

DIRECT PRODUCT AND DIRECT SUM OF A LOCAL RING

Dedicated to the memory of Professor Dock Sang Rim

BYOUNG-SONG CHWE

A ring A , with the identity elements, is called a right Steinitz ring in [2] and [4] when the set R of non-units forms an ideal and left vanishing (or right T -nilpotent), in the sense that for any infinite sequence $\{x_i\}$ of the elements of R , there is an integer m such that

$$x_m \cdot x_{m-1} \cdots x_1 = 0.$$

This paper is an attempt to try to find a relation between a ring and its direct product in the term of Steinitz ring.

Let A be a local ring in the sense that the set R of nonunits forms an ideal. Let $\prod A$ be the set of all maps from the set of natural integers, N , to A , and $\sum A$ be the subset of $\prod A$ consists of maps which take non-zero images only for finitely many elements of N . Take $\prod A$ and $\sum A$ as right A -module as usual and $\sum A$ is a direct sum and $\prod A$ is direct product of A . We want to prove the following two theorems:

THEOREM 1. *If $\sum A$ is a direct summand of $\prod A$, then R is nilpotent.*

THEOREM 2. *R is left vanishing and finitely generated as right A module, then $\sum A$ is a direct summand of $\prod A$.*

LEMMA 1. *Suppose $\sum A$ is a direct summand of $\prod A$, then for any $f \in \prod A$, there is an integer n satisfying following conditions. If $fa \in \sum A$ for some $a \in A$, then $f(i)a = 0$ for all $i > n$.*

Proof. Let ϕ be the A -homomorphism of $\prod A$ onto $\sum A$ leaving the elements of $\sum A$ left fixed. If $fa \in \sum A$, then $\phi(fa) = fa$, and $\phi(fa) = \phi(f) \cdot a$. Let n be such that $\phi(f)(i) = 0$ for all $i > n$. Then $f(i)a = \phi(f)(i) \cdot a = 0$ for all $i > n$.

LEMMA 2. *Let $\{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ be a sequence of elements of R , and $\{g_1, g_2, \dots\}$ be a sequence of elements of $\prod A$, defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= \{1, x_1, x_2 \cdot x_1, x_3 \cdot x_2 \cdot x_1, \dots\}, \\ g_2 &= \{0, 1, x_2, x_3 \cdot x_2, \dots\}. \end{aligned}$$

*In general, $g_i(j) = 0$ if $j < i$,
 $g_i(i) = 1$,*

and

$$g_i(j) = x_{j-1} \cdot x_{j-2} \cdots x_i \text{ if } j > i.$$

Let V be free sub-module of πA spanned by $\{g_i\}$. Then if $V/\Sigma A$ is free-module, then $V = \Sigma A$, and R is left vanishing.

Proof. Let ϕ be the canonical map of V to $V/\Sigma A$, and f_i be the element of ΣA such that

$$\begin{aligned} f_i(j) &= 0 \text{ if } i \neq j \\ f_i(i) &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

for each $i \in N$.

Since $g_i = f_i + g_{i+1} \cdot x_i$,

$$\phi(g_i) = \phi(g_{i+1}) \cdot x_i.$$

Since $\{g_i\}$ spans V , and R forms an ideal, it is clear that there is a basis of $\phi(V)$ consist of one of $\phi(g_i)$, if $\phi(V) \neq \{0\}$. Say $\{\phi(g_i)\}$ is a basis, then

$$\phi(g_i) = \phi(g_{i+1})x_i,$$

and $\phi(g_{i+1}) = \phi(g_i)y$ for some $y \in A$, and

$$\phi(g_i) = \phi(g_i)yx_i.$$

Hence $yx_i = 1$, this is a contradiction to $x_i \in R$. Hence $\phi(V) = \{0\}$. Therefore each g_i belongs to ΣA , and there is m such that

$$x_m \cdot x_{m-1} \dots x_i = 0.$$

Hence R is left vanishing.

LEMMA 3. (from [4]). Let A be a Steinitz ring with the radical R . Let $I_i = \{x \in A \mid R^i \cdot x = 0\}$, for each $i \in N$. If R is not $\{0\}$ nor nilpotent then

$$I_2 \subset I_3 \subset I_4 \subset \dots$$

is a strictly ascending infinite chain of two sided ideals of A .

Proof. Suppose $I_1 = \{0\}$, then $R \cdot x = 0$ implies $x = 0$, hence there is a choice function f of $R - \{0\}$ to R such that $f(x)x \neq 0$. Define a sequence of elements of R by

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= x \\ x_2 &= f(x_1) \\ x_3 &= f(x_2 \cdot x_1) \end{aligned}$$

In general

$$x_i = f(x_{i-1} \cdot x_{i-2} \dots x_1), \text{ for } i \in N.$$

Then there is no n such that

$$x_n \cdot x_{n-1} \dots x_1 = 0$$

this is contradicted that R is left vanishing. Therefore $I_1 \neq \{0\}$, and also $I_1 \neq R$ because $RR \neq \{0\}$.

Consider A/I_1 , then its radical is not zero nor nilpotent, because $R^i \subset I_1$ implies $R \cdot R^i = R^{i+1} = \{0\}$. Since

$I_2 = \{x \in A \mid R^2 x = 0\} = \{x \in A \mid R \cdot x \subset I_1\}$, by applying above argument,

$$I_1 \subset I_2 \text{ and } I_1 \neq I_2, \text{ and } I_2 \neq R.$$

By repeating this process we get strictly ascending chains of two sided ideals. Actually

ascending chain condition for two sided ideals implies that R is nolpotent when A is a Steinitz ring.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $\{x_i\}$ and $\{g_i\}$ and V be as same in Lemma 2. If $\sum A$ is a direct summand of $\prod A$, then it is also direct summand of V , hence $V/\sum A$ is also a direct summand of V , and V is a free module. Therefore $V/\sum A$ is free by Kaplansky's theorem in [3], which says that projective module is free when the ring is a local ring. Hence from Lemma 2, A is a Steinitz's ring. Moreover if R is not nilpotent, then we have strictly ascending chain of ideals $I_1 \subset I_2 \dots$ as in Lemma 3. Let $\{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$ be a sequence of element of R such that $a_i \in I_{i+1} - I_i$ for each $i \in N$. And $\{r_1, r_2, \dots\}$ be also a sequence of the element of R such that $r_i \in R^i$ and $r_i a_i \neq 0$ for each i . Such $\{r_i\}$ exists because $a_i \in I_{i+1} - I_i$ implies $R^{i+1} a_i = \{0\}$ and $R^i a_i \neq \{0\}$. Let f be an element of $\prod A$ such that $f(i) = r_i$ for $i \in N$, then $f a_i \in \sum A$ and $f(i) a_i \neq 0$ for each i . This is a contradiction to Lemma 1. Therefore if $\sum A$ is a direct summand of $\prod A$, A is a Steinitz ring such that the radical is nilpotent.

Proof of Theorem 2. Suppose the set of non-units, R is left vanishing and finitely generated as a right ideal of A . In [5], as a result of [1], it was proved that, if A is a right Steinitz ring, then the maximal ideal R is finitely generated as a right A -module if and only if any direct product of A is a free right-module. Therefore any direct product of A is free if A is Steinitz and R is finitely generated. Now, since $\prod A$ is free and $\{f_i\}$ defined as in Lemma, a basis of $\sum A$, is linearly independent set, hence there is a basis of $\prod A$ containing $\{f_i\}$, from [2]. Therefore $\sum A$ is a direct summand of $\prod A$.

It is left to study whether it is necessary for R to be finitely generated in order to make $\sum A$ a direct summand of $\prod A$.

References

1. Chase, Stephen V., *Direct products of modules*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **97** (1960), pp. 457-473.
2. B.S. Chwe and J. Neggers, *On the extension of linearly independent subset of free modules to a basis*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **24**(1970), 466-470.
3. I. Kaplansky, *Projective module*, Amer. Math. **68**(1958), 372-377.
4. B.S. Chwe and J. Neggers, *Local ring with left vanishing radical*, J. London Math. Soc. (2), **4**(1971), 374-378.
5. B.S. Chwe and W.H. Rant, *A characterization of the minimum condition for a local ring and certain perfect ring*, to appear.

Department of Mathematics, University of Alabama, University, AL 35486, U.S.A