

## ON A COMPARISON THEOREM FOR PSEUDOMETRICS OF RIEMANN SURFACES

DONG PYO CHI, IL HAE LEE, SA GE LEE, SANG MOON KIM

Let  $S$  be a complex manifold and let  $\rho$  be a non-negative real valued function on  $S \times S$ . If  $\rho(x, y) = \rho(y, x)$ ,  $\rho(x, y) + \rho(y, z) \geq \rho(x, z)$  and  $\rho(x, x) = 0$  for any three points  $\{x, y, z\}$  in  $S$ , then  $\rho$  is called a *pseudometric* on  $S$ . We call any system which assigns a pseudometric to each complex manifold a *Schwarz-Pick system* if it satisfies the following conditions;

(a) The distance assigned to the unit open disk in the complex plane is the Poincare metric (with constant negative curvature  $-4$ ).

(b) If  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  are pseudometrics assigned to the complex manifolds  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  respectively, then  $\rho(h(x), h(y)) \leq \rho(x, y)$  for all holomorphic mappings  $h: S_1 \rightarrow S_2$  and for each pair of points  $x$  and  $y$  in  $S_1$ . It is well known that the Kobayashi pseudometric is the largest and the Caratheodory pseudometric is the smallest one which can be assigned to complex manifolds by a Schwarz-Pick system.

The *Kobayashi pseudometric*  $K$  on  $S$  is defined as follows; For any pair of points  $x$  and  $y$  on  $S$ , we take a finite number of points  $x = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_k = y$  of  $S$ , points  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k$  of the unit disk  $D$  in the complex plane, and holomorphic mappings  $f_1, \dots, f_k$  of  $D$  into  $S$  such that  $f(a_i) = x_{i-1}$  and  $f(b_i) = x_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . For each choice of points and holomorphic mappings, we consider the number

$$\rho(a_1, b_1) + \dots + \rho(a_k, b_k).$$

Let  $K(x, y)$  be the infimum of the numbers obtained in this manner for all possible choices. The function  $K$  is called the Kobayashi pseudometric on  $S$ . For the definitions and other relevant results about pseudometrics, one can refer to [1] or [2].

The harmonic distance is defined in [4]. For convenience of readers we give the definition in the following. We call a real valued function  $u$  on a complex manifold  $S$  harmonic (=pluriharmonic) if it is given locally by the real part of a holomorphic function. That is, for each  $z_0 \in S$ , there

is a holomorphic function defined on an open neighborhood  $V$  of  $z_0$  with  $\text{real}(f(z))=u(z)$  for all  $z$  in  $V$ . Let  $G$  be the family of all harmonic functions  $h$  on the unit disk  $D$  which satisfies  $h(0)=0$  and  $-1<h(z)<1$  for all  $z \in D$ . Let  $m(0, x)=\sup\{h(x) : h \in G\}$ , where  $0<x<1$ . Then it is well known that  $m(0, x)=\frac{4}{\pi}\tan^{-1}x$ . Let  $m(z_1, z_2)=\sup\{h(z_1), h(z_2) : h \in F\}$ , where  $F$  denotes all of the harmonic functions  $h : S \rightarrow (-1, 1)$  with  $h(z_1)=0$  or  $h(z_2)=0$ . Set  $n(z_1, z_2)=\tan\frac{\pi}{4}m(z_1, z_2)$ . The *harmoni distance*  $H$  is defined by the real valued function  $H : S \times S \rightarrow R$ , with  $H(z_1, z_2)=P(0, n(z_1, z_2))$ , where  $P$  is the Poincare metric of the unit disk  $D$ . It is clear that  $H$  satisfies Schwarz-Pick system except triangle inequality.

MAIN RESULTS: In the above we defined  $m(0, x)=\left\{\frac{4}{\pi}\tan^{-1}x, \text{ for positive real } x \text{ in } D\right\}$ , we need a description of the harmonic function  $H : D \rightarrow (-1, 1)$  with  $h(x)=m(0, x)$ . The function  $h$  is well known, but, for convenience of our discussion, we describe it in the following. Consider the holomorphic one to one map  $f$  of the unit disk  $D$  onto  $I=\{z : |\text{real}(z)| < 1\}$  with the conditions  $f(0)=0$  and  $f(x) \geq 0$  for  $0 \leq x < 1$ . Then  $f(z)$  is given by

$$\frac{2i}{\pi} \text{Log} \frac{z+i}{1+iz} + 1, \text{ where } i = \sqrt{-1}.$$

Let  $z=re^{i\theta}$ . Then the argument of  $z+i/1+iz$  is given

$$\frac{\pi i}{2} - i \tan^{-1} \frac{2r \cos \theta}{1-r^2}.$$

Hence we have

$$\text{real}(f(z)) = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{2r \cos \theta}{1-r^2}$$

and

$$f(x) = \frac{4}{\pi} \tan^{-1}x.$$

For convenience we describe this function in the following lemma.

LEMMA 1. *Let  $f$  be the holomorphic one to one map of the unit disk  $D=\{z : |z| < 1\}$  onto  $I=\{z : -1 < \text{real}(z) < 1\}$ . With the restriction  $f(0)=0$  and  $f(x) \geq 0$  for all  $0 \leq x < 1$  and  $x \in D$ . Then it is given by*

$$f(z) = \frac{2i}{\pi} \text{Log} \frac{z+i}{1+iz} + 1, \text{ where } \sqrt{-1}=i.$$

*The real part of  $f(z)$  gives the maximal harmonic function*

$$\operatorname{real}(f(z)) = \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \frac{2r \cos \theta}{1-r^2}, \text{ where } z = re^{i\theta},$$

and

$$f(x) = m(0, x) = \frac{4}{\pi} \tan^{-1} x.$$

Let  $G$  be the family of all harmonic functions  $h : S \rightarrow (-1, 1)$   $h(z_1) = 0$  or  $h(z_2) = 0$ . Set  $m(z_1, z_2) = \sup \{h(z_1), h(z_2) : h \in G\}$ . The function space  $G$  is compact for the uniform convergence on the compact subsets of  $S$ . Hence there is an element  $g$  in  $G$  with  $g(z_1) = m(z_1, z_2)$  or  $g(z_2) = m(z_1, z_2)$ , we call such a function the *maximal harmonic* function with respect to  $z_1$  and  $z_2$ .

LEMMA 2. *Let  $S$  be a Riemann surface which admits the unit disk  $D$  as the holomorphic covering surface. Let  $h$  be the maximal harmonic function with respect to  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  with  $h(z_1) = 0$ . Then  $K(z_1, z_2) = H(z_1, z_2)$  holds for any distinct points in  $S$  if and only if there is a covering map  $\pi : D \rightarrow S$  with  $\pi(0) = z_1$ ,  $\pi(x_1) = z_2$ ,  $K(0, x) = K(z_1, z_2)$  and  $\pi \circ h = \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \frac{2r \cos \theta}{1-r^2}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\pi \circ h = \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \frac{2r \cos \theta}{1-r^2}$  holds with the covering map  $\pi$  of the above. Then it is clear by the definition of the pseudometric  $H$ , we have  $H(z_1, z_2) = K(z_1, z_2)$ .

It is known that we can choose the covering map  $\pi$  so that it satisfies  $\pi(0) = z_1$ ,  $\pi(x) = z_2$  and  $K(0, x) = K(z_1, z_2)$  (see [2]). Let  $h$  be the maximal harmonic function with respect to  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  with  $h(z_1) = 0$ . Then  $\pi \circ h$  is a harmonic function with  $\pi \circ h(0) = 0$  and  $\pi \circ h(x) = m(z_1, z_2) \geq 0$ . Suppose  $K(z_1, z_2) = H(z_1, z_2)$  is true. Then we have  $K(0, x) = K(z_1, z_2) = H(z_1, z_2) = H(0, x)$ . Hence we have

$$m(z_1, z_2) = m(0, x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \frac{2x}{1-x^2} = \frac{4}{\pi} \tan^{-1} x.$$

The harmonic function  $\pi \circ h$  has values

$$\pi \circ h(0) = 0 \text{ and } \pi \circ h(x) = \frac{4}{\pi} \tan^{-1} x.$$

Now using the Schwarz lemma we conclude that  $\pi \circ h$  is the real part of  $\left( \frac{2i}{\pi} \operatorname{Log} \frac{z+i}{z+iz} + 1 \right)$ . Hence we proved that

$$\pi \circ h(re^{i\theta}) = \frac{2i}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \frac{2r \cos \theta}{1-r^2}.$$

Now we prove the main theorem. A complex manifold  $S$  is called

hyperbolic if the Kobayashi pseudometric is a metric on  $S$ .

**THEOREM.** *Let  $S$  be a hyperbolic Riemann surface which is not the unit disk and an annulus. Then the Kobayashi metric is strictly bigger than the Harmonic distance.*

*Proof.* Let  $S$  be a hyperbolic surface (the unit disk is the holomorphic covering space), and assume  $K(z_1, z_2) = H(z_1, z_2)$  for a pair of distinct points  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  in  $S$ . Then by the above lemma we can choose a holomorphic covering map  $\pi : D \rightarrow S$  and a harmonic function  $h : S \rightarrow (-1, 1)$ , such that

$$\pi \circ h(re^{i\theta}) = \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \frac{2r \cos \theta}{1-r^2}.$$

Let  $G$  be the holomorphic covering transformation group of the covering  $\pi$ . Then we know that  $\pi \circ h(A(z)) = \pi \circ h(z)$  holds for all  $A$  in  $G$  and  $z \in D$ . For the proof of the theorem it suffices to show that only an annulus admits such a group. For the calculation of the group  $G$ , we choose  $I = \{z : \text{Im}(z) > 0\}$  as the covering space. Let  $w(z) = \frac{i-z}{iz-1}$ ;  $w$  is a one to one holomorphic map of  $I$  onto  $D$ .

Let

$$h(z) = \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \frac{2r \cos \theta}{1-r^2} = \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \frac{z+\bar{z}}{1-z\bar{z}},$$

then  $w \circ h(z)$  can be represented as

$$\frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \frac{z+\bar{z}}{z-\bar{z}}.$$

Now any element  $A \in G$  has the form  $\frac{az+b}{cz+d}$ ,  $ad-bc=1$  and  $a, b, c, d$  are real. Consider the relation  $w \circ h(A(z)) = w \circ h(z)$ . Then by a simple calculation we have

$$\frac{A(z)}{z} = \left( \frac{\overline{A(z)}}{z} \right).$$

It follows that  $A(z) = rz$  for some real number  $r$ . By the above discussion we know that  $G$  is trivial or it is generated by an element of the form  $A(z) = rz$ . Hence we conclude that  $S$  must be an annulus or the unit disk, and proved the theorem. In the above we used the fact that  $G$  is a Fuchsian group, for this one can consult with [3] or [5].

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $S$  be a Riemann surface. Then we have the following relations between  $K$  and  $H$ ;*

(i) *If  $S$  is not hyperbolic, then  $K(z_1, z_2) = H(z_1, z_2) = 0$  for all  $z_1$  and  $z_2$*

in  $S$ .

(ii) If  $S$  is the unit disk, then  $K(z_1, z_2) = H(z_1, z_2)$  and  $H(z_1, z_2) > 0$  for  $z_1 \neq z_2$  in  $S$ .

(iii) If  $S$  is an annulus, then  $K(z_1, z_2) \geq H(z_1, z_2)$  and the inequality is true for some pair in  $S$ .

(iv) If  $S$  is a Riemann surface not in the above (i)~(iv), then  $K(z_1, z_2) > H(z_1, z_2)$  for every  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  ( $z_1 \neq z_2$ ) in  $S$ .

### References

1. S. Kobayashi, *Hyperbolic manifolds and holomorphic mappings*, Marcel Dekker N. Y., (1970).
2. S. Kobayashi, *Intrinsic distances measure and geometric function theory*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **82** (1976), 357-456.
3. I. Kra, *Automorphic forms and Kleinian groups*, Reading Massachusetts, Addison Wesley, (1972).
4. S.M. Kim, *Harmonic pseudometric and the product property of pseudometrics*, Kyungpook, Math. J. **20** (1980), 239-243.
5. J. Lehner, *Discontinuous groups and automorphic functions*, Amer. Math. Soc. (1964).

Seoul National University  
Seoul 151, Korea