

## Unions of $l$ -groups

By Marlow Anderson and C. C. Edwards

In this paper, lattice-ordered semigroups ( $l$ -semigroups) which are unions of groups are discussed. If the natural order on the idempotents is contained in the lattice order, such  $l$ -semigroups are shown to be unions of  $l$ -groups. Then  $l$ -bands are considered, and completely characterized in case the band is rectangular, right regular or commutative. An  $l$ -band of  $l$ -groups, the natural analogue in this context of a band of groups, is then defined and characterized. It is shown that such  $l$ -semigroups may be constructed from a lattice of  $l$ -homomorphic images of a given  $l$ -group.

Let  $S$  be a semigroup. We say that  $S$  is a *lattice-ordered semigroup* (or  *$l$ -semigroup*) if  $S$  admits a partial order  $\leq$  which is a lattice order, such that

$$\begin{aligned}a(b \vee c) &= ab \vee ac \\(b \vee c)a &= ba \vee ca,\end{aligned}$$

and dually. Note that in [5] the statements about the meets are not part of the definition of  $l$ -semigroup.

Denote by  $E(S)$  the set of idempotents of  $S$ . The relation  $\tilde{\alpha}$  on  $E(S)$  defined by  $e\tilde{\alpha}f$  if and only if  $ef=fe=e$  is the *natural partial order* on  $E(S)$  [2]. It is easy to see that there exist  $l$ -semigroups  $S$  for which the orders  $\leq$  and  $\tilde{\alpha}$  on  $E(S)$  are unrelated; just take any nonzero  $l$ -group  $G$  and adjoin a zero element greater than any element of  $G$ . However, as is shown in this paper, more natural examples of  $l$ -semigroups suggest that it is not unreasonable to require that the natural partial order on  $E(S)$  be contained in the lattice order; that is, if  $ef=fe=e$ , then  $e \leq f$ . We shall call such  $l$ -semigroups *natural*, and shall confine our attention in this paper to them.

For the basic theory and notation for  $l$ -groups, the reader may refer to [1] or [3]. For semigroups, we shall rely on [2]. In particular, we shall denote by  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  Green's  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  relations. Also, if a semigroup  $S$  is a union of groups, it will be written as the disjoint union

$$S = \cup \{S_e : e \in E(S)\},$$

where each  $S_e$  is the maximal subgroup of  $S$  which contains  $e$ ; here  $e$  is the

identity for  $S_e$ . In fact,  $S_e$  is the  $\mathcal{H}$  class of  $e$  ([2], p.61).

The first theorem shows that it is possible to determine whether a natural  $l$ -semigroup is a union of  $l$ -groups by examining only its semigroup structure.

**THEOREM 1.** *A natural  $l$ -semigroup is a union of  $l$ -groups if and only if it is a union of groups.*

**PROOF.** The necessity is obvious. For the sufficiency, suppose that  $S$  is a natural  $l$ -semigroup and

$$S = \cup \{S_e : e \in E(S)\}$$

is a union of groups. We shall show that each  $\mathcal{H}$  class  $S_e$  is an  $l$ -group. Since each  $S_e$  is clearly a partially ordered group, it suffices to show that  $a \vee e \in S_e$ , for each  $a \in S_e$  (see [1], p.18 or [3]). Now,  $a \vee e \in S_g$ , for some  $g \in E(S)$ . In fact,  $g$  is the smallest idempotent (according to the partial order  $\tilde{\alpha}$ ) such that

$$g(a \vee e) = (a \vee e)g = a \vee e,$$

because if  $f$  is another such, then

$$fg = f(a \vee e)(a \vee e)^{-1} = g = (a \vee e)^{-1}(a \vee e)f = fg,$$

where  $(a \vee e)^{-1}$  is the group inverse of  $a \vee e$  in  $S_g$ . Since  $e(a \vee e) = (a \vee e)e = a \vee e$ ,

we have that  $g \tilde{\alpha} e$ . But let  $a^{-1}$  be the inverse of  $a$  in  $S_e$ . Then we claim that  $e = (a^{-1} \wedge e)(a \vee e)$ ; this follows because

$$(a^{-1} \wedge e)(a \vee e) = (e \vee a^{-1}) \wedge (a \vee e) \geq e$$

and

$$(a^{-1} \wedge e)(a \vee e) = (e \wedge a) \vee (a^{-1} \wedge e) \leq e.$$

But then  $ge = eg = e$  and so  $e = g$ . Thus  $S_e$  is an  $l$ -group.

We shall now examine those unions of  $l$ -groups for which there is some control over the multiplication and lattice operations. By an  $l$ -band we shall mean a natural  $l$ -semigroup which is a band (that is, each element is idempotent). An  $l$ -band of  $l$ -groups is a union of  $l$ -groups

$$S = \cup \{S_e : e \in E(S)\}$$

where  $E(S)$  is an  $l$ -band, and for all  $a \in S_e$  and  $b \in S_f$ , we have that  $ab \in S_{ef}$ ,  $a \wedge b \in S_{e \Delta f}$  and  $a \vee b \in S_{e \nabla f}$ . Here  $\nabla$  and  $\Delta$  denote the lattice operations in  $E(S)$ ; this is necessary since  $E(S)$  need not be a sublattice of  $S$ . Note that requiring that  $ab \in S_{ef}$  makes  $S$  a band of the groups  $S_e$  (see [2], p.25).

We first look at  $l$ -bands themselves; the following theorem describes those which are rectangular, right regular and commutative. Recall that a band  $E$  is

rectangular if it can be represented as  $X \times Y$ , where  $(x_1, y_1)(x_2, y_2) = (x_1, y_2)$ , and if  $|X|=1$ , then  $E$  is a right zero semigroup (see [2] p.25). A band  $E$  is right regular if  $efe=fe$ , for all  $e, f \in E$ , (see [6]).

THEOREM 2. Let  $E$  be a band.

(a) If  $E=X \times Y$  is a rectangular band, the following partial order makes  $E$  an  $l$ -band: equip  $X$  and  $Y$  with arbitrary lattice orders, and put the direct product of these orders on  $E$ . Conversely, any rectangular  $l$ -band is of this form. In particular, any lattice order on a right zero semigroup makes it an  $l$ -band.

(b)  $E$  is a right regular  $l$ -band if and only if and only if  $E$  is a natural lattice of right zero semigroups, each of which is a sublattice of  $E$ . That is,  $E = \cup \{E_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ ,  $A$  is a lattice, and if  $e \in E_\alpha$  and  $f \in E_\beta$ , then  $e \vee f \in E_{\alpha \vee \beta}$ . If  $E$  is the set of idempotents of a natural  $l$ -semigroup  $S$ , then  $E$  is a join-semilattice of  $S$ .

(c)  $E$  is a commutative  $l$ -band if and only if  $E$  is a lattice. In this case the multiplication and meet operation of the  $l$ -band coincide. If  $E$  is the set of idempotents of a natural  $l$ -semigroup  $S$ , then  $E$  is a sublattice of  $S$  and  $e \wedge f = ef$ .

PROOF. (a) Direct computation verifies that a rectangular band equipped with such a partial order becomes an  $l$ -band. Conversely, if  $X \times Y$  is a rectangular  $l$ -band and  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ , define  $x_1 \vee x_2$  to be  $a$ , where  $(x_1, y) \vee (x_2, y) = (a, b)$  and define  $x_1 \wedge x_2$  similarly. It is easily checked that these definitions are well-defined and make  $X$  a lattice.

(b) Note that  $E$  is a right regular band if and only if it is a semilattice of its  $\mathcal{R}$ -classes (see [6]). First assume that  $E$  is a right regular  $l$ -band. We show that each  $\mathcal{R}$ -class is a sublattice. Now since  $E$  is natural,  $ef\tilde{\alpha}f$ , for all  $e, f \in E$ . Thus,

$$e \wedge f = (e \wedge f)^2 = e \wedge ef \wedge fe \wedge f \leq fe \wedge ef \leq e \wedge f,$$

and so  $e \wedge f = ef \wedge fe$ . But then,

$$\begin{aligned} (e \wedge f)ef &= ef \wedge fe f = ef, \\ ef(e \wedge f) &= fe \wedge ef = e \wedge f, \end{aligned}$$

and thus  $(e \wedge f) \mathcal{R} ef$ . Also, it is easily verified that if  $e \mathcal{R} f$ , then  $(e \vee f) \mathcal{R} e$ . Thus, each  $\mathcal{R}$ -class is clearly a sublattice. We now show that the index set  $A$  is a lattice. But since  $\mathcal{R}$  is a congruence relation on a right regular band, and  $(e \wedge f) \mathcal{R} ef$ , we have that  $A$  is a meet semilattice. Now suppose that  $e \mathcal{R} g$  and  $f \mathcal{R} h$ . The following then holds, because  $E$  is natural, and an idempotent in an  $\mathcal{R}$ -class is a left identity:



$$\begin{aligned}(e \vee f)(g \vee h) &= eg \vee eh \vee fg \vee fh = \\ (g \vee h) \vee (eh \vee fg) &= g \vee h.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $(e \vee f) \mathcal{R} (g \vee h)$  and so  $A$  is a lattice. Conversely, if  $E$  is a lattice of right zero semigroups as specified in the statement of the theorem, it will follow immediately that  $E$  is a right regular  $l$ -band if  $E$  is natural. But if  $ef = fe = e$ , then  $e \wedge f \in \mathcal{R}_{ef} = \mathcal{R}_e$  and

$$e \wedge f = e(e \wedge f) = e \wedge ef = e.$$

Finally, if  $E = E(S)$  where  $S$  is a natural  $l$ -semigroup, we of course have that

$$e \vee f \leq e \nabla f$$

where  $\vee$  is the join in  $S$  and  $\nabla$  is the join in  $E$ . However,  $e \vee f$  is an idempotent, because

$$(e \vee f)^2 = e \vee ef \vee fe \vee f = e \vee f$$

and so

$$e \vee f = e \nabla f.$$

(c) This follows almost immediately from (b), because the right zero semigroups there reduce to singletons. The last statement holds, because

$$ef \geq (e \wedge f)^2 = e \wedge ef \wedge fe \wedge f = ef.$$

Notice, of course, that (b) and (c) provide information about  $\mathcal{L}$ -unipotent and inverse semigroups which are  $l$ -bands of  $l$ -groups, since the sets of idempotents of these types of semigroups are respectively right regular or commutative bands.

We now characterize  $l$ -bands of  $l$ -groups.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $S$  be a natural  $l$ -semigroup. Then  $S$  is an  $l$ -band of  $l$ -groups if and only if*

- (1)  $S = \bigcup \{S_e : e \in E(S)\}$  is a band of groups, and
- (2) given  $a \in S_e$  and  $b \in S_f$ ,  $a \leq b$  if and only if  $e \leq f$  and  $a \leq ebe \in S_e$ .

**PROOF.** ( $\implies$ ) (1) is clear. If  $a \leq b$ , then  $a \vee b = b \in S_f$ , and so, by the definition of  $l$ -band of  $l$ -groups,  $e \vee f \in S_f$ ; thus,  $e \leq e \nabla f = f$ . Clearly,  $a = eae \leq ebe$ , and  $ebe \in S_{efe}$ . But

$$e = e^3 \leq efe \leq e$$

and so  $efe = e$ . Conversely,  $a \leq ebe \leq fbf \leq b$ . ( $\impliedby$ ) We first note that (2) implies that each  $S_e$  is convex, i.e., if  $a \leq x \leq b$  and  $a, b \in S_e$ , then  $x \in S_e$ . Now, by Theorem 1,  $S$  is a band of  $l$ -groups. We now must show that  $E(S)$  is an  $l$ -band. If  $e, f \in E(S)$  and  $e \vee f \in S_g$ , since  $e \leq e \vee f$ , we have by (2) that  $e \leq g$ . Thus,  $e \vee$

$f \leq g$ . Define  $e \nabla f = g$ . Similarly, if  $e \wedge f \in S_h$ , let  $e \Delta f = h$ . From (2) it is easy to check that  $e \Delta f$  and  $e \nabla f$  are respectively the g.l.b. and l.u.b. of  $E(S)$  with the order it inherits from  $S$ . Now,

$$e(f \nabla g) \geq ef \nabla eg \geq ef \nabla eg = e(f \nabla g).$$

But because we have a band of groups,  $e(f \nabla g) \in S_{e(f \nabla g)}$ . Since  $S_{e(f \nabla g)}$  is convex and each group contains only one idempotent,  $e(f \nabla g) = ef \nabla eg$ . The dual proof (and the proof for distributivity on the right) is similar. Now, if  $a \in S_e$  and  $b \in S_f$ , we must show that  $a \nabla b \in S_{e \nabla f}$ . Suppose that  $a \nabla b \in S_g$ . Then  $e \leq g$  and  $f \leq g$  and so  $e \nabla f \leq g$ . But then

$$e \nabla f = (e \nabla f)^3 \leq (e \nabla f)g(e \nabla f) \tilde{a}e \nabla f$$

and so  $(e \nabla f)g(e \nabla f) = e \nabla f$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} a \leq a \nabla a f \nabla f a \nabla f a f &= (e \nabla f)a(e \nabla f) \leq \\ (e \nabla f)(a \nabla b)(e \nabla f) &\leq g(a \nabla b)g = a \nabla b \end{aligned}$$

and similarly for  $b$ . Thus

$$a \nabla b \leq (e \nabla f)(a \nabla b)(e \nabla f) \leq a \nabla b$$

and so

$$a \nabla b = (e \nabla f)(a \nabla b)(e \nabla f) \in S_{(e \nabla f)g(e \nabla f)} = S_{e \nabla f}.$$

The proof for meets is similar.

We remark that in the case where  $S$  is an orthodox semigroup (1) is equivalent to

(1')  $S$  is equal to the kernel of its greatest idempotent separating congruence, i.e., for each  $x \in S$ , there exists an inverse  $x'$  of  $x$  such that  $x'xex'x = x'ex$ , for all  $e \in E(S)$  [4]. When  $S$  is inverse, this means simply that each element commutes with every idempotent. We also remark that we could replace (2) by

(2') given  $a \in S_e$  and  $b \in S_f$  with  $a \leq b$ , then  $e \leq f$ . Statement (2) above emphasizes that the order on  $S$  is completely determined by the order on  $E(S)$  and the orders on the  $l$ -groups  $S_e$ .

We can in fact explicitly describe the structure of an  $l$ -band of  $l$ -groups; this will enable us to construct examples of such semigroups. Let  $S = \cup \{S_e : e \in E(S)\}$  be an  $l$ -band of  $l$ -groups, and let

$$H = \prod \{S_e : e \in E(S)\},$$

the unrestricted cardinal product of the  $l$ -groups  $S_e$ ; that is,

$$H = \{a: E(S) \longrightarrow \bigcup S_e: a(e) \in S_e\},$$

and  $a \geq b$  if and only if  $a(e) \geq b(e)$  for all  $e$ . Now define  $G$  to be

$$\{a \in H: e[a(f)]e = a(e), \text{ whenever } e < f, e, f \in E(S)\}.$$

Note that  $e[a(f)]e$  is necessarily an element of  $S_e$ , and so this definition makes sense. Because the group and lattice operations in  $H$  are pointwise,  $G$  is clearly an  $l$ -subgroup of  $H$ .

We now show that each of the  $l$ -groups  $S_e$  is an  $l$ -homomorphic image of  $G$ . For consider

$$\phi_e: G \longrightarrow S_e: a \longrightarrow a(e).$$

This is clearly an  $l$ -homomorphism. We need only check that  $\phi_e$  is onto. Let

$$s \in (S_e)^+ = \{t \in S_e: t \geq e\};$$

Since  $(S_e)^+$  generates  $S_e$  as a group [1], it is sufficient to show that  $s$  is in the image of  $\phi_e$ . Define  $a \in H$  by letting  $a(f) = fsf \vee f$ . Now,  $fsf \in S_{fef}$  and  $fef \tilde{\alpha} f$ , and so  $a(f) \in S_f$ . Clearly  $a(e) = s$ ; thus it remains only to show that  $a \in G$ . For this purpose, suppose that  $f, g \in E(S)$  and  $f < g$ . Then

$$f[a(g)]f = f(gsg \vee g)f = fgs gf \vee fgf = fgs gf \vee f = fsf \vee f,$$

since  $s \geq gsg \geq fsf$ , and so  $fgsgf = fsf$ . Thus, each  $l$ -group  $S_e$  is an element of  $H(G)$ , the lattice of  $l$ -homomorphic images of  $G(H(G))$  is just the antilattice of  $\mathcal{L}(G)$ , the lattice of  $l$ -ideals of  $G$ , that is, the kernels of  $l$ -homomorphisms; see [3]). In fact, we may define  $\phi: E(S) \longrightarrow H(G)$  by  $\phi(e) = S_e$ . If  $e < f$ , then  $\phi(e)$  is an  $l$ -homomorphic image of  $\phi(f)$  via the map  $a \longrightarrow eae$ , and so the map  $\phi$  is in fact order-preserving. The order and multiplication on  $S$  may then be defined as follows. Let

$$a_1 = K_1 g_1 \in G/K_1 = \phi(e_1) \text{ and } a_2 = K_2 g_2 \in G/K_2 = \phi(e_2).$$

Then

$$a_1 a_2 = K_3 g_1 g_2 \in G/K_3 = \phi(e_1 e_2) \text{ and } a_1 \leq a_2 \text{ iff } K_1 \supseteq K_2 \text{ and } K_1 g_1 \leq K_1 g_2.$$

Conversely, we may use the ideas above to construct examples of  $l$ -bands of  $l$ -groups. Let  $G$  be an  $l$ -group,  $E$  an  $l$ -band, and  $L$  a subset of  $H(G)$  with  $\phi: E \longrightarrow L$  an order-preserving map onto  $L$ . For each  $e \in E$ , choose a distinct copy of the  $l$ -group  $\phi(e)$ , and let  $S$  be the disjoint union of all such  $l$ -groups. Then we may define multiplication and order as in (\*) above. It is easy to check that  $S$  is a band of groups, and that the order on  $E$  agrees with the order defined on  $S$ . From Theorem 3, it remains only to show that  $S$  is an

$l$ -semigroup. But  $S$  is clearly a lattice of lattices, and so a lattice. That multiplication distributes over the lattice operations follows because this is true in both  $E$  and in each  $\phi(e)$ .

We will conclude with two simple examples of unions of  $l$ -groups which are not  $l$ -bands of  $l$ -groups. Let  $S = \mathbf{R}^+ \times \{0, 1\}$  equipped with the following total order:

$$(r, \alpha) < (s, \beta) \text{ iff } r < s \text{ or } r = s \text{ and } \alpha < \beta.$$

Define multiplication on  $S$  by letting

$$(r, \alpha) \cdot (s, \beta) = (rs, \alpha\beta).$$

Then  $S$  is a band of the groups  $\mathbf{R}^+ \times \{0\}$  and  $\mathbf{R}^+ \times \{1\}$  and is a natural  $l$ -semigroup with idempotents  $(1, 0)$  and  $(1, 1)$ . However, condition (2) of Theorem 3 makes it obvious that  $S$  is not an  $l$ -band of  $l$ -groups. Consider the ordered set  $S$  again with the following multiplication:

$$(r, \alpha) \cdot (s, \beta) = \begin{cases} (rs, 0) & \text{if } rs < 1 \\ (rs, \alpha\beta) & \text{if } rs \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

Then  $S$  is a union of the  $l$ -groups  $\mathbf{R}^+ \times \{0\}$  and  $\mathbf{R}^+ \times \{1\}$  but is not a band of groups.

Indiana University,  
Indiana 46805,  
U. S. A.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. Bigard, K. Keimel, and S. Wolfenstein, *Groupes et Anneaux Réticulés*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977.
- [2] A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *The algebraic theory of semigroups*, AMS, Providence, 1961.
- [3] P. Conrad, *Lattice-ordered groups*, Lecture Notes, Tulane Math. Library, 1970.
- [4] C. C. Edwards, *The greatest idempotent separating and the minimum group congruences on an orthodox semigroup*, to appear.
- [5] L. Fuchs, *Teilweise geordnete algebraische Strukturen*, Vandenhoeck and Ruprecht, Göttingen, 1966.
- [6] N. Kimura, *The structure of idempotent semigroups (I)*, Pacific J. Math. 8(1958), 257-275.