

A NOTE ON  $S$ -CLOSED SPACES

MOO HA WOO, TAIKYUN KWON, AND JUNGSOOK SAKONG

In this paper, we show a necessary and sufficient condition for  $QHC$  spaces to be  $S$ -closed.

T. Thomson introduced  $S$ -closed spaces in [2]. A topological space  $X$  is said to be  $S$ -closed if every semi-open cover of  $X$  admits a finite subfamily such that the closures of whose members cover the space, where a set  $A$  is *semi-open* if and only if there exists an open set  $U$  such that  $U \subset A \subset Cl U$ . A topological space  $X$  is *quasi-H-closed* (denote  $QHC$ ) if every open cover has a finite subfamily whose closures cover the space. If a topological space  $X$  is Hausdorff and  $QHC$ , then  $X$  is  $H$ -closed.

It is obvious that every  $S$ -closed space is  $QHC$  but the converse is not true [2]. In [1], Cameron proved that an extremally disconnected  $QHC$  space is  $S$ -closed. But  $S$ -closed spaces are not necessarily extremally disconnected. Therefore we want to find a necessary and sufficient condition for  $QHC$  spaces to be  $S$ -closed.

A topological space  $X$  is said to be *semi-locally  $S$ -closed* if each point of  $X$  has a  $S$ -closed open neighborhood. Of course, a locally  $S$ -closed space is semi-locally  $S$ -closed.

**THEOREM.** *A topological space  $X$  is  $S$ -closed if and only if it is  $QHC$  and semi-locally  $S$ -closed.*

*Proof.* Since  $X$  is semi-locally  $S$ -closed, there is an open  $S$ -closed neighborhood  $U_x$  of  $x$  for each  $x \in X$ . Then  $\{U_x : x \in X\}$  is an open cover of  $X$ . Since  $X$  is  $QHC$ , there is a finite subfamily  $\{U_{x_i} : i=1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n Cl U_{x_i} = Cl(\bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{x_i})$ .  $X$  is  $S$ -closed by the following lemmas.

**LEMMA 1.** *If  $U$  is an open set in  $X$  which is  $S$ -closed in its relative topology, then  $Cl U$  is also  $S$ -closed.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{G_\alpha\}$  be a semi-open (in  $Cl U$ ) cover of  $Cl U$ . We will show that  $\{U \cap G_\alpha\}$  is also a semi-open cover of  $U$ . It is sufficient to show that for each  $\alpha$ , we have

$$Int_U(U \cap G_\alpha) \subset U \cap G_\alpha \subset Cl_U(Int_U(U \cap G_\alpha)) \quad (1)$$

Since  $G_\alpha$  is a semi-open set in  $Cl U$ , we have

$$Int_{Cl U} G_\alpha \subset G_\alpha \subset Cl_{Cl U}(Int_{Cl U} G_\alpha) \quad (2)$$

To prove the last implication of (1), we take any element  $x \in U$  such that  $x \in Cl_U(Int_U(U \cap G_\alpha))$ . Then there exists a neighborhood  $N$  of  $x$  in  $U$  which is disjoint with  $Int_U(U \cap G_\alpha)$ . Since  $U$  is an open set in  $X$ ,

$$\text{Int}_U(U \cap G_\alpha) = \text{Int}_{Cl_U U}(U \cap G_\alpha) \quad (3)$$

and

$$\text{Int}_{Cl_U U}(U \cap G_\alpha) = U \cap \text{Int}_{Cl_U U} G_\alpha \quad (4)$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &= N \cap \text{Int}_U(U \cap G_\alpha) = N \cap \text{Int}_{Cl_U U}(U \cap G_\alpha) \\ &= N \cap (U \cap \text{Int}_{Cl_U U} G_\alpha) = N \cap \text{Int}_{Cl_U U} G_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $N$  is a neighborhood of  $x$  in  $U$ , it is also neighborhood of  $x$  in  $Cl_U U$ . Thus we have  $x \notin Cl_{Cl_U U}(\text{Int}_{Cl_U U} G_\alpha)$ . By (2), we obtain  $x \notin G_\alpha \cap U$ . Thus  $\{U \cap G_\alpha\}$  is a semi-open cover of  $U$ . Since  $U$  is  $S$ -closed, there is a finite subfamily  $\{G_{\alpha_1} \cap U, \dots, G_{\alpha_n} \cap U\}$  of  $\{G_\alpha \cap U\}$  such that  $U = \bigcup_{i=1}^n Cl_U(G_{\alpha_i} \cap U)$ . Therefore, we have a finite subfamily  $\{G_{\alpha_i} : i=1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} Cl_U U &= Cl_{Cl_U U} U = Cl_{Cl_U U}(\bigcup_{i=1}^n Cl_U(G_{\alpha_i} \cap U)) \\ &= \bigcup_{i=1}^n Cl_{Cl_U U}(Cl_U(G_{\alpha_i} \cap U)) \\ &\subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n Cl_{Cl_U U}(G_{\alpha_i} \cap U) \\ &\subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n Cl_{Cl_U U} G_{\alpha_i}. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2. *If a topological space  $X$  is the union of finite number of open  $S$ -closed subspaces, then  $X$  is  $S$ -closed.*

*Proof.* Let  $U, V$  be open  $S$ -closed subspaces of  $X$  and  $X = U \cup V$ . Let  $\{G_\alpha\}$  be a semi-open cover of  $X$ . Then  $\{U \cap G_\alpha\}$  and  $\{V \cap G_\alpha\}$  are also semi-open covers of  $U$  and  $V$  respectively. It is sufficient to show that

$$\text{Int}_U(U \cap G_\alpha) \subset U \cap G_\alpha \subset Cl_U(\text{Int}_U(U \cap G_\alpha))$$

If  $x \in U$  and  $x \notin Cl_U(\text{Int}_U(U \cap G_\alpha))$ , then there exists a neighborhood  $N$  of  $x$  in  $U$  such that  $N \cap \text{Int}_U(U \cap G_\alpha) = \phi$ . Since  $\text{Int}_U(U \cap G_\alpha) = U \cap \text{Int} G_\alpha$ , we obtain

$$\phi = N \cap \text{Int}_U(U \cap G_\alpha) = N \cap (U \cap \text{Int} G_\alpha) = N \cap \text{Int} G_\alpha.$$

Thus  $x \notin Cl(\text{Int} G_\alpha)$ . Since  $\text{Int} G_\alpha \subset G_\alpha \subset Cl(\text{Int} G_\alpha)$ ,  $x$  does not belong to  $G_\alpha$ . Therefore we have  $x \notin G_\alpha \cap U$ . Since  $U, V$  are  $S$ -closed,

$$\begin{aligned} U &= \bigcup_{i=1}^m Cl_U(U \cap G_{\alpha_i}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^m (Cl(U \cap G_{\alpha_i}) \cap U) \\ &\subset \bigcup_{i=1}^m Cl G_{\alpha_i}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} V &= \bigcup_{j=1}^n Cl_V(U \cap G_{\alpha_j}) = \bigcup_{j=1}^n (Cl(U \cap G_{\alpha_j}) \cap V) \\ &\subset \bigcup_{j=1}^n Cl G_{\alpha_j}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $X$  is  $S$ -closed.

### References

1. Douglas E. Cameron, *Properties of  $S$ -closed spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1978), 581-586.
2. Travis Thompson,  *$S$ -closed spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **60**(1976), 335-338.

Korea University  
Seoul 132, Korea