Bull. Korean Math. Soc. Vol. 20, No. 2, 1983

APPROXIMATION THEOREMS IN THE THEORY OF PSEUDODIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

Q-Heung Choi

In this paper we shall continue the study of the approximation theorems in the double pseudodifferential operators as in the single pseudodifferential operators.

1. Preliminaries

The class $S_{p,\delta}^m$ denote the Hörmander's class and the single pseudodifferential operators we consider are of the form

$$Au(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \iint a(x,\xi,y) u(y) e^{i(x-y)\cdot\xi} dy d\xi.$$

The amplitude $a(x, \xi, y)$ is assumed to belog to one of the following classes.

DEFINITION Let $0 \le \rho$, δ_1 , δ_2 . We say $a(x, \xi, y) \in S_{\rho, \delta_1, \delta_2}{}^m(\Omega \times \Omega \times R^n)$ if, on compact subsets of $\Omega \times \Omega$, we have $|D_y{}^{\tau}D_{\tau}{}^{\beta}D_{\xi}{}^{\alpha}a(x, \xi, y)| \le C(1 + |\xi|^{m-\rho+\alpha+\delta_1+\delta_1+\delta_1+\delta_2+\tau})$.

2. Multiple symbols

We now study operators of the form $b(D, x_1, D)$ and their associated multiple symbols.

DEFINITION 2.1. We say $b(\xi_2, x_1, \xi_1) \in S_{p,\delta_1,\delta_2}^{m_1 m_2}$ if for K compact we have $D_{x_1}{}^{\beta}D_{\xi_2}{}^{\gamma}D_{\xi_1}{}^{\alpha}b(\xi_2, x_1, \xi_1) | \leq C_{K,\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(1+|\xi_2|)^{m_2-\rho+\gamma++\delta_2+\beta+}(1+|\xi_1|)^{m_1-\rho+\alpha++\delta_1+\beta+}$ for $x_1 \in K$ and $\xi_i \in R^n$.

For convenience we shall assume that $b(\xi_2, x_1, \xi_1) = 0$ for large x_1 . Let $\hat{b}(\xi_2, n, \xi_1) = \int e^{-ix. n} b(\xi_2, x_1, \xi_1) dx_1$.

DEFINITION 2.2. The double pseudodifferential operator $B=b(D,x_1,D)$ is defined by the formula

(2.1)
$$Bu(x) = -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2\pi}} \iiint b(\xi_2, x_1, \xi_1) e^{ix \cdot \xi_2 + iy \cdot (\xi_1 - \xi_2)} \hat{u}(\xi_1) d\xi_1 dy_1 d\xi_2$$

for $u \in \mathcal{O}$. Equivalent to (2.1) is the formula

$$(Bu)^{\wedge}(\xi_2) = rac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \iint b(\xi_2, x_1, \xi_1) e^{i\mathbf{y}\cdot(\xi_1-\xi_2)} \hat{u}(\xi_1) d\xi_1 dx_1. \ = rac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int \hat{b}(\xi_2, \xi_2-\eta, \eta) \hat{u}(\eta) d\eta.$$

Consequently, we can write

(2.2)
$$b(D, x_1, D)u(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int a(x, \xi_1) e^{ix \cdot \xi_1} \hat{u}(\xi_1) d\xi_1$$

where

(2.3)
$$a(x,\xi_1) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \iint b(\xi_2, x_1, \xi_1) e^{i(x_1 - x) \cdot (\xi_1 - \xi_2)} dx_1 d\xi_2$$
$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int \hat{b}(\xi_1 + \eta, \eta, \xi_1) e^{ix \cdot \eta} d\eta$$

LEMMA 2.1. Let $p(\xi) \in S_{1,0}^m$, $-\infty < m < \infty$, and $b(\xi_2, x_1, \xi_1) = p(\xi_1)/p(\xi_2)$. Then $b(0, x_1, D) : \emptyset \rightarrow \emptyset$ is an identity.

Proof. By the Fourier inversion formula,

$$-\frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \iint e^{ix \cdot (\xi_1 - \xi_2)} p(\xi_1) \hat{u}(\xi_1) d\xi_1 dx_1 = p(\xi_2) \hat{u}(\xi_2).$$

Therefore we get

$$b(D, x_1, D)u(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int e^{ix \cdot \xi_2} \hat{u}(\xi_2) d\xi_2 = u(x).$$

3. Approximation Theorems for the double pseudodifferential operators

LEMMA 3.1. Let $p_j \in S^{m_j}_{\sigma,\delta}(\Omega)$, $m_j \downarrow -\infty$, $j \ge 0$. Let $p \in C^{\infty}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and assume there are $C_{\alpha\beta}$, $\mu = \mu(\alpha, \beta)$ such that

$$|D_x{}^{\beta}D_{\xi}{}^{\alpha}p(x,\xi)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta}(1+|\xi|)^{\mu}.$$

If there exist $\mu_k \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$|p(x,\xi) - \sum_{j=0}^{k} p_j(x,\xi)| \le C_k (1+|\xi|)^{-\mu_i},$$

then $p \in S_{\rho,\delta}^{m_0}(\Omega)$ and $p \sim \sum p_j$.

The proof may be found in Taylor [3]. Using this lemma, we analyse the amplitude $a(x,\xi)$ in (2.3).

THEOREM 3. 2. If $b(\hat{\xi}_2, x_1, \hat{\xi}_1) \in S_{\rho, \hat{d}_1, \hat{\delta}_2}^{m_1, m_2}$ has compact support in x_1 -components, then $a \in S_{\rho, \delta}^{m}$ with $m = m_1 + m_2$, $\hat{o} = \hat{o}_1 + \hat{o}_2$, provided $0 \le \hat{o} \le \rho \le 1$. Furthermore, we have the asymptotic expansion

(3.1)
$$a(x,\xi) \sim \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} \frac{1}{\alpha!} D_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \partial_{\xi_{2}}^{\alpha} b(\xi_{2}, x, \xi_{1}) [\xi_{2\alpha}]_{1}.$$

Proof. By Taylor's formula we have

$$\dot{b}(\dot{\xi}+\eta,\eta,\dot{\xi}_0) = \sum_{|z_1|< N} \frac{1}{lpha_+} \eta^a \partial_{\dot{\xi}_2}{}^a \dot{b}(\dot{\xi}_2,\eta,\dot{\xi}) \mid_{\dot{\xi}_2=\dot{\xi}} + R_N(\eta,\dot{\xi}_1)$$

where

$$R_N(\eta,\hat{\xi}) = \frac{1}{(N-1)!} \int_0^1 (1-t)^{N-1} \hat{\sigma}_t^N \hat{b}(\hat{\xi} + t\eta, \eta, \hat{\xi}) dt,$$

hence

$$\|R_N(\eta,\xi)\| \le C_N \sup_{|r|=N,0\le t \ge 1} |D_{\xi_2} r \dot{b}(\xi+t\eta,\eta,\xi)| |\eta|^N.$$

Taking inverse Fourier transforms w.r.t. η yields

(3.2)
$$a(x,\xi) = \sum_{|\alpha| \le N} \frac{1}{\alpha!} D_x^{\alpha} \partial^{\alpha} \xi_2 b(\xi_2, x, \xi) |_{\xi_2 = \xi} + \tilde{R}_N(x, \xi)$$

where $\tilde{R}_N(x,\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int e^{ix \cdot \eta} R_N(\eta,\xi) d\eta$. The general term in the sum in (3.2) clearly belongs to $S_{\rho,\delta}^{m-(\rho-\delta)+\alpha+}$. To complete the proof, we apply Lemma 3.1. So it is only necessary to verify the following estimates:

$$|\tilde{R}_N(x,\xi)| \leq C_N (1+|\xi|)^{m-(\rho-\delta)N},$$

$$|D_x{}^{\beta}D_{\xi}{}^{\alpha}a(x,\xi)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta}(1+|\xi|)^{\mu(\alpha,\beta)}.$$

To prove (3.3), note that, if $b \in S_{\rho, \delta_1, \delta_2}^{m_1, m_2}$, then

$$(3.5) |D_{\xi_2}^{\gamma} \hat{b}(\xi_2, \eta, \xi_1)| \leq C_{\gamma, \nu} (1 + |\xi_2|)^{m_2 - \rho + \gamma + \delta_2 \nu} (1 + |\xi_1|)^{m_1 + \delta_1 \nu} (1 + |\eta|)^{-\nu}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{split} |R_N(\eta,\xi)| &\leq C_N \sup_{|r|=N,0 \leq t \leq 1} |D_{\xi_2} r \hat{b}(\xi+t\eta,\eta,\xi)| |\eta|^N \\ &\leq C_{N,\nu} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} (1+|\xi+t\eta|)^{m_2-\rho N+\delta_2 \nu} (1+|\xi|)^{m_1+\delta_1 \nu} (1+|\eta|)^{N-\nu}. \end{split}$$

If ν is large, we obtain a bound

$$|R_N(\eta,\xi)| \le C(1+|\xi|)^{m-(\rho-\delta)N}(1+|\eta|)^M \text{ for } |\eta| \le \frac{1}{2}|\xi|,$$

and

$$|R_N(\eta,\xi)| \le C_M(1+|\eta|)^{-M} \text{ for } |\xi| \le 2|\eta| \le C_M \left(1+\frac{1}{2}|\xi|\right)^{-M/2} (1+|\eta|)^{-M/2}.$$

From these estimates, (3.3) follows.

To prove (3.4), write

$$D_{x}^{\beta}D^{\alpha}a(x,\xi) = \sum_{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}=\alpha} C_{\alpha_{1}}, \, \alpha_{2} \iint (y-x)^{\alpha_{1}} (\xi-\xi_{2})^{\beta} e^{i(y-x)(\xi-\xi_{2})} D_{\xi_{2}}^{\alpha_{2}} b(\xi_{2},y,\xi) \, dy d\xi_{2}.$$

Thus we need a bound

$$(3.6) \qquad |\iint y^{\alpha_1} \xi_2^{\gamma} e^{i(y-x) \cdot (\xi-\xi_2)} D_{\xi}^{\alpha_2} b(\xi_2, y, \xi) \, dy d\xi_2| \le C (1+|\xi|)^{\mu}.$$

The left side is equal to (with $b_1 = y^{\alpha_1}b$)

$$\begin{split} & \int \!\! \xi_2 {}^{\gamma} e^{-ix(\xi-\xi_2)} D^{\alpha_2} \xi_1 \hat{b}_1(\xi_2, \xi - \xi_2, \xi_1) \mid_{\xi_1 = \xi_2} \!\! d\xi_2 \\ & = \!\! \int \! (\hat{\xi} + \eta)^{\gamma} e^{-ix \cdot \eta} D_{\xi_1} {}^{\alpha_2} \hat{b}_1(\xi + \eta, \eta, \xi_1) \mid_{\xi_1 = \xi} \!\! dn. \end{split}$$

The integrand in this last expression is bounded in absoluste value by

$$C_{\tau} |\xi + \eta|^{+\tau +} (1 + |\xi + \eta|)^{m_2 + \delta_2 \nu} (1 + |\xi|)^{m - \rho + \alpha + + \delta_1 \nu} (1 + |\eta|)^{-\nu}$$

by (3.5). From this, (3.6) easily follows, and we obtain (3.4).

Recall that if $a(x,\xi) \in S_{a,\delta}^m$, the single pseudodifferential operator A = a(x,D) is given by

$$Au(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int e^{ix\xi} a(x,\xi) \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi.$$

THEOREM 3.3. Let $a(x,\xi) \in S_{\rho,\delta^1}^{m_1}$ and $b(\xi_2,x_1,\xi_1) \in S_{\rho,\delta_1,\delta_2}^{m_2,m_3}$. Suppose $b(\xi_2,x_1,\xi_1)$ has compact support in x_1 -components and $0 \le \delta < \rho \le 1$ with $\delta = \delta_1 + \delta_2$. Then $c(x,D) = a(x,D)b(D,x_1,D) \in S_{\rho,\delta}^{m_1}$ ($m=m_1+m_2+m_3$) has the asymptotic expansion

$$(3.7) c(x,\xi) \sim \sum_{r>0} c_r(x,\xi)$$

where

$$c_r(x,\xi) = \sum_{|\alpha+\beta|=r} \frac{1}{\alpha!\beta!} \, \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} a(x,\xi) \, \partial_{\xi_2}{}^{\beta} D_x{}^{\alpha+\beta} b(\xi_2,x,\xi) \mid_{\xi_2=\xi}.$$

Proof. Using Fubini Theorem, for $u \in \mathcal{O}$ (with $B = b(D, x_1, D)$)

$$\begin{split} c(x,D)u(x) &= -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int e^{ix\cdot\xi_2} a(x,\xi_2) \widehat{Bu}(\xi_2) \, d\xi_2 \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n}} \iiint e^{ix\cdot\xi_2+iy} \cdot {}^{(\xi_1-\xi_2)} \, a(x,\xi_2) b(\xi_2,y,\xi_1) \, \hat{u}(\xi_1) \, dy d\xi_2 d\xi_1 \end{split}$$

From this, we have

$$\begin{split} c\left(x,\xi_{1}\right) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n}} \! \int \!\! \int \!\! e^{i\left(x-y\right)\cdot\left(\xi_{2}-\xi_{1}\right)} a\left(x,\xi_{2}\right) b\left(\xi_{2},y,\xi_{1}\right) dy d\xi_{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n}} \! \int \!\! e^{ix\cdot\eta} a\left(x,\xi_{1}\!+\!\eta\right) \dot{b}\left(\xi_{1}\!+\!\eta,\eta,\xi_{1}\right) d\eta. \end{split}$$

By Taylor's formula, we have

$$\begin{split} a(x,\xi_1+\eta) &= \sum_{|a|< N} \frac{1}{\alpha!} - \eta^\alpha \hat{\sigma}_{\xi_1}{}^\alpha a(x,\xi_1) + r_N(a) \left(\eta,\ \xi_1\right), \\ \hat{b}\left(\xi_1+\eta,\ \eta,\ \xi_1\right) &\sum_{|\beta|< N} \frac{1}{\beta!} - \eta^\beta \hat{\sigma}_{\xi_2}{}^\beta \hat{b}\left(\xi_2,\ \eta,\ \xi_1\right) \mid_{\xi_2=\xi_1} + r_{\ V}(\hat{b}) \left(\eta,\ \xi_1\right) \end{split}$$

where

$$\begin{split} r_N(a) \; (\eta, \xi_1) = & \frac{1}{(N-1)!} \int_0^1 (1-t)^{N-1} \partial_t{}^N(x, \xi_1 + t \eta) \, dt \\ r_N(\hat{b}) \; (\eta, \xi_1) = & \frac{1}{(N-1)!} \int_0^1 (1-t)^{N-1} \partial_t{}^N \hat{b} \, (\xi_1 + t \eta, \eta, \xi_1) \, dt. \end{split}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{split} a(x,\xi_1+\eta)\hat{b}(\xi_1+\eta,\eta,\xi_1) \\ =& \sum_{r < N} \big(\sum_{|\alpha-\beta|=r} \frac{1}{\alpha!\beta!} \eta^{\alpha+\beta} \partial_{\xi_1}{}^{\alpha} a(x,\xi_1) \partial_{\xi_2}{}^{\beta} \hat{b}(\xi_2,\eta,\xi_1) \big|_{\xi_2=\xi_1} \big) + r_N(c)(\eta,\xi_1). \end{split}$$

Taking inverse Fourier transforms with respect to η yields

$$c(x, \xi_1) = \sum_{c, N} c_r(x, \xi_1) + \hat{r}_N(c)(x, \xi_1)$$

where $\tilde{r}_N(c)(x,\xi_1) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int e^{ix\cdot\xi_1} r_N(c)(\eta,\xi_1) d\eta$. Then clearly $c_r(x,\xi_1) \in S_{\rho,\delta}^{m-(\rho-\delta)+\gamma+1}$ with $m=m_1+m_2+m_3$. To prove $|r_N| \leq C(1+|\xi_1|)^{m-(\rho-\delta)N}$ and $c(x,\xi_1) \in S_{\rho,\delta}^m$ it is only necessary to verify the following estimates:

$$(3.8) \qquad |\int e^{ix \cdot \eta} \eta^{\beta} \partial_{\xi_{2}}^{\beta} \hat{b}(\xi_{2}, \eta, \xi_{1})|_{\xi_{2} = \xi_{1}} r_{N}(a) (\eta, \xi_{1}) d\eta| \leq C_{N, f} (1 + |\xi_{1}|)^{m + (\rho - \delta)N},$$

$$(3.9) \qquad |\int e^{ix \cdot \eta} \eta^a \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} a(x, \xi_1) r_N(\hat{b}) (\eta, \xi_1) d\eta| \leq c_{\alpha, N} (1 + |\xi_1|)^{m - (\rho - \delta)N},$$

$$(3.10) \qquad |\int e^{ix\cdot \tau} \eta^{\alpha+\beta} \partial_{\xi_1}{}^{\alpha} a(x,\xi_1) \partial_{\xi_2}{}^{\beta} \hat{b}(\xi_2,\eta,\xi_1) |_{\xi_2=\xi_1} d\eta| \leq c_{\alpha,\beta} (1+|\xi_1|)^{m-(\rho-\delta)N}$$

$$for \ N < |\alpha+\beta| < 2N,$$

$$(3.11) \qquad |D_x{}^{\beta}D_{\xi}{}^{\alpha}c(x,\xi)| \leq c_{\alpha\beta}(1+|\xi|)^{\mu(\alpha,\beta)}$$

The proofs of (3.8), (3.9) and (3.11) are similar to the proof of Theorem 3.2. To prove (3.10), we obtain

$$\begin{split} &|\int \! e^{ix \cdot \eta} \eta^{\alpha + \beta} \partial_{\xi_1}{}^{\alpha} a(x, \xi_1) \partial_{\xi_2}{}^{\beta} |\hat{b}(\xi_2, \eta, \xi_1)|_{\xi_2 = \xi_1} d\eta| \\ &\leq c_1 \int |\eta|^{\lfloor \alpha + \beta^{\dagger}} (1 + |\xi_1|)^{m - \rho + \alpha + \beta^{\dagger} + \delta \nu} (1 + |\eta|)^{-\nu} d\eta \end{split}$$

With sufficiently large ν , we obtain (3.10). This completes the proof of Theorem.

References

- 1. Beals, R., and Fefferman, C., Spatially inhomogeneous pseudodifferential operators, I, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 27, (1974), 1-24.
- 2. Hörmander, L., Pseudodifferential operators and hypoelliptic equations. In Proc. Sym. Pure Math. X (Singular Integrals), 138-183. A. M. S. Providence, 1967.
- 3. Taylor, M. E., Pseudodifferential operators, Princeton University Press, 1981.

Seoul National University Seoul 151, Korea