

## ON CLASSES OF NON-NORMAL OPERATORS

BY YOUNGOH YANG

## 1. Introduction

Let  $B(H)$  denote the set of all bounded linear operators acting on the complex Hilbert space  $H$ . Let  $R(T, z) = (T - z)^{-1}$ , be the resolvent of  $T$  at  $z$ . Let  $\sigma(T)$ ,  $\overline{\sigma(T)}$ ,  $\overline{W(T)}$ ,  $r(T)$  and  $\omega(T)$  respectively denote the spectrum, the convex hull of the spectrum, the closure of the numerical range, the spectral radius and the numerical radius of an operator  $T$ . For an operator  $T$ , the following inequalities hold;  $\omega(T) \leq \|T\| \leq 2\omega(T)$ . An operator  $T$  is *normaloid* [3] if  $\omega(T) = \|T\|$ , *spectraloid* [3] if  $r(T) = \omega(T)$ . We shall introduce a new class of operators as follows: An operator  $T$  is of class  $N_2$  (denote  $T \in N_2$ ) if  $\|T\| = 2\omega(T)$ . In a recent paper [2], Furuta introduced new classes of operators:  $T \in S$  if  $\|R(T, z)\| = 1/d(z, \sigma(T))$  for all  $z \in \overline{W(T)}$  and  $T \in P$  if  $\overline{W(T)} = \sigma(T)$ . An operator  $T$  satisfies the *sequential  $G_1$  property* (denote  $T \in G_s$ ) [4] if for every  $z \in \partial\sigma(T)$ , the boundary of  $\sigma(T)$ , there exists a sequence  $\{z_n\}$  in  $\rho(T)$ , the resolvent set of  $T$ , such that (i)  $z_n \rightarrow z$  (ii)  $\|R(T, z_n)\| = 1/d(z_n, \sigma(T))$  for all  $n$ . Let  $\pi$  be the quotient map from  $B(H)$  onto the Calkin algebra  $B(H)/C(H)$  where  $C(H)$  denotes the set of all compact operators in  $B(H)$ . An operator  $T \in B(H)$  is *essentially  $N_2$* , *essentially  $P$* , *essentially  $S$*  and *essentially  $G_s$*  if  $\pi(T)$  is an element of  $N_2$ ,  $P$ ,  $S$  and  $G_s$  respectively. We denote each of these sets by  $e(N_2)$ ,  $e(P)$ ,  $e(S)$  and  $e(G_s)$  respectively. Let  $\sigma_e(T)$ ,  $W_e(T)$ ,  $r_e(T)$  and  $\omega_e(T)$  denote the essential spectrum, the essentially numerical range, the essentially spectral radius and the essentially numerical radius of an operator  $T$  respectively.

In this paper, we obtain sufficient conditions for an operator to be in class  $N_2$ , class  $e(P)$ , class  $e(S)$  and class  $G_s$ , and discuss some topological properties of these classes.

## 2. Constructions

In this section, we give general methods to construct operators of class  $N_2$ ,  $e(N_2)$ ,  $e(P)$ ,  $e(S)$ ,  $G_s$  and  $e(G_s)$ . Methods to construct operators of class  $P$  and class  $S$  are given by Furuta [2]. It is easily shown that class  $N_2$  and class  $S$  are closed under  $\oplus$  operation.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *If  $A$  is any operator and  $B \in N_2$  (resp.  $e(N_2)$ ) with  $\|A\| \leq \omega(B)$  (resp.  $\|\pi(A)\| \leq \omega_e(B)$ ), then  $T = A \oplus B \in N_2$  (resp.  $e(N_2)$ ).*

*Proof.*  $\|T\| = \max\{\|A\|, \|B\|\} = \|B\| = 2\omega(B)$ . On the other hand,  $\omega(T) = \max\{\omega(A), \omega(B)\} = \omega(B)$ . Hence  $\|T\| = 2\omega(T)$ , so that  $T \in N_2$ . The proof where  $B \in e(N_2)$  with  $\|\pi(A)\| \leq \omega_e(B)$  is similar.

**THEOREM 2.2.** *If  $T \in e(N_2)$ , then there is a compact operator  $K$  such that  $T+K \in N_2$ .*

*Proof.* By [1], there is a compact operator  $K$  such that  $W_e(T) = \overline{W(T+K)}$  and  $\|\pi(T)\| = \|T+K\|$ . Hence  $\|T+K\| = \|\pi(T)\| = 2\omega(\pi(T)) = 2\omega_e(T) = 2\omega_e(T+K)$ , so that  $T+K \in N_2$ .

We have the following: if  $T$  is essentially spectraloid, then there is a compact operator  $K$  such that  $T+K$  is spectraloid by a similar proof to Theorem 2.2.

Using methods of constructing operators of class  $P$  and class  $S$  [2], we obtain the following results: (a) If  $A$  is any operator and  $B \in e(P)$  with  $W_e(A) \subset \sigma_e(B)$ , then  $T = A \oplus B \in e(P)$ , and

(b) If  $A$  is any operator and  $B \in e(S)$  such that  $d(z, \sigma_e(B)) \leq d(z, W_e(A))$  for all  $z \in W_e(B)$ , then  $T = A \oplus B \in e(S)$ .

**THEOREM 2.3.** *If  $A$  is any operator and  $B \in G_s$  (resp.  $e(G_s)$ ) with  $\overline{W(A)} \subset \sigma(B)$  (resp.  $W_e(A) \subset \sigma_e(B)$ ), then  $T = A \oplus B \in G_s$  (resp.  $e(G_s)$ ).*

*Proof.* We have  $\sigma(T) = \sigma(A) \cup \sigma(B) = \sigma(B)$ . For each  $z \in \partial\sigma(T)$ , there exists a sequence  $\{z_n\}$  in  $\rho(T)$  such that (i)  $z_n \rightarrow z$  (ii)  $\|R(B, z_n)\| = 1/d(z_n, \sigma(B))$  for all  $n$ . Hence  $\|R(T, z_n)\| = \max\{\|R(A, z_n)\|, \|R(B, z_n)\|\} = \max\{\|R(A, z_n)\|, 1/d(z_n, \sigma(B))\} = 1/d(z_n, \sigma(B)) = 1/d(z_n, \sigma(T))$ , so that  $T = A \oplus B \in G_s$ . The proof where  $B \in e(G_s)$  with  $W_e(A) \subset \sigma_e(B)$  is similar.

### 3. Topological properties

In this section, we assume that  $B(H)$  has the uniform operator topology. It is easily shown that (a)  $T \in N_2$  (resp.  $P$  and  $G_s$ ) implies  $T^* \in N_2$  (resp.  $P$  and  $G_s$ ), and (b)  $N_2$  is an arcwise connected, closed subset of  $B(H)$ .

**THEOREM 3.1.**  *$P$  is an arcwise connected, closed subset of  $B(H)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\sigma(aT) = a\sigma(T)$  and  $W(aT) = aW(T)$ ,  $T \in P$  implies  $aT \in P$  for every complex number  $a$ . We see that the ray in  $B(H)$  through  $T$  is contained in  $P$ . Therefore  $P$  is arcwise connected. Let  $\{T_n\}$  be a sequence in  $P$  such that  $T_n \rightarrow T$  in  $B(H)$ . By [3 Problem 175],  $\overline{W(T_n)} \rightarrow \overline{W(T)}$ . On the other hand,  $\sigma(T_n) \rightarrow \sigma(T)$  by [5] since  $P \subset G_1$ . Hence  $\overline{W(T)} = \sigma(T)$ .

From the above facts, it follows that  $e(N_2)$  and  $e(P)$  are closed subsets of  $B(H)$ .

**THEOREM 3.2.**  *$S$  is an arcwise connected subset of class of convexoid operators and strongly dense in  $B(H)$ .*

*Proof.* It is obvious that  $S$  is arcwise connected. Let  $T \in B(H)$  be arbitrary and  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset H$  and let  $M$  be the space generated by  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ . We define the operator  $A$  on  $H$  as follows;  $Ax_i = Tx_i$ ,  $i=1, \dots, n$  and  $Ax=0$  if  $x \in M^\perp$ . We consider a normal operator  $N$  on  $M^\perp$  such that  $d(z, \sigma(N)) \leq d(z, W(A))$  for all  $z \in \overline{W(N)}$ . By [2],  $A \oplus N \in S$  and since it coincides with  $T$  on  $x_i$ , the strong density is clear.

In a similar way, we obtain that  $G_s$  is an arcwise connected subset of  $B(H)$  and strongly dense in  $B(H)$ .

**References**

1. M. Fujii & R. Nakamoto, *On a conjecture of Leucke*, Math. Japonica **22** (1977), 79-82.
2. T. Furuta, *Relations between generalized growth conditions and several classes of convexoid operators*, Can. J. Math. **5** (1977), 1010-1030.
3. P. R. Halmos, *A Hilbert space problem book*, Springer-Verlag, New York (1974).
4. V. I. Istratescu, *Introducion to linear operator theory*, Marcel Dekker Inc., (1981).
5. G. R. Luecke, *Topological properties of paranormal operators on Hilbert space* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **172** (1972), 35-43.
6. \_\_\_\_\_ *Essentially  $(G_1)$  operators and essentially convexoid operators on Hilbert space*, Illinois J. Math. **19** (1975), 389-399.

Korea Naval Academy  
Jinhae 602, Korea