

A Note on the Robustness of the Hodges-Lehmann Estimators Against Dependence in Regression Model

By Ung Sup Yun

National Institute for Educational Research & Training, Seoul, Korea

1. Introduction

We consider the simple linear regression model

$$Y_i = \alpha + \beta x_i + e_i, \quad i=1, \dots, n \quad (1.1)$$

where α and β are unknown regression parameters, x_i 's are known constants, and e_i 's are random errors.

In this note, we are interested in the robustness of the Hodges-Lehmann type estimators (HLE) of regression parameters as compared with the ordinary least squares estimators (LSE), when the error terms are autocorrelated.

Most of the robust procedures have been developed under the assumption of independent and identically distributed observations. The HLE's are also constructed to be robust against nonnormality under the assumption of independence.

For location problems, the asymptotic relative efficiency (ARE) of the HLE is the same as the Pitman efficiency of the corresponding rank tests (see Hodges and Lehmann, 1963). Høpfland (1968) and Gastwirth and Rubin (1975) studied the asymptotic behavior of the robust estimators on dependent data. The results show that, for any first order autoregressive normal process for which all the serial correlations ρ_n are nonnegative, the ARE of the HLE is greater than the corresponding ARE in the case of independent observations. On the other hand, for the first order autoregressive double exponential process, the ARE of the HLE gets worse as $\rho \rightarrow 1$.

Song and Oh (1981) investigated the small sample behavior of the HLE in the simple linear regression model. The Monte Carlo study shows that the HLE is quite robust against nonnormality under the assumption of independence.

Relatively little work is done on the robustness of HLE against dependence. This is partly due to the complexity of the distributions of the estimators when the observations are correlated. In this note a preliminary study is performed on the robustness of the HLE of regression parameters in (1.1) when the errors follow first order stationary autoregressive processes, through a Monte Carlo study.

The results show that the HLE is robust with small values of ρ . But, for long tailed distributions the results indicate that the relative efficiency of the HLE is getting worse as $\rho \rightarrow 1$.

2. Hodges-Lehmann Type Estimators in Regression Model

We consider the simple linear regression model (1.1). Assuming that the error terms e_i 's are independent and identically distributed random variables with mean 0, the classical LSE of β and α are given by

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})(Y_i - \bar{Y})}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\alpha} = \bar{Y} - \hat{\beta}\bar{x},$$

respectively.

The HLE of β based on rank tests are proposed by Scholz (1978) and Sievers (1978), among others. Song and Oh (1981) considered the following procedure to estimate α and β simultaneously, which is basically equivalent to that of Scholz or Sievers.

Without loss of generality we assume that $x_1 \leq \dots \leq x_n$. Let T_α and T_β be the weighted rank statistics defined by

$$T_\alpha = \sum_{i \leq j} \phi(Y_i + Y_j - \hat{\beta}(x_i + x_j) - 2\alpha)$$

and

$$T_\beta = \sum_{i < j} W_{ij} \phi(Y_j - Y_i - \beta(x_j - x_i)),$$

respectively, where $\phi(\cdot)$ is an indicator function such that $\phi(t) = 0$ or 1 according as $t \leq 0$ or > 0 . The weights W_{ij} are nonnegative, and $W_{ij} = 0$ whenever $x_i = x_j$. Let $W_{..} = \sum_{i < j} W_{ij}$. Then HLE's of

α and β based on T_α and T_β are defined by

$$\hat{\beta} = (\beta^* + \beta^{**})/2, \quad \hat{\alpha} = (\alpha^* + \alpha^{**})/2$$

where

$$\beta^* = \sup\{\beta : T_\beta \geq W_{..}/2\}, \quad \beta^{**} = \inf\{\beta : T_\beta \leq W_{..}/2\}$$

and

$$\alpha^* = \sup\{\alpha : T_\alpha \geq n(n+1)/4\}, \quad \alpha^{**} = \inf\{\alpha : T_\alpha \leq n(n+1)/4\}.$$

If we choose the weights $W_{ij} \equiv 1$, then the explicit form of the $\hat{\beta}$ is the median of

$$S_{ij} = (Y_j - Y_i)/(x_j - x_i), \quad i < j.$$

For the weights $W_{ij} = x_j - x_i$, $\hat{\beta}$ is the weighted median of S_{ij} with weights W_{ij} , which has optimal properties (see Scholz, 1978). According to the results of a Monte Carlo study by Song and Oh (1981), the weighted median with weights $W_{ij} = x_j - x_i$ is quite robust and efficient in small sample cases.

The explicit form of the $\hat{\alpha}$ is the median of

$$1/2\{(Y_i - \hat{\beta}x_i) + (Y_j - \hat{\beta}x_j)\}, \quad i \leq j$$

which is the HLE of location parameter based on the Wilcoxon test.

3. An Empirical Study on the Robustness Against Dependence

In order to investigate the small sample behavior of the HLE in linear regression model (1.1) with autocorrelated errors, we consider the case when the error terms $\{e_i\}$ follow a first order autoregressive process

$$e_i = \rho e_{i-1} + \varepsilon_i \tag{3.1}$$

where ε_i 's are white noise.

In our simulation study we compared the HLE with the LSE on the normal, double exponential, and Cauchy processes. In each case the location and scale parameters used are 0 and 1, respectively. The observed values are simulated from the model (3.1) and (1.1) with $n=20$; $\alpha=0$, $\beta=1$; $x_i=i$, $i=1, \dots, 20$. The values of ρ used in the Monte Carlo study are 0(0.1) 0.5 and 0.8. In each case 500 observations are generated.

The uniform random numbers were generated by using the intrinsic subroutine RANDU in PDP 11, and converted to normal variates by standardizing sum of twelve random numbers. The inverse integral transformation was applied to generate double exponential and Cauchy processes. In each case e_0 is set by a random variate from the corresponding distribution.

Table 1. Empirical Means and Relative Efficiencies.

ρ	$\hat{\beta}$ (LSE)	$\hat{\beta}$ (HLE)	RE($\hat{\beta}$, $\hat{\beta}$)	$\hat{\alpha}$ (LSE)	$\hat{\alpha}$ (HLE)	RE($\hat{\alpha}$, $\hat{\alpha}$)
Normal						
.0	1.00	1.00	.94	-.031	-.030	.92
.1	.996	.996	.93	.039	.036	.92
.2	.999	.999	.96	.033	.039	.96
.3	1.00	1.00	.94	-.011	-.009	.96
.4	1.00	1.00	.96	-.021	-.016	.96
.5	.997	.997	.96	.043	.042	.97
.8	.994	.994	.97	.013	.019	.99
Double Exponential						
.0	.998	.999	1.27	.010	.004	1.25
.1	1.00	1.00	1.14	-.032	-.014	1.15
.2	1.00	1.00	1.16	.015	.008	1.15
.3	1.00	1.00	1.16	-.000	.004	1.13
.4	1.00	1.00	1.18	-.015	-.029	1.17
.5	.995	.994	1.09	.070	.070	1.10
.8	.983	.983	.99	.180	.193	.98
Cauchy						
.0	.892	.995	3496.	-.221	.053	5711.
.1	1.09	1.01	157.	-.917	-.037	128.
.2	.990	.992	3787.	-2.92	.096	9392.
.3	1.40	1.02	680.	5.02	-.071	224.
.4	.985	.996	17.	-.201	-.010	29.
.5	1.06	1.01	13.	-.495	-.071	6.
.8	1.22	1.14	1.9	.284	.235	1.8

The results of the Monte Carlo study are summarized in Table 1. The values of empirical means of $\hat{\beta}$ (LSE), $\hat{\beta}$ (HLE), $\hat{\alpha}$ (LSE), and $\hat{\alpha}$ (HLE) are presented. The relative efficiency (RE) of two estimators are computed as the inverse ratio of empirical variances.

The empirical results show that the HLE is quite robust against a weak dependence. But, as $\rho \rightarrow 1$, the relative efficiencies are getting worse for double exponential and Cauchy processes, which have rather long tails.

References

1. Gastwirth, J.L. and Rubin, H. (1975). The behavior of robust estimators on dependent data, *Ann. Statist.*, **3**, 1070-1100.
2. Hodges, J.L. and Lehmann, E.L. (1963). Estimates of location based upon rank tests, *Ann. Math. Statist.*, **34**, 598-611.
3. Høyland, A. (1968). Robustness of the Wilcoxon estimate of location against a certain dependence, *Ann. Math. Statist.*, **38**, 1196-1201.
4. Scholz, F.W. (1978). Weighted median regression estimates, *Ann. Statist.*, **6**, 603-609.
5. Sievers, G.L. (1978). Weighted rank statistic for simple linear regression, *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.*, **73**, 628-631.
6. Song, M.S. and Oh, C.H. (1981). On a robust subset selection procedure for the slopes of regression equations, *J. Korean Statist. Soc.*, **10**, 105-121.