (1 + 2\beta \gamma - \beta) a_{n,m} \\ &\leq \frac{2\beta^m \gamma^m}{(1 + 2\beta \gamma - \beta)^{m-1}} \prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 - \alpha_i) a_{1,i} \\ &\leq 2\beta \gamma \left\{ 1 - \left(1 - 2^{1-m} \prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 - \alpha_i)\right) \right\} \prod_{i=1}^{m} a_{1,i}. \end{split}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem with the aid of Lemma 1.

COROLLARY 1. A class $\mathcal{D}^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is closed under the Hadamard product.

Proof. Let the functions f(z) and g(z) be in the same class $\mathcal{D}^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. Then, the Hadamard product f*g(z) belongs to the class $\mathcal{D}^*\{(1+2\alpha-\alpha^2)/2, \beta, \gamma\}$ by means of Theorem 3. Furthermore, since $(1+2\alpha-\alpha^2)/2$ is greater than α , by using Theorem 1, we have $f*g(z) \in \mathcal{D}^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$.

THEOREM 2. Let the functions $f_i(z)$ be in the classes $\mathcal{P}^*(\alpha_i, \beta, \gamma)$ for each i=1, 2, ..., m, respectively. Then, the function

$$h(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} f_i(z)$$

is in the class $\mathcal{D}^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, where $\alpha = \min_{1 \le i \le m} \alpha_i$.

Proof. From the definition of h(z), we have

$$h(z) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m a_{1,i}\right) z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m a_{n,i}\right) z^n.$$

And since $f_i(z) \in \mathcal{D}^*(\alpha_i, \beta, \gamma)$ for each i=1, 2, ..., m, respectively, by Lemma 1,

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(1+2\beta\gamma-\beta) a_n, \leq 2\beta\gamma(1-\alpha_i) a_1, \leq 2\beta\gamma(1-\alpha_i) a_1,$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(1+2\beta\gamma-\beta) \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{n,i}$$

$$\leq 2\beta\gamma \sum_{i=1}^{m} (1-\alpha_{i}) a_{1,i}$$

$$\leq 2\beta\gamma(1-\min_{1\leq i\leq m} \alpha_i) \sum_{i=1}^m a_{1,i}.$$

Therefore, we have the theorem with Lemma 1.

3. Distortion theorems for the fractional calculus

THEOREM 3. Let a function f(z) be in the class $\mathcal{P}^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. Then, we have

$$|f(z)| \ge a_1 |z| - \frac{\beta \gamma (1-\alpha) a_1}{1+2\beta \gamma - \beta} |z|^2,$$
 $|f(z)| \le a_1 |z| + \frac{\beta \gamma (1-\alpha) a_1}{1+2\beta \gamma - \beta} |z|^2,$
 $|f'(z)| \ge a_1 - \frac{2\beta \gamma (1-\alpha) a_1}{1+2\beta \gamma - \beta} |z|$

and

$$|f'(z)| \leq a_1 + \frac{2\beta\gamma(1-\alpha)a_1}{1+2\beta\gamma-\beta}|z|$$

for $z \in \mathcal{U}$.

Proof. Since $f(z) \in \mathcal{D}^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, by using Lemma 1,

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \leq \frac{\beta \gamma (1-\alpha) a_1}{1+2\beta \gamma - \beta}$$

and

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_n \leq \frac{2\beta \gamma (1-\alpha) a_1}{1+2\beta \gamma - \beta}.$$

Hence, we have

$$|f(z)| \ge a_1|z| - |z|^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \ge a_1|z| - \frac{\beta \gamma (1-\alpha) a_1}{1+2\beta \gamma - \beta} |z|^2,$$

$$|f(z)| \le a_1|z| + |z|^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \le a_1|z| + \frac{\beta \gamma (1-\alpha) \alpha_1}{1+2\beta \gamma - \beta} |z|^2,$$

$$|f'(z)| \ge a_1 - |z| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_n \ge a_1 - \frac{2\beta \gamma (1-\alpha) a_1}{1+2\beta \gamma - \beta} |z|$$

and

$$|f'(z)| \leq a_1 + |z| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_n \leq a_1 + \frac{2\beta \gamma (1-\alpha) a_1}{1+2\beta \gamma - \beta} |z|.$$

Finally, the equalities hold for the function

$$f(z) = a_1 z - \frac{\beta \gamma (1-\alpha) a_1}{1+2\beta \gamma - \beta} z^2.$$

COROLLARY 2. Under the hypothesis of Theorem 3, the unit disk U is mapped onto a domain that contains the disk

$$|w| < a_1 - \frac{\beta \gamma (1-\alpha)\alpha_1}{1+2\beta \gamma - \beta}.$$

This result is sharp with an extremal function

$$f(z) = a_1 z - \frac{\beta \gamma (1-\alpha) a_1}{1+2\beta \gamma - \beta} z^2.$$

Next, let $D_z^{-k}f(z)$ and $D_z^{k}f(z)$ denote the fractional integral of order k and the fractional derivative of order k, respectively. In 1978, S. Owa [5] gave the following definitions for the fractional calculus.

DEFINITION 1. The fractional integral of order k is defined by

$$D_z^{-k}f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(k)} \int_0^z \frac{f(\zeta) d\zeta}{(z-\zeta)^{1-k}},$$

where k>0, f(z) is an analytic function in a simply connected region of the z-plane containing the origin and the multiplicity of $(z-\zeta)^{k-1}$ is removed by requiring $\log(z-\zeta)$ to be real when $(z-\zeta)>0$.

DEFINITION 2. The fractional derivative of order k is defined by

$$D_z^k f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-k)} \frac{d}{dz} \int_0^z \frac{f(\zeta) d\zeta}{(z-\zeta)^k},$$

where $0 \le k \le 1$, f(z) is an analytic function in a simply connected region of the z-plane containing the origin and the multiplicity of $(z-\zeta)^{-k}$ is removed by requiring $\log(z-\zeta)$ to be real when $(z-\zeta)>0$.

REMARK 4. The fractional derivative of order (n+k) is defined by

$$D_z^{n+k}f(z) = \frac{d^n}{dz^n}D_z^kf(z),$$

where 0 < k < 1 and $n \in \mathcal{U} \cup \{0\}$.

REMARK 5. For other definitions of the fractional calculus, see [3], [4], [6] and [7].

THEOREM 4. Let a function f(z) be in the class $\mathcal{D}^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. Then, we have

$$\begin{split} |D_z^{-k} f(z)| & \geq \frac{a_1}{\Gamma(2+k)} |z|^{1+k} - \frac{\beta \gamma (1-\alpha) a_1}{(1+2\beta \gamma -\beta) \Gamma(2+k)} |z|^{2+k}, \\ |D_z^{-k} f(z)| & \leq \frac{a_1}{\Gamma(2+k)} |z|^{1+k} + \frac{\beta \gamma (1-\alpha) a_1}{(1+2\beta \gamma -\beta) \Gamma(2+k)} |z|^{2+k}, \\ |D_z^{1-k} f(z)| & \geq \frac{(1-k) a_1}{\Gamma(2+k)} |z|^k - \frac{\beta \gamma (2+k) (1-\alpha) a_1}{(1+2\beta \gamma -\beta) \Gamma(2+k)} |z|^{1+k}, \end{split}$$

and

$$|D_z^{1-k} f(z)| \leq \frac{(1+k)a_1}{\varGamma(2+k)} |z|^k + \frac{\beta \gamma(2+k) \, (1-\alpha) \, a_1}{(1+2\beta \gamma -\beta) \varGamma(2+k)} |z|^{1+k}$$

for $0 \le k \le 1$ and $z \in \mathcal{U}$.

Proof. Let

$$F(z) = \Gamma(2+k)z^{-k}D_z^{-k}f(z)$$

$$= a_1 z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(2+k)}{\Gamma(n+1+k)} a_n z^n$$

$$= a_1 z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_z z^n.$$

Then, by using Lemma 1, we have

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(1+2\beta\gamma-\beta) A_n < \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(1+2\beta\gamma-\beta) a_n \leq 2\beta\gamma(1-\alpha) a_1,$$

because $0 < A_n < a_n$. Hence, the function F(z) belongs to the class $\mathcal{D}^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. From this, we have the required estimates with the aid of Theorem 3.

THEOREM 5. Let a function f(z) be in the class $\mathcal{D}^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. Then, we have

$$|D_z^k f(z)| \ge \frac{ka_1}{\Gamma(3-k)} |z|^{1-k} - \frac{\beta \gamma(3-k)(1-\alpha)a_1}{(1+2\beta\gamma-\beta)\Gamma(3-k)} |z|^{2-k}$$

and

$$|D_z^k f(z)| \leq \frac{(2-k)a_1}{\Gamma(3-k)} |z|^{1-k} + \frac{\beta \gamma(3-k)(1-\alpha)a_1}{(1+2\beta\gamma-\beta)\Gamma(3-k)} |z|^{2-k}$$

for $0 \le k \le 1$ and $z \in \mathcal{U}$.

Proof. Let consider the function

$$G(z) = \Gamma(3-k)z^{-1+k}D_z^{-1+k}f(z)$$

$$= a_1 z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(3-k)}{\Gamma(n+2-k)} a_n z^n$$

$$= a_1 z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} B_n z^n.$$

Then, by Lemma 1, we have $G(z) \in \mathcal{D}^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, because $0 < B_n < a_n$. Hence,

$$|D_z^{-1+k}f(z)| \leq \frac{a_1}{\Gamma(3-k)}|z|^{2-k} + \frac{\beta\gamma(1-\alpha)a_1}{(1+2\beta\gamma-\beta)\Gamma(3-k)}|z|^{3-k}$$

by using the second estimate of Theorem 3. Furthermore, from this and the third estimate and the fourth estimate of Theorem 3, we get the required estimates.

COROLLARY 3. Under the hypothesis of Theorem 5, the unit disk U is mapped onto a domain that contains the disk

$$|w| < \frac{ka_1}{\Gamma(3-k)} - \frac{\beta\gamma(3-k)(1-\alpha)a_1}{(1+2\beta\gamma-\beta)\Gamma(3-k)}.$$

References

- 1. V.P. Gupta and I. Ahmad, Certain classes of functions univalent in the unit disc II, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica, 7 (1979), 7-13.
- 2. V.P. Gupta and P.K. Jain, Certain classes of univalent functions with negative cofficients II, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 15 (1976), 467-473.
- 3. K. Nishimoto, Fractional derivative and integral I, J. Coll. Engng. Nihon Univ. 17(1976), 11-19.
- 4. T. J. Osler, Leibniz rule for fractional derivative generalized and an application to infinite series, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 18 (1970), 658-674.
- 5. S. Owa, On the distortion theorems I, Kyungpook Math. J. 18 (1978),

53-59.

- 6. B. Ross, A brief history and exposition of the fundamental theory of fractional calculus, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 457 (1975), 1-36.
- 7. M. Saigo, A remark on integral operators involving the Gauss hypergeometric functions, Math. Rep. Kyushu Univ. 11 (1978), 135-143.

Department of Mathematics Kinki University Osaka, Japan