

CHARACTERIZATIONS OF QUASI H -CLOSED SPACES

BY YOUNGOH YANG

1. Introduction and preliminaries

In [6], J. Porter and J. Thomas introduced the concept of quasi H -closed spaces. We give characterizations of such spaces and sets quasi H -closed relative to the space, some of which make use of a type of convergence we define as w -convergence.

Throughout this paper, X means a topological space on which no separation axioms are assumed. The closure of A and the interior of A are denoted by $\text{Cl}(A)$ and $\text{Int}(A)$ respectively. A subset A of X is said to be *regular open* (resp. *regular closed*) [2] if $A = \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(A))$ (resp. $A = \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(A))$). A set A of X is said to be *semiopen* [1] [5] (resp. *regular semiopen* [1]) if there exists an open (resp. regular open) set U such that $U \subset A \subset \text{Cl}(U)$. A space X is said to be *extremally disconnected* [5] if the closure of every open set is open. A space X is said to be *nearly compact* [3] if every open cover has a finite subfamily, the interior of the closures of which cover X , and *RS-compact* [3] (resp. *S-closed* [1], [4]) if every regular semiopen (resp. semiopen) cover has a finite subfamily whose interiors (resp. closures) cover X .

DEFINITION 1.1. A topological space X is *quasi H -closed* (denoted QHC) [1] if every open cover has a finite proximate subcover (every open cover has a finite subfamily whose closures cover the space).

DEFINITION 1.2. A filterbase $\mathcal{F} = \{A_i\}$ *w-converges* to a point $x \in X$ [cf. 2, 5] if for each open set V containing x , there exists an $A_i \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $A_i \subset \text{Cl}(V)$.

DEFINITION 1.3. A filterbase $\mathcal{F} = \{A_i\}$ *w-accumulates* to a point $x \in X$ [cf. 2, 5] if for each open set V containing x and $A_i \in \mathcal{F}$, $A_i \cap \text{Cl}(V) \neq \phi$.

We now obtain an easy consequence of these definitions whose proof is omitted.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let \mathcal{F} be a maximal filterbase in X . Then \mathcal{F} w-accumulates to a point $x \in X$ if and only if \mathcal{F} w-converges to x .*

2. Characterizations of quasi H -closed spaces

In this section, we give some characterizations of quasi H -closed spaces and sets quasi H -closed relative to the space.

THEOREM 2.1. *For a topological space X , the following are equivalent:*

- (i) X is QHC.
- (ii) Every regular open cover has a finite proximate subcover.
- (iii) For each family of nonempty regular closed sets $\{F_\alpha\}$ such that $\bigcap F_\alpha = \phi$, there exists a finite subfamily $\{F_{\alpha_i}\}_{i=1}^n$ such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{Int}(F_{\alpha_i}) = \phi$.
- (iv) For each family of nonempty regular closed sets $\{F_\alpha\}$, if each finite subfamily $\{F_{\alpha_i}\}_{i=1}^n$ has the property that $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{Int}(F_{\alpha_i}) \neq \phi$, then $\bigcap_\alpha F_\alpha \neq \phi$.
- (v) Each filterbase $\mathcal{F} = \{A_i\}$ w -accumulates to some point $x \in X$.
- (vi) Each maximum filterbase $\mathcal{F} = \{A_i\}$ w -converges.

Proof. (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii). It has been shown in [1] in view of the fact that for any open set U , $\text{Int}(\text{Cl}(U))$ is regular open.

(i) \Rightarrow (vi). Let $\mathcal{F} = \{A_i\}$ be a maximal filterbase. Suppose that \mathcal{F} does not w -converges to any point. Therefore, by Theorem 1.1, \mathcal{F} does not w -accumulates to any point. This implies that for every $x \in X$, there exists an open set V_x containing x and $A_{ix} \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $A_{ix} \cap \text{Cl}(V_x) = \phi$. Obviously, $\{V_x : x \in X\}$ is an open cover of X and by hypothesis, there exists a finite subfamily such that $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{Cl}(V_{x_i})$. Since \mathcal{F} is a filterbase, there exists an $A_k \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $A_k \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^n A_{ix_i}$. Hence $A_k \cap \text{Cl}(V_{x_i}) = \phi$ for each $i=1, 2, \dots, n$, which implies

$$A_k \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{Cl}(V_{x_i})) = A_k \cap X = \phi,$$

contradicting the essential fact that $A_k \neq \phi$. (vi) \Rightarrow (v). Each filterbase is contained in a maximal filterbase.

(v) \Rightarrow (iii). Let $\{F_\alpha\}$ be a family of regular closed sets such that $\bigcap_\alpha F_\alpha = \phi$. Suppose that for every finity subfamily, $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{Int}(F_{\alpha_i}) \neq \phi$. Therefore $\mathcal{F} = \{\bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{Int}(F_{\alpha_i}) : n \in \mathbb{N}, F_{\alpha_i} \in \{F_\alpha\}\}$ forms a filterbase. By hypothesis, \mathcal{F} w -accumulates to some $x \in X$. This implies that for every open set V_x containing x , $\text{Int}(F_\alpha) \cap \text{Cl}(V_x) \neq \phi$ for every $\alpha \in I$. Since $x \notin \bigcap F_\alpha$, there exists a $k \in I$ such that $x \notin F_k$. Hence x is contained in the regular open set $X - F_k$. Therefore $\text{Int}(F_k) \cap \text{Cl}(X - F_k) = \text{Int}(F_k) \cap (X - \text{Int}(F_k)) = \phi$, contradicting the fact that \mathcal{F} w -accumulates to x .

(iii) \Leftrightarrow (iv). Obvious.

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii). Let $\{V_\alpha\}$ be a regular open cover of X . Then $\{X - V_\alpha\}$ is a family of regular closed sets satisfying $\bigcap_\alpha (X - V_\alpha) = \phi$. By hypothesis, there exists a finite subfamily such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{Int}(X - V_{\alpha_i}) = \phi$.

Therefore $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{Cl}(V_{\alpha_i}) = X$.

