

AN APPLICATION OF THE FRACTIONAL CALCULUS III

SHIGEYOSHI OWA

I. Introduction

Let \mathcal{S} denote the class of functions of the form

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

that are analytic and univalent in the unit disk $\mathcal{U} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. Then a function $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}$ is said to be starlike of order α ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$) if and only if

$$(1.2) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \alpha \quad (z \in \mathcal{U})$$

and a function $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}$ is said to be convex of order α ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$) if and only if

$$(1.3) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > \alpha \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

And we denote by $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$ the class of all starlike functions of order α and by $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$ the class of all convex functions of order α .

The class $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$ was first studied by Robertson [8] and later Merkes, Robertson and Scott [5], Schild [11], Bogowski, Jablonski and Stankiewicz [1] and Jack [3]. In particular, the class $\mathcal{S}^*(1/2)$ was studied by Schild [12] and MacGregor [4]. Further the subclass $\mathcal{T}^*(\alpha)$ of $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$ and the subclass $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ of $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$ consisting of analytic and univalent functions with negative coefficients were studied by Silverman [13].

We say that a function $f(z)$ defined by (1.1) is in the class $\mathcal{O}(\theta_n)$ if $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}$ and $\arg(a_n) = \theta_n$ for all $n \geq 2$. If, further, there exists a real number β such that

$$(1.4) \quad \theta_n + (n-1)\beta \equiv \pi \pmod{2\pi}$$

then $f(z)$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{O}(\theta_n; \beta)$. The union of $\mathcal{O}(\theta_n; \beta)$ taken over all possible sequences $\{\theta_n\}$ and all possible real numbers β is denoted by \mathcal{O} .

Let $\mathcal{O}^*(\alpha)$ denote the subclass of \mathcal{O} consisting of starlike functions of order α . And let $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ denote the subclass of \mathcal{O} consisting of convex functions of order α .

For these classes, Silverman [14] gave many interesting results and three open problems.

Now, several essentially equivalent definitions of the fractional calculus have been given in the literature (cf., e. g., [2], [6], [9], [10] and [15]). We find it convenient to restrict ourselves to the following definitions of the fractional calculus used recently by Owa [7].

DEFINITION 1. The fractional integral of order λ is defined by

$$(1.5) \quad D_z^{-\lambda}f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_0^z \frac{f(\zeta) d\zeta}{(z-\zeta)^{1-\lambda}},$$

where $\lambda > 0$, $f(z)$ is an analytic function in a simply connected region of the z -plane containing the origin and the multiplicity of $(z-\zeta)^{\lambda-1}$ is removed by requiring $\log(z-\zeta)$ to be real when $(z-\zeta) > 0$.

DEFINITION 2. The fractional derivative of order λ is defined by

$$(1.6) \quad D_z^\lambda f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\lambda)} \frac{d}{dz} \int_0^z \frac{f(\zeta) d\zeta}{(z-\zeta)^\lambda}$$

where $0 < \lambda < 1$, $f(z)$ is an analytic function in a simply connected region of the z -plane containing the origin and the multiplicity of $(z-\zeta)^{-\lambda}$ is removed by requiring $\log(z-\zeta)$ to be real when $(z-\zeta) > 0$.

DEFINITION 3. Under the hypotheses of Definition 2, the fractional derivative of order $(n+\lambda)$ is defined by

$$(1.7) \quad D_z^{n+\lambda}f(z) = \frac{d^n}{dz^n} D_z^\lambda f(z),$$

where $0 < \lambda < 1$ and $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

2. The classes $\mathcal{O}^*(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$

Recently Silverman [14] showed the following lemmas for functions in $\mathcal{O}^*(\alpha)$.

LEMMA 1. If the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.1) is in the class $\mathcal{O}^*(\alpha)$, then

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-\alpha) |a_n| \leq 1-\alpha.$$

LEMMA 2. If the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.1) is in the class $\mathcal{O}^*(\alpha)$, then

$$(2.2) \quad |z| - \frac{1-\alpha}{2-\alpha} |z|^2 \leq |f(z)| \leq |z| + \frac{1-\alpha}{2-\alpha} |z|^2$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad 1 - \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{2-\alpha} |z| \leq |f'(z)| \leq 1 + \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{2-\alpha} |z|$$

for $z \in \mathcal{U}$. Equality in all cases occurs for

$$(2.4) \quad f(z) = z + \frac{1-\alpha}{2-\alpha} e^{i\theta} z^2$$

at $z = \pm |z| e^{-i\theta}$.

THEOREM 1. *If the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.1) is in the class $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$, then*

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-\alpha) |a_n| \leq 1-\alpha.$$

Proof. We employ the same technique as used by Silverman [14]. We assume that

$$(2.6) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^2 a_n z^{n-1}}{1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_n z^{n-1}} \right\} > \alpha$$

for $z \in \mathcal{U}$. For $f(z) \in \mathcal{O}(\theta_n; \beta)$ we set $z = r e^{i\beta}$ in (2.6) and let $r \rightarrow 1^-$. Upon clearing the denominator in (2.6) we obtain

$$(2.7) \quad 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^2 |a_n| \geq \alpha \left(1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n |a_n| \right)$$

which shows (2.5). This completes the proof of the theorem.

THEOREM 2. *If the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.1) is in the class $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$, then*

$$(2.8) \quad |z| - \frac{1-\alpha}{2(2-\alpha)} |z|^2 \leq |f(z)| \leq |z| + \frac{1-\alpha}{2(2-\alpha)} |z|^2$$

and

$$(2.9) \quad 1 - \frac{1-\alpha}{2-\alpha} |z| \leq |f'(z)| \leq 1 + \frac{1-\alpha}{2-\alpha} |z|$$

for $z \in \mathcal{U}$. Equality in all cases occurs for

$$(2.10) \quad f(z) = z + \frac{1-\alpha}{2(2-\alpha)} e^{i\theta} z^2$$

at $z = \pm |z| e^{-i\theta_2}$.

Proof. We use a method of Silverman [13]. In view of Theorem 1, we can see that

$$(2.11) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| \leq \frac{1-\alpha}{2(2-\alpha)}.$$

Hence, by using (2.11), we have

$$(2.12) \quad |f(z)| \leq |z| + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| |z|^n \leq |z| + |z|^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| \leq |z| + \frac{1-\alpha}{2(2-\alpha)} |z|^2$$

which gives the right hand side of (2.8).

Similarly, we get

$$(2.13) \quad |f(z)| \geq |z| - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| |z|^n \geq |z| - |z|^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| \geq |z| - \frac{1-\alpha}{2(2-\alpha)} |z|^2$$

which gives the left hand side of (2.8).

Further, with the aid of Theorem 1, we have

$$(2.14) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n |a_n| \leq \frac{1-\alpha}{2-\alpha}.$$

Consequently, by means of (2.14),

$$(2.15) \quad |f'(z)| \leq 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n |a_n| |z|^{n-1} \leq 1 + |z| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n |a_n| \leq 1 + \frac{1-\alpha}{2-\alpha} |z|.$$

On the other hand,

$$(2.16) \quad |f'(z)| \geq 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n |a_n| |z|^{n-1} \geq 1 - |z| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n |a_n| \geq 1 - \frac{1-\alpha}{2-\alpha} |z|.$$

Thus we have the theorem.

COROLLARY 1. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 2, $f(z)$ is included in a disk with its center at the origin and radius $(5-3\alpha)/2(2-\alpha)$, and $f'(z)$ is included in a disk with its center at the origin and radius $(3-2\alpha)/(2-\alpha)$.*

3. Application of the fractional calculus

For $f(z)$ defined by (1.1), we put

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} F(z) &= \Gamma(2+\lambda) z^{-\lambda} D_z^{-\lambda} f(z) \\ &= z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(2+\lambda)}{\Gamma(n+1+\lambda)} a_n z^n \end{aligned} \quad (\lambda > 0)$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} G(z) &= \Gamma(2-\lambda)z^\lambda D_z^\lambda f(z) \\ &= z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(2-\lambda)}{\Gamma(n+1-\lambda)} a_n z^n \end{aligned} \quad (0 < \lambda < 1).$$

Now, we need the following lemma for functions in \mathfrak{O} by Silverman [14].

LEMMA 3. *If the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.1) is in the class \mathfrak{O} , then*

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n |a_n| \leq 1.$$

THEOREM 3. *If the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.1) is in the class \mathfrak{O} , then*

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{|z|^{1+\lambda}}{2\Gamma(2+\lambda)}(2-|z|) \leq |D_z^{-\lambda}f(z)| \leq \frac{|z|^{1+\lambda}}{2\Gamma(2+\lambda)}(2+|z|)$$

for $\lambda > 0$ and $z \in \mathcal{U}$, and

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{|z|^{1-\lambda}}{2\Gamma(2-\lambda)}(2-|z|) \leq |D_z^\lambda f(z)| \leq \frac{|z|^{1-\lambda}}{2\Gamma(2-\lambda)}(2+|z|)$$

for $0 < \lambda < 1$ and $z \in \mathcal{U}$.

Proof. Since $f(z) \in \mathfrak{O}$, (3.1) implies that $F(z) \in \mathfrak{O}$. Therefore, by using Lemma 3, we get

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} 2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(2+\lambda)}{\Gamma(n+1+\lambda)} |a_n| \\ \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(2+\lambda)}{\Gamma(n+1+\lambda)} |a_n| \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} |F(z)| &= |\Gamma(2+\lambda)z^{-\lambda}D_z^{-\lambda}f(z)| \\ &\leq |z| + |z|^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(2+\lambda)}{\Gamma(n+1+\lambda)} |a_n| \\ &\leq |z| + \frac{1}{2}|z|^2 \end{aligned}$$

which implies the right hand side of (3.4).

Similarly we have the left hand side of (3.4).

Finally the second half of theorem follows from $G(z) \in \mathfrak{O}$.

COROLLARY 2. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 3, $D_z^{-\lambda}f(z)$ is included in a disk with its center at the origin and radius $3/2\Gamma(2+\lambda)$, and $D_z^\lambda f(z)$ is included in a disk with its center at the origin and radius $3/2\Gamma(2-\lambda)$.*

THEOREM 4. *If the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.1) in the class $\mathfrak{O}^*(\alpha)$, then*

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{|z|^{1+\lambda}}{\Gamma(2+\lambda)} \left(1 - \frac{1-\alpha}{2-\alpha} |z|\right) \leq |D_z^{-\lambda} f(z)| \\ \leq \frac{|z|^{1+\lambda}}{\Gamma(2+\lambda)} \left(1 - \frac{1-\alpha}{2-\alpha} |z|\right)$$

for $\lambda > 0$ and $z \in \mathcal{U}$, and

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{|z|^{1-\lambda}}{\Gamma(2-\lambda)} \left\{1 - \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{2-\alpha} |z|\right\} \leq |D_z^\lambda f(z)| \\ \leq \frac{|z|^{1-\lambda}}{\Gamma(2-\lambda)} \left\{1 + \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{2-\alpha} |z|\right\}$$

for $0 < \lambda < 1$ and $z \in \mathcal{U}$.

Proof. Since $f(z) \in \mathcal{O}^*(\alpha)$, (2.1) gives that

$$(3.10) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| \leq \frac{1-\alpha}{2-\alpha},$$

Note that

$$(3.11) \quad 0 < \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(2+\lambda)}{\Gamma(n+1+\lambda)} < 1$$

for $n \geq 2$ and $\lambda > 0$. Hence, with the aid of (3.10), we obtain

$$(3.12) \quad |F(z)| = |\Gamma(2+\lambda) z^{-\lambda} D_z^{-\lambda} f(z)| \\ \leq |z| + |z|^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| \\ \leq |z| + \frac{1-\alpha}{2-\alpha} |z|^2$$

and

$$(3.13) \quad |F(z)| = |\Gamma(2+\lambda) z^{-\lambda} D_z^{-\lambda} f(z)| \\ \geq |z| - |z|^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| \\ \geq |z| - \frac{1-\alpha}{2-\alpha} |z|^2$$

for $\lambda > 0$ and $z \in \mathcal{U}$. Thus we can see that (3.4) follows from (3.12) and (3.13).

Next, it is easy that

$$(3.14) \quad \frac{2-\alpha}{2} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n |a_n| \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-\alpha) |a_n| \leq 1-\alpha$$

and

$$(3.15) \quad 1 < \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(2-\lambda)}{\Gamma(n+1-\lambda)} < n$$

for $n \geq 2$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$. Consequently, by using (3.14) and (3.15), we get

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} |G(z)| &= |\Gamma(2-\lambda)z^\lambda D_z^\lambda f(z)| \\ &\leq |z| + |z|^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n |a_n| \\ &\leq |z| + \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{2-\alpha} |z|^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} |G(z)| &= |\Gamma(2-\lambda)z^\lambda D_z^\lambda f(z)| \\ &\geq |z| - |z|^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n |a_n| \\ &\geq |z| - \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{2-\alpha} |z|^2 \end{aligned}$$

which shows (3.5). Thus we have the theorem.

COROLLARY 3. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 4, $D_z^{-\lambda}f(z)$ is included in a disk with its center at the origin and radius $(3-2\alpha)/(2-\alpha)\Gamma(2+\lambda)$, and $D_z^\lambda f(z)$ is included in a disk with its center at the origin and radius $(4-3\alpha)/(2-\alpha)\Gamma(2-\lambda)$.

THEOREM 5. If the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.1) is in the class $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$, then

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{|z|^{1+\lambda}}{\Gamma(2+\lambda)} \left\{ 1 - \frac{1-\alpha}{2(2-\alpha)} |z| \right\} &\leq |D_z^{-\lambda}f(z)| \\ &\leq \frac{|z|^{1+\lambda}}{\Gamma(2+\lambda)} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1-\alpha}{2(2-\alpha)} |z| \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for $\lambda > 0$ and $z \in \mathcal{U}$, and

$$(3.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{|z|^{1-\lambda}}{\Gamma(2-\lambda)} \left(1 - \frac{1-\alpha}{2-\alpha} |z| \right) &\leq |D_z^\lambda f(z)| \\ &\leq \frac{|z|^{1-\lambda}}{\Gamma(2-\lambda)} \left(1 + \frac{1-\alpha}{2-\alpha} |z| \right) \end{aligned}$$

for $0 < \lambda < 1$ and $z \in \mathcal{U}$.

The proof of Theorem 5 is obtained by using the same technique as in the proof of Theorem 4 with the aid of (2.5).

COROLLARY 4. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 5, $D_z^{-\lambda}f(z)$ is included in a disk with its center at the origin and radius $(5-3\alpha)/2(2-\alpha)\Gamma(2+\lambda)$, and $D_z^\lambda f(z)$ is included in a disk with its center at the origin and radius

$$(3-2\alpha)/(2-\alpha)\Gamma(2-\lambda).$$

References

1. F. Bogowski, F.F. Jablonski and J. Stankiewicz, *Subordination en domaine et inégalités des modules pour certaines classes de fonctions holomorphes dans le cercle unité*, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Sklodowska, **20** (1966), 23-28.
2. A. Erdelyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger and F.G. Tricomi, *Tables of Integral Transforms, II*, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, Toronto and London, 1954.
3. I.S. Jack, *Functions starlike and convex of order α* , J. London Math. Soc. **3** (1971), 469-474.
4. T.H. MacGregor, *The radius of convexity of starlike functions of order $1/2$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **14** (1963), 71-76.
5. E.P. Merkes, M.S. Robertson and W.T. Scott, *On products of starlike functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **13** (1962), 960-964.
6. K. Nishimoto, *Fractional derivative and integral*. Part I, J. Coll. Engrg. Nihon Univ. **B-17** (1976), 11-19.
7. S. Owa, *On the distortion theorems*. I, Kyungpook Math. J. **18** (1978), 53-59.
8. M.S. Robertson, *On the theory of univalent functions*, Ann. Math. **37** (1936), 374-408.
9. B. Ross, *A brief history and exposition of the fundamental theory of fractional calculus, in fractional calculus and its applications* (B. Ross, ed.), Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg and Berlin, 1975, 1-36.
10. M. Saigo, *A remark on integral operators involving the Gauss hypergeometric functions*, Math. Rep. Kyushu Univ. **11** (1978), 135-143.
11. A. Schild, *On starlike functions of order α* , Amer. J. Math. **87** (1965), 65-70.
12. A. Schild, *On a class of univalent, starshaped mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **9** (1958), 751-757. 4
13. H. Silverman, *Univalent functions with negative coefficients*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **51** (1975), 109-116.
14. H. Silverman, *Univalent functions with varying arguments*, Houston J. Math. **7** (1981), 283-287.
15. H.M. Srivastava and R. G. Buschman, *Convolution Integral Equations with Special Function Kernels*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, London, Sydney and Toronto, 1977.

Department of Mathematics
 Kinki University
 Osaka, Japan