TABLE 1: Physical and Spectral Data of $\propto$, $\omega$-Diacetylistyrene Derivatives

| Derivaties | $\mathrm{mp}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | $\max (\mathrm{nm})$ | $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | NMR (ppm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | 168-170 | 282 | 1690 | 2.10 (s) |
|  |  |  | 1940 | 2.30 (s) |
|  |  |  | 1600 | 7.25 (s) |
|  |  |  |  | 7.30 (s) |
| p- $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 41-42 | 294 | 1690 | 2.33 (s) |
|  |  |  | 1640 | 2.40 (d) |
|  |  |  | 1600 | 7.25 (s) |
|  |  |  |  | 7.45 (s) |
| ${ }^{p}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ | 67-68 | 318 | 1690 | 2.30 (d) |
|  |  |  | 1640 | 3.85 (s) |
|  |  |  | 1580 | 6.90 (s) |
|  |  |  |  | 7.40 (d) |
| $p-\mathrm{Cl}$ | 72-73 | 286 | 1700 | 2.30 (d) |
|  |  |  | 1640 | 7.35 (s) |
|  |  |  | 1600 | 7.40 (s) |

gave white precipitate, whose recrystallization from $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ afforded s-[2,2-diacetyl-1-(chloro)-phenylethyl]-thioglycolic acid ( $2.4 \mathrm{~g}, 78.8 \%$ ): $\mathrm{mp} 115-116^{\circ} \mathrm{C}: \mathrm{UV}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right) \lambda_{\max }$ $286 \mathrm{~nm}: \operatorname{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}\right.$ disc) $1670,2500-3300 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}:$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $1.90(3 \mathrm{H}, s), 2.35(3 \mathrm{H}, s), 3.00(2 \mathrm{H}, s), 4.20(1 \mathrm{H}, d), 4.80(1 \mathrm{H}, d)$, $7.30(4 \mathrm{H}, s)$.

Neutralization equivalent. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ SCl: 314.78. Found: 308.21. Anal. Caled for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{SCl}$ :

## C, $53.44 \%$; H, $4.77 \%$ Found: C, $53.12 \%$; H, $4.86 \%$
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#### Abstract

The crystal structure of phthalylsulfacetamide, one of the long-acting 'sulfa' drugs, has been determined by the X-ray diffraction methods. The crystal is monoclinic with cell dimensions of $a=7.980(3), b=12.784(2), c=18.064(7) \AA$ and $\beta=$ $112.94(2)^{\circ}$, space group $P 2_{1} / c$ and $Z=4$. The structure was solved by the direct methods and refined to $R=0.048$. The sulfonylacetamide moiety is folded with respect to the central phenyl ring and the benzamide and benzoyl planes are nearly perpendicular to each other. This conformation is consistent with those of the relevant molecules containing the corresponding moieties. All of the molecules in the crystal lattice are connected by a three-dimensional hydrogen bonding network.


## Introduction

Phthalylsulfacetamide (PSA), $\mathbf{N}^{1}$-acetyl- $\mathbf{N}^{4}$-phthalylsulfanilamide, is one of the long-acting 'sulfa' drugs where both $\mathrm{N}^{1}$ and $\mathrm{N}^{4}$ of sulfanilamide (I) are substituted. ${ }^{1}$
Although numerous crystal structures of 'sulfa' drugs usually designated for the $\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{1}}$-substituted sulfanilamide derivatives have been determined, ${ }^{2}$ only one crystal structure of an $\mathrm{N}^{\mathbf{t}}$,

$\mathrm{N}^{4}$-substituted 'sulfa' drug, succinylsulfathiazole (SST), has been determined to date. ${ }^{3}$ We now report the first crystal structure of an $\mathrm{N}^{4}$-phthalyl derivative of a 'sulfa' drug.

## Experimental

Transparent, prismatic crystats of PSA(Sigma) were obtained by slow evaporation of an ethanol solution at room temperature. The crystals were monoclinic as determined from oscillation and Weissenberg photographs. The space group $P 2_{1} / c$ was determined uniquely from the systematic absences. The unit cell parameters were determined by a leastsquares fit of $2 \theta$ angles for 25 centered reflections measured with $\mathrm{CuK}_{\alpha}$ radiation on an automated Rigaku AFC diffractometer. Crystal data are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S} ; \mathrm{Mol} . \mathrm{Wt} . \quad 362.36, \quad \mathrm{~F}(000)=752, \\
& a=7.980(3), b=12.784(2), c=18.064(7) \AA, \\
& G=112.94(2)^{\circ}, \mathrm{V}=1697.0 \AA^{3}, \text { Space group } P 2_{1} / c \text {, } \\
& z=4, \mu=19.01 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, d_{m}=1.40 \mathrm{~g} \cdot \mathrm{~cm}^{-3} \text { by flotation } \\
& \text { in } \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{I} /\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}, d_{c}=1.42 \mathrm{~g} \cdot \mathrm{~cm}^{-3} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The reflection data from a crystal with dimensions of $0.2 \times 0.3$ $\times 0.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ were collected with graphite-monochromated $\mathrm{CuK}_{a}$ radiation using the $2 \theta-\omega$ scan technique with the scan width of $(1.0+0.5 \tan 0)^{\circ}$ in $\omega$ at a scan rate of $4^{\circ} / \mathrm{min}$. The background was counted for 10 sec at each end of the scan range. Three standard reflections were monitored after each 50 data reflections and did not show any noticeable change during the data coliection. The intensities were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects and then converted to structure factors. Of the 2577 independent reflections measured within the range of $2^{\circ} \leq 2 \theta \leq 122^{\circ}$, 345 were considered unobserved as cefined by $F \leq 6 \sigma(F)$. No correction for the absorption and extinction effects was made.

## Structure Determination and Refinement

The structure was solved by direct methods using the program SHELX 76.4 Three origin and twelve multisolution reflections wetw used for tangent refinement of 299 reflections with $E \geq 1.5$. From the initial $E$ map calculated with the phase set having the highest reliability index, it was possible to identify the positions of 22 atoms among the 25 nonhydrogen atons. One cycle of isotropic full-matrix least-squares refinement reduced the conventional $R$ value $\left(R=\sum| | F_{o}|-k| F_{c}| |\right.$ $|\Sigma| F_{o} \mid$ where $k$ is a single scale factor) to 0.32 and a stbsequent difference Fourier map gave the positions of the remaining nonlyydrogen atoms. After one cycle of isotropic and one cycle of anisotropic refinements with all nonhydrogen atoms which lowered the $R$ value to 0.084 , all of the hydrogen atoms were found in a subsequent difference Fourier map. Futher two cycles of anisotropic refinement with all of the atom lowered the $R$ value to 0.069 , but some of the hydrogen atoms moved to unacceptable positions. At this stage it was noticed that a strong reflection, ( $\begin{array}{ll}-1 & 0\end{array}$ ), was significantly affected by extinction and this was excluded in further calculations. Using the weighting scheme final two cycles of anisotropic refinements converged the $R$ value to 0.048 for the 2231 observed refiections. The weighted $R_{w}\left(R_{w}=\sum \sqrt{-}{ }^{-}\right.$ $\left.\left|\left|F_{o}\right|-k\right| F_{c}!\left|/ \Sigma_{i} \sqrt{\omega}\right| F_{o} \mid\right)$ was 0,061 . The function minimized in least-squares refinements was $\sum \omega\left(\left|F_{o}\right|-k\left|F_{c}\right|\right)^{2}$ where $\omega=1.0 /\left(\sigma^{2}(F)+0.0042(F)^{2}\right)$ in the last cycle of refinement. Refinements were done using the program

SHELX 76. The final difference Fourier map showed no peaks greater than $0.24 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$. All of the atomic scattering factors were taken from the International Tables for X-ray Crystallography. ${ }^{\text {T }}$ The fina! atomic parameters are tabulated in Table 1.*

## Results and Discussion

A schematic drawing of the PSA molecule with the atomic

TABLE 1: Atomic Coordinates ( $\times 10^{4}, \mathrm{H}$ atoms $\times 10^{3}$ ) and Thermal Parameters ( $\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}$ )

|  | $x$ | $y$ | z | $U_{\mathrm{eq}} * / U_{\mathrm{is}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S | -2392.2(9) | 3855.8(5) | 3013.6(4) | 40 |
| C(1) | -68(4) | 3754(2) | 3607(2) | 35 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 586(4) | 3881(2) | 4429(2) | 45 |
| C(3) | 2426(4) | 3811(3) | 4891(2) | 47 |
| C(4) | 3617(4) | 3569(2) | 4529(2) | 37 |
| C(5) | 2952(4) | 3473(3) | $3691(2)$ | 54 |
| C(6) | 1117(4) | 3561(3) | 3232(2) | 53 |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | -3284(3) | 4306(2) | 3481 (1) | 57 |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | -2618(3) | 4304(2) | 2257(1) | 55 |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | -3106(3) | 2638(2) | 2788(1) | 41 |
| C(7) | -3116(4) | 1912(2) | 3347(2) | 44 |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | -2731(3) | 2154(2) | 4040(1) | 61 |
| C(8) | -3596(7) | 827(3) | 3041 (3) | 77 |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 5487(3) | 3398(2) | 4954(1) | 41 |
| C(9) | 6325(3) | 3120(2) | 5739(1) | 38 |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)$ | 5670(3) | 3238(2) | 6237(1) | 51 |
| C(10) | 8209(3) | 2698(2) | 5938(1) | 40 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 9671(4) | $3: 49(2)$ | 6357(2) | 54 |
| C(I2) | 11430(4) | 3017(3) | 6542(2) | 60 |
| C(13) | 11772(4) | 2019(3) | 6332(2) | 61 |
| C(14) | 10333(4) | 1347(3) | 5965(2) | 50 |
| C(15) | 8547(3) | 1670(2) | 5779(2) | 40 |
| C(16) | 7012(4) | 935(2) | 5422(2) | 44 |
| O(5) | 7476(3) | 19(2) | 5246(2) | 67 |
| O(7) | 5455(2) | 1175(1) | 5310 01$)$ | 53 |
| H(1) | -22(5) | 404(3) | 465(2) | 57(1) |
| H(2) | 279(4) | 395(2) | 541(2) | 43(9) |
| H(3) | 385(5) | 326(3) | 347(2) | 62(9) |
| H(4) | 70 (5) | 336(3) | 263(2) | 68(10) |
| H(5) | -322(5) | 241(3) | 239(2) | $69(1)^{\text {a }}$ |
| H(6) | -407(S) | 54(3) | 33842) | 83(12) |
| H(7) | -407(6) | 35(3) | 258(3) | 98(14) |
| H(8) | -253(5) | 67(3) | 290(2) | 134(12) |
| H(9) | 597(5) | 326(3) | 463(2) | 65(10) |
| H(10) | 946(5) | 390(3) | 654(2) | 70(11) |
| H(11) | 1,244(5) | 351(3) | 680(2) | 91(10) |
| H(12) | 1,295(5) | 185(3) | 637(2) | $79(10)$ |
| H(13) | 1,052(4) | 64(3) | 583(2) | $86(10)$ |
| H(14) | 643(5) | $-48(3)$ | 502(2) | 87(10) |

${ }^{*} U_{\text {eq }}=\frac{1}{3} \sum_{i} \sum_{1} U_{i j} a_{i}^{*} a_{j}^{*}\left(a_{i}, a_{j}\right)$

[^0]numbering scheme is given in Figure 1. The bond distances and angles are tabulated in Table 2. The corresponding molecular dimensions of sulfonylacetamide moieties in PSA, sulfacetamide ${ }^{6}$ and sulfacetamide-caffeine salt ${ }^{7}$ agree well within experimental error ( $3 \sigma$ ). The $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ amide bond is significantly longer than that in the peptide bond (1.374(4) $A$ as compared to $1.33 \hat{A}) .^{8}$ This indicates that the $2 p \pi$ electron of the nitrogen atom is delocalized through the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ bond as well as the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond. The $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ amide bond is atso longer by $c a .0 .02 \AA$ than the peptidyl $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}$ bond. The exocyclic $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ bond $[1.403(3) \AA$ ] is nearly same as found in SST ( $1.406 \AA$ ) but longer by $0.02 \AA$ than those usually found in sulfanilamide and its $\mathrm{N}^{1}$-derivatives. ${ }^{9-11}$ The fact that the $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ bond is shorter than the expected value of $1.43 \AA$ for a single bond between $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$-hybridized atoms ${ }^{8}$ is indicative of $\pi$ delocalization between the amide group and the phenyl ring (1). However, there seems to be no $\pi$ delocalization between the amide group and the phenyl ring (II) since the $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ bond shows a single bond character and the two planes are almost perpendicular (dihedral angle; $103^{\circ}$ ) to each other. In contrast, the amide plane is almost coplanar with the phenyl ring(1) to permit effective delocalization of the $\bar{\pi}$ electrons (dihedral angle; $22^{\circ}$ ). The bond distances in the phthalylamino moiety of PSA agree within $2 \sigma$ with those in N -(p-chlorophenyl) phthalamic acid (CPA). ${ }^{12}$ The bond distances involving the sulfur atom are normally found ones in the sulfa drugs. ${ }^{13}$ The two benzene rings are planar within experimental error with the maximum deviation of 0.023 (1) $\AA$ in each as listed in Table 3. The sulfonylacetamide moiety except the oxygen atom $O(1)$ is also planar within $0.05 \AA$. The carboxyl group shows a good planarity.

The conformation of the sulfacetamide part of the PSA molecule is simitar to those of the sulfacetamide molecules in the free and caffeine-salt forms, ${ }^{6,7}$ as can be seen in similarity of the corresponding torsion angles in Table 4. The sulionylacetamide moiety, which is nearly coplanar, is in a folded rather than an extended form with respect to the phenyl ring. The dihedral angle between the two planar groups is $94^{\circ}$.

From the analysis of the crystal structures of $c a .120 \mathrm{~N}^{1}-$ substituted arylsulfonamides A. Kalman et al. have observed that the rotations about the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}$ (ring) and $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bonds show a marked directional preference despite apparent lack of steric hindrance. ${ }^{2}$ The majority of the rotamers have the torsinn


Figure 1. Atomic numbering scheme for phthalylsulfacetamide.
angles of $\tau_{1}[\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}(1)]=\left(50-100^{\circ}\right)$ and $\tau_{2}[\mathrm{C}(1)-$ $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)]=\left(60-90^{\circ}\right)$ which represent the folded form.

TABLE 2: Bond Distances $(\hat{A})$ and Angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ with e.s.d.'s in Parenthese

| $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $142.1(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $1.404(3)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $1.426(2)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.358(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $1.653(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $1.214(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.374(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1.499(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.488(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $1.385(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $1.207(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $1.378(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.748(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $1.388(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.379(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $1.379(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.380(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $1.393(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.383(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1.404(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.400(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $1.478(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.378(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{O}(5)$ | $1.305(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(11$ | $1.384(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | $1.218(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{H}(5)$ | $0.75(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{H}(4)$ | $1.02(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{H}(6)$ | $0.92(5)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{H}(9)$ | $0.84(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{H}(7)$ | $0.77(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{H}(10)$ | $0.82(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{H}(8)$ | $1.00(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{H}(11)$ | $0.99(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{H}(1)$ | $0.91(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{H}(12)$ | $0.94(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{H}(2)$ | $0.89(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{H}(13)$ | $0.96(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{H}(3)$ | $0.99(4)$ | $\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{H}(14)$ | $1.00(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $120.1(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $126.7(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $108.9(1)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $116.9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $109.0(1)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $124.3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $108.9(1)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $113.8(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $103.9(1)$ | $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $121.8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $105.2(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(101-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $118.4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $123.7(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $122.7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $115.6(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $120.8(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $121.1(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $120.5(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $123.3(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $119.2(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $121.2(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(13)-(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $120.9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $118.5(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $119.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $120.7(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $119.0(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $119.6(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $120.9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $119.3(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $119.6(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $120.7(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{O}(5)$ | $114.3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $119.2(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-0(6)$ | $122.1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $120.3(3)$ | $\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | $123.7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $123.8(3)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

TABLE 3: Deviations from Least-Squares Planes
(A) Phenyl ring (I)
$0.1649 \mathrm{X}+0.9810 Y-0.1023 \mathrm{Z}=3.6769$
$\mathrm{C}(1)^{*}:-0.013 \AA \mathrm{C}(2)^{*}: 0.009 \mathrm{C}(3)^{*}: 0.009$
$\mathrm{C}(4)^{*}:-0.023 \quad \mathrm{C}(5)^{*}: 0.020 \quad \mathrm{C}(6)^{*}:-0.002$
$\mathrm{N}(2):-0.114 \quad \mathrm{~S} \quad:-0.021 \quad \mathrm{H}(1): 0.03$
$\mathrm{H}(2): 0.10 \quad \mathrm{H}(3):-0.07 \quad \mathrm{H}(4):-0.13$
(B) Phenyl ring (11)
$-0.3229 \mathrm{X}-0.3263 \mathrm{Y}+0.8884 Z=6.9417$
$\mathrm{C}(10)^{*}:-0.023 \AA \quad \mathrm{C}(11)^{*}: 0.010 \quad \mathrm{C}(12)^{*}: 0.011$
$C(13)^{*}:-0.019 \quad C(14)^{*}: 0.006 \quad C(15)^{*}: 0.015$
$\mathrm{C}(16): 0.108 \quad \mathrm{O}(5): 0.070 \quad \mathrm{O}(6): 0.218$
C (9):-0.087 $\quad \mathrm{H}(10): 0.14 \quad \mathrm{H}(11):-0.02$
$\mathrm{H}(12):-0.19 \quad \mathrm{H}(13): 0.03$
*Atoms used to define the planes.

TABLE 4: Selected Torsion Angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) in PSA and Relevant Molecules

|  | I | II | 115 | IV |  | V* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\tau_{1}$ | 77.9 | 66.0 | 85.1 | 75.4 |  |  |
| $\tau_{2}$ | 65.1 | 55.8 | 70.0 | 87.7 |  |  |
| $\tau_{3}$ | -172.9 | $-172.0$ | 176.5 |  |  |  |
| $t_{4}$ | 21.8 |  |  |  | -27.0 | 4.4 |
| $\tau_{5}$ | 163.2 |  |  |  | 172.1 | 177.2 |
| $\tau_{6}$ | -78.5 |  |  |  | $-84.9$ | -90.3 |
| $\tau_{7}$ | -5.2 |  |  |  | -2.1 | 5.1 |
| $\tau_{8}$ | 176.8 |  |  |  | $\rightarrow 0.1$ | 168.6 |
| $\tau_{1}=C(2)-C(1)-S-N(1)$ |  |  |  | $\tau_{2}=\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ |  |  |
| $\tau_{3}=\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ |  |  |  | $\tau_{4}=\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ |  |  |
| $\tau_{4}=\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ |  |  |  | $\tau_{6}=\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ |  |  |
| $\tau_{7}=\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)$, |  |  |  | $\tau_{8}=\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ |  |  | 1: present study; II: sulfacetamide ${ }^{6}$; III: sulfacetamide-cafficin sall $^{7}$ IV: succinyIsulfathiazole ${ }^{3}$; V : N -(p-chlorophenyl) phthala mic acid ${ }^{10}$ * two molecules in the asymmetric unit.

TABLE 5: Hydrogen Bonds in Phthalylsulfacetamide

| $a$ | $b$ | $c$ | $a-c(\AA)$ | $b-c(\AA)$ | $<a b c\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Position of $c$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~N}(1)-\mathrm{H}(5) \cdots \mathrm{O}(4)$ | 2.815 | 2.09 | 163 | $-1+x, \frac{1}{2}-y,-\frac{1}{2}+z$ |  |
| $\mathrm{~N}(2)-\mathrm{H}(9) \cdots \mathrm{O}(3)$ | 3.017 | 2.25 | 153 | $1+x, y, z$ |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{H}(14) \cdots \mathrm{O}(6)$ | 2.642 | 1.65 | 172 | $1-x,-y, 1-z$ |  |

This observation strongly indicates that there is some kind of common intramolecular effect at work since the fragments are in quite different environments from crystal to crystal. From the present study it has been confirmed that substitution of a bulky group at the $\mathrm{N}^{4}$ position does not exert any long range effect on the conformational property of arylsulfonamides.

The conformation of the phthalylamino moieties in both the PSA and CPA molecules are very similar especially in that the benzamide planes are nearly perpendicular to the benzoyl planes. The dihedral angles between the amide plane and the phenyl rings are $22^{\circ}$ and $103^{\circ}$ for the phenyl rings (I) and (II) respectively. This conformation is quite different from that of phthalic acid and may be a characteristic solid state conformation for phthalamic acid whose crystal structure has not been reported yet. In crystalline phthalic acid, the two keto oxygen atoms are juxtaposed and the two carboxyl groups are almost coplanar with the dihedral angle of only $20^{\circ} .^{14}$

There are three unique hydrogen bonds in the structure as tabulated in Table 5. The molecules are connected by the two kinds of the $\mathrm{N}-\mathbf{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}=\mathbf{C}$ hydrogen bonds between the phthalylamide and sulfonylamide moieties in an alternating fashion as shown in Figure 2. These hydrogen bonds make two-dimensionally hydrogen-bonded molecular sheets. The molecules related by the centers of symmetry at ( $1 / 2,1 / 2,0$ ) and $(1 / 2,0,1 / 2)$ form molecular dimers through a $-\mathrm{C}<\stackrel{\mathrm{O}}{\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}} \underset{\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{O}}{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{C}-$ hydrogen bonding scheme.


Figure 2. Crystal packing diagram projected along the $b$ axis.
These hydrogen bonds interweave the molecular sheets to form a three-dimensional hydrogen bonding network. Sulfonyl oxygen atoms are not involved in the hydrogen bonds.
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[^0]:    *Tables for the anisotropic temperature factors of the nonbydiogen atoms. the final observed and calculated structure factors and the bond angles involving the hydrogen atoms are available as supplementary materials from the author.

